

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

Legislative Office Building, Room 201

Concord, NH

Tuesday, October 22, 2013

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Rep. David Campbell, Chair

Rep. Bernard Benn

Rep. John Cloutier, Clerk

Rep. Daniel Eaton

Rep. Kenneth Weyler

Sen. David Boutin, Vice-Chair

Sen. Sylvia Larsen

Sen. James Rausch

Sen. Nancy Stiles

(Convened at 10:05 a.m.)

(1) Acceptance of Minutes of the September 24, 2013 Meeting.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. We'll call to order the Capital Budget Overview Committee meeting. First order of business is acceptance of the minutes.

** SEN. BOUTIN: So moved.

REP. CLOUTIER: Representative Benn has a concern about the minutes.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yep.

REP. BENN: If I might, I'd like to add a couple sentences. If you recall at the last meeting, I did have some concern about the price that was being asked for the Corridor and DOT, you know, we talked about it. So I prepared a couple sentences that I'll just read to you to see if you'd like to add them.

REP. WEYLER: Which item?

REP. BENN: It's item --

SEN. BOUTIN: 13-039.

REP. BENN: 039, right.

SEN. BOUTIN: Yep.

REP. BENN: And I would just add it between the first paragraph and the second paragraph something that says Representative Benn expressed concern about Pan Am's asking price for the Corridor. The Committee was assured by the DOT that an in-depth analysis of the value of the Corridor to the State is being undertaken and the negotiations with Pan Am over the price would be transparent to the public. It was agreed -- I'm not sure it was agreed or implied that this Committee would be kept informed as to the status and price of the purchase.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Any objections?

SEN. BOUTIN: I'd like to hear from DOT.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Any objections from DOT?

PATRICK HERLIHY, Director, Division of Aeronautics, Rail and Transit, Department of Transportation: No.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I was going to say whether we wait for the buy to the State, and the Committee was assured.

REP. BENN: Right.

SEN. RAUSCH: Could I just ask? Just a question to the stenographer. I mean, I guess I don't --

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: We can look back in the minutes but that conversation did occur. I do recall him asking the question.

SEN. RAUSCH: If it had occurred and we have that it was in that meeting, then it's appropriate for the minutes. But I don't know that it would be appropriate if it is a thought that occurred after.

REP. BENN: No, this was stated during the meeting, yeah. I mean --

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I recall the question.

REP. BENN: The thought that I would say now that might have been after is, you know, if the towns that were along the way had some skin in the game I'd be more assured that there'd be people would be pushing to keep the price down. The way it is now, you know, it's really up to the negotiations of DOT. There's no other, you know, input, but I wanted to make sure that they are going to look for the best price and to not necessarily accept the \$6 million as being the asking price and that's what we discussed.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: If there's -- yes, Senator Boutin.

SEN. BOUTIN: Well, I just think procedure, Mr. Chairman, I made a motion to adopt the minutes. They should be second and then Representative Benn can offer his amendment.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Right. Is there a second?

SEN. LARSEN: Second.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Second to the minutes. Representative Benn moves that the minutes be amended and the stenographer has the amendment.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

SEN. BOUTIN: Second.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Second by Senator Boutin. I would ask then that all those in favor of the amendment say aye? Opposed?

*** {MOTION ADOPTED}

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Now what I'm going to do is we'll vote on the minutes and then we'll ask you to amend them and give them to the clerk for signature.

THE COURT REPORTER: Pam does that.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. All those in favor of the minutes say aye? Opposed? Thank you.

*** {MOTION ADOPTED}

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That's the longest it ever took us to adopt the minutes.

(2) Old Business:

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: There's Old Business.

(3) New Business:

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: The New Business is the Item 13-037.

SEN. BOUTIN: Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yes.

SEN. BOUTIN: Could I make a motion under Old Business?

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yeah, sure.

** SEN. BOUTIN: Mr. Chairman, I'd like to move that we receive and file a letter dated September 24th, 2013, from Department of Transportation, Re: Hampton Branch Railroad Corridor, and the subject matter of that letter is that DOT would not restrict horseback riding on that Corridor.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: It is part of the record. You want to read it into the record?

