

LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE MINUTES

# SB399

Bill as  
Introduced

SB 399-FN - AS INTRODUCED

2022 SESSION

22-2858

05/10

SENATE BILL            **399-FN**

AN ACT                repealing the fetal health protection act.

SPONSORS:            Sen. Rosenwald, Dist 13; Sen. Watters, Dist 4; Sen. Cavanaugh, Dist 16; Sen. D'Allesandro, Dist 20; Sen. Perkins Kwoka, Dist 21; Sen. Whitley, Dist 15; Sen. Sherman, Dist 24; Sen. Prentiss, Dist 5; Sen. Soucy, Dist 18; Sen. Kahn, Dist 10; Rep. M. Smith, Straf. 6; Rep. Simpson, Rock. 36; Rep. Amanda Bouldin, Hills. 12; Rep. Rogers, Merr. 28; Rep. Cushing, Rock. 21

COMMITTEE:          Judiciary

---

ANALYSIS

This bill repeals the fetal health protection act, which prohibits a health care provider from performing an abortion after 24 weeks except in the case of a medical emergency.

---

Explanation:          Matter added to current law appears in *bold italics*.  
Matter removed from current law appears ~~[in brackets and struck through.]~~  
Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

*In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Twenty Two*

AN ACT                   repealing the fetal health protection act.

*Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:*

- 1           1 Title. This act may be known and cited as the Reproductive Health Privacy Act.
- 2           2 Repeal. RSA 329:43 through 329:50, relative to the fetal health protection act, are repealed.
- 3           3 Effective Date. This act shall take effect upon its passage.



**SB 399-FN- FISCAL NOTE  
AS INTRODUCED**

AN ACT repealing the fetal health protection act.

FISCAL IMPACT:     State             County             Local             None

STATE:	Estimated Increase / (Decrease)			
	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
Appropriation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Expenditures	Indeterminable decrease	Indeterminable decrease	Indeterminable decrease	Indeterminable decrease
<i>Funding Source:</i>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General	<input type="checkbox"/> Education	<input type="checkbox"/> Highway	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

**COUNTY:**

Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Expenditures	Indeterminable decrease	Indeterminable decrease	Indeterminable decrease	Indeterminable decrease

**METHODOLOGY:**

This bill repeals RSA 329:43 through 329:50, relative to the fetal health protection act. Since the bill removes a felony offense from statute, it may decrease costs for the judicial and correctional systems. There is no method to determine how many fewer charges may be brought as a result of the changes contained in the bill. However, the entities impacted have provided the costs associated with felony cases below.

Judicial Council	FY 2022	FY 2023
Public Defender Program	Has contract with State to provide services.	Has contract with State to provide services.
Contract Attorney – Felony	\$825/Case	\$825/Case
Assigned Counsel – Felony. Homicide (Including capital cases)	\$100/Hour up to \$20,000	\$100/Hour up to \$20,000
Assigned Counsel – Felony	\$60/Hour up to \$4,100	\$60/Hour up to \$4,100
Assigned Counsel – Misdemeanor	\$60/Hour up to \$1,400	\$60/Hour up to \$1,400

It should be noted that a person needs to be found indigent and have the potential of being incarcerated to be eligible for indigent defense services. Historically, approximately 85% of the indigent defense caseload has been handled by the public defender program, with the remaining cases going to contract attorneys (14%) or assigned counsel (1%). Beginning in March of 2021, the public defender program has had to close intake to new cases due to excessive caseloads. Due to these closures, the contract and assigned counsel

program have had to absorb significantly more cases. The system is experiencing significant delays in appointing counsel and the costs of representation have increased due to travel time and multiple appointments.		
Department of Corrections	FY 2022	FY 2023
FY 2021 Average Cost of Incarcerating an Individual	\$54,386	\$54,386
FY 2021 Annual Marginal Cost of a General Population Inmate	\$5,715	\$5,715
FY 2021 Average Cost of Supervising an Individual on Parole/Probation	\$603	\$603
NH Association of Counties	FY 2022	FY 2023
County Prosecution Costs	Indeterminable	Indeterminable
Estimated Average Daily Cost of Incarcerating an Individual	\$105 to \$125	\$105 to \$125

With respect to the Judicial Branch, the fiscal impact is indeterminable. In the past the Judicial Branch has used averaged caseload data based on time studies to estimate the fiscal impact of proposed legislation. The per case data on costs for routine criminal cases currently available to the Judicial Branch are based on studies of judicial and clerical weighted caseload times for processing average routine criminal cases that are more than fifteen years old so the data does not have current validity. A new case study is being conducted and updated estimates will be available in the future.

**AGENCIES CONTACTED:**

Judicial Branch, Judicial Council, Department of Corrections and New Hampshire Association of Counties

SB 399-FN - AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

02/03/2022 0333s

2022 SESSION

22-2858

05/10

SENATE BILL **399-FN**

AN ACT relative to certain provisions of the fetal life protection act requiring an ultrasound examination.

SPONSORS: Sen. Rosenwald, Dist 13; Sen. Watters, Dist 4; Sen. Cavanaugh, Dist 16; Sen. D'Allesandro, Dist 20; Sen. Perkins Kwoka, Dist 21; Sen. Whitley, Dist 15; Sen. Sherman, Dist 24; Sen. Prentiss, Dist 5; Sen. Soucy, Dist 18; Sen. Kahn, Dist 10; Rep. M. Smith, Straf. 6; Rep. Simpson, Rock. 36; Rep. Amanda Bouldin, Hills. 12; Rep. Rogers, Merr. 28; Rep. Cushing, Rock. 21

COMMITTEE: Judiciary

---

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This bill construes the obstetric ultrasound examination requirement to determine probable gestational age prior to an abortion.

---

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in ***bold italics***.  
Matter removed from current law appears ~~in brackets and struckthrough.~~  
Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

*In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Twenty Two*

AN ACT relative to certain provisions of the fetal life protection act requiring an ultrasound examination.

*Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:*

1           1 Fetal Life Protection Act; Prohibitions; Ultrasound Examination Requirement. Amend RSA  
2 329:44, I to read as follows:

3           I. Except in the case of a medical emergency as specifically defined in paragraph III, no  
4 abortion shall be performed, induced, or attempted by any health care provider unless a health care  
5 provider has first made a determination of the probable gestational age of the fetus. In making such  
6 a determination, the health care provider shall make such inquiries of the pregnant woman and  
7 perform or cause to be performed all such medical examinations, imaging studies, and tests as a  
8 reasonably prudent health care provider in the community, knowledgeable about the medical facts  
9 and conditions of both the woman and the fetus involved, would consider necessary to perform and  
10 consider in making an accurate diagnosis with respect to gestational age, provided, however, that  
11 the health care provider shall conduct an obstetric ultrasound examination of the patient for the  
12 purpose of making the determination. *This subdivision shall be construed to require the*  
13 *performance of an ultrasound only if the provider either knows that the fetus has a*  
14 *gestational age of at least 24 weeks or is conscious of a substantial risk that the fetus has a*  
15 *gestational age of at least 24 weeks.*

16           2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect upon its passage.

**SB 399-FN- FISCAL NOTE  
AS INTRODUCED**

AN ACT repealing the fetal health protection act.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**     State             County             Local             None

STATE:	Estimated Increase / (Decrease)			
	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	FY 2025
<b>Appropriation</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Revenue</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Expenditures</b>	Indeterminable decrease	Indeterminable decrease	Indeterminable decrease	Indeterminable decrease
<b>Funding Source:</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General	<input type="checkbox"/> Education	<input type="checkbox"/> Highway	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

**COUNTY:**

<b>Revenue</b>	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
<b>Expenditures</b>	Indeterminable decrease	Indeterminable decrease	Indeterminable decrease	Indeterminable decrease

**METHODOLOGY:**

This bill repeals RSA 329:43 through 329:50, relative to the fetal health protection act. Since the bill removes a felony offense from statute, it may decrease costs for the judicial and correctional systems. There is no method to determine how many fewer charges may be brought as a result of the changes contained in the bill. However, the entities impacted have provided the costs associated with felony cases below.

Judicial Council	FY 2022	FY 2023
Public Defender Program	Has contract with State to provide services.	Has contract with State to provide services.
Contract Attorney – Felony	\$825/Case	\$825/Case
Assigned Counsel – Felony. Homicide (Including capital cases)	\$100/Hour up to \$20,000	\$100/Hour up to \$20,000
Assigned Counsel – Felony	\$60/Hour up to \$4,100	\$60/Hour up to \$4,100
Assigned Counsel – Misdemeanor	\$60/Hour up to \$1,400	\$60/Hour up to \$1,400

It should be noted that a person needs to be found indigent and have the potential of being incarcerated to be eligible for indigent defense services. Historically, approximately 85% of the indigent defense caseload has been handled by the public defender program, with the remaining cases going to contract attorneys (14%) or assigned counsel (1%). Beginning in March of 2021, the public defender program has had to close intake to new cases due to excessive caseloads. Due to these closures, the contract and assigned counsel

program have had to absorb significantly more cases. The system is experiencing significant delays in appointing counsel and the costs of representation have increased due to travel time and multiple appointments.		
Department of Corrections	FY 2022	FY 2023
FY 2021 Average Cost of Incarcerating an Individual	\$54,386	\$54,386
FY 2021 Annual Marginal Cost of a General Population Inmate	\$5,715	\$5,715
FY 2021 Average Cost of Supervising an Individual on Parole/Probation	\$603	\$603
NH Association of Counties	FY 2022	FY 2023
County Prosecution Costs	Indeterminable	Indeterminable
Estimated Average Daily Cost of Incarcerating an Individual	\$105 to \$125	\$105 to \$125

With respect to the Judicial Branch, the fiscal impact is indeterminable. In the past the Judicial Branch has used averaged caseload data based on time studies to estimate the fiscal impact of proposed legislation. The per case data on costs for routine criminal cases currently available to the Judicial Branch are based on studies of judicial and clerical weighted caseload times for processing average routine criminal cases that are more than fifteen years old so the data does not have current validity. A new case study is being conducted and updated estimates will be available in the future.

**AGENCIES CONTACTED:**

Judicial Branch, Judicial Council, Department of Corrections and New Hampshire Association of Counties

# Amendments

Sen. Bradley, Dist 3  
Sen. Carson, Dist 14  
Sen. Birdsell, Dist 19  
Sen. Morse, Dist 22  
January 25, 2022  
2022-0295s  
05/04

Amendment to SB 399-FN

1 Amend the title of the bill by replacing it with the following:

2

3 AN ACT relative to certain provisions of the fetal life protection act requiring an ultrasound  
4 examination.

5

6 Amend the bill by replacing all after the enacting clause with the following:

7

8 1 Fetal Life Protection Act; Prohibitions; Ultrasound Examination Requirement. Amend RSA  
9 329:44, I to read as follows:

10 I. Except in the case of a medical emergency as specifically defined in paragraph III, no  
11 abortion shall be performed, induced, or attempted by any health care provider unless a health care  
12 provider has first made a determination of the probable gestational age of the fetus. In making such  
13 a determination, the health care provider shall make such inquiries of the pregnant woman and  
14 perform or cause to be performed all such medical examinations, imaging studies, and tests as a  
15 reasonably prudent health care provider in the community, knowledgeable about the medical facts  
16 and conditions of both the woman and the fetus involved, would consider necessary to perform and  
17 consider in making an accurate diagnosis with respect to gestational age, provided, however, that  
18 the health care provider shall conduct an obstetric ultrasound examination of the patient for the  
19 purpose of making the determination. ***This subdivision shall be construed to require the***  
20 ***performance of an ultrasound only if the provider either knows that the fetus has a***  
21 ***gestational age of at least 24 weeks or is conscious of a substantial risk that the fetus has a***  
22 ***gestational age of at least 24 weeks.***

23 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect upon its passage.



2022-0295s

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This bill construes the obstetric ultrasound examination requirement to determine probable gestational age prior to an abortion.

---

UNAPPROVED

Amendment to SB 399-FN

1 Amend the title of the bill by replacing it with the following:

2

3 AN ACT relative to certain provisions of the fetal life protection act requiring an ultrasound  
4 examination.

5

6 Amend the bill by replacing all after the enacting clause with the following:

7

8 1 Fetal Life Protection Act; Prohibitions; Ultrasound Examination Requirement. Amend RSA  
9 329:44, I to read as follows:

10 I. Except in the case of a medical emergency as specifically defined in paragraph III, no  
11 abortion shall be performed, induced, or attempted by any health care provider unless a health care  
12 provider has first made a determination of the probable gestational age of the fetus. In making such  
13 a determination, the health care provider shall make such inquiries of the pregnant woman and  
14 perform or cause to be performed all such medical examinations, imaging studies, and tests as a  
15 reasonably prudent health care provider in the community, knowledgeable about the medical facts  
16 and conditions of both the woman and the fetus involved, would consider necessary to perform and  
17 consider in making an accurate diagnosis with respect to gestational age, provided, however, that  
18 the health care provider shall conduct an obstetric ultrasound examination of the patient for the  
19 purpose of making the determination. ***This subdivision shall be construed to require the***  
20 ***performance of an ultrasound only if the provider either knows that the fetus has a***  
21 ***gestational age of at least 24 weeks or is conscious of a substantial risk that the fetus has a***  
22 ***gestational age of at least 24 weeks.***

23 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect upon its passage.

