

Bill as Introduced

HB 341 - AS INTRODUCED

2009 SESSION

09-0801
03/01

HOUSE BILL **341**

AN ACT relative to the date selected for the presidential primary election.

SPONSORS: Rep. Splaine, Rock 16

COMMITTEE: Election Law

ANALYSIS

This bill modifies the statute relating to the date for the presidential primary election.

.....

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in **bold italics**.
 Matter removed from current law appears [~~in brackets and struck through~~].
 Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Nine

AN ACT relative to the date selected for the presidential primary election.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

- 1 1 Election Dates; Presidential Primary Election. Amend RSA 653:9 to read as follows:
- 2 653:9 Presidential Primary Election. The presidential primary election shall be held on the
- 3 second Tuesday in March or on a date selected by the secretary of state which is 7 days or more
- 4 immediately preceding the date on which any other state shall hold a similar election, ***or holds a***
- 5 ***caucus or in the interpretation of the secretary of state holds any contest at which***
- 6 ***delegates are chosen for the national conventions***, whichever is earlier, of each year when a
- 7 president of the United States is to be elected or the year previous. Said primary shall be held in
- 8 connection with the regular March town meeting or election or, if held on any other day, at a special
- 9 election called by the secretary of state for that purpose. ***Any caucus of a state first held before***
- 10 ***1975 shall not be affected by this provision.***
- 11 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.

HB 341 - AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

13Jan2010... 2009-2524h

2009 SESSION

09-0801

03/01

HOUSE BILL

341

AN ACT

relative to the date selected for the presidential primary election.

SPONSORS:

Rep. Splaine, Rock 16

COMMITTEE:

Election Law

ANALYSIS

This bill modifies the statute relating to the date for the presidential primary election.

Explanation:

Matter added to current law appears in *bold italics*.

Matter removed from current law appears ~~[in brackets and struck through.]~~

Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

HB 341 – AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

13Jan2010... 2009-2524h

09-0801
03/01

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Nine

AN ACT relative to the date selected for the presidential primary election.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 1 Election Dates; Presidential Primary Election. Amend RSA 653:9 to read as follows:

2 653:9 Presidential Primary Election. The presidential primary election shall be held on the
3 second Tuesday in March or on a date selected by the secretary of state which is 7 days or more
4 immediately preceding the date on which any other state shall hold a similar election, whichever is
5 earlier, of each year when a president of the United States is to be elected or the year previous. Said
6 primary shall be held in connection with the regular March town meeting or election or, if held on
7 any other day, at a special election called by the secretary of state for that purpose. *The purpose of*
8 *this section is to protect the tradition of the New Hampshire first-in-the-nation presidential*
9 *primary.*

10 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.

CHAPTER 121
HB 341 - FINAL VERSION

13Jan2010... 2009-2524h

2010 SESSION

09-0801
03/01

HOUSE BILL **341**

AN ACT relative to the date selected for the presidential primary election.

SPONSORS: Rep. Splaine, Rock 16

COMMITTEE: Election Law

ANALYSIS

This bill modifies the statute relating to the date for the presidential primary election.

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in ***bold italics***.
 Matter removed from current law appears [~~in brackets and struck through.~~]
 Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

CHAPTER 121
HB 341 - FINAL VERSION

13Jan2010... 2009-2524h

09-0801
03/01

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Ten

AN ACT relative to the date selected for the presidential primary election.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

- 1 121:1 Election Dates; Presidential Primary Election. Amend RSA 653:9 to read as follows:
2 653:9 Presidential Primary Election. The presidential primary election shall be held on the
3 second Tuesday in March or on a date selected by the secretary of state which is 7 days or more
4 immediately preceding the date on which any other state shall hold a similar election, whichever is
5 earlier, of each year when a president of the United States is to be elected or the year previous. Said
6 primary shall be held in connection with the regular March town meeting or election or, if held on
7 any other day, at a special election called by the secretary of state for that purpose. ***The purpose of***
8 ***this section is to protect the tradition of the New Hampshire first-in-the-nation presidential***
9 ***primary.***
- 10 121:2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.
11 Approved: June 9, 2010
12 Effective Date: August 8, 2010