SEN. BOUTIN: No, I just want it received and filed.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Okay. Received and filed. So noted.

New Business. We are up to the Adjutant General's Department, CAP 13-037.

SEN. BOUTIN: Where are you?

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I'm on the wrong one. One item, Use of Toll Credits, CAP 13-042, Department of Transportation, requests approval to use \$17,500 of Turnpike Toll Credits. Someone here from DOT? You want to present the item, please?

BILL CASS, Director, Division of Project Development, Department of Transportation: Yes. I'm Bill Cass, Director of Project Development. I think you have the write-up there. This is part of the Memorial Bridge Replacement Project. It was part of the mitigation for historic impact, historic resource impact that was agreed to as part of the NEPA document. The bridge is done and completed. So we're just looking to complete our obligations under the -- under the mitigation Memorandum of Agreement. Part of that was that we would supply \$175,000 of Federal project dollars to support the Division of Historic Resources completing the

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

nomination for National Historic Register for the Portsmouth Downtown Historic District, so.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Senator Boutin called me and was concerned or wondering what the City of Portsmouth wanted, what its position was on this. Senator Stiles has since talked to them. Senator Stiles, you want to let us know?

** SEN. STILES: Yes, I had a conversation with the City Manager, a couple conversations with him actually to make sure that they were okay with this and they are fine. So I would move 13-042.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Senator Stiles moves acceptance of 13-042. Is there a second?

SEN. LARSEN: Second.

REP. BENN: Second and with a question.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Questions? Senator -- I mean, Representative Benn.

REP. BENN: I -- just when I read this I was kind of confused when I read this whole thing. I realize it was -- I thought the bridge had been completed.

MR. CASS: Yes. Right.

REP. BENN: So all of this work has been completed. The only thing that hasn't happened we haven't paid the money or the toll credits.

MR. CASS: Right, right.

REP. BENN: How was it completed without the money in hand?

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

MR. CASS: Well, this was --

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Excuse me. Toll credits is for the mitigation, not for the bridge itself.

MR. CASS: Right, right. As part of our -- we had committed as part of the mitigation for removing the bridge that after the bridge project was done and completed, we would provide funding for the Division of Historical Resources to do a Historic District Form in National Historic Register for the Downtown Portsmouth District as mitigation for removing the historic --

REP. BENN: Okay. So it wasn't directly --

MR. CASS: -- Memorial Bridge.

REP. BENN: -- related to the areas of the bridge. It was for mitigation.

MR. CASS: It was for mitigation for removing that historic resource.

REP. BENN: Ever found any bones down there or anything, had any problem?

MR. CASS: No, no, no bones. They did find -- there was some, like, turn of the century type of debris or artifacts, depending how you view it, when they did some of the abutment excavation; but we documented that and recorded it.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: For clarification, the bridge itself was considered a historic --

MR. CASS: Yes, that's exactly right.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: -- structure and by removing it and

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

we are lucky they let us do that.

REP. BENN: That was my question.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: This is the mitigation for that.

MR. CASS: Exactly.

REP. BENN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Representative Weyler, did you have a question?

REP. WEYLER: Question was answered. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Senator Boutin.

SEN. BOUTIN: Mr. Chairman, and I -- and with all due respect, and I appreciate Senator Stiles' efforts to get us the answer, this is a profound shift in terms of the Federal Government now going to have jurisdiction over a large part of one of our major cities in terms of what they can do, what property owners can do and not do, and if the City of Portsmouth wants that, that's fine with me. I want them to give it to us in writing, because I don't want to be sitting here two years from now, and I may not be, but someone else, some new others will be sitting here and the City of Portsmouth come up here and say we never said that. We never told you it was okay to do that.

