LEGISLATIVE PERFORMANCE AUDIT and OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

Legislative Office Building, Room 212 Concord, NH Wednesday, October 11, 2017

MEMBERS PRESENT:

Rep. Lynne Ober, Chair

Rep. Lucy Weber

Rep. Raymond Gagnon

Sen. John Reagan

Sen. Bob Giuda

(The meeting convened at 11:00 o'clock a.m.)

1. Acceptance of the June 29, 2017, meeting minutes

LYNNE OBER, State Representative, Hillsborough County, District 37 and Chairwoman: So, John is right. It is after 11, and I'm just sitting here watching the clock. I'm going to call the meeting to order. Are there any changes to the minutes? May I have a motion to approve?

** <u>LUCY WEBER</u>, State Representative, Cheshire County, District #01: So move.

JOHN REAGAN, State Senator, Senate District #17: Second.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: All in favor?

*** {MOTION ADOPTED}

2. Current status of ongoing and pending performance audits

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Status, please, gentlemen.

STEPHEN C. SMITH, Director, Audit Division, Office of Legislative Budget Assistant: Sure. Good morning, Madam Chair, Members of the Committee. For the record,

Steve Smith, Director of Audits for the LBA. Just very quickly go through the list there. The first two, Community College System of New Hampshire, Real Estate Commission, both of those, Community College in August and Real Estate just a couple weeks ago were presented to Fiscal Committee so those are all done. The ones that we're currently working on, the PDMP or Controlled Drug Prescription Health and Safety Program, the Committee approved that Scope Statement last meeting, if you recall. We're concluding field work and we're busy with observation and report writing. And we're targeting -- well, we have a statutory deadline of 12/31 for that. So we're hoping to wrap that up sometime in December.

The DoIT, we held an entrance meeting with them. The Scope Statement is before you for this meeting for your approval. We've begun planning and some initial field work, and we're targeting completion of this audit in February.

And the last one that's in our queue, the Air Resources Division, we have resumed work on that. We had another entrance meeting with them at the end of August and we're scoping that out, and we hope to present that to the Committee here probably next month, within a month have another meeting. And we're looking at March time frame in terms of completing that audit. So that is all of the -- an update on any audits that are ongoing.

Madam Chair, you asked about how many topics we would need. Given the fact that we will be meeting next month, you know, if Committee gives us any topics today, that's great, but you do have another month or so before we --

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: You need three or four more
topics?

MR. SMITH: Yeah, we wouldn't be beginning those until -- until the end of the year, the earliest.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Okay. I will say, Lucy, Real Estate Commission, the Chair of the Real Estate Commission while not refusing to concur with the audit, was very clear to Fiscal he didn't feel like they should make any changes ever and no one should ask them to.

SEN. REAGAN: Right.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Quite an interesting review at the Fiscal Committee. We have had agencies come and say we don't concur and here are the reasons why. But this time there was, well, we don't need to change that. Well, and I say but the audit says you do. Well, we like the way we do business. Okay. Representative Weber, you had your hand up first.

REP. WEBER: Yeah. I think -- oh, that actually ties in a little bit with what I was going to add to other business so I'll hold on to it for that. But I was going to say that I'm going to apologize. I have very much dropped the ball in polling my colleagues about new stuff because I thought we had rather a lot in the pipeline and suddenly the pipeline is cleared. So if we could, if somebody has a burning issue, I'm more than glad to take it up right now. But I'd love to have the time till next month to poll people about areas that they're concerned about.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Okay. Representative Gagnon.

RAYMOND GAGNON, State Representative, Sullivan

County, District #05: Thank you. Yeah, your comment, I

think -- I know the Real Estate Commission issue is a

very real concern to several members of our Committee,

ED&A. And when I saw this this morning or this weekend

and this morning I thought, well, hopefully, I'll be

able to talk to our Chair, because I think we would also

probably like to have a Committee meeting, maybe solely a work session focused on that. Because there were some real concerns by I think it's bi-partisan members of our Committee in regards to the Real Estate Committee. We sort of sense the same sentiment in our hearings that you expressed by the Commission itself. So that was a real concern. And I don't want to jump on your thing; but, I mean, if you read the -- the statement by the Chancellor of the Community College System, it's an excellent example of wordsmithing.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Hm-hum.