Committee Minutes

**SENATE CALENDAR NOTICE
ELECTION LAW AND VETERANS' AFFAIRS**

- ✓ Senator Bette Lasky Chairman
- ✓ Senator Matthew Houde V Chairman
- ✓ Senator Amanda Merrill
- ✓ Senator John Barnes, Jr.
- ✓ Senator Sharon Carson

For Use by Senate Clerk's Office ONLY	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Bill Status
<input type="checkbox"/>	Docket
<input type="checkbox"/>	Calendar
Proof: <input type="checkbox"/>	Calendar <input type="checkbox"/> Bill Status

Date: April 14, 2010

HEARINGS

Tuesday

4/20/2010

ELECTION LAW AND VETERANS' AFFAIRS

LOB 101

8:30 AM

(Name of Committee)

(Place)

(Time)

EXECUTIVE SESSION MAY FOLLOW

- 8:30 AM HB341
- 8:40 AM HB1476
- 9:00 AM HB1528
- 9:10 AM HB1529

- relative to the date selected for the presidential primary election.
- relative to periodic verification of the checklist.
- relative to observing voter check-in.
- relative to absentee voting.

Sponsors:

HB341

Rep. James Splaine

HB1476

Rep. David Bates

Rep. Timothy Horrigan

HB1528

Rep. David Pierce

HB1529

Rep. Robert Perry

Sen. Sharon Carson

Rep. Robert Perry

Sen. Matthew Houde

Rep. James Splaine

Rep. Richard Drisko

*Start 8:35
and 8:47*

Election Law and Veteran's Affairs

Hearing Report

TO: Members of the Senate

FROM: Shannon Whitehead, *Legislative Aide*

RE: Hearing report on **House Bill 341: AN ACT relative to the date selected for the presidential primary**

HEARING DATE: April 20, 2010

MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE PRESENT: Senator Lasky, Senator Houde, Senator Barnes, Senator Merrill and Senator Carson.

MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ABSENT: All members were present

Sponsor(s): Rep. Splaine Rock 16

What the bill does: This bill modifies the statute relating to the date for the presidential election.

Who supports the bill: Timothy Horrigan, Jim Splaine, David Scanlon (Deputy Secretary of State)

Who opposes the bill: No one appeared in opposition.

Summary of testimony received:

Rep. Splaine: Prime Sponsor

- It is the New Hampshire First-in-the-Nation Presidential Primary Law that appears in our statutes that protects our status.
- The law was first adopted in 1975 with numerous small changes, since that time we have needed to further protect our primary, as required our Secretary of State to move our date..... The presidential primary election shall be held on the second Tuesday in March or on a date selected by the secretary of state which is 7 days or more immediately preceding the date on which any other state shall hold a similar election, whichever is earlier, of each year when a president of the

United States is to be elected or the year previous. We pay for our primary; we can hold it whenever we wish.

- The wording was worked on with Secretary of States Office.
- It is recognized that we want to maintain first in the nation primary. Amending this was the sole purpose to protect the tradition of first in the nation and other state threats would be maintained. For example: what the state of Iowa hoped to endorse.
- Barnes: How long first in the nation presidential primary. The response was in 1975 but by default it in 1920.
- In the late 1960's and 70s there was a number of states that could pre-date NH.
- There were many pressures from other states and caucuses to change but with Bill Gardner's artistry, we have maintained and it has helped us to keep this position.
- Senator Barnes asked what if there is another guy out there trying to create the same legislation for their state? Rep. Splaine responded that it's the ability to the Secretary of State to put on an election. He has a great tool to keep us where we need to be.
- Rep. Splaine said this bill has been introduced about 4 times in 35 years.
- Rep. Splaine also wanted to add that Rep. Pierce and Rep. Jasper also added revisions to this bill.
- Rep. Splaine continued to say that Bill. Gardner (SOS) has used our law very well and has kept our tradition. No other state nor the political parties can dictate our time and date
- To make it even clearer to those who may challenge our primary in future years, the bill as amended, adds the sentence The purpose of this section is to protect the tradition of the New Hampshire First-in-the-Nation Presidential Primary.
- The word "tradition" is especially important at giving clear and specific direction to the secretary of state that we expect that in the setting of our primary date, the tradition of the past four decades will be followed.
- The House Election committee's vote was 15-0

Dave Scanlon: Deputy Secretary Of State

- Stood in full support.

