

Bill as Introduced

HB 379 – AS INTRODUCED

2009 SESSION

09-0699

01/03

HOUSE BILL **379**

AN ACT exempting certain meetings concerning collective bargaining from the right-to-know law.

SPONSORS: Rep. Cote, Hills 23; Rep. P. Price, Hills 26; Sen. Lasky, Dist 13

COMMITTEE: Judiciary

ANALYSIS

This bill allows a public body to go into nonpublic session regarding certain matters relating to collective bargaining by elected officials of a legislative body.

This bill is a request of the city of Nashua.

.....

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in *bold italics*.
Matter removed from current law appears [~~in brackets and struck through~~].
Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Nine

AN ACT exempting certain meetings concerning collective bargaining from the right-to-know law.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 1 New Subparagraph; Right-to-Know Law; Nonpublic Sessions. Amend RSA 91-A:3, II by
2 inserting after subparagraph (i) the following new subparagraph:

3 (j) Consideration of strategy or negotiations with respect to collective bargaining by
4 elected officials of a legislative body at any time prior to submission of the cost items of a collective
5 bargaining agreement.

6 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect January 1, 2010.

HB 379 – AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

13Jan2010... 2009-2390h

2009 SESSION

09-0699

01/03

HOUSE BILL **379**

AN ACT exempting certain meetings concerning collective bargaining from the right-to-know law.

SPONSORS: Rep. Cote, Hills 23; Rep. P. Price, Hills 26; Sen. Lasky, Dist 13

COMMITTEE: Judiciary

ANALYSIS

This bill allows a public body to go into nonpublic session regarding certain matters relating to collective bargaining by elected officials of a legislative body.

This bill is a request of the city of Nashua.

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in *bold italics*.
Matter removed from current law appears [~~in brackets and struck through~~].
Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

HB 379 – AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

13Jan2010... 2009-2390h

09-0699
01/03

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Nine

AN ACT exempting certain meetings concerning collective bargaining from the right-to-know law.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 1 New Subparagraph; Right-to-Know Law; Nonpublic Sessions. Amend RSA 91-A:3, II by
2 inserting after subparagraph (i) the following new subparagraph:

3 (j) Consideration of strategy or negotiations with respect to collective bargaining by
4 elected officials of a legislative body at any time prior to submission of the cost items of a collective
5 bargaining agreement.

6 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.

HB 379 – FINAL VERSION

13Jan2010... 2009-2390h
19May2010... 2102eba

2010 SESSION

09-0699
01/03

HOUSE BILL **379**

AN ACT exempting certain meetings concerning collective bargaining from the right-to-know law.

SPONSORS: Rep. Cote, Hills 23; Rep. P. Price, Hills 26; Sen. Lasky, Dist 13

COMMITTEE: Judiciary

ANALYSIS

This bill allows a public body to go into nonpublic session regarding certain matters relating to collective bargaining by elected officials of a legislative body.

This bill is a request of the city of Nashua.

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in *bold italics*.
Matter removed from current law appears ~~[in brackets and struck through]~~
Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

HB 379 – FINAL VERSION

13Jan2010... 2009-2390h
19May2010... 2102eba

09-0699
01/03

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Ten

AN ACT exempting certain meetings concerning collective bargaining from the right-to-know law.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

- 1 1 New Subparagraph; Right-to-Know Law; Nonpublic Sessions. Amend RSA 91-A:3, II by
2 inserting after subparagraph (i) the following new subparagraph:
3 (j) Consideration of strategy or negotiations with respect to collective bargaining by
4 elected officials of a legislative body at any time prior to submission of the cost items of a collective
5 bargaining agreement.
6 2 Contingency. If SB 425-FN of the 2010 legislative session becomes law, RSA 91-A:3, II(j) as
7 inserted by section 1 of this act shall be renumbered as RSA 91-A:3, II(k).
8 3 Effective Date.
9 I. Section 1 of this act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.
10 II. The remainder of this act shall take effect upon its passage.