REP. GAGNON: And in a very nice way it's saying
don't bother us, we're doing fine. Those are my words.
So I'm really concerned about the tail wagging the dog.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Fiscal Committee doesn't hold public hearings per se. So I will also tell you that after the August meeting when the Community College audit was presented, and we accepted it, and we opened it for the public to go so everybody could see it, and our meeting adjourned, there were several staff members in the audience who came forward and spoke to us and said they really appreciate the fact the audit had been done. It was long overdue.

The Real Estate Commission has an issue that is not related to the OPLC in any way. Governor Sununu chose to appoint a Republican to fill a vacancy. Big surprise. And the sitting Democrat would have liked to have been reappointed and was not. And the Real Estate Commission is furious with both the Director and the Executive Director of the Office of Professional Licensure because they did not go testify in favor of the person they wanted to stay on the Committee. And they don't seem to understand that those people also work for the Governor, rightly or wrongly, and you have to, you know, so the real — they were doing pretty well until that appointment came out and there started to be camaraderie

and local realtors are getting their training faster, and we were starting to see, you know, really fast turnaround with re-licensure and things and then the appointment. So now to make it worse, the lady who was appointed or the realtor who was appointed, I think it was a female, and approved by the Executive Council after a couple months had to resign for a family reason. So now there are two vacancies that the Governor is going to fill. And this aggravation of not having somebody reappointed that they -- that the five-person Board wanted reappointed that the Governor chose not to reappoint is like a rock in your shoe. So there are a lot of things going on there.

But every Committee, policy committee is supposed to review some of the things related to them, and I'm sure that's what Lucy wants to talk about when we get to old business -- Other Business so --

REP. WEBER: Right.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: -- we will add that on. But I think I can mention to the Chair of ED&A to pass out to the Chairman of Education that these are out because both of these should be looked at.

REP. GAGNON: Yeah.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Now the Division II Chair deals with Community College System audit and did come to that. So the finance piece that looks at that has gotten copies and they have reviewed it. Real Estate Commission comes to Division I and I got copies for all the Division I members. So from a financial perspective, we are doing the follow-up on the ones here; but the other Committee should also be doing the same.

REP. WEBER: And maybe I just, because we're at that place in the discussion, if I may? I will say that when

I was Chair of ED&A I was aware that we were supposed to and there simply were not enough hours --

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Yep.

REP. WEBER: -- in the day.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Yep.

REP. WEBER: And so we didn't. And I know that for some of the committees, you know, Education would have the Education Department. We just got all the ones that weren't attached to anybody else.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Hm-hum, hm-hum.

REP. WEBER: And that's why I want to have a discussion on the follow-up because of all of these things I think we are all really operating off the same set of concerns.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Yeah. Senator Reagan.

SEN. REAGAN: So the Public Higher Education Study Committee has gone after the Community College audit and we got --

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: So we have some follow-up.

SEN. REAGAN: And we have tremendous follow-up. And I've also ordered them to keep bringing us the reports of as they correct measures and tell them, yeah, that's fine, but want to see what you've done. So I'll follow that right on through.

They think they have items that go through the end of next year. But they have a new -- I guess he's like their controller or business manager and they got him from the Chicago Community College System, and he's

seems to be on the ball. Talks a bit so he seems to know what he's doing.

REP. GAGNON: As it applies to the Community College audit, a lot of the inappropriateness seems to have happened in my community, Claremont, and River Valley Community College. I mean, we seem to have -- our past administration --

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: The poster child for how not to?

REP. GAGNON: Huh? Yeah, yeah.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Poster child for how not to?