Funding: Not applicable

Future Action: The committee voted ought to pass 5-0. First motion made by Senator Barnes. Second motion made by Senator Carson. Senator Lasky will report the bill out.

KC

Date: April 20, 2010
Time: 8:35 AM
Room: LOB 101

The Senate Committee on Election Law and Veterans' Affairs held a hearing on the following:

HB 341 relative to the date selected for the presidential primary election.

Members of Committee present: Senator Lasky
Senator Houde
Senator Merrill
Senator Barnes
Senator Carson

The Chair, Senator Bette Lasky, opened the hearing on HB 341 and invited the prime sponsor, Representative James Splaine, to introduce the legislation.

Representative James Splaine: Thank you very much, Madam Chair and members of the Committee. I know we're all depressed because of the recent record of the Red Sox, but this is a good bill.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: We are in mourning, so be careful.

Representative Splaine: I'm Jim Splaine, from Rockingham District 16, Portsmouth and Newington. This bill, which further amends the current state law to protect the New Hampshire first in the nation presidential primary, underwent quite a process in the past year plus. I introduced it over a year ago and I requested that the House Election Law Committee last year, last May, hold on to it so that we could continue thinking about exactly what the language should be and I worked with the Secretary of State's Office and members of the Committee, Republicans and Democrats. Shawn Jasper and Representatives Shawn Jasper and David Pierce, in particular, had some language improvements to offer and that all resulted in the language that you see today offered unanimously by the House Election Law Committee, which would add to our statute.

KC

And our statute, which I sponsored back in 1975, has been recognized as the way that New Hampshire maintains our first in the nation primary, because we said we're going to be one week ahead of any other state and no other state and no party has been able to figure out a way to get around that. Our statute would be amended with this, as you see in the bold, that the purpose of this section, which is the presidential primary section, statute section, is to protect the tradition of the New Hampshire first in the nation presidential primary.

The actual impact of that and the assist that this would offer to the Office of Secretary of State in 2012 and well beyond, is to make it clear that the precedence, which our current Secretary of State Bill Gardner has, through his artistry, been able to jump ahead of other states will be in force. And that means that the precedence that he set as to how to define, within the Office of Secretary of State, other states' threats would be maintained, because the tradition would be maintained.

For instance, we would make sure that we are not going to be second or third or fourth behind a major caucus like the attempt was in the 2008 cycle where the democratic party was trying to squeeze in Nevada and at one point squeeze in Michigan between Iowa and New Hampshire. You may remember that the Secretary of State was able to use our current law, by which he is required to make sure that we are at least seven days ahead of any other state with a similar election, as a means of discouraging Nevada from occurring and he did, and jumping ahead of Michigan if need be, and he did. So, we ended up being several days after Iowa maintaining the importance and relevance of our primary.

So, it's a simple bill, but it's a very meaningful one and I would hope that you will be able to endorse it. Thank you.

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: Questions? Senator Barnes?

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: I think you've done a great job on this. You and Bill have done a great job on this. How long have we had the first in the nation, in the '70s is when we first got that?

Representative Splaine: We've had the first in the nation presidential primary law since 1975; by default, New Hampshire had it since 1916. 1920 was our first primary, which was earlier than any other primary in the country. Through the late 1960s into the very early 1970s, you may remember, there were a number of states that started trying to figure out how they could predate New Hampshire and that's when I came in with the legislation in 1975, that first said we would be ahead of any other New

KC

England state, because there were a lot of efforts in '74 and '75 to have a New England regional primary. Teddy Kennedy wanted to run in 1976. So, we adopted that legislation and after that, when I was in the State Senate, we changed it just slightly so that we would be ahead of any other state.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Well, thanks, thanks for your effort on this. I guess my question is, what happens down the road when Republicans and Democrats get together, the powers to be, the big states and they... What is to prevent them from being able to do this? Does this mean that Bill could run this thing in August or in September or whenever? I mean, we were looking at Christmas day last time around, we thought we were going to be out in Christmas with jingle bells.