So I -- I would like to do one of two things, Mr. Chairman, is either table this until we get that letter or approve it conditional upon receiving a letter from the City of Portsmouth which essentially, I hate to say it, but gets us off the hook if there's any problems down the road, 'cause they said they wanted it.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Senator Stiles.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

** SEN. STILES: I'll move on condition of receiving that letter.

SEN. BOUTIN: So I'll second Senator Stiles' amendment.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: We'll make it one motion. Senator Stiles is moving that this be adopted conditional upon the -- a letter from the City of Portsmouth.

MR. CASS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: So we are clear on that. Is there a second to that? Senator Boutin seconds that?

SEN. BOUTIN: I'm going to second that.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yes.

REP. BENN: I tend to agree with the sentiments that we should have it in writing.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That's fine.

REP. BENN: But the question that I have is --

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Two questions.

REP. BENN: Well, follow-up is how can -- I mean, the fact is we've agreed to this already, isn't that true, in the original acceptance of the bridge and the -- I mean, according to this whole document we've agreed to doing this and paying this with the Federal Government. So do we have a choice is my question?

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I think the City of Portsmouth would have some choice. To the extent we don't put it in writing I don't think it is a bad thing. We have assurances

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

that they are in favor of it so let's just let it lie.

MR. CASS: Sure.

REP. BENN: What would happen if we didn't approve this?

MR. CASS: Hum -- that is a good question. I presume we would have to revisit that. And it's a relatively -- I mean, you see the Memorandum of Agreement. There's a very long list of mitigation commitments. If we had to revisit this one because there wasn't support from the City or from the Capital Budget Overview Committee to approve the funding, we would have to go back and, you know, revisit that. But in answer to your question, we have talked with the City from everything the way the process works and we'll get that in writing so it's explained better. There really should be no disbenefits to the City, can only benefit the property owners and it's completely voluntary from the property owners and part of their effort, DHR's effort will be a robust public outreach to meet with all the property owners, explain what it involves, and it's there. It's individual property owner's decision -- willingness to participate and have their properties listed, so.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you.

MR. CASS: But we'll get those assurances in writing as you've asked.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Representative Weyler.

REP. WEYLER: My impression here is our only decision is whether to allow the use of toll credits which is something we have only been doing for the last year. When this project started they weren't available. We didn't use toll credits then. Now we do. So it's a difference in what

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

we're approving as funding.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Toll credits were used longer than a year. They have been coming to this Committee for a year because the Legislature passed the law instituting oversight of the toll credits. Before DOT would do this as a matter of course.

REP. WEYLER: But when this project started, I don't think they were available.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That could be.

REP. WEYLER: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yep, thank you.

SEN. BOUTIN: Just one further.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yes, Senator Boutin.

SEN. BOUTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. My only question is what sort of struck me odd is that when I read through the Memorandum of Agreement, I understand from review that there was no place in there was there any place the City was involved nor was there any signature line for the City. So this was the State, the State of New Hampshire, State of Maine, and the Federal Government reaching an agreement. That's what my concern is.

MR. CASS: Hm-hum.

SEN. BOUTIN: Now I trust that you have talked to the City?

MR. CASS: Yes.

SEN. BOUTIN: And I trust based on what Senator Stiles

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

says that they're okay. But these kinds of things that are not recorded have a tendency to come back and bite us.

MR. CASS: Hm-hum.

SEN. BOUTIN: So.

MR. CASS: Sure.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you. So are we clear on the motion? Motion is to accept --

SEN. STILES: Approve conditional.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Approve conditional upon a letter of agreement by the City of Portsmouth. All those in favor say aye? Opposed? Motion is adopted.

***** {MOTION ADOPTED}**

MR. CASS: Thank you.

(4) Miscellaneous:

(5) Informational:

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Miscellaneous. Nothing informational. Department of Administrative Services. Mike, hi. Come on up. See you hiding back there. You want to go over this for us, please?