REP. GAGNON: I mean, \$34,000 for a President's initiation or inauguration or whatever you call it, that was kind of surprising. You know, little frivolous things of hiring a poet. What? And nobody's watching this, you know. So, unfortunately, the fallout seems to be that no one's doing any community relations. No one's talking to any of the students. Some of the faculty have told me that the student body is saying, well, is Claremont going to close? I hear Claremont's closing. This is the rumor mill that Claremont Campus is going to close because both the Lebanon sub campus and the Keene sub campus are having financial problems, and Claremont is supposedly responsible to cover their debts. Now this is a rumor mill. And that they're going to be closed so they're all thinking, well, should we start looking for another school to go to? And I'm thinking --

SEN. REAGAN: No.

<u>REP. GAGNON</u>: -- no one's doing any damage control. No one's talking to the community. No one's talking to the students. So that's -- that's the fallout.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: There are multiple issues here and one of them is my favorite comic strip from years ago, Pogo, "We have met the enemy and he is us."

REP. GAGNON: Yeah.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: When we as a Legislature, and I was not here when this happened to the University, but I was when the Community College did it, when we decided to accede to their desire to be stand-alone entities, where we don't review their budgets line by line like we do the other agencies. If my budget's sitting in my office, and I can bring it out and I can tell you sitting in here hour after hour we go through every line item. How much you buy for office supplies and every AU. What your benefit for every AU. I mean, we go through that in here. It's why Finance meets so many hours in the three weeks we have the budget. We're here seven days a week doing that. Community College, University no longer has that.

You need to file legislation if you want more oversight of them to take that away. I mean, that's what it comes down to. Or you can give some of the authority to what Senator Reagan is doing; but, again, it depends who chairs that committee term after term as to how much oversight is applied. So right now we're getting what we need for the oversight. We have been hammering the University a little bit in here. Well, actually, Division II, but it happens in all of throughout Finance when they come talk to us about you need to put out more small tidbits about the good things you're doing and not wait till budget time and then whine because you're not getting this, because all the bad things get air play. \$20,000 for a table, \$6 million to build a swimming pool. You put lights in the football stadium, but you're going to raise your tuition. That doesn't play well. A million dollars for a score board for the football stadium. I mean, there's just a list that gets out there. You are your own worst enemy we said to them.

They are doing a little better. I saw a nice article yesterday in the *Union Leader* about the park they're going to use their land for and have workforce housing and mixed use and whatever, and they're trying to reach out and be a better community player. But those are your real options and I -- I -- Senator Reagan, at least for this one, is doing what we want the Legislature to do but it doesn't stop it.

REP. GAGNON: I think it's very hard to close a door once it's open. So maybe the answer is your solution might be the answer. Because, you know, it's hard to, okay, we're going to pull back. That's going to be tough.

SEN. REAGAN: My answer was to give both operations to both Board of Trustees and we don't ever deal with them again and rent them the name.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: Well, that might be an option anyway.

REP. GAGNON: It's just a real concern and I just see -- I mean, this is just a small snapshot of maybe the whole university. I mean, the woman -- the former president actually had medallions printed, metal medallions.

REP. WEBER: I know.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: But, Ray, we can't sell that and that's not really part of this Committee.

REP. GAGNON: No, no, I know but that's the
frustration.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: I know you're concerned about the follow-up and the number of hours, and we do what we

can. But that's where we are with the audit. So why don't we move to the scope statement.

3. <u>Discussion and approval of the proposed scope statement</u>
<u>for the Department of Information Technology performance</u>
audit

** <u>SEN. REAGAN</u>: I move the scope. I read the scope statement for DoIT.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: I did, too.

SEN. REAGAN: And I'm aware that security is an ever-present top priority. But Denis Goulet gave a pretty good explanation to us about how they operate the security function. So I'm not concerned that there wasn't more play on that in the scope statement.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: I mean, that's just one of the many things that they do. Did you say you moved to accept the scope statement?

SEN. REAGAN: Yes.

ROBERT J. "BOB" GIUDA, State Senator, Senate
District #02: Second.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Senator Giuda seconds, Senator Reagan moves to accept the scope statement. Are there questions? We all read it. I also read it. Are we okay?

REP. GAGNON: Yeah.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: All those in favor say aye? Your Scope Statement is accepted.

*** {MOTION ADOPTED}

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: Thank you for sending it out early. We do read what you send out even when we don't bring copies ourselves.