Committee Minutes

ATTENDANCE

AMENDED

Printed: 04/29/2010 at 2:22 pm

**SENATE CALENDAR NOTICE
PUBLIC AND MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS**

- ✓ Senator Betsi DeVries Chairman
- ✓ Senator Matthew Houde V Chairman
- ✓ Senator Kathleen Sgambati
- ✓ Senator Sheila Roberge
- ✓ Senator John Barnes, Jr.

START : 8:49 AM
END : 9:04 AM

For Use by Senate Clerk's Office ONLY	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Bill Status
<input type="checkbox"/>	Docket
<input type="checkbox"/>	Calendar
Proof: <input type="checkbox"/>	Calendar <input type="checkbox"/>
	Bill Status

Date: April 29, 2010

HEARINGS

Thursday

5/6/2010

PUBLIC AND MUNICIPAL AFFAIRS

LOB 103

8:30 AM

(Name of Committee)

(Place)

(Time)

EXECUTIVE SESSION MAY FOLLOW

Comments: HB 1554 WAS RECESSED ON APRIL 29TH. IT WILL RECONVENE ON MAY 6TH.

- 8:30 AM HB1395 relative to workforce housing.
- 8:40 AM HB379 exempting certain meetings concerning collective bargaining from the right-to-know law.
- 8:50 AM HB53 relative to the definition of "public body" under the right-to-know law.
- 9:00 AM HB1554 allowing municipalities to establish energy efficiency and clean energy districts.

Sponsors:

HB1395

Rep. David Hess

Rep. Cynthia Dokmo

Rep. Peter Leishman

HB379

Rep. David Cote

Sen. Bette Lasky

Rep. Pamela Price

HB53

Rep. Kimberley Casey

Rep. James Garrity

HB1554

Rep. Beatriz Pastor

Rep. David Borden

Sen. Martha Fuller Clark

Rep. Frank Holden

Sen. Amanda Merrill

Sen. Matthew Houde

Rep. Robin Read

Rep. Laurence Rappaport

Sen. Deborah Reynolds

Public and Municipal Affairs Committee

Hearing Report

TO: Members of the Senate

FROM: Shannon Whitehead, *Legislative Aide*

RE: Hearing report on **HB 379- AN ACT** exempting certain meetings concerning collective bargaining from the right- to- know law

HEARING DATE: May 6, 2010

MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE PRESENT: Senator DeVries, Senator Houde, Senator Sgambati and Senator Barnes

MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ABSENT: Senator Roberge

Sponsor(s): Rep. Cote, Hills 23; Rep. Price, Hills 26; Sen. Dist 13

What the bill does: This bill allows a public body to go into nonpublic session regarding certain matters relating to collective bargaining by elected officials of a legislative body.

This bill is a request of the city of Nashua.

Who supports the bill: Senator Lasky, Donnalee Lozeau (Mayor, City of Nashua) Senator Peggy Gilmour, Dean Michener

Who opposes the bill: No one appeared in opposition

Summary of testimony received:

Senator Gilmour introduced the bill for Rep. Cote who was not present at the time of the hearing.

Donnalee Lozeau: Mayor, City of Nashua

- This legislation is a result of Mayor Lozeau's first term in office, where there were multiple union contracts that expired in 2006. One of the most painful contracts was with the teacher's contract. This bill addresses a situation which occurred in Nashua, which has the potential of occurring in other municipalities.
- The Employer Boards are all under state law. All contracts have to come to the Mayor for approval. Mayor Lozeau stated that she does not chair the Board of Aldermen
- Ms. Lozeau stated that participating in this issue was to mend some fences and find resolution
- There were many efforts to attain a contract, the Mayor met with school board negotiators and members of the Board of Aldermen to request direction as to what level of funding the Board of Aldermen would approve.
- This meeting in particular was subsequently held to have violated the public meeting requirement of the right-to-know law.
- There were cost item concerns and contracts coming back and forth, there was no trust at all that there would be an agreement.
- There was a final analysis in the end and the contracts agreed, but then sued by the Nashua Telegraph for the right to know law.
- We realized that we needed to change what we were doing or continue to violate law. But we were trying to do what was in the best interest of the community and just take the responsibility to talk amongst ourselves to get things done. In the interest of the union contracts, the City of Nashua lost
- By designating this type of meeting as a non-public session, the bill would require that the public has notice that this meeting is occurring, but allows the two boards to meet together to discuss strategy without revealing their bargaining position.
- Mayor Lozeau added that the public doesn't believe what they can't see.
- Senator Barnes wanted to note that the bill was well appreciated.
- Mayor Lozeau added that in the City of Nashua we have 15 unions. We are unique. This will keep a good balance.
- Senator Barnes asked if Mayor Lozeau heard from Rep. Garrity regarding this bill. Mayor Lozeau responded no, but the Rep. Richardson shared his concerns. It is not just conducive to good government; the court has ruled this as a problem.
- Senator DeVries asked about when in collective bargaining in submission does the cost items occur. Mayor Lozeau responded, in the end, and then introduced to the board and the 30 day turnaround, but there is not enough time.