MICHAEL CONNOR, Deputy Commissioner, Department of Administrative Services: Sure. Actually, you should have a copy of the Capital Budget that you folks approved three or four months ago. We're required by law to provide you a schedule for all of those projects. That's what this is. We look at it as an opportunity to get some input from you as to what your priorities are. So if there's some projects

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

that don't quite -- understanding everybody wants to be first and we have got probably between 35 and 50 ongoing projects at any one time. We have about 103 million that we have to do. Be glad to move some of those along. I know, Senator Boutin, you have concern about the psychiatric beds and I'd be glad to move that. Something else will have to slide, but we can always move that up. So you have in front of you -- so I'm looking for -- you know, obviously, if you have some input we welcome that; but that's our proposed schedule that we've laid out for the next two years.

Some of the projects are delayed a little bit because the Adjutant General actually last March came in with \$8 million. So we had Capital Budget projects that we had queued up that we had to move and we are doing those now, because we had to take the \$8 million and get that out to bid within six months. So that's why some of these dates are pushed out a little bit because we are actually finishing last biennium's projects are actually out to bid now or under construction.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Senator Boutin.

SEN. BOUTIN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Mike. I wanted to bring to the attention -- to the attention of the Committee, I'm sure you're all aware that we have a very heightened public safety problem at Elliott Hospital. We've had an individual who was attacked in July, severely beat up by a patient. We just had another incident a week ago. And we had a retired State Trooper who was pummeled by one of the patients. So the problem is, put it very bluntly, we just don't have enough crisis beds in the State of New Hampshire. And we've added 10 of them in Franklin. We added 10 or 12 over at the --

MR. CONNOR: At the APS Building.

SEN. BOUTIN: At New Hampshire Hospital. And yet, we

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

still have a hospital that the beds are full every night. And so when I was reviewing this, Mr. Chairman, and I looked across and I saw a date -- completion date of 2016, I said that just is not acceptable. That needs to be moved up to, I would say, no later than the end of 2014, if not earlier.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Mr. Connor, what can be done to expedite that?

MR. CONNOR: We'd have to -- I'd have to take a look at the whole list. Kind of a domino effect. I was looking -- taking a quick look before. We'd have to take another project that's in an earlier time slot and swap them. I was looking really quick. I think I saw Legislative Office Building elevator upgrade that was scheduled to March of 2014. So that might be a -- I'd have to look at the whole list but we can certainly.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Would you mind looking at that seeing if you can rework that?

MR. CONNOR: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Come back in a month when we meet next so you can address Senator Boutin's --

MR. CONNOR: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Representative Weyler.

REP. WEYLER: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mike, on Page 2, Rockingham County Superior Court, replace the roof. I'm trying to remember when we dedicated that building? How long ago was it, 15, 18 years ago?

MR. CONNOR: I was going to guess somewhere around 18 years or so. We found out most recently through the

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

Capital Budget process that there was only a 15-year warranty and our warranty on the roof had expired. So we have some issues with that roof.

REP. WEYLER: After 15 years?

MR. CONNOR: Yes.

REP. WEYLER: You only get a 15-year warranty?

MR. CONNOR: On our new projects we're going for 30. In that particular project when it was done that's what we discovered.

REP. WEYLER: Okay. And there are leaks or something?

MR. CONNOR: I'm sorry?

REP. WEYLER: Are there leaks?

MR. CONNOR: Yes. We are dealing with those right now. It's not a catastrophic failure, but we are experiencing leaks and so that's why it was actually added during the Capital Budget process.

REP. WEYLER: Thought it should last longer. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Mr. Connor that was -- was that the roof that was just recently out of warranty?

MR. CONNOR: Yes. That was the one we spoke about during the Capital Budget process.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Senator Boutin.

SEN. BOUTIN: Thank you. Mike, I appreciate your willingness to work with us. There's a scheduled meeting

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

and I think it's in about a week or so. I know it's perhaps unfair. Can you give me -- do you think that within a week's time you might be able to give me some sense of how this might be able to be moved up so that when I meet with the hospital and other folks we can give them an idea of where we're moving --

MR. CONNOR: Yes.

SEN. BOUTIN: -- with this?

MR. CONNOR: Yes, I'll give you an updated schedule. There's some architectural and engineering items that have to take place first. So I'll give you the schedule for that and then move up the construction schedule so we can, certainly.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Why don't you give it to LBA and e-mail the whole Committee, that be good.