4. Other business

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Okay. That brings us to other business. You guys have any discussion of new audit topics that you want to put on the table? I am going to agree with Representative Weber that we wait a month so we can look, but I thought you submitted a paper.

REP. WEBER: Go for it.

SEN. REAGAN: This is the time.

REP. WEBER: But it's got to come from you. It
can't come from me.

SEN. REAGAN: I've got to live with them.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Are we going to audit the Senate?

REP. WEBER: No, no, no, no.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: What are you guys talking about?

REP. WEBER: Senator Reagan was reminding me of the troublesome issue that arose right after I had been appointed to this Committee and to chair this Committee and I was told that there was never any dissension, and we had the proposition of auditing the Police Training and Standards which became very contentious.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: I'm in favor of that.

 $\underline{\text{REP. WEBER}}$: And there are still people who are in favor of that.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: And there's more reason now because they are now in the budget getting General Fund dollars, whereas before their contention was we are privately funded.

REP. WEBER: Well, it would make Representative Hansen very, very happy is what I can say. But it will make other people equally unhappy. So I leave it to the wisdom of the Committee, but.

** <u>SEN. GUIDA</u>: I move that we add Police Standards and Training.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: I think we can just --

SEN. REAGAN: To the list.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: We are going to add that to the list by declaration. We want to bring back what was there before. I was on the Committee when Representative Weber was chairing. I supported that at the time. I support it now. I wasn't on Fiscal then but I am now, so.

REP. WEBER: There you go. Oh, go ahead.

SEN. GUIDA: Go ahead.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Senator Giuda.

SEN GIUDA: Ladies first.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: I guess we'll alternate back and forth. Senator Giuda, she has yielded the floor to you.

SEN. GUIDA: Thank you. I would like to propose a performance audit of the Water Division of DES. I understand there's an Air Division audit going; but I'm getting a lot of feedback that there aren't much in the way of problems of Air but there are terrific problems

LEGISLATIVE PERFORMANCE AUDIT AND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE

in the Water Division. And it carries through the way our citizens are being mistreated, businesses, et cetera, and so I make that proposal.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Gentlemen, could you add that on?
We will discuss.

MR. SMITH: Sure.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: I will say just so everybody knows HB 485 was retained here. It should have been retained in Resources, because they found out on February 22nd with a letter written by DES that they needed a Fiscal Note. The Representative chairing that subcommittee said I don't believe DES can pass this. It passed the House and came here. We have an amendment that we will be voting next week. We didn't vote it this week, because my colleagues Representative Lovejoy, Representative Hatch were in Washington D.C., and both were going to vote in favor; but I felt that they should be here. That will add a toxicologist to this division and research analyst to work into the PFOA and that will then come over to the Senate, Senator Giuda. So I hope it passes through the Senate. We do get that funding done. But I'm perfectly happy to add it on. I will tell you though there's some work going on.

SEN. GUIDA: Thank you.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: Any other topics you guys want to add at this time? But, admittedly, we have time to hit our colleagues and come back.

REP. WEBER: That would be very appreciated.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: No problem.

REP. WEBER: And I'm sorry I dropped the ball on that, because I rather thought that we had a longer list waiting.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Absolutely, no problem.

REP. WEBER: But you've been very efficient.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Okay. We have two to add now. We will be meeting next month so we will make a vote. What we'll do is we'll have them go over it next month. Then we'll each choose our top four and see where we are, and we'll give them four priorities to go forward. And we will add whatever we come up with. So we've added Water, we've added Police Training and Standards.

Alrighty. Then that brings us to Representative Weber who added follow-up to reports.

REP. WEBER: Well, it really does turn out to be exactly what you were talking about with what do you do when you get an agency who says fine, we're just going to wait them out and then they can pound sand.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Yeah.

