### CHAPTER 219 SB 93-FN - FINAL VERSION

03/18/2021 0763s 06/24/2021 2055EBA

#### 2021 SESSION

 $21-0941 \\ 05/04$ 

SENATE BILL 93-FN

AN ACT relative to permanency planning under the child protection act.

SPONSORS: Sen. Carson, Dist 14; Sen. Avard, Dist 12; Sen. Bradley, Dist 3; Sen. D'Allesandro,

Dist 20; Sen. Soucy, Dist 18; Rep. Rice, Hills. 37

COMMITTEE: Judiciary

#### ANALYSIS

This bill clarifies that adoption rather than the termination of parental rights is a potential permanency plan objective; clarifies the timing of the 12-month permanency hearing; specifies other circumstances for when a subsequent permanency hearing may be conducted; allows for an earlier permanency hearing; provides for cases where the parents are in compliance but the unique needs of the child prevent reunification; and clarifies that the court can modify a permanency plan by agreement at a post-permanency hearing.

The bill is a request of the New Hampshire Model Court Protect and the legislative study committee established in 2019, 129:1 (HB 354).

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in **bold italics**.

Matter removed from current law appears [in brackets and struckthrough.]

Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

# CHAPTER 219 SB 93-FN - FINAL VERSION

 $\begin{array}{ll} 03/18/2021 & 0763s \\ 06/24/2021 & 2055EBA \end{array}$ 

21-0941 05/04

#### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Twenty One

AN ACT

relative to permanency planning under the child protection act.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1	219:1 Child Protection Act; Definition of Compelling Reason Added. Amend RSA 169-C:3, VII-a				
2	to read as follows:				
3	VII-a. "Compelling reason" for assessing permanency at an early permanency				
4	hearing includes circumstances where:				
5	(a) Both parents, or only one parent if the other parent is deceased or not				
6	identified, have made no effort or only negligible efforts to comply with the dispositional				
7	orders;				
8	(b) A ground exists for termination of parental rights for both parents, or for				
9	only one parent if the other parent is deceased or not identified, under one or more				
10	paragraphs of RSA 170-C:5; or				
11	(c) There is another compelling reason to assess the permanency plan of				
12	reunification earlier than the 12-month permanency hearing.				
13	VII-b. "Concurrent plan" means an alternate permanency plan in the event that a child				
14	cannot be safely reunified with his or her parents.				
15	219:2 Child Protection Act; Definition of Permanency Plan. Amend RSA 169-C:3, XXI-c to reac				
16	as follows:				
17	XXI-c. "Permanency plan" means a plan for a child in an out-of-home placement that is				
18	adopted by the court and provides for timely reunification, adoption through termination of				
19	parental rights or parental surrender [when an adoption is contemplated], guardianship with a fit				
20	and willing relative or another appropriate party, or another planned permanent living				
21	arrangement.				
22	219:3 Child Protection Act; Adjudicatory Hearing. Amend RSA 169-C:18, V-a to read as follows:				
23	V-a. Where an adjudicatory order includes a finding and provides for the out-of-home				
24	placement of a child, the order shall set a date for a permanency hearing that is [within] 12 months				

[ef] from the date of the [adjudicatory] finding pursuant to RSA 169-C:17 and/or RSA 169-C:18.

219:4 Child Protection Act; Permanency Hearings. RSA 169-C:24-b is repealed and reenacted to

27 read as follows:

25

26

28

29

169-C:24-b Permanency Hearings.

I. A permanency hearing may be scheduled as follows:

## CHAPTER 219 SB 93-FN - FINAL VERSION - Page 2 -

(a) For a child who has been in an out-of-home placement for 12 or more months, the court shall hold a permanency hearing 12 months from the finding pursuant to RSA 169-C:17 and/or RSA 169-C:18. For a child who enters an out-of-home placement subsequent to a finding pursuant to RSA 169-C:17 and/or RSA 169-C:18, the court shall hold a permanency hearing 12 months from the date the child enters the out-of-home placement.

- (b) If the court at the 12-month permanency hearing grants an extension pursuant to RSA 169-C:24-b, IV, the court shall hold a subsequent permanency hearing no later than 90 days from the 12-month permanency hearing.
- (c) If a termination of parental rights petition is withdrawn or dismissed, the court shall hold a subsequent permanency hearing no later than 90 days from the withdrawal or dismissal of the termination of parental rights petition.
- (d) If a child has been reunified at or following a permanency hearing, and is thereafter removed from parental care prior to closure of the RSA 169-C case, the court may hold a subsequent permanency hearing.
- (e) For a child in an out-of-home placement pursuant to RSA 169-C:24-b, V, the court may hold another permanency hearing upon request of any party at any time.
- (f) For a child in an out-of-home placement, at any time 14 days prior to the 6-month review hearing and before the 12-month permanency hearing, the department may request an early permanency hearing for the child. The court may schedule an early permanency hearing if the department alleges sufficient facts to satisfy the standard set forth in RSA 169-C:24-b, II(b).
- II.(a) At a permanency hearing pursuant to subparagraph I(a), (b), (c), (d), or (e), the court shall determine whether and, if applicable, when the child will be returned to the parent or parents, pursuant to RSA 169-C:23. Except as provided for in RSA 169-C:24-b, IV, if the standard for return pursuant to RSA 169-C:23 is not met, the court shall identify a permanency plan other than reunification for the child. Other options for a permanency plan include:
- (1) Adoption through termination of parental rights or parental surrender when an adoption is contemplated;
  - (2) Guardianship with a fit and willing relative or another appropriate party; or
  - (3) Another planned permanent living arrangement.
- (b) At an early permanency hearing pursuant to subparagraph I(f), the court shall determine whether the department has proven by clear and convincing evidence that both parents, or only one parent if the other parent is deceased or not identified, cannot currently satisfy the standard of return of the child under RSA 169-C:23 and would be highly unlikely to satisfy such standard at the time of a 12-month permanency hearing such that permanency should be assessed early, based on parents making no effort or only negligible efforts to comply with dispositional orders or based on another compelling reason. If the department does not satisfy its burden, the court shall