SEN. BOUTIN: Just another follow-up. Mike, would this be a project and would it make sense to be done on a design/build basis so you can expedite it?

MR. CONNOR: You could. I don't know enough about the details to be able to tell you that, but we would certainly look to be able to expedite it any way we could.

SEN. BOUTIN: I would suggest the person that you talk to over at the hospital is Richard Willgoose.

MR. CONNOR: Okay.

SEN. BOUTIN: W-I-L-L-G-O-O-S-E. Perhaps, you could talk to him.

MR. CONNOR: Be glad to.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

SEN. BOUTIN: Thank you.

MR. CONNOR: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yes, Representative Benn.

REP. BENN: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Mike, just out of curiosity, on those roofs when you have -- if you're going now for a 30-year --

MR. CONNOR: Yes.

REP. BENN: -- it's a bond you're getting? How do they work that? Because the quality of the roof isn't changing between the 15-year and the 30-year, I don't think. I think it's a question of how much they're willing to warrant and the kind of bond that goes along with the -- with the installation; is that correct or --

MR. CONNOR: It's not a bond per se. It's a warranty from the manufacturer. So if it's Soprema Roofing or whoever that manufacturer is, it's a manufacturer's warranty. In a lot of cases, like the EPDM, it's a thicker type EPDM. It might be 60 ml. or 90 ml. instead of 45. So with that comes a longer roof warranty and it's warrantied by the manufacturer.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: The manufacturer has a certified installer and if it's done by a certified installer, the manufacturer certifies the roof.

MR. CONNOR: Correct. During the roof installation process the manufacturer comes out at designated spots and says, yes, it's being done according to specs and, yes, I will warranty it, because part of the end of that close-out is getting that warranty from the roof manufacturer.

REP. BENN: But isn't it not true that you can buy

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

various lengths of warranty with the same roof?

MR. CONNOR: True. Kind of like an insurance policy, but in our case it's being designed.

REP. BENN: But I think the roof is virtually not much different.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Well, apparently, a 15-year roof they knew what they were talking about.

REP. BENN: Right. That's right. Then on this list, the dome on the -- I had thought that was going to be done this summer?

MR. CONNOR: Actually, it was the contractor had proposed to do it in two phases. He was proposing to actually go up and do some of the low-lying areas, and some of the wood preparation this fall and be done by Thanksgiving, and then start-up in March and then finish it next summer. He's basically come to the realization that it's really not worth his while to do that. The little bit of work that he was going to be able to accomplish, because we were going to make him take-down any staging anyways. So he basically committed to start-up, I think, it's March 1st and just get the project done. So right now we're going through the submittal phases and all that approval so you hit the road running in March and finish it by, I think, Halloween.

REP. BENN: Then follow-up, one more.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yes, please.

REP. BENN: You have a UA so you really don't know anything about the computer system in the Reps Hall and that's on the list.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

MR. CONNOR: Correct.

REP. BENN: That's being done or not?

MR. CONNOR: You'd have to refer to the Senate Chief of Staff. I think during the Capital Budget process he had said he was going to take on two projects. It was the new election system and also some software, I think, that were combined. So they're not going through Bureau of Public Works.

REP. BENN: I see.

MR. CONNOR: I couldn't tell you where that's at.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you. Representative Weyler.

REP. WEYLER: Last question was bullnose repair on the Coos County Courthouse. I thought that was just a molding, \$240,000. Last page. No, it's a page where it says Page 1. Down the bottom.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That's because it was leaking through the bullnose, isn't it?

MR. CONNOR: Yeah, the water is working its way back into the bullnose so we have to go back and actually take out the grouting and make repairs there so the water won't work back into the structure.

REP. WEYLER: This is up on the roof?

MR. CONNOR: It's a bullnose that's on the side of the building. It's kind of like a bullnose that comes out. It's a decorative type of --

REP. WEYLER: I thought it was like one of these moldings that runs along the wall.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

MR. CONNOR: Yeah, I guess on the outside of the building.