Representative Splaine: In 1999 in fact, I sponsored the bill that said that we could go into the previous year if we needed to. Up until then, the original statute had said, in the year during which the president is elected. By saying that we could go into the previous year was very important for 2008 as an example, because the Secretary of State and I and others said, well, New Hampshire will go if necessary into December, maybe even November. He made clear that that would be a threat. When he did that, he was able to dissuade the Democratic Party in particular in 2008, as well as Republican Party in other states from trying to play leap frog, because nobody wanted to go to December, certainly not Bill, certainly not New Hampshire, certainly not either of the parties. The Hillary, Barack Obama groups didn't want to see us go into December, so there was a lot of pressure, because of our law and Bill Gardner's artistry in using it, to encourage Michigan to back off and the Democrats forgot Nevada. It could happen, Senator, but I don't think it will, because of the threat of the New Hampshire primary law that we can do what we want to do. It's not a gift of the parties, we don't have to kowtow to the Democrats or Republican national committees. We decide when our date is going to be, because we pay for it. New Hampshire law says the Secretary of State has no choice, he/she, must put us in seven days or more ahead of any similar election.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Okay. One last question if I may, Madam Chair?

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: Sure.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: What happens if West Virginia, West Cupcake or whatever, their legislature has a guy like you and says, we'll fix New Hampshire we'll do the same damn thing?

KC

Representative Splaine: Well, that's been a threat all along. Again, it's because of the uniqueness of our law, in our tradition. I mean, we have had this tradition since 1920, it's the uniqueness of the law and it's the ability of the Secretary of State's Office in New Hampshire to be able to put on an election in very short time, a presidential election. He was able to set the date of January 8, 2008 for our primary this past cycle on the day before Thanksgiving in late November. There was no other state that was waiting 'til that long to try to leap frog or piggyback on New Hampshire. When he notices that there is another state that is considering to, considering having their primary on the same date and there have been attempts in the past or to move ahead of New Hampshire, he just picks up the phone and says, I'm going to wait as long as I need to, because I am required to do so under our state law until I set the primary date. And guess what? He's been able to win each and every of those battles, because he's had a great tool in the presidential primary law and he knows how to use it.

Senator John S. Barnes, Jr., D. 17: Bill deserves a lot of credit and you are sure to thank him for it.

Representative Splaine: He sure does.

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: Any other questions? Yes, Senator Houde?

Senator Matthew Houde, D. 5: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you, Representative Splaine. The bill that we're looking at is different than the bill as introduced in the House?

Representative Splaine: Yes.

Senator Matthew Houde, D. 5: Could you explain the evolution of that?

Representative Splaine: Yes. It went through. As I said earlier, I asked, I introduced this, as I do with a number of pieces of legislation, four times in the past thirty-five years without first going through the Secretary of State's Office, because he really has to be able to say that he's not, you know, his office is not grabbing authority on this, that the Legislature is giving it to him and we do indeed do that.

So, this year, actually in, this is ten, late to 2008 shortly after the election, I requested piece of legislation be drafted to create language that would again make it clear that the Secretary of State's Office has, not only have the obligation, but the authority to be able to move ahead of any other state that might hold caucuses or other kinds of events that would affect us.

K

During the deliberative process, the discussion process that I had then with the Secretary of State's Office, Dave Scanlan, Deputy, as well as Bill Gardner, the Secretary of State and with the Committee, it became clear that to get language exactly fine, it would take maybe a little longer, take a look at it from different points of view. That's why in last May, I suggested let's hold onto it and take a look at it further. I'm glad we did that, because earlier this year, when we revisited this issue, because it had been, well late last November when we revisited it, because it had been sent to retain status, that's when Representative Shawn Jasper and I mentioned earlier, David Pierce offered some suggestions of their own. We had a good discussion within the Committee and that resulted in, I think, the good language that you see today that says simply the purpose of this section is to protect the tradition of the New Hampshire first in the nation presidential primary.

It does the job, yet it does not obligate the Secretary of State's Office to definitely jump ahead of another caucus, although he's got that authority and, in order to protect our tradition, he will act and that's a mandate of the legislation.

Senator Matthew Houde, D. 5: Thank you.

Representative Splaine: Nice talking with you.

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: Representative, I have a question. On line four, it says, shall hold a similar election. Does that mean a caucus or not?

Representative Splaine: Shall hold a similar election is the mandate. That has been interpreted by the Secretary of State through his precedence as meaning a caucus or an election at which delegates are specifically elected. He could use the authority within this legislation, within the statute, to jump ahead of Iowa; he's not mandated to do that. By protecting the tradition of the New Hampshire primary, he is authorized to jump ahead of a caucus if it does, like Nevada was about to do, threaten our tradition, which is to be the second most important event after Iowa.