**Amendment to SB 399-FN**  
**- Page 2 -**

2022-0333s

**AMENDED ANALYSIS**

This bill construes the obstetric ultrasound examination requirement to determine probable gestational age prior to an abortion.

Sen. Prentiss, Dist 5  
February 2, 2022  
2022-0440s  
05/10

Floor Amendment to SB 399-FN

1 Amend the title of the bill by replacing it with the following:

2

3 AN ACT repealing the criminal penalty and civil remedy for violation of the fetal life  
4 protection act.  
5

6 Amend the bill by replacing all after the enacting clause with the following:

7

8 1 Fetal Life Protect Act; Prohibition on Abortion Care; Gestational Age of Fetus. RSA 329:44, I  
9 and II are repealed and reenacted to read as follows:

10 I. Except in the case of a medical emergency as specifically defined in paragraph III, no  
11 abortion shall be performed, induced, or attempted by any health care provider unless a health care  
12 provider has first made a determination of the probable gestational age of the fetus.

13 II. Except in a medical emergency as specifically defined in paragraph III, no health care  
14 provider shall knowingly perform, induce, or attempt to perform an abortion upon a pregnant  
15 woman when the probable gestational age of her fetus has been determined to be at least 24 weeks.

16 2 Repeal. The following are repealed:

17 I. RSA 329:46, establishing a criminal penalty.

18 II. RSA 329:47, establishing a civil remedy.

19 III. RSA 329:48, relative to review by the board of medicine.

20 3 Effective Date. This act shall take effect upon its passage.

**Floor Amendment to SB 399-FN**  
**- Page 2 -**

2022-0440s

**AMENDED ANALYSIS**

This bill removes the ultrasound requirement for determination of the probable gestational age of a fetus. The bill also repeals the criminal penalty and civil remedy for violation of the act.

Sen. Whitley, Dist 15  
February 2, 2022  
2022-0444s  
05/10

Floor Amendment to SB 399-FN

1 Amend the title of the bill by replacing it with the following:

2

3 AN ACT relative to the scope of a medical emergency for purposes of the fetal life protection  
4 act.

5

6 Amend the bill by replacing all after the enacting clause with the following:

7

8 1 Fetal Life Protection Act; Medical Emergency. Amend RSA 329:44, III to read as follows:

9 III. For the purposes of this subdivision only, "medical emergency" means a condition in  
10 which an abortion is necessary to preserve the life of the pregnant woman whose life is endangered  
11 by a physical disorder, physical illness, or physical injury, including a life-endangering physical  
12 condition caused by or arising from the pregnancy itself, or when continuation of the pregnancy will  
13 create a serious risk of substantial and irreversible impairment of a major bodily function, as defined  
14 in RSA 329:43, V, of the pregnant woman. ***"Medical emergency" shall include a lethal fetal  
15 anomaly or if the fetus is incompatible with sustained life outside the uterus.***

16 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect upon its passage.

**Floor Amendment to SB 399-FN**  
**- Page 2 -**

2022-0444s

**AMENDED ANALYSIS**

This bill allow an abortion to be performed after 24 weeks gestation in the event of a fatal fetal anomaly.

Sen. Soucy, Dist 18  
February 2, 2022  
2022-0452s  
05/10

Floor Amendment to SB 399-FN

1 Amend the title of the bill by replacing it with the following:

2

3 AN ACT relative to the scope of the fetal life protection act.

4

5 Amend the bill by replacing all after the enacting clause with the following:

6

7 1 Fetal Life Protect Act; Prohibition on Abortion Care; Exception in Cases of Rape, Incest, or to  
8 Preserve the Life or Health of the Pregnant Woman. RSA 329:44 is repealed and reenacted to read  
9 as follows:

10 329:44 Prohibition.

11 I. Except in cases of rape, in cases of incest, or to preserve the life or health of the pregnant  
12 woman, no abortion shall be performed, induced, or attempted by any health care provider unless a  
13 health care provider has first made a determination of the probable gestational age of the fetus.

14 II. Except in cases of rape, in cases of incest, or to preserve the life or health of the pregnant  
15 woman, no health care provider shall knowingly perform, induce, or attempt to perform an abortion  
16 upon a pregnant woman when the probable gestational age of her fetus has been determined to be at  
17 least 24 weeks or in the absence of a determination by a health care provider pursuant to paragraph  
18 I as to the fetus' probable gestational age.

19 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect upon its passage.



**Floor Amendment to SB 399-FN**  
**- Page 2 -**

2022-0452s

**AMENDED ANALYSIS**

This bill allows an abortion to be performed after 24 weeks gestation in cases of rape or incest or to preserve the life or health of the pregnant woman.

Floor Amendment to SB 399-FN

1 Amend the title of the bill by replacing it with the following:

2

3 AN ACT relative to the scope of the fetal protection act.

4

5 Amend the bill by replacing all after the enacting clause with the following:

6

7 1 Fetal Life Protection Act; Prohibition. Amend RSA 329:44 to read as follows:

8

329:44 Prohibition.

9

10 I. Except ~~[in the case of]~~ **for pregnancy that results from felonious sexual assault, as**  
11 **defined in RSA 632-A:2 or RSA 632-A:3, incest, or fetal anomalies incompatible with life, or**  
12 a medical emergency as ~~[specifically]~~ defined in paragraph III, no abortion shall be performed,  
13 induced, or attempted by any health care provider unless a health care provider has first made a  
14 determination of the probable gestational age of the fetus. In making such a determination, the  
15 health care provider shall make such inquiries of the pregnant woman and perform or cause to be  
16 performed all such medical examinations, imaging studies, and tests as a reasonably prudent health  
17 care provider in the community, knowledgeable about the medical facts and conditions of both the  
18 woman and the fetus involved, would consider necessary to perform and consider in making an  
19 accurate diagnosis with respect to gestational age~~[- provided, however, that the health care provider~~  
20 ~~shall conduct an obstetric ultrasound examination of the patient for the purpose of making the~~  
~~determination].~~

21

22 II. Except in **the case of felonious sexual assault, as defined in RSA 632-A:2 or RSA**  
23 **632-A:3, incest, or fetal anomalies incompatible with life, or** a medical emergency as  
24 ~~[specifically]~~ defined in paragraph III, no health care provider shall knowingly perform, induce, or  
25 attempt to perform an abortion upon a pregnant woman when the probable gestational age of her  
26 fetus has been determined to be at least 24 weeks or in the absence of a determination by a health  
care provider pursuant to paragraph I as to the fetus' probable gestational age.

27

28 III. For the purposes of this subdivision only, "medical emergency" means a condition in  
29 which an abortion is necessary to preserve the **health or** life of the pregnant woman; **or** whose  
30 **health or** life is endangered by a physical disorder, physical illness, or physical injury, including a  
31 life-endangering physical condition caused by or arising from the pregnancy itself, or when  
32 continuation of the pregnancy will create a serious risk of substantial and irreversible impairment of  
a major bodily function, as defined in RSA 329:43, V, of the pregnant woman.

1           **IV. For the purpose of this subdivision only, "incest" shall mean sexual penetration**  
2 **by an individual of any age whom she knows to be her ancestor, descendant, or brother, of**  
3 **the whole or half blood, or uncle or nephew. The relationships referred to in this**  
4 **paragraph include blood relationships without regard to legitimacy, stepchildren, and**  
5 **relationships of parents and child by adoption.**

6           2 Reporting. Amend RSA 329:45 to read as follows:

7           329:45 Reporting.

8           ~~[I.]~~ Any health care provider who performs an abortion **due to a medical emergency** under  
9 this subdivision shall report, in writing, to the medical facility in which the abortion is performed the  
10 reason for the determination that a medical emergency existed. The health care provider's written  
11 report shall be included in a written report from the medical facility to the department of health and  
12 human services. **The report shall be exempt from disclosure pursuant to RSA 91-A.** If the  
13 abortion is not performed in a medical facility, the health care provider shall report, in writing, the  
14 reason for the determination that a medical emergency existed to the department of health and  
15 human services as part of the written report made by the health care provider to the department.  
16 The health care provider and the medical facility shall retain a copy of the written reports required  
17 under this section for not less than 5 years.

18           3 Health Care Data; Department of Health and Human Services; Reporting Requirement.  
19 Amend RSA 126:28 to read as follows:

20           126:28 Availability of Data.

21           **I.** Notwithstanding any other provision of law, data collected under RSA 126:25 shall be  
22 made available:

23           ~~[I.]~~ **(a)** To the public upon request, provided that individual patients or health care  
24 practitioners shall not be directly or indirectly identifiable.

25           ~~[II.]~~ **(b)** To individuals or entities for research, public health, or health care operations as  
26 defined by HIPAA, or any other individual or entity as allowable by law, demonstrating a legitimate  
27 need for such information, if such disclosure is consistent with all applicable HIPAA standards and  
28 approved by the commissioner, or designee, in accordance with rules adopted under RSA 126:27.  
29 Use of data disclosed shall not be for marketing or fundraising targeted to individuals except such  
30 use or disclosure shall be permissible for market analysis.

31           ~~[III.]~~ **(c)** To the insurance department, the department of justice, or any other state or  
32 federal agency, and any agency's contractors, for review of health care matters within the agency's  
33 respective jurisdictional authority. An agency or contractor receiving health care data under this  
34 section shall comply with all state and federal confidentiality, privacy, and security protections.

35           **II.** **Due to the state's interest in termination of pregnancy after 24 weeks gestational**  
36 **age pursuant to RSA 329:44-46, the commissioner of the department of health and human**  
37 **services shall publish an annual report relative to pregnancy terminations after 24 weeks,**

1 *commencing with data to be reported as of January 1, 2022, to be posted on the*  
2 *department's website not later than June 30 of the subsequent year. In preparing this*  
3 *report, the commissioner or his or her designee may utilize data relative to pregnancy*  
4 *terminations after 24 weeks collected from facilities pursuant to RSA 126:25, data relative*  
5 *to pregnancy terminations after 24 weeks available through the uniform healthcare*  
6 *facility discharge data set (UHFDDS), data contained in the healthcare common*  
7 *procedure coding system (HCPCS) codes S2265 thru S2267, or other resources that may be*  
8 *available relative to pregnancy terminations after 24 weeks, including statistical data*  
9 *from the department of insurance. The department shall publish aggregate results at the*  
10 *New Hampshire Health WISDOM system, and provide annual reporting to the National*  
11 *Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. No data shall be released by the department*  
12 *that may personally identify either the health care provider who performed an induced*  
13 *termination of pregnancy or the patient on whom it was performed.*

14 4 Effective Date. This act shall take effect upon its passage.

**Floor Amendment to SB 399-FN**  
**- Page 4 -**

2022-0472s

**AMENDED ANALYSIS**

This bill adds certain exceptions to the fetal life protection act and requires the department of health and human services to publish an annual statistical report of pregnancy terminations.

# Committee Minutes

**SENATE CALENDAR NOTICE**  
**Judiciary**

Sen Sharon Carson, Chair  
Sen Bill Gannon, Vice Chair  
Sen Harold French, Member  
Sen Rebecca Whitley, Member  
Sen Jay Kahn, Member

Date: January 6, 2022

**HEARINGS**

	Wednesday	01/19/2022
	(Day)	(Date)
Judiciary	State House 100	1:00 p.m.
(Name of Committee)	(Place)	(Time)
1:00 p.m.	<b>SB 399-FN</b> repealing the fetal health protection act.	
2:00 p.m.	<b>SB 436-FN</b> relative to access to abortion care.	

**EXECUTIVE SESSION MAY FOLLOW**

**Sponsors:**

**SB 399-FN**

Sen. Rosenwald	Sen. Watters	Sen. Cavanaugh	Sen. D'Allesandro
Sen. Perkins Kwoka	Sen. Whitley	Sen. Sherman	Sen. Prentiss
Sen. Soucy	Sen. Kahn	Rep. M. Smith	Rep. Simpson
Rep. Amanda Bouldin	Rep. Rogers	Rep. Cushing	

**SB 436-FN**

Sen. Perkins Kwoka	Sen. Rosenwald	Sen. D'Allesandro	Sen. Watters
Sen. Whitley	Sen. Soucy	Sen. Sherman	Sen. Cavanaugh
Sen. Kahn	Sen. Prentiss	Rep. Simpson	Rep. Knirk
Rep. Weber	Rep. Ebel	Rep. M. Murray	

Jennifer Horgan 271-7875

Sharon M Carson  
Chairman

**Senate Judiciary Committee**  
*Jennifer Horgan 271-7875*

**SB 399-FN**, repealing the fetal health protection act.

**Hearing Date:** January 19, 2022

**Time Opened:** 1:05 p.m.

**Time Closed:** 4:17 p.m.

**Members of the Committee Present:** Senators Carson, Gannon, French, Whitley and Kahn

**Members of the Committee Absent :** None

**Bill Analysis:** This bill repeals the fetal health protection act, which prohibits a health care provider from performing an abortion after 24 weeks except in the case of a medical emergency.