REP. WEYLER: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: These pages here that Mr. Connor of Administrative Services provided us is kind of the heart of what this Committee is supposed to be doing with overviewing the Capital Budget, make sure it stays on track. For those that didn't get a chance to look at it for this meeting, I would encourage members look at it for the following meeting. 'Cause I think we're going to put an agenda item on the next meeting to see if there's any other projects here that we feel are tardy or behind schedule. And I've also asked Mike Connor to, even though he prepared this, to recommend to us at the next meeting anybody -- any organization, any Department we should bring before us to find out where they're at just to keep things on-line.

What happens often is we get to the Capital Budget portion, you know, after the election we started Capital Budget, and we found out some of these projects have been neglected. If we can try to keep them on track, Administrative Services does and Public Works does I know, but if we can put a little heat on them as well maybe in some cases I think it would be helpful.

The other thing we are supposed to do by statute is supposed to review on a quarterly basis the prison. If you recall, that was part of the law we passed when we did it. Did you give us a schedule?

MICHAEL KANE, Deputy Legislative Budget Assistant, Office of Legislative Budget Assistant: I do have a schedule that I can hand out to the Committee on all the reporting requirements that currently exist.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: All right. We'll do that in just a minute. Any other questions for Mr. Connor regarding this document? And we'll bring this -- we'll talk about this again for next meeting. Seeing none.

MR. CONNOR: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Thank you. Asked Mike Kane to put together a list of the dates that we need to make -- Representative Eaton.

(Representative Eaton enters the Committee room.)

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: So these are the dates for agency reporting requirements that were put in the Capital Budget and it isn't just the Department of Corrections. You can see it's also the statutory ongoing one that's required by Administrative Services. And then we do one for Information Technology, and I'd forgotten about the Railroad Transit Authority.

SEN. BOUTIN: That's very interesting seeing that one, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Go ahead, Mike.

MR. KANE: Yes. For the record, Michael Kane, Deputy Legislative Budget Assistant for the Office of Legislative Budget Assistant.

Representative Campbell had asked me to put together a list of all the current reporting requirements that are supposed to be submitted to Capital Budget Overview Committee. Sorted it by agency, put down what those requirements are, certain statute and current chapter law of Capital Budget to see what we have.

Department of Administrative Services, RSA 17-J:4,

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

right now Department of Administrative Services will provide a summarized report on the status of all Capital Budget projects. That's not due until November 1st. They provide this update quarterly. They receive the information from the agency and provide a summarized list. In the past, the Committee's to receive individual reports, six different reports from the agencies. That was changed in statute a couple years ago.

Mike Connor just came in today and on our agenda is RSA 17-J:4 again at the bottom of that. This requires the Department to submit a timeline for a schedule of Capital Budget reports. That's due on October 1st, 90 days after the passage of the budget and Mike has submitted that with our Committee.

Department of Corrections, as Representative Campbell mentioned, the Capital Budget relative to the Women's Prison, they're required to report quarterly on the status of construction. Report dates are October 1st, January 1st, April 1st, and July 1st. We have not received anything yet. We'll be reaching out to the Department to remind them of this.

The Department of Information Technology, again, there's a Capital Budget item relative to the Business One-Stop. This is also due quarterly; October 1st, January 1st, April 1st, and July 1st. We'll be reaching out to remind them of this.

And then the last one New Hampshire Rail Transit Authority, RSA 238-A:12-a requires a semi-annual information report to the Capital Budget Overview Committee that's due on March 30th and September 30th. So we'll reach out to the Authority as well. These are currently the required reports that are supposed to be submitted to the Committee.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I think we ask then, if you would, have Department of Corrections and Information Technology on the November agenda. Put them on the agenda and tell them we'd like them to put in and report.

MR. KANE: Yes.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Then we can plug these on the agenda items and alert them on a regular basis.

MR. KANE: Okay.

SEN. BOUTIN: Could we add the Rail Authority in November as well, Mr. Chairman?

SEN. RAUSCH: It was due on the 30th of September.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Oh, of this year?