- Senator Sgambati asked about the absence of this provision. Mayor Lozeau responded that negotiations in a public forum never end with a good result. They are contractible

Funding: *Not Applicable*

Future Action: The committee voted ought to pass 4-0. First motion made by Senator Barnes, second motion made by Senator DeVries. Senator Barnes will report the bill out.

DM

Date: May 6, 2010
Time: 8:49 AM
Room: LOB Room 103

The Senate Committee on Public and Municipal Affairs held a hearing on the following:

HB 379 exempting certain meetings concerning collective bargaining from the right-to-know law.

Members of Committee present: Senator DeVries
Senator Houde
Senator Sgambati
Senator Barnes

The Chair, Senator Betsi DeVries, opened the hearing on HB 379 and invited Senator Peggy Gilmour to introduce the legislation.

Senator Peggy Gilmour, D. 12: Thank you, Madam Chair, and members of the Committee. For the record, I'm State Senator Peggy Gilmour, representing Senate District 12, which is the towns of Brookline, Hollis, Mason and Wards 1,2,5 and 9 in Nashua. And I'm here to introduce HB 379, which would make certain meetings of, when there's collective bargaining involved, exempt from the Right-to-Know Law. I will close my testimony and I think you would better save questions when you hear from Mayor Donnalee Lozeau from Nashua, from whence the concern around the existing law came, and thus the genesis of this bill.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Senator, thank you very much, and we will save our questions for the Honorable Mayor Donnalee Lozeau. And we will bring you forward for your testimony now.

Mayor Donnalee Lozeau: Thank you, Madam Chairman.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Morning, Donna.

Mayor Lozeau: Good morning. Sitting here as an old House member going, "Sure, it's fine. Let the Senator..." Old habits, you know. I sit in this room and all these, you know...

I appreciate the Committee's time, and I'm not gonna jinx myself by saying just how simple this could be. This legislation is a result of my first term in

office coming in to a circumstance where we had multiple union contracts that had been expired since the year 2006. So I came into office in 2008, and one of the most painful ones at that time was the teachers' contract. And, unlike most communities, Nashua is set up in a structure that has a Mayor and a Board of Aldermen. We don't have a City Manager, so it's a fulltime job being the Mayor there, and sometimes more than fulltime.

And unlike other communities, our School Board, our Fire Commission, our Police Commission, our Library Trustees, are all considered under state law the Employer Boards for the purposes of negotiating contracts. The problem comes when all of those contracts then have to come to the Mayor and the Board of Aldermen for their approval.

Now, unlike Manchester, where the other strong Mayor is, I don't Chair the Board of Aldermen, so I have the opportunity to be in a bit of a different position sometimes when things like this come before us. So I had been participating to try to mend some fences in finding some resolution to this contract. And in doing that, found some success in having some agreement on cost items, so to speak, which is what the Mayor and the Board of Aldermen have authority to act on after a contract has been agreed by the Employer Board.

What happened was, because the contract had come back and forth so many times prior to my arrival, they had really no trust at all that this would be a completed agreement. And so they really wanted a sense of the Board of Aldermen. So what happened, which I was unaware of, was on a Saturday evening, the President of the School Board invited the President of the Board of Aldermen to invite his colleagues to a meeting that I was already attending on Sunday morning where we thought we might wrap something up on a handshake.