---

**Sponsors:**

Sen. Rosenwald

Sen. Watters

Sen. Cavanaugh

Sen. D'Allesandro

Sen. Perkins Kwoka

Sen. Whitley

Sen. Sherman

Sen. Prentiss

Sen. Soucy

Sen. Kahn

Rep. M. Smith

Rep. Simpson

Rep. Amanda Bouldin

Rep. Rogers

Rep. Cushing

---

**Who supports the bill:** 1786 people signed up in support of the bill. Full sign in sheet available upon request.

**Who opposes the bill:** 1076 people signed up in opposition to the bill. Full sign in sheet available upon request.

**Summary of testimony presented in support:**

**Senator Rosenwald**

- This bill repeals the 24-week abortion ban and the ultrasound mandate.
- Spoke to the COVID rates and how under normal circumstances medical professionals would be here to testify.
- As of just before 12pm 1662 people signed-in in support of the bill, which is 65% of the total sign-ins.
- NH places a high value on individual rights, but this support dries up every time we hear about a woman's right to make her personal, medical, reproductive choices.
- Polling from UNH in July shows that 2/3 of Granite Staters are opposed to the current abortion ban.



- 70% of Granite Staters are opposed to the ultrasound mandate.
  - What right does the government have to tell women what they can or cannot do with their bodies?
  - Shared an email from Rabbi Jon Spira-Savett, which said that as a Jewish leader he is in complete support of abortion rights. In the Jewish religion abortion is not murder and a fetus while sacred is not a person in the same legal sense. The current law amounts to a restriction on the freedom of religion for Jews and an impingement on his ability to counsel people who are experiencing really difficult times.
  - Believes this bill is about individual bodily autonomy.
  - The current ban does not carve out exceptions for rape or incest.
  - Has heard the argument that 24 weeks should be enough time to make that decision, but we need to remember that 41% of reported sexual assaults happen to individuals under the age of 18.
- 
- Almost 800 children were served by the NH crisis centers.
  - Not every sexual assault survivor has easy access to care.
  - The ban also does not include exceptions for fatal fetal anomalies.
  - This law tells a mother who has just discovered their baby will not survive that she is not capable or caring enough as a parent to make what she believes is the more loving choice between terrible choices.
  - During the initial hearing on HB625 we repeatedly heard testimony from medical professionals that every pregnancy is unique.
  - Only 1% of abortions take place after 21 weeks and those are often linked to an inability to diagnose all fatal fetal anomalies earlier in pregnancy.
  - Criminalizing doctors will only exacerbate the health care worker shortage in NH.
  - The ban includes mandatory ultrasounds to determine gestational age even when a woman has just learned she is pregnant and a ultrasound is unnecessary.
  - For a sexual assault survivor, this means she may feel that her body will be unnecessarily violated again.
  - Under the current law women are forced to face the financial barriers of co-pays and deductibles for care she neither needs nor wants.
  - Spoke to the unique experience women have with pregnancy.
  - Let's not confuse the choice to have an abortion later in pregnancy as a choice of convenience.
  - Senator Whitley asked what makes NH's 24-week ban different than other state's 24-week abortion bans.
    - Ours has limited exemptions and other states have exemptions.
  - Senator Gannon asked if Roe v. Wade says that at 24-weeks the state has a compelling interest.
    - 24 weeks doesn't always mean viability and it is moving target. There is no test for viability. 24 weeks is an arbitrary ban. If you were told at 24

weeks the fetus is not going to survive you would not be able to obtain an abortion.

- Senator Gannon asked when she considers an unborn child to be a person.
  - Even doctors don't know when life begins. Tries not to second guess medical professionals.
- Senator Gannon asked if there is a cut off ever.
  - To suggest that abortions are done up to the moment of birth is inflammatory and not based in the reality of medical practice. Any physician will say that is not how abortion care works. The ban does take away options from women who find out devastating news later in pregnancy.

### **Senator Soucy**

- Three family planning centers in NH have had their family planning contracts rejected three times by the Executive Council despite the Governor's requests and the fact that the Department of Health and Human Services has proven that no state dollars are used to fund abortion care.
- The Governor sent a letter to the House to support HB1609 which would enact exemptions for rape, incest, fetal anomalies incompatible with life, and the health and life of the mother and removing the mandatory ultrasound requirements.
- Shared parts of the Governor's letter.
- Instead of making these changes, the House Committee stripped the bill and removed everything except repealing the mandatory ultrasound requirement.
- Spoke to the other bills that have been filed including prohibiting abortion after the detection of a heartbeat, allowing biological fathers of an unborn child to petition the court, and more.
- Right now, 12,000 low-income Granite Staters have had their preventive healthcare access jeopardized, NH has told its doctors they now could be criminalized for doing their jobs, and more restrictive abortion bans are potentially coming down from the House.
- NH prides itself on the lowest teen pregnancy rates and unintended pregnancy rates in the country, and strong fetal and maternal health outcomes.
- Senator Whitley asked who this is really jeopardizing access for.
  - It is jeopardizing access for a number of women, particularly lower income women. It is jeopardizing their access to other services including access to birth control, cancer screenings, and other services because we are jeopardizing the ability of these entities to provide the care that they are accustomed to.

### **Senator Sherman**

- This is one of the most restrictive abortion bans in the country.
- Repeal is needed because the statute criminalizes the clinically appropriate care of patients, undermines the viability of the OB-GYN services particularly the fetal medicine program at Dartmouth-Hitchcock, it forces women to undergo

often unnecessary ultrasounds, it lacks needed exceptions for the health of the mothers and fetuses in the third trimester, and violates long standing provision of not legislating clinical care.

- The ultrasound may include an invasive internal ultrasound.
- This is an unprecedented invasion into the doctor patient relationship.
- Senator Kahn shared that the Committee has received letters from physicians who are fearful that the climate in the state is not going to be conducive to retaining or attracting medical professionals.
  - Has heard that concern from many people. NH already has challenges attracting physicians, and we have lost many OB services especially in the North Country. NH has the number two program in the country in maternal fetal health. Medical professionals are used to working under the threat of malpractice, but they are not used to following their code and then facing a felony charge. Cannot imagine doing everything you can and determining that a therapeutic termination is the right choice with that patient, and even if you meet the letter of the law, you are still facing the threat of a felony level prosecution. The neighboring states are happy to take our highly trained, highly skilled practitioners from us.
- Senator French asked how this is one of the most restrictive laws in the country.
  - Not saying the 24-weeks is the most restrictive, it is the ultrasound requirements, criminalization, and lack of exemptions for rape and incest.
- Senator French asked if he would be in agreement in with just having 24 weeks alone.
  - No because we are saying the government knows better than a woman and her doctor. NH people will defend 'stay out of my exam room'. Firm believer that this is an individual decision between a woman and her provider, and the state has no role to play in it.
- Senator Gannon asked if the Roe finding said the state has a compelling interest at 24 weeks.
  - That is your interpretation of Roe. What has happened with the current statute has gone well beyond Roe. So, one's interpretation of Roe doesn't really matter in this case.
- Senator Gannon asked at what point an unborn child is consider a person.
  - That is a clinical medical decision. Every single pregnancy is different. That is a very important individual decision, and it is not his place to make that decision.
- Senator Whitley asked if he would be surprised that she has heard from medical professionals that viability is different in every pregnancy.
  - Would agree with that. The more we learn about the process of being pregnant, understanding all the issues and as the science grows, the ability to make these determinations changes.

**Senator Prentiss**

- Shared her experience coming to NH and working at Dartmouth Medical School on a rural health outreach project.
- Spoke to how she came here to address the lack of primary care providers in the 90s.
- Starting in the mid-2000s saw the beginning of birthing centers closing mostly then in the North Country.
- Worked on try to address those maternity deserts, one big issue was the staffing problems.
- NH has lost 10 labor and delivery units in the last 20 years.
- This is no longer a rural problem.
- The criminalization of obstetrical care providers that passed last year will continue to impact staffing challenges.
- Has heard from providers at Dartmouth Hitchcock that criminalizing care is going to make it more difficult to recruit and retain OB-GYN doctors in the state.
- Specialty providers like neonatologists will face the same questions and concerns when investigating NH as a place to practice maternal/fetal medicine.
- Healthcare must be grounded in best practices and medical evidence, not politics.
- Senator Kahn asked if we are creating an irresponsible timeframe in terms of the evaluation of fetal fatal anomalies when doctors are saying they are diagnosing them at 21 weeks.
  - We are. We are creating distance between the time of diagnosis, having access to consultation, and making decisions between a family and their medical care provider.

**Representative Toll (provided written testimony)**

- NH's ban and ultrasound requirement are an affront to Granite Staters who value freedom, gender justice, the sanctity of the patient provider relationship, healthcare access, and civil liberties.
- Shared her experience of having an abortion and how that let her live the life she wanted, including going to school, opening a business, having children when she was ready, and serving in the House.
- Abortion care is an intersectional issue and people of all backgrounds access abortion care.
- Legal barriers to abortions will not stop abortions, they will only keep disenfranchised individuals from accessing the care they need, sometimes with deadly results.
- We should be striving to expand access to care, not to limit it.
- Abortion is healthcare and healthcare should be a basic human right.

**Honorable Matthew Houde and Dr. Ilana Cass (Dartmouth Hitchcock)**

- Dartmouth Hitchcock opposed the Fetal Life Protection Act last session for several reasons, including the ultrasound requirement, the lacks exceptions for

rape, incest, and fatal fetal anomalies, and the imposition of criminal penalties on providers.

- Dr. Cass is the Chair of the OB-GYN Department at Dartmouth Hitchcock.
- This legislation does not better serve NH's constituency rather, it imperials lives and equal access to excellent healthcare.
- A woman and her partner seek a termination later in their pregnancy under great duress and for truly dire circumstances.
- When a pregnancy threatens the mental or physical health of the mother, or the fetus has significant congenital lethal anomalies a woman and her partner turn to doctors.
- As of January 1, 2022, many of these women will be turned away from the care we are fully capable of providing here in NH.
- These women will be forced to travel great distances away from the support of their communities and families and incur additional expense thereby compounding the inequity of care for most marginalized women.
- Women who are turned away from having a late term termination are more likely to have higher dropout rates from school or college and have higher risks in the pregnancy, including death.
- The current law sends a doctor to jail for providing this procedure.
- The law of the land effectively substitutes medical judgment for the authority of legislators over that of highly trained obstetrician and neonatologists.
- The current law will steadily erode access to care in the future for women and families by deterring the brightest and most qualified obstetricians and neonatal providers from practicing in NH.
- Senator Whitley stated that earlier it was said that women just wake up on the wrong side of the bed and decide to terminate a pregnancy. Asked if women take the decision to terminate a pregnancy lightly.
  - Dr. Cass answered they absolutely do not. Why would a woman seek the termination of a pregnancy at this last gestational stage? Why would she have delayed until that point? In some scenarios, as the pregnancy progresses it threatens the woman's life. In some cases, the patient has been noted to have a lethal congenital anomaly that was not recognized due to a lack of access to care or delays in care. In some cases, the pregnancy has not been definitively diagnosed to have anomalies until well after 24 weeks. In cases of rape and incest the patient is facing oppressive psychological trauma, and she may not be able to get away to get the care that she needs. This is not a decision women take lightly, and these women are absolutely in distress. We want to be able to provide care to these women.
- Senator Gannon said that they have heard that there are very few late term abortions in NH. Asked how many will be turned away.
  - Dr. Cass answered that with respect to quantifying the number of individuals who seek a termination of pregnancy after 24 weeks, it would

appear that that is a small number. Since COVID we have seen an increase in the problems with access to care. The many she referred to are those women that seek a termination of pregnancy after 24 weeks, we will simply now be unable to care for those women.