MR. KANE: Yes, every year. So September 30th.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Oh, yeah, sure. I thought that was next year. I'm sorry.

SEN. BOUTIN: I'm curious to see where they are.

MR. KANE: I can contact them as well.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: I misread that. Thank you.

Okay. So we'll do that for the next round. And, again, going through this, if anybody notices anything, next November -- I mean, we'll put an agenda item on just to discuss the Capital Budget schedule and if anybody has concerns we certainly can bring some of the people in or Mike Connor may be able to answer any questions you have at that time.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

With that being said, is there any other business before us today? Okay. Seeing none, I'll entertain a motion to --

** SEN. RAUSCH: Motion to adjourn.

SEN. LARSEN: Second.

REP. WEYLER: The next meeting date?

(6) Date of Next Meeting and Adjournment:

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Let's do that before we adjourn. Let's pick a date for the next meeting. Thank you, Representative Weyler. Approximately a month from now.

SEN. BOUTIN: Just not November 5th.

REP. CLOUTIER: That's an election day.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Go a little later in the month. Just give people a chance.

SEN. LARSEN: The 19th?

REP. WEYLER: We'll all be here on the 21st.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: That week. That's correct. The 19th is open. What do you think?

SEN. LARSEN: We don't know what day HHS or the Finance is going to have a hearing yet on the Special Session bill. But I would assume --

REP. BENN: The 12th of November.

SEN. LARSEN: You think it's the 12th?

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

REP. BENN: It is the 12th.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: We can meet in the afternoon on Thursday. I'm sure we won't go beyond noon that day. It's just procedural, isn't it?

SEN. BOUTIN: No, that's the 7th.

REP. CLOUTIER: The 7th.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Oh, the 21st is the full day. Yeah, yeah, yeah, that could be a long day. 19th has been suggested. We go into the next week is Thanksgiving week, stay away from that if we can. Wednesday. Tuesday, Wednesday, 19th, 20th?

REP. BENN: The 19th is not good for me. The Governor's going to be up in Lebanon giving her State of the State. I don't know why she's doing it up there but she is.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: State of Lebanon.

REP. WEYLER: If you had it in the morning on the 19th, it will work for me. I have to be somewhere in Massachusetts.

SEN. RAUSCH: I won't be available.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: 20th?

SEN. LARSEN: 20th we have a morning caucus.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Want to do it afternoon on the 20th?

SEN. RAUSCH: Do the afternoon on the 19th.

SEN. BOUTIN: 1 o'clock?

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

REP. BENN: 21st would be good if that's when --

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Representative Benn, you're not here the 19th? You want to do it the afternoon of the 20th?

REP. CLOUTIER: Fine with me.

SEN. LARSEN: We have a caucus.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: On the 20th you're saying you have a caucus?

SEN. LARSEN: In the morning, it's blocked off.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: What if we do like 1:30?

SEN. LARSEN: The afternoon is probably safe.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: 1:30 on the 20th?

SEN. RAUSCH: November 20th.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: Yes. What I'll do, I'll plan to do Long Range afterwards as we have been doing.

SEN. RAUSCH: At 1?

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: 1 or 1:30. It's up to you.

SEN. BOUTIN: One.

REP. CLOUTIER: One, okay.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: One o'clock.

REP. BENN: On the 20th.

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: One on the 20th.

REP. CLOUTIER: So Wednesday, November 20th, at 1:00 p.m.

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: We'll schedule Long Range after that, depending how many items we have.

All right. With that, we'll take the motion to adjourn. All those in favor say aye? Opposed?

*** {MOTION ADOPTED}

CHAIRMAN CAMPBELL: We are adjourned. Thank you.

(Adjourned at 10:38 a.m.)

CAPITAL BUDGET OVERVIEW COMMITTEE

October 22, 2013

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

I, Cecelia A. Trask, a Licensed Court Reporter-Shorthand, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript from my shorthand notes taken on said date to the best of my ability, skill, knowledge and judgment.

Cecelia A. Trask
Cecelia A. Trask, LSR, RMR, CRR
State of New Hampshire
License No. 47