## CHAPTER 219 SB 93-FN - FINAL VERSION - Page 3 -

- hold, within 90 days, a periodic review hearing or the 12-month permanency hearing. If the department satisfies its burden, the court shall determine whether it is in the child's best interest to:
- 3 (1) Identify a permanency plan other than reunification for the child, as set forth in RSA 169-C:24-b, II(a), and hold a post-permanency hearing within 60 days; or
  - (2) Maintain reunification as the permanency plan, providing parents additional time to meet the requirements of RSA 169-C:23, and hold, within 90 days, another early permanency hearing or the 12-month permanency hearing.
    - III. At a permanency hearing the court shall determine whether the department has made reasonable efforts to finalize the permanency plan that is in effect. Where reunification is the permanency plan that is in effect, the court shall consider whether services to the family have been accessible, available, and appropriate.
    - IV. At a 12-month permanency hearing for both parents, or only one parent if the other parent is deceased or not identified, the court may grant one extension of time that shall not exceed 90 days, and hold a subsequent permanency hearing for both parents pursuant to RSA 169-C:24-b, I(b). Such extension may be granted if the court finds a parent to be in substantial compliance with the outstanding dispositional orders and if the parent establishes, by clear and convincing evidence, that:
  - (a) The parent is diligently working toward reunification, which is expected to occur within 90 days;
    - (b) It is probable the parent will be able to demonstrate, after the extension and at a subsequent permanency hearing held pursuant to RSA 169-C:24-b, I(b), that the parent has met the 3 requirements of RSA 169-C:23; and
      - (c) The extension is in the best interest of the child.
    - V. If the standard for return of the child pursuant to RSA 169-C:23 is met, but, due to the unique needs of the child, the child is not returned to the custody of the parent, the court may maintain reunification as the permanency plan, and the court shall provide a written explanation as to what circumstances warrant the continued out-of-home placement for the child. In such cases, the court shall schedule subsequent post-permanency hearings pursuant to RSA 169-C:24-c, I, until the child may be returned to the custody of the parent. Upon the request of any party at any time, based on a material change in circumstances, the court may schedule another permanency hearing at which the court may review, modify, and/or implement the permanency plan, or adopt the concurrent plan.
  - 219:5 Child Protection Act; Post-Permanency Hearings. Amend RSA 169-C:24-c to read as follows:
  - 169-C:24-c Post-Permanency Hearings.

I. For a child who is in an out-of-home placement following the *12-month* permanency hearing, the court shall hold [and complete] a post-permanency hearing within 12 months of the

### CHAPTER 219 SB 93-FN - FINAL VERSION - Page 4 -

- permanency hearing and every 12 months thereafter as long as the child remains in an out-of-home placement. The court may conduct periodic post-permanency hearings upon its motion or upon the request of any party at any time.
  - II. At a post-permanency hearing the court shall determine whether the department has made reasonable efforts to finalize the permanency plan that is in effect. Where reunification is the permanency plan that is in effect, the court shall consider whether the services to the family have been accessible, available, and appropriate.
- III. At a post-permanency hearing, the court may, upon agreement of the parties, modify the permanency plan. In such cases a permanency hearing is not required.
- 219:6 Grounds for Termination of the Parent-Child Relationship. Amend RSA 170-C:5, III to read as follows:
  - III. [The parents,] Subsequent to a finding of child neglect or abuse under RSA 169-C, *the parents* have failed to correct the conditions leading to such a finding within 12 months of the finding despite reasonable efforts under the direction of the [district] court to rectify the conditions.
  - III-a. Subsequent to a finding of child neglect or abuse under RSA 169-C, the parents have failed to correct the conditions leading to such a finding prior to an early permanency hearing held pursuant to RSA 169-C:24-b, II(b) at which the court changed the child's permanency plan, despite reasonable efforts under the direction of the court to rectify the conditions.
- 20 219:7 Effective Date. This act shall take effect January 1, 2022.

Approved: August 23, 2021

1

2

3

4

56

7

8

9

12

1314

1516

17

18

19

Effective Date: January 01, 2022