REP. WEBER: It has to do with what happens when it is actually the job of follow-up for various House Committees, and it may be Senate Committees as well. I just don't know the Senate rules. They just don't have time to get to them. And as I was listening to Senator Reagan, I was pleased to hear that they're, you know, we have a multiplicity of commissions and oversight and this and that, some of which may be doing very good work in following up. So the question I was actually going to have which, of course, is yet another thing for other people who are busy, was to ask if there was a way of creating a very short follow-up a year after by the LBA. But it doesn't have to be that. It could be any one of these things. And as I'm listening to people I'm thinking perhaps what we need to do when we get the audits back, and of course they come back and they go to Fiscal. They don't come to us and get discussed here.

Perhaps maybe at the next meeting after they go to Fiscal we should have a little bit of discussion about who amongst us or what body or organization we think ought to just keep their eye on the ball for a little bit.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Senator Reagan.

SEN. REAGAN: Maybe that would be a procedure as Fiscal accepts the audit and they refer it to the respective House and Senate Committee.

REP. WEBER: It could also be, you know, I think there are a lot of different places that they could be referred, and you know, for example, if we had done one a different kind of audit on DCYF, there are plenty of entities currently dealing with DCYF, as opposed to certain other areas where the problem has been all along that really nobody has their eye on the ball and they're therefore landing with a thug somewhere and being ignored. But I just think we ought to think about that going forward as to the follow-up.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Next meeting could you guys check the RSA and see if we have to have a bill written to ask Fiscal to re-refer those back to us? I know that Fiscal releases them to the public, but I don't know if Fiscal has the authority to re-refer back to us. Could you guys -- Mike Kane probably knows.

MR. SMITH: Sure.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: We can recommend.

MR. SMITH: I know that there is the Governor's Executive Order a few years ago that agencies are supposed to be every six months or something, as I recall, providing the status as to where they are with -- with that. But I know and there was a -- DAS was overseeing that and was posted in the transparency

website. I know that last time I was out there there was a few audits where there was no -- nothing there for it in terms of, so.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: Could one of you e-mail the URL to me where they're supposed to be posted?

MR. SMITH: Sure.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: And then I will pass that on to DJ Bettencourt and say, listen, this was supposed to be being done. We have a new Governor, does he know? I was actually going to ask my Senate friends on this Committee today because you guys are still filing legislation, I believe.

SEN. REAGAN: Am I even here?

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: Yes, you're here. Hm-hum. Did you not notice you were sitting in the chair?

SEN. REAGAN: Go ahead.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: One of the things in the real estate license was that we don't just grant reciprocity for brokers. And the Real Estate Commission said, well, we do for a few. So they do it for their friends. And it was noted in that audit that we needed legislation to make that done all the time, and I would love to co-sponsor such legislation and had the House still been able to file legislation I would have filed that. But I wondered if one of you guys might look in that audit and make that. Because that just seems so reasonable that we do that for everybody, not just some brokers who are our friends. That was the biggest bone of contention in the Fiscal Committee was that recommendation. They didn't want it to be everybody. They wanted to be able to pick and choose. So would one of you --

SEN. REAGAN: I've just got too many things going on.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Bob.

SEN. GUIDA: I don't have enough things going on.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: Yeah, I know what your life is like so I hate to ask.

SEN. GUIDA: I'll take that on.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: I would co-sponsor with you. I would file it if we still could.

SEN. GUIDA: Sure.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: As it is I have to go to rules and ask for a late bill because two Commissioners couldn't get their act together in time.

REP. WEBER: I have to say, you know, my bad. I didn't know about the Executive Order and the six-month follow-up. But that was really exactly the kind of thing that I was thinking about. And, you know, even knowing that it's there we can all keep further eye on that.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Yes. If he could also share that URL with both caucuses and with both branches of the House and make sure various committees are looking at it.

5. Date of next meeting

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: When do you guys need us to come back? Date of the next meeting?

MR. SMITH: We had a --

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: I don't think I have everybody. **LEGISLATIVE PERFORMANCE AUDIT AND OVERSIGHT COMMITTEE**

JAY HENRY, Audit Supervisor, Audit Division, Office of Legislative Budget Assistant: The earliest would be --

 $\underline{\text{MR. SMITH}}$: Yeah, the earliest would be the week of October 30^{th} . That's a Monday. Or the following week. Then you start getting close to the holiday.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: I guess Armistice Day is November $11^{\rm th}$. So it'll probably be celebrated on Friday, November $10^{\rm th}$, would be my guess.