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: Okay, because I noticed in the language as introduced, it specifically says "or holds a caucus." So, you felt that wasn't necessary?

Representative Splaine: So that it may be necessary, that's what tradition does. I was and we all were concerned about the mandate of jumping ahead of a caucus by putting it into the statute. So, this gives him even more flexibility.

KC

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: Alienating Iowa?

Representative Splaine: Alienating Iowa and possibly creating some feeling that New Hampshire's somehow trying to be arrogant, because we're not. We've had the primary since 1920. The original law in 1975 did not address caucuses, because the caucus in Iowa had started in '72 and when I sponsored the original in '75, I was not interested in seeing us jump ahead of their tradition, which they had already begun. I did want to see us make sure that we wouldn't be further back in the pack and remember that there was a lot of discussion on the 2008 cycle that we could have been third, fourth or maybe even fifth among the important events behind Nevada, Michigan and maybe some others if we had allowed that to happen. 2012 or 2016 we could have been twelfth in the pack. So, Bill Gardner used our law very well. He made it clear we are not going to play these games, don't try to play them with us. We'll do what we need to do to maintain the tradition, which is we're second in line, have your caucus, which is never a real election anyway and let's have our primary, which is certainly important, and of course it is well recognized throughout the country.

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: Thank you. Thank you. We just want what's rightfully is ours.

Representative Splaine: Yes and nothing more.

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: And nothing less. Thank you.

Representative Splaine: Thank you.

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: Okay. Timothy Horrigan, Representative Horrigan, you are listed as in support, but not speaking. Correct? The Chair calls Mr. David Scanlan, Deputy Secretary of State. Good morning, Mr. Scanlan.

Deputy Secretary of State David Scanlan: Good morning. Thank you, Madam Chair and members of the Committee. I'm David Scanlan, Deputy Secretary of State. I'm here to let you know the Secretary of State is in full support of this effort. I really can't add anything more to what Representative Splaine has already said, but I would be happy to try to answer any questions.

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: Thank you. Anything further? Thank you. Thank you for being here and thank the Secretary for us.

KC

Is there anyone else that wishes to speak to House Bill 341? Seeing no one, I will close the hearing.

Hearing concluded 8:47 am

Respectfully submitted,



Kathryn Cummings
Senate Committee Secretary
July 27, 2010

Speakers

Testimony

Also enclosed with document
is a Compact Disc

Voting Sheets

Senate Election Law & Veterans' Affairs Committee

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Bill # AB 341

Hearing date: 4/20/10

Executive session date: 4/20/10

Motion of: OTP

VOTE: 5-0

Made by Lasky
Senator: Houde
 Merrill
 Carson
 Barnes

Seconded Lasky
by Senator: Houde
 Merrill
 Carson
 Barnes

Reported Lasky
by Senator: Houde
 Merrill
 Carson
 Barnes

Motion of: _____

VOTE: _____

Made by Lasky
Senator: Houde
 Merrill
 Carson
 Barnes

Seconded Lasky
by Senator: Houde
 Merrill
 Carson
 Barnes

Reported Lasky
by Senator: Houde
 Merrill
 Carson
 Barnes

<u>Committee Member</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Reported/out by</u>
Senator Lasky, Chairman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Senator Houde, Vice-Chair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senator Merrill	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senator Carson	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senator Barnes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

*Amendments: _____

Notes: _____

Committee Report

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE

Date: April 20, 2010

THE COMMITTEE ON Election Law and Veterans' Affairs
to which was referred House Bill 341

AN ACT relative to the date selected for the presidential primary
election.