I found out Sunday morning about 8:00 a.m. when one of the Aldermen called me and said, "Mayor, I don't think that they can have this meeting. It's illegal. And I would like legal counsel to give us a ruling on whether that meeting can take place." I called legal counsel at home; expressed what was going on. His first response was, "I'm pretty sure that's illegal under the Right-to-Know Law." Now having been here many times during changes to the Right-to-Know Law, and having sat on the Judiciary Committee, I was pretty confident that he was right.

I arrived at the hotel because...Because I'm not part of that body, it's not a violation of the Right-to-Know Law for me to participate. But it certainly is for a quorum of that group. You can imagine the entertainment at the hotel

DM

when everybody arrived and the press came and the legal counsel's opinion was distributed. And everything, let's just say, happened.

In the final analysis, everybody, in spite of the problem, decided that the best thing to do was to have the meeting anyway. And so they did. In the end, we had a contract that was agreed to, in the final analysis. And then we were sued by the *Nashua Telegraph* for violating the Right-to-Know Law.

Now I, of course, want to ask, being how I am for those of you that know me, they said, "Do you think this is a violation of the Right-to-Know Law?" I said, "Yes, I do." So, what do you do when that happens? You change the law or you continue to violate the law if you think it's in the best interest of the community. I think it's in the best interest of the community for those responsible for making decisions, to have an opportunity to talk. And when it comes to union contracts, the Right-to-Know Law doesn't even speak to the Employer Boards. So they can have as many negotiation sessions with a full quorum and full attendance as often as they'd like. What violates that equation is when the other board comes in, because the law doesn't speak to that. In the court case, basically, we lost. And the court case said, "You know, we think that the law, you know, basically doesn't allow for that."

So when looking at that, I decided that I would bring legislation forward to make a very small change, which is what's before you in this bill. You'll notice that, unlike the Employer Boards, we didn't look to be a non-meeting. We looked to be put in the nonpublic session of the Right-to-Know Law—section—of the Right-to-Know Law. We did that because...then people have notice that it's happening, which I think is in the spirit of the Right-to-Know Law. Minutes will be taken, which I again think is in the spirit of the Right-to-Know Law. And at some point, people will know the results of that meeting. We've asked for this provision for that purpose.

Now, I still get beat about the head by the *Telegraph* because I talk a lot about transparency in government. I truly believe that you're doing the public's business and the public doesn't trust what they can't see. But I also know the practical realities of negotiating things like contracts, that can't be done in public, but needs some assurance from both groups that are responsible for the end result, makes good sense. And so I present that for your consideration today. It did take a little while to get it through the House. The only change in the House was the effective date and I would appreciate the support of the Committee. And I'd be happy to answer any questions.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Thank you, Mayor. And I have a question from Senator Barnes. We'll start there.

DM

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Yeah, thank you. Mayor, would you believe that I've been on the Right-to-Know Commission since its inception? I've never been able to shake it; it follows me around like a bad cold.

Mayor Lozeau: I would believe that.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: And all the years I've been on it, it must be a very unique situation for your city. And I think you sort of intimated that to start. Because I'd never heard anything about that come up during all of the meetings that I've attended. It's never been brought under the Right-to-Know Commission. So I appreciate your explanation of it.

Mayor Lozeau: You're welcome. And, Commissioner, with your hat on as Commissioner on the Right-to-Know; I think this very narrow exception is in keeping with the point of negotiations and the public's right to know. I think it strikes that right balance. And, we are unique. Nashua, like New Hampshire, is unique in many ways. Fifteen unions; some communities have that many. But not many. And that was separate Employer Boards.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Senator Barnes with a follow-up.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Yeah, Mayor. Maybe you don't have an answer to this. Maybe one of the Representatives...Are they still here, the Representatives?

Mayor Lozeau: No. Representative Cote, actually, the prime sponsor...

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Had a dental appointment and explained to me he could not be here today.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: But the question I wanted to ask you is...how the...Did you attend the hearings in the House?