- Senator Gannon asked if the current law has affected applications to her pool.
  - Dr. Cass answered that the provision of criminalizing providers for this procedure is a fairly significant deterrent to the practice of obstetrics. The mandate for ultrasounds as part of any termination procedure is a deterrent from what we have heard from applicants to their program. The medical training is being cast aside in favor of what legislators decide is the appropriate care.
- Senator Kahn asked how many students will graduate from the medical school with a specialization in OB-GYN.
  - Dr. Cass responded that they have approximately 90 graduates every year and generally about 10-15% pursue obstetrics.
- Senator Kahn asked of that 10-15% how many will seek a residency in NH.
  - Dr. Cass answered that the way the process works is a little bit of probability. More than half will apply to Dartmouth Hitchcock's residency program. Historically it has been about half.
- Senator Kahn asked if NH is going to be able to attract those residents to continue to practice in NH.
  - Dr. Cass replied that the stance on family planning and providing abortions certainly is relevant in the selection of those individuals. It is a very relevant decision for those 90 students who choose to come to Dartmouth for their medical school training because in medical school they are already exposed to the full range of obstetrics and gynecology practice. The law is influencing the careers, training, and exposure of the 90 students. The impact of criminalizing certain procedures and mandating certain tests as determined by legislation as opposed to best data and practice has an impact on the medical community as a whole.
- Senator Kahn asked if the current law is poisoning the well.
  - Dr. Cass answered that is correct.
- Senator Kahn asked how long it takes to get that first appointment with a specialty provider.
  - Dr. Cass responded that Dartmouth Hitchcock tries to accommodate those certain circumstances as quickly as possible within a week. In the past 10 years access to obstetrical care is increasingly rare and difficult. There are already entire swaths of the state in populous and less populous areas where it is already difficult to get access to a healthcare provider. If the state then puts some of those providers in jail or starts to limit the way they practice medicine, fears that that will set in motion a state where we will have fewer and fewer OB-GYN doctors practicing in the state, thereby further limiting the access, and compounding the problem.

- Senator Kahn asked where she would advise a person at 24 weeks to get further care.
  - Dr. Cass answered at this point, given the current statute, we can speak to providers in MA and VT and select other places in the Union where that patient could be cared for.
- Senator Gannon asked if NH is already picking up more doctors from around the country by virtue of Dartmouth Hitchcock attracting students to the state.
  - Dr. Cass replied that the math does get complicated. The most common predictor of where a person will go into practice is the state they get their training.
- Senator Carson asked if she has read that lower birth rates and outrageous malpractice insurance have a big impact on whether an individual goes into the OB-GYN specialty.
  - Dr. Cass answered that the trainees she receives have already chosen to pursue the OB-GYN field. Not a single one ever brings up malpractice or decreasing birth rates, so does not think those are significant drivers. In looking at Texas they are having trouble recruiting OB-GYN faculty, due to the incredibly restrictive legislation there. Does fear if NH continues on this path that we will struggle to recruit people.
- Senator Carson asked if she is in contact with her colleagues around the country.
  - Dr. Cass answered 'yes'.
- Senator Carson asked if CA is having trouble recruiting doctors.
  - Dr. Cass responded that she has not heard that.
- Senator Carson talked about how CA has criminalization provisions in their abortion law that is almost identical to NH's law. If NH is having this horrible experience than other places that have these criminalization provisions would be experiencing the same thing. Asked if she has heard anything from those states.
  - Dr. Cass answered that she has not heard that about CA in that regard but in regard to TX with their trouble recruiting faculty.

**Devon Quinn** (NH Women's Foundation) (provided written testimony)

- The ban targets women who need local compassionate care the most because those women may need to seek care elsewhere but may not have the means to do so.
- The ultrasound mandate contributes to a culture of shaming women.
- Women should be allowed to access care in their own state without experiencing a cloud of shame.
- Women who are denied an abortion take four years on average to return fulltime to the workforce after the birth of the child.
- Women with access to reproductive healthcare are more likely to invest in their schooling, job training, and have higher rates of economic security.

- Women with the resources to control their fertility have lower rates of poverty in their families, which disrupts cycles of poverty between multiple generations.

**Frank Knaack (ACLU) (provided written testimony)**

- Because there is no clear definition of what constitutes when a pregnant person's life is in danger a doctor may hesitate to provide life saving treatment due to the fear of those sanctions.
- Having those provisions in the law is deeply concerning.
- Current law deputizes people unconnected to an abortion to sue a healthcare provider.
- There are specific provisions in the law that provide civil remedies for a "husband or parent", which could include an abusive husband or estranged parents.

**Kayla Montgomery (Planned Parenthood) (provided written testimony)**

- NH has some of the best doctors in the world, and they are trained to take care of their patients in these complex situations.
- Hearing a lot around the intent of the ultrasound provision, but the reality is under the current law the ultrasound requirement does apply to all abortions.
- Planned Parenthood is obligated to comply with state laws regardless of whether they are supported by best medical practices; failure to do so places their clinicians ability to deliver care at risk.
- Medication abortion is a safe and effective way to terminate a pregnancy prior to 11 weeks after the first date of the previous period.
- If a patient knows that date and does not have any risks, an ultrasound is likely to be unnecessary.
- At Planned Parenthood, most first trimester ultrasounds are performed with a wand.
- The ultrasounds requirement effects their ability to center patient autonomy, deliver trauma informed care, and make sound medical decisions.

**Dr. Danielle Albushies**

- Is an obstetrician in NH.
- The ultrasound requirement at any gestational age before the termination of a pregnancy and the 24-week abortion ban are cruel and unnecessary.
- Elective abortions at or after 24 weeks are not performed in this state.
- This ban does nothing to stop something that isn't happening.
- A routine anatomic ultrasound of a fetus does not occur until 18-20 weeks.
- If an abnormal fetal finding is seen that woman has to be scheduled with a specialty OB-GYN called a maternal-fetal medicine physician.
- By the time a fatal fetal diagnosis is confirmed a woman may be beyond 24 weeks.
- No person in this room has the right to force a woman to carry, for months, a fetus who will not survive before a spontaneous labor or that fetus dies in utero.
- This is a form of cruel and unusual punishment to a mother already processing the future loss of her child.



- It is the duty of a provider to determine how far along a woman is prior to termination, but an ultrasound is not always medically necessary.
- Transvaginal ultrasounds can be very traumatizing to survivors of sexual violence.
- No person in this room should have the right to require a woman to have an invasive procedure that is not necessary.
- Physicians respect their jobs to help patients make appropriate healthcare decisions as they guide them with the facts and give them their options.
- No person in this room should be a barrier between the trusted and privileged relationship between a woman and her provider.
- OB units have closed in non-rural areas such as Lakes Region and Parkland.
- Senator French asked if most fatal fetal anomalies are detected prior to 24 weeks.
  - Because that anatomic ultrasound is not scheduled routinely until 18-20 weeks many fatal fetal diagnoses are not seen until that 18-20 week mark. Then it is that delay in seeing a specialist and confirming it where there are instances where it can be beyond 24 weeks.
- Senator French asked if a specialist would confirm the diagnosis.
  - Correct.
- Senator French asked how long it takes to get that confirmation.
  - COVID has caused further delays in seeing patients. It could take a couple weeks before being able to get into the office of a maternal-fetal medicine specialist.
- Senator Kahn asked where she practices.
  - In Bedford Commons OB-GYNs; delivers at Elliot Hospital.
- Senator Kahn asked for what an abnormality is.
  - There are blood tests that screen for certain abnormalities, but they are elective. That testing is not perfect. Relies heavily on the anatomic ultrasound, also called a fetal survey. The point of that 18–20-week mark is to look for anatomy. This is the first glimpse doctors have at a fully formed fetus to look at the organ systems. When ultrasounds are done earlier in the pregnancy there are some things they can see early on. At that 18-20 weeks they can tell a woman that to the best of their ability the anatomy is normal or if they are seeing abnormalities.
- Senator Kahn asked at that point what is incomplete in the development that might lead to further concern.
  - It is difficult question because there are things such as anencephaly, which is a neural tube defect, where a woman who had a very early ultrasound that was just a crown growth rate would not have seen. Maybe another ultrasound doesn't happen until 18-20 weeks and there are many things that a doctor would see at that time that a woman may not have had that opportunity to see before. We don't get many routine ultrasounds.

- Senator Kahn asked given the state of the law, what is she going to advise a patient dealing with this coming up on 24 weeks.
  - The hard part is as of January 1<sup>st</sup>, if a woman comes to them with a fatal fetal diagnosis after 24 weeks, they must send her out of state. They cannot give her compassionate care with the doctor who knows her and in her insurance paradigm. This morning saw patients, one had a few second trimester miscarriages and another had to be admitted in a prior pregnancy because her placenta was separating. Each pregnancy is unique, and each circumstance is different. We must ensure women have access to the care they need when they need it without laws restricting how providers take care of their patients.
- Senator Gannon asked if the first trimester ultrasound is the only ultrasound before the 18–20-week ultrasound.
  - Typically. Every practice organizes things differently, but most times women have a first trimester ultrasound (5-12 weeks) and then the 18-20 weeks one, which is purposely scheduled for the anatomy.

**Jordan Applewhite** (provided written testimony)

- Lives in the North Country and spoke to the challenges of accessing care.
- Up north there are no places for people to access abortion care.
- The only options are driving to Concord or Vermont.
- Many people end up seeking care at crisis pregnancy centers.
- These places appear to offer care but are really manipulative facilities that work to convenience patients to not go through with an abortion.
- Believes many people have been forced to continue with their pregnancies due to a lack of access to care.
- Was excited to hear about the use of telehealth medicine and the ability to get abortion pills sent in the mail.
- Started to do outreach on that but then the ultrasound requirement came into place, making that option impossible.
- A lack of Internet coverage and lack of public transportation are also barriers to care.

**Josie Pinto** (provided written testimony)

- Is an Master of Public Health student at the Dartmouth Institute.
- Is the founder and executive director of the Reproductive Freedom Fund of NH.
- As an abortion fund that helps patients access critical care during all points of pregnancy the abortion ban means patients will have to travel further and endure higher costs.
- This places a major burden not only on the patients but also on the Fund's ability to meet the needs of the patients.
- Since the year 2000 patients have been able to end a pregnancy using a combination of Mifepristone and Misoprostol over the course of five pills.
- Because of COVID restricting the access of care, the FDA has approved the prescribing of those pills for telehealth administration.

- Some services only cost \$150, and these abortion pills are 95% effective and have a lower complication rate than Tylenol and Viagra.
- With the provider shortage patients often have to wait several weeks for an appointment.
- Spoke to the benefits of in-home abortion care.
- The current law eliminates a full process of healthcare that patients should be able to access.

### **Kelly Umo**

- Shared her experience regarding how difficult it is to access an abortion in NH.
- Hemorrhaged at 9 weeks and saw the baby at an emergency ultrasound.
- Were so relieved to see hear their baby's heartbeat and see it moving around.
- Physicians showed them the hemorrhage and that the neck looked thicker than it should.
- Decided to provide the baby with whatever it needed as long as they could be its parents.
- The results came back negative for any genetic conditions, but at the next ultrasound they found out the fetus had what appeared to be a fetal cystic hygroma behind its neck.
- Two weeks later the fetus showed signs of swelling, and they were referred to a specialist for three weeks later.
- Could either wait it out and come back two weeks later for cardiac testing, see if the baby passes naturally, or schedule a DE, which is a procedure done in the second trimester to terminate the pregnancy.
- Was already 16 weeks and decided to wait it out.
- Husband asked if the baby would survive even if the test determined the cause of the cystic hygroma.
- The doctor said 'no' and shared that the amount of swelling at this point in the pregnancy was fatal.
- The doctor said it was up to them for which direction they wanted to go.
- Decided best thing for her body and the fetus was to terminate it soon.
- Called to ask about the DE and was shocked to find out her only options were Boston or Lebanon, neither which are close by.
- It would take 2 days for the procedure and require a hotel and the taking off of several days of work.
- Certain places do not perform them in the second trimester due to politics.
- Has been given a code word just to get into the clinic to keep herself and the staff safe.
- Is 18 weeks now and has to deal with the loss of the child, which is hard enough but having to deal with all of these crazy hoops is too much.
- Does not feel like it is safe to put her body through further pregnancy in order to push out a stillborn.
- People should have the choice.

- Senator French asked why she is having trouble accessing abortion services because the ban is for 24 weeks unless the office she was at just chose not to offer those services.
  - The access in NH is already that slim. Her only option in NH is Lebanon. Even though it is legal, she still cannot even find it right now. The baby is still growing and the hygroma is now three times the size of her body and the baby is struggling to have a heartbeat.