Having considered the same, the committee recommends that the Bill:

OUGHT TO PASS

BY A VOTE OF: 5-0

AMENDMENT # s

Senator Bette R. Lasky
For the Committee

Kathy Cummings 271-3207

New Hampshire General Court - Bill Status System

Docket of HB341

Docket Abbreviations

Bill Title: relative to the date selected for the presidential primary election.*Official Docket of HB341:*

Date	Body	Description
01/08/2009	H	Introduced and Referred to Election Law; HJ 12 , PG.224
01/21/2009	H	Public Hearing: 2/3/2009 10:30 AM LOB 308
01/21/2009	H	==CANCELLED== Executive Session: 2/17/2009 10:00 AM LOB 308
01/29/2009	H	==ROOM CHANGE== Executive Session: 2/4/2009 1:00 PM LOB 308 (Orig LOB 101)
02/26/2009	H	Retained in Committee
09/29/2009	H	Retained Bill - Subcommittee Work Session: 10/20/2009 10:00 AM LOB 308 =DATE CHANGE (Orig 10/14/09)=
10/20/2009	H	Retained Bill - Subcommittee Work Session: 11/4/2009 10:00 AM LOB 308
10/21/2009	H	Retained Bill - Subcommittee Work Session: 11/17/2009 9:00 AM LOB 308
10/22/2009	H	Retained Bill - Executive Session: 11/17/2009 9:30 AM LOB 308
11/30/2009	H	Committee Report: Ought to Pass with Amendment #2524h for Jan 6 RC (vote 15-0); HC 2 , PG.93
11/30/2009	H	Proposed Committee Amendment #2524h; HC 1 , PG.11
01/06/2010	H	Special Ordered to Next Session Date in Regular Calendar Order; HJ 6 , PG.310
01/13/2010	H	Amendment #2524h Adopted, VV; HJ 9 , PG.385
01/13/2010	H	Ought to Pass with Amendment #2524h: MA VV; HJ 9 , PG.385
03/24/2010	S	Introduced and Referred to Election Law and Veterans' Affairs; SJ 11 , Pg.259
04/15/2010	S	Hearing: April 20, 2010, Room 101, LOB, 8:30 a.m.; SC16
04/21/2010	S	Committee Report: Ought to Pass 4/28/10; SC17
04/28/2010	S	Ought to Pass, MA, VV; OT3rdg; SJ 16 , Pg.345
04/28/2010	S	Passed by Third Reading Resolution; SJ 16 , Pg.353
05/12/2010	H	Enrolled; HJ 41 , PG.2097
05/12/2010	S	Enrolled; SJ 18 , Pg.504
06/15/2010	H	Signed by the Governor 06/09/2010; Effective 08/08/2010; Chapter 0121

NH House

NH Senate

Contact Us

New Hampshire General Court Information Systems
 107 North Main Street - State House Room 31, Concord NH 03301

Other Referrals

COMMITTEE REPORT FILE INVENTORY

HB344 ORIGINAL REFERRAL

RE-REFERRAL

1. THIS INVENTORY IS TO BE SIGNED AND DATED BY THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY AND PLACED INSIDE THE FOLDER AS THE FIRST ITEM IN THE COMMITTEE FILE.
2. PLACE ALL DOCUMENTS IN THE FOLDER FOLLOWING THE INVENTORY IN THE ORDER LISTED.
3. THE DOCUMENTS WHICH HAVE AN "X" BESIDE THEM ARE CONFIRMED AS BEING IN THE FOLDER.
4. THE COMPLETED FILE IS THEN DELIVERED TO THE CALENDAR CLERK.

DOCKET (Submit only the latest docket found in Bill Status)

COMMITTEE REPORT

CALENDAR NOTICE on which you have taken attendance

HEARING REPORT (written summary of hearing testimony)

HEARING TRANSCRIPT (verbatim transcript of hearing)

List attachments (testimony and submissions which are part of the transcript) by number [1 thru 4 or 1, 2, 3, 4] here: _____

SIGN-UP SHEET

ALL AMENDMENTS (passed or not) CONSIDERED BY COMMITTEE:

____ - AMENDMENT # _____ - AMENDMENT # _____
____ - AMENDMENT # _____ - AMENDMENT # _____

ALL AVAILABLE VERSIONS OF THE BILL:

AS INTRODUCED AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE
 FINAL VERSION _____ AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

PREPARED TESTIMONY AND OTHER SUBMISSIONS (Which are not part of the transcript)

List by letter [a thru g or a, b, c, d] here: A _____

EXECUTIVE SESSION REPORT

____ OTHER (Anything else deemed important but not listed above, such as amended fiscal notes):

IF YOU HAVE A RE-REFERRED BILL, YOU ARE GOING TO MAKE UP A DUPLICATE FILE FOLDER

DATE DELIVERED TO SENATE CLERK 9/18/10

William Cummings
COMMITTEE SECRETARY