Mayor Lozeau: I did.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Did Representative Garrity, the Chairman of the Right-to-Know-Commission, have anything to say?

Mayor Lozeau: Well, I have a list of some of the supporters, or non-supporters on the House side from my community. But, no, I was not aware of...

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: You didn't hear any testimony from Representative Garrity?

Mayor Lozeau: I did not. But I know that Representative Richardson, who's on the Judiciary Committee, was somewhat concerned, and others. There were some folks who might consider themselves "keeper" of the Right-to-Know Law that had concerns about it, to be perfectly frank with you. Which is again, why I tried to explain to them...My first year I tried to get something done during the end of the process around this time of the year in 2008, and acquiesced to trying not to push it along because of the Right-to-Know Commission, and giving them an opportunity to hear.

But it is not conducive to good government to allow a set up that we are aware is a problem. And the court has ruled that it's a problem. And to either say, "Okay, then, we're not gonna talk to each other;" or frankly, what I think sometimes happens, is that you just...people get around it. So the calls will be made to the Aldermen individually to try to find out, "Do we have enough of them?" And I don't think that's in the best interest either.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Mayor, thank you for your answer.

Mayor Lozeau: You're welcome.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Representative Garrity didn't speak while you were there?

Mayor Lozeau: Not while I was there, yes. Okay, I'm sorry.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: And thank you, Mayor. I'm just wondering for our testimony as well, if you would like to give us a little better idea about when, in the collective bargaining process, a submission of the cost items might actually occur?

Mayor Lozeau: The way that it's happened in Nashua, to my knowledge, is it happens at the end. The agreement has been reached between the Employer Board and the union, and then it's introduced to the Board. It's a 30-day turnaround required, and that, of course, often has a problem too, because there's not enough time to...

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Great. Any further questions from the Committee?

Senator Kathleen G. Sgambati, D. 4: I did have a question.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: I'm sorry, Senator Sgambati.

Senator Kathleen G. Sgambati, D. 4: In the absence of this provision, would those considerations happen in a public forum, or is it more from your experience the single phone calls?

DM

Mayor Lozeau: It won't happen.

Senator Kathleen G. Sgambati, D. 4: It's not gonna...

Mayor Lozeau: I think that you know, Senator, that negotiations that happen in a public forum never end in a good result. It makes sides very intractable. What happens in the public forum once the resolution is introduced for the cost items, you know, people will explain what their concerns are with those cost items and what those dollars are. But because they sometimes have not had the opportunity to participate in some of the discussion, they're...It's really not a clear picture of what they're voting on. And so that action takes place in public. But that understanding of where you're willing to go...I mean, we got to the point where it was like, "Well, you know..." with Nashua, with the spending cap and some of those other pressures, and the school budget, such as it is, it's "Well, you know, we could go maybe to \$600,000." And you know, if you have that in the public setting and you go back out, well...You know, you're gonna go to \$600,00. But the chance to be at \$575,000 is lost. It's that sort of thing that we're talking about.

Senator Kathleen G. Sgambati, D. 4: Thank you.

Mayor Lozeau: You're welcome.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Further questions from the Committee? Thank you, your Honor, for your testimony this morning.

Mayor Lozeau: Appreciate the time. You're welcome

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: I would note also that Senator Lasky has signed in in support, not wishing to speak. And I have nobody else who has asked to testify this morning. Was there anybody else wishing to speak? Senator Barnes, did you have a question before I close the hearing?

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Yeah, I have a question. I wish there was somebody from the legal end of things that could answer my question. And I don't think the folks that have spoken would be able to answer my question. Maybe they could. I do have a question, Madam Chair. Maybe I'll ask it of you. Maybe you have the answer to it.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: I am not an attorney.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: No, but you...

DM

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Would you ask that question at Exec Session or... as opposed to while we're still in the hearing being transcribed?

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: I think it's something the Committee probably should have an answer to now. If you want to do that in Exec Session, that's fine.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Do we have any legal expertise that might wish to step forward and offer? What is your question, Senator? We're all staring at Cordell Johnston, asking if he might wish to come forward. But Senator Barnes, what is your question and I'll see if I'm aware?