### **Sarah Matsunaga**

- Is a second-year medical student at Dartmouth.
- Prior to this law providers could work with patients who were sexually assaulted to decide whether an ultrasound was necessary before their abortion care.
- Why would providers practice in an environment where the government intentionally impairs their ability to share decision making with patients?
- The law erodes the reputation and quality of doctors in NH.
- 1 in 4 American women will have an abortion before the age of 45, and those people are diverse.
- Only 1% of abortions are performed after 21 weeks but they are essential in those circumstances such as health risks to the person and emerging medical knowledge about the pregnancy.
- Senator Kahn asked where she went to undergrad and where her permanent residence is.
  - Originally from Hawaii and went to Stanford.
- Senator Kahn asked when she will begin seeing patients.
  - Is in her 2<sup>nd</sup> year and will be working with patients soon at Dartmouth's OB-GYN center.
- Senator Kahn asked if she has chosen her specialty.
  - Has a few, but OB-GYN is very high up there.
- Senator Kahn asked if the current law influences her choice in specialty or where she might seek a residency.
  - Believes so. Is looking to practice in an environment where she can maintain the kind of clinical atmosphere she believes is necessary and one she feels safe practicing in. Concerned that some of the conversations today contribute to the inflammatory nature of this subject and continues to make some abortion providers feel unsafe doing their job.
- Senator Kahn asked if the law will influence her decision about where to practice.
  - Certainly.
- Senator Kahn asked if the current law is chilling to the environment for OB-GYN practitioners.
  - Believes so. Does not believe this to be a moderate law. This is telling a person who is carrying a fetus with a fatal fetal diagnosis that they either

must carry to term or scrounge up the money to go out of state to receive care. Thinks that is a very difficult thing to say to a patient.

- Senator Carson asked about the possibility of practicing in CA with their criminalization aspects.
  - That is decision she will have to make later in her career as these laws advance. When choosing a place to practice the laws and criminal statutes will be something she will look at at that time.

### **Summary of testimony presented in opposition:**

#### **Representative Stapleton**

- This would throw NH back to essentially to unrestricted abortions up to the point of birth, and they will only be prohibited by RSA 329:32 the partial birth abortion act.
- Roe v. Wade and Planned Parenthood v. Casey both ruled that a woman does not possess an autonomous and exclusive right to privacy as an enabler to an open abortion at any time because the state has a reasonable and compelling interest in protecting life in the womb because its life are our future citizens.
- RSA 329:41 says 'nothing in this subdivision shall be construed as creating or recognizing a right to an abortion'
- Polls show that 65-75% of citizens oppose late term abortions.
- Opponents to the Fetal Life Protection Act point out the lack of exceptions for rape or incest, but the law provides six months for a woman to make such decision about abortions.
- The current law is a humane, reasonable, and proper statute for balanced protection of the late term viable baby and the liberty to choose abortion prior to the 24-week limit.
- The ultrasound is not a prescription for a medical procedure. It is an effective tool for determination of that 24 weeks, and therefore protects the provider as well as the mother.
- Senator Gannon asked if he considers 24 weeks to be a modest attempt at regulating abortion.
  - Yes. Dobbs v. Jackson holds at 15 weeks and in Texas it is 8 weeks. Thinks the NH law is modest and reasonable.
- Senator Kahn asked if he agrees with the changes proposed to the House bill as supported by the Governor.
  - The amendment that was offered on that bill clarifies for many people the misperception that HB625 required an ultrasound at every abortion. Thinks that comes from reading the law out of context. The focus of the law is on the 24 weeks. There is nowhere in the statute that requires a specific type of ultrasound. It has unfortunately been an assumption that it might require a transvaginal ultrasound as opposed to an abdominal ultrasound. If you assume that you must have an ultrasound for every abortion, an early term abortion might have to look at the transvaginal

procedure. The law does not prescribe the type of ultrasound. It prescribes the use of it as a tool to protect the mother and the provider.

- Senator Kahn asked if he was opposed to HB1609.
  - Yes. Understands in the executive session there was a replace all amendment clarifying the ultrasound requirement.
- Senator Whitley asked what he would say to a woman who receives devastating news late in their very wanted pregnancy that the fetus would not survive after birth, and the law is forcing them to carry that pregnancy.
  - People have six months to make determinations as to have an abortion for any reason. This is much more reasonable than what is going on around the rest of the country. There is an exception in the law for the life of the mother. We must rely on the law as an adequate protection for those circumstances that are probably going to be the minority of those cases.
- Senator Whitley asked if he would force women to carry a pregnancy even if there is a fatal fetal diagnosis.
  - The law allows six months to make a determination. If there are special emergency medical situations following that there are exemptions. What she cites is perhaps a case of a medical emergency.

#### **Representative Folsom**

- Sponsored the original Fetal Life Protection Act and the amendment on HB1609.
- Was a victim of rape.
- When you are raped, for the most part you are offered the morning after pill at the time you are examined by a doctor.
- When you have been raped you watch the calendar, and you are counting down the days until your next menstrual cycle.
- Knows most women would not wait six months to get an abortion after being raped.
- With incest it is usually a child, and if they can separate themselves from the aggressor, it is often because someone intervenes, and they will help them.
- If they are still under the control of the aggressor, the aggressor is going to make sure that victim has an abortion before anyone finds out.
- By 24 weeks those hard decisions have already been made.
- Senator Whitley asked if she is saying every rape victim has the same experience as her.
  - Is not. Is saying what her experience was and what she heard from others.
- Senator Whitley asked if she is arguing that every victim of these crimes has the same access to care she has.
  - Any victim of rape would have complete access to an abortion up to the 24 weeks.
- Senator Whitley asked if she is aware that during the pandemic there has been a dramatic rise in child sex abuse cases.

- Yes.
- Senator Whitley asked if she believes every single child has the same access to a trusted individual.
  - Not saying they have that access. Quite often that aggressor is going to make sure the victim has an abortion before anyone else finds out. Knows this from antidotal stories given to her.

**Jason Hennessey (NH Right to Life)**

- Represents thousands of NH residents who are opposed to this bill.
- What is a person? Should that definition depend on one person's choice? What protections do they deserve?
- Some of the greatest oppressions in human history have hinged on these questions.
- Medical science says there is another life at stake.
- The Fetal Life Protection Act only protects babies that are at 24-weeks, which is generally considered when a baby can survive outside of the womb.
- This bill would move the state backward to have one of the most hostile views in the country against babies, allowing them to be killed up to the hour they are born for any reason.
- The Guttmacher Institute, a pro-choice research group, says there are 43 other states that have some restrictions on late term abortions, many without exceptions for rape, incest, or fatal fetal anomalies.
- A 2019 poll showed that 80% of American agree late term abortions should not be allowed.
- There is substantial evidence that delivery or c-section is safer for the mother at 24 weeks than an abortion. Late term abortions become more harmful to maternal outcomes the farther out you go.
- Senator Gannon asked if one person should not be able to define when life begins, and the state should give guidelines.
  - If the humanity of a person is up to one person's whim, who might have gotten up on the wrong side of the bed that morning, anything can happen. As a society we need to come to an agreement about who are people and what protections they deserve.

**Representative Love**

- NH needs to start working towards making adoption the go to decision.
- Spoke to the process of abortion and that it is a barbaric practice.
- Noted neurosurgeon Secretary Dr. Ben Carson testified that these babies do feel pain.
- Sent a clip of the movie *Unplanned* to the House Committee and spoke to the abortion that took place in that video.
- In 1980 when Roe v. Wade was challenged, Justice Sandra Day O'Conner said more or less that as technology advances, we have to take another look at this.
- We have the technology now and this must stop.

**Ian Huyett (Cornerstone) (provided written testimony)**

- The amended form of HB1609, which Cornerstone supports, will reiterate and further clarify the very limited scope of the ultrasound requirement.
- Requests this bill be ITL'd but requests as a second-best outcome the Committee retain this bill, let HB1609 work its way to the Committee and then consider HB1609.
- Believes some may ask the Committee to entirely remove the ultrasound requirement or add in an open-ended exception. Either of those changes would make the current law entirely unenforceable.
- No law is better than a symbolic law for reasons for clarity and transparency.
- This bill is an attack on human rights and human decency.
- Senator Carson asked if the current law addresses the issue of fetal anomalies.
  - It does not. It simply reiterates the narrow focus of the ultrasound requirement to cases to where the provider has knowledge of the substantial risk that the fetus is 24 weeks gestational age or older. During the hearing on HB1609 Rep./Dr. Knirk, who is not in favor of the ban, was asked about a woman who had an abortion because of a lethal fetal anomaly at 35 weeks. He said that in that situation he would have just delivered the child and that would have been a perfectly safe, normal medical practice. Dr. Johnson can corroborate that as well. Has not seen anyone on the other side deny that the vast, vast majority of lethal fetal anomalies are detected significantly advance of 24 weeks, which leaves a handful of exceptional cases which we could potentially make law for. The way to make law around those exceptional cases is instead of a 24-week ban, to instead have a viability ban. That would solve that problem and would be more inclusive and protect more children. However, any time that is put forward they strenuously hear from the other side that that would be very cruel because it would sneak up on doctors with criminal penalties and viability varies by pregnancy. The alternative is to set a standard at 24 weeks or allow abortion up to birth for any reason. Before December of 2020 MA had a felony 24-week abortion ban with no exceptions for rape, incest, or severe fetal anomalies. Throughout that time MA was consistently ranked as having the best or second-best medical care in the country, evidently physicians were not deterred from practicing medicine there.
- Senator Carson asked if the criminal penalties are common in these types of laws.
  - There is a wide spectrum of laws around the country and penalties. Until a couple of years ago it was considered moderate to have a felony 24-week ban. Before 2019, MA, NV, and NY all had felony 24-week bans. From 2019-2020 legislatures in those states effectively repealed those bans. This legislation is nationally unique because in all of those states mentioned, proponents of the bills disguised what they were doing by only taking out the criminal penalties or creating open ended exceptions.



**Dr. Richard Johnson** (provided written testimony)

- Physicians disagree on not just how a case should be done, but also on moral and ethical issues.
- Believes life begins at conception.
- When are we going to call this human life a baby that is in need of and deserves state protection?
- The current law says life begins at 24-weeks, which is what makes it a criminal event, taking the life of someone the state has recognized as a human.
- Spoke to how in history we have defined certain people in order to be able to treat them a certain way under the law.
- Twice has been with a woman who delivered her defective baby, she got for a few hours or less the opportunity to hold that baby, use the baby's name, and bury the baby.
- It is very different than dismantling a baby limb from limb.
- How do we protect OB-GYNs who are forced to learn a procedure they don't want to?
- There are prolife physicians that would love to practice in NH.

**Deb Bishop** (provided written testimony)

- Shared her experience of considering an abortion and ultimately deciding to cancel it. Ended up seeking an adoption.
- Shared how she was pregnant three years later with a wanted baby, but found the baby had a high risk of possibility of fatal fetal abnormalities.
- Rejected the recommendation of the doctor for an abortion, and her son ended up being perfectly healthy.
- Her son passed away in an accident at the age of 20. The child she gave up ended up finding them and comforted her after her son's death.

**Patrice Myers**

- Spoke to how every person has the right to life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness, which is given by God.
- Life is defined as growth and that's what begins to happen at conception.
- Spoke to how babies are separate from mothers even if they are connected.
- Spoke to her faith and the need to protect the lives of babies.
- Does not believe the government has the right to legislate taking the life of someone regardless of their stage in life.

**Laura El-Azem**

- Shared her experience adopting foster children.
- Abortion is state sanctioned violence inflicted on the very weakest under the cover of law.
- If we sanction that violence even for the greater good it only follows that newborns and young children will also suffer.
- It is not the developmental age of the child, but the attitude of adults that makes a difference.

- There can be no justice in a society where every mother who holds her helpless newborn says in effect 'I love you but, yesterday I had the power to slaughter you, but I choose not to.'
- Abortion is tyrannical.
- Unless we stop this, we can expect more cruelty inflicted on young children and we will see more children disappearing.

#### **Robert Lacoste**

- Worked in healthcare for 38 years.
- Over 95% of abortions are for birth control.
- Dr. Bernard Nathanson performed over 10,000 abortions. When ultrasounds became available, he saw results of the baby moving away from the tool and became prolife.
- If there are places having problems recruiting, they should offer a recruitment stipend.
- Knew an RN who knew her fetus was deceased after 7 months, but she chose to carry it to term.
- His ex-wife had an abortion and he consented to it. Regrets that decision.

#### **Kathryn Lacoste**

- Mr. Lacoste's former wife committed suicide and believes it has to do with the abortion she had.
- Healthcare has had many scientific advances and research has provided us the opportunity to use an ultrasound.
- Many women who have ultrasounds choose not to have an abortion when they see their baby.
- Rapes are horrible but having an abortion does not undo that trauma.
- The circumstances of conception are not a determinant of the sanctity of life.
- Haywood Robinson, a former abortionist, said there is no relationship between a doctor and a patient in this situation because there is not relationship between an abortionist and a woman beyond the ending of her baby's life.

#### **Pastor Peter Chamberland (Granite State Baptist Church) (provided written testimony)**

- The bill says, 'it will reduce the financial burden upon the state'. Spoke to how that is comical given the party bringing this bill forward.
- The real purpose of this bill should not be hidden behind the facade of fiscal responsibility.
- Abortion is a dark stain on the morality of our nation.
- Spoke to his faith and how the bible speaks to human life.
- Every life is precious in the sight of God.
- If science were to be truly followed, then there would be an acknowledgment of a beating heart within 21 days and the viability of a baby outside of the womb due to medical advances.
- It was a six-month-old baby that first recognized who Jesus and his mother were while still in the womb, John.