REP. WEBER: That's what my calendar says.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: Jay, could you e-mail all of us, because we have so few here.

MR. HENRY: Hm-hum.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: What's the day of the veto?

REP. WEBER: November 2nd.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Override. What time is that?

REP. WEBER: It's at 1 o'clock.

 $\underline{\text{CHAIRWOMAN OBER}}\colon$ Is the Senate meeting that same day, gentlemen?

SEN. REAGAN: Not yet.

SEN. GUIDA: I'm not aware of it.

REP. WEBER: I don't know what we would have in the
way of pre-made. There's only one veto message; is that
right?

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: Right, but I was thinking we could meet at 11.

REP. WEBER: I don't see why not.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: We'd all be here. Jay, why don't you e-mail that to all of us, because we are just the bare minimum. Then we can also check with our various caucuses and see about --

REP. WEBER: Right.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: -- caucus that date. But that's a possibility. We'd all be up here.

MR. HENRY: That's November 2nd?

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Yeah.

MR. HENRY: At 11.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: At 11, yeah. I mean, if we're going to meet at one, we don't want to be here at ten and then have this dead time until whatever.

REP. WEBER: The only issue would be if there's
caucuses.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: If there are caucuses. But I remember the Republicans usually do theirs at ten so I'd be free. I don't know about the Democrats. I'll have to ask as well, Lucy.

REP. WEBER: I'm remembering whether there was discussion about some continuing-ed program that might be happening on that day.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: That will give me a chance to check. So it may not work. But, if not, we will pick another day and we will come.

 $\underline{\text{REP. WEBER}}$: If staying afterwards is useful for everybody, I'd certainly be more than willing to do that.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Well, that's hard for the Senate though. If they're not meeting, they're hanging around, they don't have a time certain and I don't think that's respectful to them.

REP. WEBER: But don't you enjoy sitting up there in the balcony watching that?

SEN. REAGAN: You know, we wouldn't call -- if there's only one, we wouldn't call for anything till you guys overrode it.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Passed it, right, and I don't
think we will. That's my guess.

SEN. REAGAN: Yeah, the odds are against it.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: All right. So that may not work, but we'll try to do something that week, that week of the second, third, yeah. Let's do it and get it done. So maybe we can do it on Wednesday if we have continuing education on Thursday.

 $\underline{\texttt{MR. HENRY}} \colon \texttt{I'll}$ give the two choices of Wednesday or Thursday.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Yeah. That will give both of us a chance to check with our caucuses and these guys don't have anything scheduled so we won't be interfering with them, and we'll see if we can make that happen.

REP. GAGNON: 9 o'clock be too early?

SEN. GIUDA: Yes.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Yes.

REP. GAGNON: Okay.

 $\underline{\text{REP. WEBER}}$: If we're talking November 3^{rd} , I seem to be registered for a New Hampshire Insurance Department hearing.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: I go and swim two miles every morning. It takes me a while. It takes a while.

REP. WEBER: You swim two miles?

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: I do.

REP. WEBER: Wow!

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: It's so I can eat chocolate. I
have priorities.

SEN. GUIDA: I don't swim two miles and I still eat chocolate.

<u>CHAIRWOMAN OBER</u>: Well, I'm not thin like you, Senator Giuda. Cecile, don't put that in the minutes.

THE COURT REPORTER: You haven't closed yet.

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Senator Reagan moved to adjourn,
second by Senator Giuda. All in favor?

*** {MOTION ADOPTED}

CHAIRWOMAN OBER: Everyone, thanks for coming.

(The meeting adjourned at 11:31 a.m.)

CERTIFICATION

1, Cecelia A. Trask, a Licensed Court Reporter-Shorthand, do hereby certify that the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript from my shorthand notes taken on said date to the best of CECELIA CECELIA TRASK NO. 47

OF NEW HAM my ability, skill, knowledge and judgment.

Cecelia A. Trask, LSR, RMR, CRR

State of New Hampshire

License No. 47