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Madam Chair, I'll ask you the question, so I'm sure Cordell is listening.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: I'm sure he is. I'm realizing this.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Sorry, I'm not facing you, Cordell.

Attorney Cordell Johnston: That's okay.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: I'll speak loudly. I have been, a number of times, union negotiator for the Board of Selectmen in town, and we've never had this right-to-know problem. We've always negotiated and it hasn't been open to the public. So I'm assuming that it goes on all over the state. I mean...

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Is this a "Would you believe"?

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Yeah, well...

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: I would believe that that might be the process that goes on all over the state.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Yeah, you must have that in Manchester. That's why I'm asking you, because I'm sure you've been involved with that type of thing in your city.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: I would believe that.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: So Nashua is definitely a distinct situation here with this piece of legislation.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: This piece of legislation, I believe, would be applicable throughout the state, not just in Nashua, once it's passed.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: But saying that, Raymond and other towns don't really need this because we're doing it anyway; having union agreements without the public being there.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Mayor, would you? Because I wasn't at the lawsuit that really tripped the need for the legislation and...

Mayor Lozeau: The issue is that the Board of Aldermen and the Mayor are not part of an Employer Board under the law; and therefore, are not covered by the Right-to-Know Law, that has this via non-meeting. What you do in Raymond doesn't even come under the Right-to-Know Law, because you're in union negotiations. It's not considered a meeting; it doesn't fall under any of that criteria.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Madam Mayor...

Mayor Lozeau: When you bring the other piece in, that's what...

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Thank you for straightening that out for me.

Mayor Lozeau: I'm here for you, Senator.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: I appreciate that. You've done that many times over the years and, once again, you've done it.

Mayor Lozeau: Well, you know. Nobody needs to know.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: And with that, Senator Barnes, I will close the hearing.

Hearing concluded at 9:04 A.M.

Respectfully submitted,



Debra A. Martone
Senate Committee Secretary

07/02/10

Speakers

Voting Sheets

Senate Public & Municipal Affairs Committee

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Bill # HB 379

Hearing date: 05/06/10

Executive session date: 05/06/10

Motion of: OTF

VOTE: 4-0

Made by DeVries
Senator: Houde
 Sgambati
 Roberge
 Barnes

Seconded DeVries
by Senator: Houde
 Sgambati
 Roberge
 Barnes

Reported DeVries
by Senator: Houde
 Sgambati
 Roberge
 Barnes

Motion of: _____

VOTE: _____

Made by DeVries
Senator: Houde
 Sgambati
 Roberge
 Barnes

Seconded DeVries
by Senator: Houde
 Sgambati
 Roberge
 Barnes

Reported DeVries
by Senator: Houde
 Sgambati
 Roberge
 Barnes

<u>Committee Member</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Reported out by</u>
Senator DeVries, Chairman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senator Houde, Vice-Chair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senator Sgambati	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senator Roberge	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senator Barnes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

*Amendments: _____

Notes: _____

Committee Report

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE

Date: May 6, 2010

THE COMMITTEE ON Public and Municipal Affairs

to which was referred House Bill 379

AN ACT exempting certain meetings concerning collective bargaining from the right-to-know law.

Having considered the same, the committee recommends that the Bill:

OUGHT TO PASS

BY A VOTE OF: 4-0

AMENDMENT # s

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr.
For the Committee

Debra Martone 271-3092

New Hampshire General Court - Bill Status System

Docket of HB379

Docket Abbreviations

Bill Title: exempting certain meetings concerning collective bargaining from the right-to-know law.*Official Docket of HB379:*