### **Valerie Prescott**

- Shared how one of her children told her at 21 that she had an abortion and spoke to how her daughter regretted that abortion even though she had been raped.
- Even though young women are raped it doesn't automatically mean they want an abortion.

### **Jim Gill**

- In the Dobbs Case, Justice Roberts described American abortion laws as extreme.
- There are only two other nations in the world that support abortion up until birth: China and North Korea.
- 47 of the 50 European countries do not support abortion; the latest is not past 15 weeks.
- Spoke to developmental disabilities and how 32 families he knows have children with developmental disabilities. Spoke to how the families benefitted from having those individuals in their lives.
- Supporting this is going against the developed world.
- Spoke to the process of late term abortions.

### **Juan Saa (Life Way Church)**

- Spoke to how we all at some point did not have a voice inside our mothers' wombs.
- Our mothers gave us a voice, and if we had been aborted, we would not be here.
- Spoke to his faith.
- Shared how his oldest daughter was taken advantage of by a man and there was pressure on her to terminate the pregnancy.
- His family stood behind her and she moved forward with the pregnancy. Spoke to how the child is healing for her and his family.
- We need to leave a legacy of life for our children and America.

### **Honorable Allen Cook (Christ Ministries International)**

- Spoke to the preciousness, dignity and value of life and how other states are spiraling to the lowest levels of protecting life.
- The Mayo Clinic, Washington University, and Children's Hospital of Philadelphia have made significant advances with in utero surgeries.
- There is no reason to operate in utero if there is not a viable life to save or help in some way.
- With ultrasound technology we can see the development of the fetus almost from conception.
- Spoke to his faith and the conscience of our nation.
- Quoted Dr. Martin Luther King Jr who said, "cowardice asks the question, "Is it safe?" Expediency asks the question, "Is it politically correct?" Vanity asks the question, "Is it popular?" But conscience asks the question, "Is it right?" And there're times when you must take a stand that is neither safe nor politically correct nor popular, but you must do it because it is right."

**Sarah Marcoux**

- Shared her experience of at 24 weeks pregnant getting genetic testing due to abnormalities found in her ultrasound.
- Was told her daughter had microcephaly and a slew of genetic diseases, and if the baby survived birth, it would not be able to walk or talk.
- The doctor told her to have an abortion, but she declined.
- Shared how another doctor came in and said that at 25 weeks they would be required to resuscitate her daughter.
- Worries about those that do not have a strong moral compass or those that are scared and confused who are faced with this same choice.
- Corporations with an agenda and endless money are drowning out the moral outcry from Granite Staters.
- Post aborted mothers face increased cancer rates.
- If doing the right thing means allowing ultrasounds than that is okay.
- Many would want the ban to start much sooner but understands there is a compromise.
- It is time to stop doctors from pushing their own prejudices and ideas on to very vulnerable patients at the expense of human life.

**Samantha Marcoux**

- Shared her experience of knowing she could have been aborted based off a diagnosis that wasn't even correct.
- Shared how a genetic test could not say who she is as a person.

**Shannon McGinley (provided written testimony)**

- Doesn't think it is fair to say the challenge with recruitment is because of this law.
- Abortion providers in NH seem to change their story, saying either late term abortions are rare, or it is so common is absolutely necessary.

**Starr Covey**

- The law allows for exceptions for medical emergencies regarding the mother's life.
- The law does not require the doctor to reveal the ultrasound to the patient.
- It is ridiculous to call an ultrasound invasive because an abortion itself is extremely invasive.
- Most people know they are pregnant long before six months.
- 80% of all abortions are under 10 weeks.
- Is a post abortive mother that is now prolife.
- This bill holds abortion providers accountable for the safety of the woman and the child.

jch

Date Hearing Report completed: January 25, 2022

# Speakers

5-22  
0-39

# Senate Judiciary Committee SIGN-IN SHEET

David Love

Date: 01/19/2022 Time: 1:00 p.m.

SB 399-FN AN ACT repealing the fetal health protection act.

Name/Representing (please print neatly)

Name/Representing	Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
Senator Sherman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
RICHARD JOHNSON	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Christine Kingsley	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Rose McBride	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Deb Bishop	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Lisa Berger	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Patricia Myers	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senator Donna Soucy D18	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Laura El-Azem Londonderry	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
JASON HENNESSEY NH. Right to Life	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rep Kurt Wuelper STRAFFORD 3	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
ROBERT C. LACOSTE STRATHAM NH	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
KATHERINE LACOSTE STRATHAM NH	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Matthew Houde Dartmouth - Mitchewick Dr. Flame Cass	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
DEVAN QUINN, NH Women's Foundation	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mary Flaherty	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Carol Seely	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senator Rosenwald	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Bruce Clendinning Concord	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

# Senate Judiciary Committee SIGN-IN SHEET

Date: 01/19/2022 Time: 1:00 p.m.

SB 399-FN AN ACT repealing the fetal health protection act.

Name/Representing (please print neatly)

Name/Representing	Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
# Peter Chamberland Granite State Baptist Church	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
# Daniella Atwshies, MD sit on New Hampshire Medical Society Council	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
# Sen. Prattices (SD 5)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Colin Booth	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
# Valerie Prescott self(public)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
# Rep. David Love Rock 6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
# Rep. Katherine Pruchomme (Brien) Rock 6	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
# Maura Annette Crappalle (Jefferson NH)	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
# Philip Tuttle	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
# Ian Huyett Cornerstone	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
# Jim Hill	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
# Kayla Montgomery - PRN HAFI PPLUE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
# Amada Elizabeth Toll	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
# Walter A. Stapleton House Rep Sulco #5	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
# JORDAN APPLEWHITE	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
# Josie Pinto	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
# Kelly Omu	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
# Rob Blakene	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
# Mary Lee Sargent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

# Senate Judiciary Committee SIGN-IN SHEET

Date: 01/19/2022 Time: 1:00 p.m.

SB 399-FN AN ACT repealing the fetal health protection act.

Name/Representing (please print neatly)

	Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
<del>FRANK KNACK</del> / ACLU of NH	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kaylin Tuttle	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Dake Berry New Hampshire	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Mary Jane Dennehy	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
ROY K. DENNEHY	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
MariKaye Garnett	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
LORNE COLBERT	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
JUAN M. SAA	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Kate Scholz	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Allen W. Cook	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Annette Tuttle	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Hadl Bann	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sarah Matsunaga	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sarah Marcoux	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Samantha Marcoux	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Elizabeth Kapp	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
NEIL HUBACKER	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Tray Brannstrom	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking?	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



# Senate Judiciary Committee SIGN-IN SHEET

Date: 01/19/2022 Time: 1:00 p.m.

SB 399-FN AN ACT repealing the fetal health protection act.

Name/Representing (please print neatly)

Name/Representing (please print neatly)	Support <input type="checkbox"/>	Oppose <input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	Yes <input type="checkbox"/>	No <input type="checkbox"/>
Shannon Mcbinley, self	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Andrea Watson	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Rep. Erica Layon vice chair House HHS-104	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Rep Beth Folsom	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Starr Covey	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Speaking? <input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>



# Senate Remote Testify

## Judiciary Committee Testify List for Bill SB399 on 2022-01-19

Support: 1764 Oppose: 1037

<u>Name</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Representing</u>	<u>Position</u>
Watters, Senator David	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Perkins Kwoka, Senator Rebecca	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Cavanaugh, Senator Kevin	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Durkin, Charles	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Persechino, Sara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Casey, Gabrielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Antman, Alyssa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Smiyh, Marjorie	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Arnold, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bombicino, Ashley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
LaForge, Lawrence	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Raspiller, Cindy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brown, Howard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mitchell, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Chapman, Kathy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Strout, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Holtz, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Tullar, Sharyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lentini, Dominic	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Shaw, Ronni	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lemmon bella, Joanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stoops, Matthew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Griffiths, Jeremy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wold, Deb	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
diberardinis, marisa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Constantino, Toni	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Favreau, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hoover, Coeli	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Truhn, Evelyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fredette, Patrice	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Freedman, Arielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Charest, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
West, Christie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Freedman, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Smith, Kristin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Carroll, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Betz, Diane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Manley, Jean	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Thuma, Caroline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Weintraub, Jane-Holly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Connell, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Faulkner, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ehlenfeldt, Cynthia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Broth, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Greenlaw, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Smith-Weiss, Don	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Timm, Trili	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Bouchar, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Garside, Kaya	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Weissberg, Tamsin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pinto, Josie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Singh, Indira	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jones, Heather	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Morrison, Candace	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Thomas, Jillian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Tedesco, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jordan, Brenda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Provance, Alan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Carr, Stephen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fedeyk, Amelia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Utne, Torrey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mackey, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rivet, Anna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Roy, Sydney	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Houle, Molly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Houle, Autumn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hicks, Harrison	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jewell, Danielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brown, Victoria	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kirkpatrick, B	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pitt, Martha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McNamee, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Reynolds, Lindsay	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
clayton, katie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hartnett, Katherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Carrington Heath, Heidi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Raeder, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Edry, Derek	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Beaudoin, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Greer, Marisol	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kolaja, Madeleine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cardona, Carlos	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Muirhead, Russell	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Johnson, Marcy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Belmont-Earl, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
O'NEAL, RUTH	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lavoie, Mary Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Meuse, David	An Elected Official	Rockingham 29	Support
Natola, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Evans, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Colby, Larry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lincoln, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Findley, Sally	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Palmer, William	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
DellOrfano, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Siegart, Diane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ben Riala, Jonas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Demers, Haley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wood, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hill, Bonnie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pawlowski, Samantha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Piscetta, Patricia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Russell, Lauren	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Ayling, Gavin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rasche, Patrice	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rasche, Stephen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brickett, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kaufman, Judith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Poor, Dan	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Reeves, Teresa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fanning, William	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Clark, Kenneth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Murray, Matthew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Reeves, Rene	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hutchins, Jeanette	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lieberman, Sheryl	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moccia, Lianne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ayling, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moore, Janet	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cohen, Marc	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Coon, Kate	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rice, Katie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cameron-Sears, Carol	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sears, Craig	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Chase, Lilly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McMahon, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Steuerwald, Carissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Blades, Roberta	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McNutt, Bonnie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mitchell, Eleanor	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Varny, Michele	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Neva, Addison	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Neilley, Ellen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Weiner, Stephanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Koch, Laurie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dawson, Zoe	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
KOSNITSKY, CAROL	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Koch, Helmut	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dawson, Jill	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mierins, Susanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dowey, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kelly, Amanda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lafond, Jacquelyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Chamberlin, Patience	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kruse, Martha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Raff, Alan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kennedy, Sylvia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
MOFFETT, ANDRA	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Young, Laurie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Given, Glenn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hamer, Geoff	A Member of the Public	myself	Support
Zaenglein, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Zaenglein, Eric	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mackey, Samantha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Thompson-Green, Emma	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
EVANS, MICHAEL	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cranage, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself, my husband, and daughter	Support
Jahos, Ellen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Webb, Craig	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Morales, Bryce	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McDonough, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Haxby, Sara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wester, Liz	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McAlevy, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stuart, Racheal	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Murray, Meredith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Richardson, Greg	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gibbons, Grace	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lewkowicz, Kathryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Phillips, Margery	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Champigny, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
wagner, traci	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Champigny, Kevin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kolaja, Jonas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
THOMPSON, LAURA	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stevens, Rachel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dudra, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Foster, Ann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Loose, Daniela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Collins, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Souza, Robert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stover, Matthew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Burns, Scott	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pacheco, Manette	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Roche, Edward	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Audet, Courtney	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Osmundsen, Rachel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Marcoux, Ashley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ozuna, Gabriela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
K, Jade	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nelson, Leann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Posner, Benjamin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Naro, Christopher	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hamilton, Sara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Davies, Paula	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Polychrones, Andrea	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Littman, Annalise	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Joyce, Ellen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Coffin, Jacqueline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hennessey, Martha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Delisle, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Joyce, Mary K	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lister, Charlotte	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bradley, Adele	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Silk, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
GLENN, CYNTHIA	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cheney, Sonya	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nardino, Marie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Freilich, Pam	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McDonough, Thomas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sherin, Maggie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dickinson, Micaela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wechsler, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Martin, Grace	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hanson, Jacqueline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Lichtenstein, Ian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McDonough, Monica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bacote, Benjamin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Yang, Ashley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Thelen, Brett	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Huggins, Dallas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lewis, Dresden	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lieberman, Larry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pittman, Vicky	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pierog, Jake	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Donovan, Julie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Smolen, Kali	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Berry, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gabrieli, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kelly, Annie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Persechino, Matt	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Marshall, James	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Damiano, Ella	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Young, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gerding, Matthew	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Liebowitz, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Menzel, Grace	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Parise, Robina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Morando-Robbins, Renee	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Greatorex, Sharon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Covert, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Carmichael, Suzanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bernal, Lauren	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Christie, Katie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Frotton, Savannah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Flockhart, Eileen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
bory, lee	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fischer, Ruth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sebilian, Alexis	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Snyder, Kacie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hersom, Katie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nolan, Eileen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Devlin, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rand, Tegan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cherrington, Brett	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Genet, Carli	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
mackinnon, ashley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McLaren, Kumiko	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Calabro, Shannon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Spink, Marie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Verduga, Moss	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hassell, Shawn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gelsey, Giana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kolodze, Meredith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Tirrell-Wysocki, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
deBruyn, Shelby	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bernstein, Martin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Perry, Brianna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Torpey, Jeanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Skerry, Kristen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Young, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Moen, Erika	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Samuelson, Alana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Skerry, Molly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rashid, AbdurRehman	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Posner, Gaia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mariska, April	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wyman, Alyssa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pennington, Jill	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Chiquelin, Caitlin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Costa, Peter	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hadley, Craig	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dubois, Jillian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Prince, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McDowell, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rich, Martha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kelman, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Maskowitz, Katie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kim, Sue	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dubois, Erin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Page, Heidi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McKaig, Rachel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bloomer, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Banach, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
O'Neill, Faye	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Harvey, Campbell	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ossen, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Prestwich, Luke	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hackett, Tia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Skerry, Devon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Volpe, Marissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ehlers, Eileen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Byam, Martha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fernald, Alex	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cushing, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Palmer, Brantley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Twombly, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Michon, Makayla	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wilkins, Tom	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Renaud-Finnegan, Sheila	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stein, Lesley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Emery, Janice	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Estey, Meghan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lennon, Donna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bacon Nelson, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Greene, Lily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Porter, Hunter	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Culliton, Penny	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Baker, Sara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Theriault, RYAN	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lapointe, Natalie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gray, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Chausovsky, Kelly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pinneo, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kosegarten, Gretchen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Evers, Maryann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hatem, Kate	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support



Filiano, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
MACLEOD, TYLER	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wilkins, Miranda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lloyd, Ashley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hallowell, Mimi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brentrup, Maureen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Prygocki-Jeakins, Kimberley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Warner, Kelly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hilbert, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Goldstein, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jones, Stephanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hanlon, Fiona	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Totten, Denyse	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Chouinard, Kerry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Twombly, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cole, Liz Ryan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Whitehouse, Daron	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McGuirk, Zoe	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bowles, Margaret	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Haskins, Haley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Furey, Nathaniel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Reardon, Donna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Breeling, James	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kaplan, Gail	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Adams, Dan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rondeau, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lynch, Chrisinda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rolfe, Alexa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gould, Matthew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jernstedt, Margaret	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Voros, Melanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nelson, Aubrey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
clinard, tatum	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Williams, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
hart, netta	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wolfe-Hagstrom, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Camire, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Longtin, Genevieve	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
LaMarche, Kara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kruczynska, Ella	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Turton, Crystal	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Allen, Laurene	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hanjra, Sean	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Westlake, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
MacKinnon, Alexandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Tsiopras, Lisa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sweeney, Michele	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jordan Hollar, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moyer, Valerie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
MacKinnon, Cassandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ambrose, Blair	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nichols, William	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Tucker, William	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Tufts, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fleischer, Nina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bouchard, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Fitzgerald, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Von Karls, Claire	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nesbitt, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nash, Lisa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dooley, Joleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Purugganan, Ashley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nicola, Leslie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dudra, Bob	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fajardo, Christine	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Fiore, Elisabetta	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Monroe, Chris	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
DeBold, Joanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Blomquist, Brittany	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Crooks, Forrest	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Porter, Caitlin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gordon, Joshua	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wood, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Miller, Christine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bolster, Marie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Grishaw-Jones, Rev. David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mierzwa-Winters, Morgan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Papageorge, Diana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Heath, Mary	An Elected Official	Manchester	Support
Turcotte, Eric	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mitchell, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Holland, Tracy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Tetreault, Michelle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hinton, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Donnelly, Sarah Ann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Thurber, Simonida	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Soltys, Erin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Thulin, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Boucher, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Volpe, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
mcevoy, melissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hoskins, Pam	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Schwartz, Jonathan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Barker, Stephen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brown, Stacy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
M, F	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Klein, Anita	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gorman, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Visich, Katherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ufford, Letitia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McDaid, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wilson, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Miller, Patrick	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Raymond, Lori	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Andrews, Nikki	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Anderson, Margaret	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Clew, Alison	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Legault, Denise	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mitchell, Megan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Damon, Claudia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Best, Rolf	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
heath, emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Plante, Erin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Baker, Fredericka	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Morse, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Zajano, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rust, Halley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Legere, Nicholas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Holmes, Eliza	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Miele, Jaime	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Grudinski, Melissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Zajano, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Davis, Rita	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Delise, Lynne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hart, Carol	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Horne, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kellogg, Patricia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lamb, Ashley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dattner-Levy, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Walker, April	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wills, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cote, Delaney	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Luce, Faith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Horne, Deana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gamache, Katiana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Seymour, Margaret	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Carrier, Tyler	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Toth, Evika	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gunn, Christine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pfeiffer, Scott	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kerr, Jeffrey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rowan, Judith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kerr, Diana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Neubieser, JF Carter	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Maloney, Sabine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Murray, Grace	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ford, Eva	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Abramson, Quincy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Alberga, Jacob	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Perez, Erika	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kasten, Sayles	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Van Wie, Rosa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sinclair-Wingate, Griffin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sandler, Erin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Doyle, Marcy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Serrell, James	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bradstreet, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself. Jane Bradstreet	Support
Chiang, Andre	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mills, Polly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
ROWAN, EDWARD	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Elliot, Adriana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Aaronian, Margaret	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ehlers, Robert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
samii, priscilla	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mott-Smith, Wiltrud	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
ARCHAMBAULT, Janet	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kirby, Pamela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Klementowicz, Richard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

May, George	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kusch, Scott Daniel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Faulkner, William	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ciolfi, Monica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Short, Raymond	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McDonough, James	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
SMITH, Barry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Smith, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Black, Betsy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hagen, Carey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Udutha, Anirudh	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Grimm, Curt	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hengen, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Blunt, Monica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Blunt, Robin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cotton, Charlie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ruedig, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Olivo, Darlene	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gale, Margaret	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Salvatore, B	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Menard, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Carlisle, Sanford	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Swope, Gregory	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Levesque, Laurie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ciolfi, Mary Lou	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
LaChance, Kyle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Okrusch, Kaitlyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pyster, Matthew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McHone, Emma	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moll, Pauline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Thompson, Kristen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Medeiros, Daniel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Reynolds, Brenda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Blake, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nierendorf, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Demaine, Lisa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Youngclaus, May	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Larson, Ruth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Filler, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Karl, Lucy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Vuolo, Stephanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rhoades, Chuck	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
King, Jordan	State Agency Staff	Myself	Support
Jonas, Susannah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Drukker, Dow	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hurley, Stephanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kremer, Ben	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mack, Connor	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Toth, Emilia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Horowitz, Tzippy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mast, Revelle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Blumenthal, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Smith, Evelyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Asa, Stella	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Barowski, Lynn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Smart, Rick	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Nemeth, Linnea	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
wilczynski, patricia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
fried, robert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Tirrell, Brenda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Toland, Maris	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Noel, Henry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Verschueren, Jim	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
wotherspoon, Lisabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Knill, Courtney	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Spence, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brunette, M	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Roff, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Burgess, Hannah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Strayer, Frances	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Henninger, Heidi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lurie, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Price, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Danielovich, Steve	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Danielovich, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Decker Booy, Diane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
thompson, julia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Farnum, Ellen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
LOUGHLIN, EMILY	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Noel, Tiffani	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ingalls, Helen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rouse, Lauren	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Straiton, Marie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Morris, Heather	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Long, Cora	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Beaulieu, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
DaSilva, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hatcher, Phil	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Swett, Katherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Berrien, Skip	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lindpaintner, Lyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Quinn, Xavier	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Miner, Tim	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Helrich, Robin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Canada, Liz	A Lobbyist	Planned Parenthood NH Action Fund	Support
Murray, Alissandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wolfe, Melinda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gutchess, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nivcr, Martha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jakows, Linds	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dickey, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Masella, Gianna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Perry, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lewis, Rhian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mulligan, Kieran	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lafond, Mandy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Applewhite, Jordan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Perkins, Chantel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wenzlau, Caitlin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Podlipny, Ann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lafond, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ward, Jenna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Rietzel, Mariah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Benning, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wieselquist, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Alex, Olivia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Andrade, Margaret	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Chevalier, Jeanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
macneil, olivia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Murphy, Anna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bauer, Jenny	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fenner-Lukaitis, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Torrice, Alyce	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Chapin, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Whitaker, Frances	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kiernan, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hewins, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Burns, Alyssa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brunelle, Leigh	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Roy, Leo	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Diky, Veniamina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brown, Taylor	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Butler, Lisa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
LoBrun, Hillary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rollason-Cass, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gagnon, Thom	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Furtak, Jaime	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ritchie, Branwyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moll, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Peters, Courtney	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jones, Madeline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Burr, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
TERAN, CATHERINE	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Tole, Kerry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kuist, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hird, Aislinn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Loui, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lyon, Abigail	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wackman, Lucy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pyle, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lynch, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
colgate, emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rincon, Stefi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wentlent, Abigail	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ducsai, Georgiana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lang Wright, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McCown, Jordan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hettel, Dave	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pinet, Cameron	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Caldwell, Isaac	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Carroll, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Simpson-Tucker, Emma	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cahill-Yeaton, Miriam	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dunholter, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lafond, Robert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dudley, Caitlin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Howard, Cory	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lamoureux, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Lamagna, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bolton, Danica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bradd, Sylvia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Marshall, Stephanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bishop, Ann-Marie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Teed, Gabi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kuist, Christopher	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Siff, Tania	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lane, Allie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Anastasia, Patricia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Roudiez, Ruth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Chapman, Melissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
conway, Bradley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Skaff, Jody	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hird, Julia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
pinkson, briane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Beck Goss, Julie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Seidel, Rosamond	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
DiCenso, Laurie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Staub, Kathy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lui, Gabrielle	State Agency Staff	Myself	Support
Ciampa, Christian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Davidson, Suellen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Reed, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Forristall, Sara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mattern, Grace	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Plante, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Winterholer, Lauren	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bond, Lily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Burrill, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McHugh, Marissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Zunz, Sharyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
HAMILTON, MELANIE	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nugent, Stephanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ames, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Richard, Brooke	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cohen, Burt	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kittredge, Clare	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Badessa, Jeremy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bangs, Lacey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Casino, Joanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kane, Debbie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Niemi, Rachel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pasternack, Leslie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Louie-Heintel, Meghann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gable, Kyle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Shes, Corinne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Onacki, Donna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Woodward, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hyvari, Heather	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Backstrom, Karin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Allgood, Erin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mengert, Marion	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cunningham, Cariker	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Franzoso, Rip	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
franzoso, mira	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Tereshko, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Simard, Stephanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Egan, Madison	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Groh, Theo	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gamba, Daniela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Berk, Bruce	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Egan, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sideris, Jenny	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Knapp, Christine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
D'Arcy, Rosemary	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Baranowski, Jana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Turner, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kovacs, Miriam	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
clennon, rachel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Grebloski, Amanda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Schriedel, Justus	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
MacDonald, Ella	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Swirko, Katrina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Laker-Phelps, Gail	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Johnson, Guy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Runcy, Paul	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Phillis, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Campbell, Kay	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
St. Laurent, Marissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Vaine, Penelope	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cann, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
FERRE, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stearns, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Shanahan, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
MacDonald, Adelaide	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McGowan, Gretchen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
moley, shannon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Munroe, Judi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Prescod-Weinstein, Chanda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
eliasberg, judith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hieber, Edward	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hentz, Nicole	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Corringham, Josh	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
White, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gracy, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Szumiesz, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Auger, Danielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Miller, Ollie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Elliott, Starr	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wahlberg, Eleanor	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lougee, Daniel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hohmeister, Julie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mulligan, Mary Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Shine, Ann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Michaud, Sydney	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sullivan, Erin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Barrows, Danielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sewell, Britany	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hannan, Sara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cahoon, Carey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Capron, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support