Date	Body	Description
01/08/2009	H	Introduced and Referred to Judiciary; HJ 12 , PG.225
01/21/2009	H	Public Hearing: 1/29/2009 2:00 PM LOB 208
02/23/2009	H	Subcommittee Work Session: 3/5/2009 12:00 PM LOB 208
03/10/2009	H	Executive Session: 3/17/2009 11:00 AM LOB 208 (Continued 3/18&19/09 11:00 AM LOB 208 If Needed)
03/18/2009	H	Retained in Committee
07/27/2009	H	Retained Bill - Subcommittee Work Session 9/15/2009 11:00 AM LOB 208
10/20/2009	H	Retained Bill - Subcommittee Work Session: 11/17/2009 9:45 AM LOB 208
10/21/2009	H	Retained Bill - Executive Session: 11/17/2009 10:00 AM LOB 208
11/20/2009	H	Majority Committee Report: Ought to Pass with Amendment #2390h for Jan 6 RC (vote 11-8); HC 2 , PG.96
11/20/2009	H	Proposed Majority Committee Amendment #2390h; HC 1 , PG.13
11/20/2009	H	Minority Committee Report: Inexpedient to Legislate; HC 2 , PG.96
01/06/2010	H	Special Ordered to Next Session Date in Regular Calendar Order; HJ 6 , PG.310
01/13/2010	H	Amendment #2390h Adopted, DIV 187-127; HJ 9 , PG.411
01/13/2010	H	Ought to Pass with Amendment #2390h: MA RC 199-141 ; HJ 9 , PG.410-413
03/24/2010	S	Introduced and Referred to Public and Municipal Affairs; SJ 11 , Pg.259
04/22/2010	S	Hearing: May 6, 2010, Room 103, LOB, 8:40 a.m.; SC17
05/06/2010	S	Committee Report: Ought to Pass, 5/12/10; SC19
05/12/2010	S	Ought to Pass, MA, VV; OT3rdg
05/12/2010	S	Passed by Third Reading Resolution
05/19/2010	S	Enrolled Bill Amendment #2102 Adopted
05/19/2010	H	Enrolled Bill Amendment #2102 Adopted; HJ 46 , PG.2244-2245
05/19/2010	H	Enrolled; HJ 46 , PG.2247
05/19/2010	S	Enrolled

NH House

NH Senate

Contact Us

New Hampshire General Court Information Systems
 107 North Main Street - State House Room 31, Concord NH 03301

Other Referrals

COMMITTEE REPORT FILE INVENTORY

HB 379 ORIGINAL REFERRAL

RE-REFERRAL

1. THIS INVENTORY IS TO BE SIGNED AND DATED BY THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY AND PLACED INSIDE THE FOLDER AS THE FIRST ITEM IN THE COMMITTEE FILE.
2. PLACE ALL DOCUMENTS IN THE FOLDER FOLLOWING THE INVENTORY IN THE ORDER LISTED.
3. THE DOCUMENTS WHICH HAVE AN "X" BESIDE THEM ARE CONFIRMED AS BEING IN THE FOLDER.
4. THE COMPLETED FILE IS THEN DELIVERED TO THE CALENDAR CLERK.

- DOCKET (Submit only the latest docket found in Bill Status)
- COMMITTEE REPORT
- CALENDAR NOTICE on which you have taken attendance
- HEARING REPORT (written summary of hearing testimony)
- HEARING TRANSCRIPT (verbatim transcript of hearing)
List attachments (testimony and submissions which are part of the transcript) by number [1 thru 4 or 1, 2, 3, 4] here: - 0 -
- SIGN-UP SHEET

ALL AMENDMENTS (passed or not) CONSIDERED BY COMMITTEE:

___ - AMENDMENT # _____ - AMENDMENT # _____
___ - AMENDMENT # _____ - AMENDMENT # _____

ALL AVAILABLE VERSIONS OF THE BILL:

AS INTRODUCED AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE
 FINAL VERSION ___ AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

___ **PREPARED TESTIMONY AND OTHER SUBMISSIONS (Which are not part of the transcript)**

List by letter [a thru g or a, b, c, d] here: _____

EXECUTIVE SESSION REPORT

___ **OTHER (Anything else deemed important but not listed above, such as amended fiscal notes):**

IF YOU HAVE A RE-REFERRED BILL, YOU ARE GOING TO MAKE UP A DUPLICATE FILE FOLDER

DATE DELIVERED TO SENATE CLERK

07/12/10

Debra A. Martore
COMMITTEE SECRETARY