Harbison, Donna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Krebs, Mary Ann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Putnam, Kate	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bahi, Serene	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Havens, Janelle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kiely, Cecilia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Federico, Danielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Melgar, Jenna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bradley, John H	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gauthier, Kristen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mousseau, Cynthia	State Agency Staff	Myself	Support
O'Brien, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Downes, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
HOHMEISTER, KURT	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bradt, Erin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cicsla, Ian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Willis, Bonnie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stewart, Kenneth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McCray, Laurie A.	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Caffrey, Mary Louise	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Letendre, Amanda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nelson, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McNeal, Meaghan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hanson, Maggi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ridolfi, Kerry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dumais, Diana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Senier, Siobhan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rhoades, Melanic	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Greenfield, Dahvin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Weber, Jill	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Squeri, Julia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Corell, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Markert, Lynn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ahlgren, Jessie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Giguere, Kara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
King, Morgan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Prazar, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rockwood, Melissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fried, Molly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Shaw, Maddie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Zeilman, Kelly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bokaie, Sophia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Koff, Matt	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sawyer, Madeline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brown, Miles	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Uppaluri, Jayanth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
medevitt, molly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lavoie, Hannah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Garand, Claire	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fabre, June	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Perlberg, Jillian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wolfe, Colleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McDevitt, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mizusawa, Molly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Scott, Sierra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Taylor, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Nichols, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Vallee, Kylie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mullen, Larissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Aichele, Genevieve	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
TAYLOR, Keith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Duval, Alexandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Renaud, Renée	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Caswell, Martha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ballew, Thelma	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Crowley, Wendy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hickman, Joy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hamblet, Joan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Campbell, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Feraco, Katrina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Goodwin, Cristina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Davidson, Stuart	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kenney, Gwen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Megee, Alissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Burk-McCoy, Lisa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Desrosiers, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gardner, Erin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Phillips, Tracy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Thomas, JoEllen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Garrett, Jordan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Todd-Reuter, Jacquelyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dupree, Anthony	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Toffie, Jocelyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Erlandson, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Vincent, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sharma, Sarala	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Frewert, Kevin and Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Vaughan, Kristin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
curdie, hannah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cook, Katherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Marone, Nicole	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Martin, Alexis	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Klein, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Aronson, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
S, Abby	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wu, Jonathan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Momancy, Staisha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Taft, Helen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wood, Nat	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Murray, Carol	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bouchard, Melanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Abernathy, Millie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dahme, Pat	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Merlino, Danielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Clark, Denise	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Davidson, Geri	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Burchett, Catheryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Samson, Meghan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Silver, Eleanor	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
gingras, dana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hodges, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
white, Ann-Eleanor	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Guercio, Leah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McLaughlin, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
MacLean, Meghan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Parker, Erin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Marinoff, Katherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
DeGregorio, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cutting, Christine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McLaughlin, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gilbert, Lindsay	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stephens, Ben	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bauer-Haan, Ashley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lematty, Lauren	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Willenbrock, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pratt, Ruth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moore, Jeremiah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Matregano, Theresa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McAuley, Judy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Merrigan, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Yeaton, Bethany	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bidgood-Wilson, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Griesinger, Andrew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Morrow, Marsha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Downall, Christine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Davis, Johnna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moeller, Robert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Silver, Damion	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sanchez, Yolanda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
James, Jean	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ellis, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Aldrich, Brenda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
French, Elaine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Milord, Virginia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Snider, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ruhm, Caroline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lowe, Liza	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Schuler, Janine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Walsh, Lynne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mizula, Bernard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Salzman, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Murphy, Patricia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Coraccio, Cynthia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Macedo, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Tucker, Cynthia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
White, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Marzelli, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cohen-Holmes, Sandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lewis, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Feder, Marsha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Schmidt, Jan	An Elceted Official	Myself	Support
Costello, Emilie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hill, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cornell, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Farmer, Jolene	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
FEDER, ROBERT	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Baker, Melanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Conway, Aryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Hill, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brady, Eileen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hardt, Mickey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sok, Leakana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Henderson, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
White, Jax	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cunningham, Don	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Siciliano, Adrienne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Phillips, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Romney, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Phillips, Lincoln	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Anderson, Kate	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hayes, Tim	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cohen, Rep Bruce	An Elected Official	Hillsborough 28	Support
Delekta, Kelly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Morgan, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Spykman, Annelies	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mather, Jillian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mahady, Tara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McEvoy, Brian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Storrs, Caroline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wentworth, Katharine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Silk, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ratnoff, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Boughter, Madeline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
O'Neill, Charles	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dutton, Hannah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Douglas, Ellen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Myre, Abby	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
mcgonagle, jan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Learner, Marilyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rothstein, Lee	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Peterson, Pamela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wolf, Jenna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Spier, Carolyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hollub, Rylee	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sullivan, Cassandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Waterfield, Ruthe	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Edes Kull, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
de Geofroy, Kate	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Godfrey, Loreley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Berry, Anna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Targett, Timothy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stern, Ilene	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nichols, Sharon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Daniels, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Chabot, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Koval, Toni	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stavolone, Pat	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stern, Kenneth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pribble, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Marry, Melissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bostrom, Teagan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hegfield, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Marion, Scott	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Morton, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Moreau, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pyles, Ericka	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Falk, Cheri	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Merlone, Lynn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hodges, Clara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Falk, Stephen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cunningham, Taylor	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Paradis, Crystal	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gilroy, Lara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McCarthy, James	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Raymond, Beth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gielow, Madeline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
lihzis, julia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Smith, Patricia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Denoncour, Sandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mojallali, Chelsea	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Karwatske, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
burt, kailey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Davis, Tod	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Smith, Katherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Leavitt, Richard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Istel, Claudia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wright, Lissette F	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jones, Donald	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Morrissey, Laurie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sweeney-Bosa, Jill	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Glassman, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Labrie, Melanic	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cecchetti, Lynda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kimberly, Nicholas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Arrison, Tom	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McCoy, Kristian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jones, Susan L	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hill, Conor	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Horn, Todd	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Van Ness, Emma	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Benedict, Ruth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mandell, Jacob	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Romeu, Angelica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Horwood-Benton, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hartmann, Troy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pugh, Michaela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Robertson, Hallie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Allard, Heather	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moore, Alexa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Boyca, Margaret	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Johnson, Cate	A Lobbyist	Myself	Support
Coolidge, Brittany	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Goggans, Ron	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Dolan, Emma	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gilbert, Allison	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
a, ella	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gilbert, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gammon, Larry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Smith, Chrissy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bergevin, Leslie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Vaughn, Betsy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ferris, Neal	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Coolidge, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wissman, Maureen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McHugh, Kelsey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Leavitt, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sheridan, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Widtfeldt, Nathan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ordway, Brigit	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Blanchette, Mke	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rubin, Birdie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Russo, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Emerson, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Barratt, Doris	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Belcher, Frances	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cunningham, Brian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Grassic, Christina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stapleton, Caroline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
L'Abbe, Mellanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wohl, Andrew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Shaughnessy, Janice	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gogolen, Claire	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McGuire, Allyson	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bies, Heather	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ronci, Ella	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ross, Brittany	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
LaBrie, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rubin, Judith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Almy, Susan	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Summerford, Tiffany	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lamphier, Regan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gil, Joanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dorman, Dean	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lane, Dakota	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
martin, morgan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hughes, Robert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gilmore, Gail	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dunn, Hope	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hakken-Phillips, Mary	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Burnham, Haley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
LaPointe, Megan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Arsenault, Jenah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cunningham, Kclly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Meade, Kathryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Naylor, Claire	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Berrien, Virginia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Laurence, Jacquelyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Conneally, Colin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Deptula, Parker	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cassese, Lily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wilson, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
evankow, abby	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
LaBrie, Kathryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
browne, charlene	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ergin, Bridget	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brooks, Jill	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Allen, Lindsay	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McCanne, Robert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Conley, Corinne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bigford, Thomas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Morgan, Justin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
anderson, melissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rogers, Jacey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Benedetto, Christy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sullivan, Brian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Plushner, Rachael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Meneely, Nicola	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
normand, danielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
neitz, nicole	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
hanlon, patricia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Keeler, Margaret	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Broom, Taylor	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Garfinkel, Judi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Szczypinski, Katie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
LaBrie, Louella	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gagnon, Lauren	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hayward, Marcia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brown, James Larry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ryan, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
hutchings, gloria	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Court, Any-Mae	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Taing, Melissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Arlan, Lorraine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Strakalaitis, Judy	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Morrison, Carol	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stave, Cynthia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Small, Cathy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mallinson, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Toms, Mary Angela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Morrison, William	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Plummer, Joan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
von Sacken, Silvia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Trabucco, Christi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Severance, Janice	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Griebsch, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bradford, Mallory	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Williams, Kayla	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Connell, Finn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Paris, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Galloway, CJ	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kendrick, Chelsea	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nelson, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Garofoli, Donna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Robinson, Jamie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Austin, Suzanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McMahon, Kathryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sevigny, Marcus	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pacapelli, Cheryle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Umiker, Richard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Raye, Charles	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pare, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
MacPherson, Caleigh	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

McMahon, Dana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Vidunas, Dalia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Piotrow, Meredith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lievens, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pike, Ariana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Long, Julian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cauchon, Patricia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Donahue, Nicole	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hwang, Megan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Posa, Dani	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Winkler, AnneMarie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
nowell, cody	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Carr, Alethea	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cullen, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Williamson, Perry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Robertson, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hardman, Kevin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bigford, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cappiello, Joyce	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Burger, Melanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
wyman, lucy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
RAUSCHENBERG, MAIA	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Price, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Corkery, Catherine	A Lobbyist	NH Sierra Club	Support
Albert Lehman, Robin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pike, Jordan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gilman, Meg	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Buchar, Laurie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lorentz, Carmen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McCoy, Meghan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pexton, Olivia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kahl, Matt	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Collins, Samantha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Picard, Pam	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
buchar, dave	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bardo, Elisebeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Merrick, Hon. Evalyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
ONeill, Sandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dale, Ethan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jones, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Manganiello, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Towne, Jillian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Morris, Scott	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jones, Andrew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
VALVANO, MARY	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Smith, Cantey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Thorn, Andrea	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Meathey, Suzanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Blaschuk, Julia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hill, Elaina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kikty, Rosanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bell, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bullivant, Robert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Butler, Ed	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Laurent, Suzanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
St.Germain, Kindred	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support



Gair, Peter	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bradshaw, Cam	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nicholson, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
bosak-harper, audra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ryan, Julia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Smith, Pamela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Baringer, Diane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kornhauser, Naomi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hwang, Frank	A Member of the Public	Myself and my family	Support
Ravitch, Nicolas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Eddy, Alessandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
snyder, patricia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gallant, Nicole	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Frigon, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
O'Neill, Kevin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Heres, Natalic	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
O'Neill, Nan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
snyder, john	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Begor, Everett	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
merrill, madison	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Meatley, Alice	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nemeth, Emese	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cooke, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mickela, Molly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
anderson, beth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Siede, Amanda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kennedy, Charlene	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Siede, Nicholas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bardo, Mark	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Magidoff, Avi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Prentiss, Courtney	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
pinkson-burke, ilsa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
DeStefano, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cav, Kaan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Deck, Jeff	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cawley, Park	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Monscin, Marilyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Singer, Karl	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hutchings, Isabella	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stewart, Alexandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Arsenault, Heather	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Allison, Suzanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brown, Jean	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Geraci, Kimberly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Haynes, Judith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kennedy, Carson	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
REMESCH, KATHERINE	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Madden, Sue	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rodon, Raymond	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sprague, Ann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sobelson, Carol	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Baringer, Kevin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Levy, Peter	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Graham, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Willing, Maura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Larsen, Sylvia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Roy, Margot	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kornhauser, Eve	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Muello, M.D., Wendy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bourgoine, Erin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
shanley, brenda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Greenwood, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Douillette, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moyer, Herbert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Briggs, Ronald	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bullivant, Carol	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Loosigian, Julie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Murray, Christine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Richter, Molly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moyer, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Madden, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Linnemann, Kathryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Tyler, Stephanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brown, Emma	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
LeBrun, Paul	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Orkin, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cahoon, Emma	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Martel, Zoe	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Genovese, Katherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jackson, Portia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Draper, Liza	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Perez, Maria	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Lukaszewicz, Debbey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
FURLONG, KARYN	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bravo, Sandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Henriques, Mike	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mundung, Jill	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
paine, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fontaine, Karina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
hass, martha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Harris, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Raviv, Theodore	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Persson, Amanda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Marble, Kathryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rich, Cecilia	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
Schmidt, Stefanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Drake, Lorraine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Greenwood-Briggs, Sabrina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dunwoody, Leah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Omu, Kelly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hunter, Kerry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Perkins, Valerie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Glunt, Barry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mickela, Tara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wong, Andrew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Caldon, Diane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Connors, Margaret	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
McCarthy, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Underwood, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ferriter, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kozikowski, Eva	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cierri, Ashley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Ghelfi, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bischoff, Dianne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brennan, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ferguson, Betsy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Carlsen, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Danca, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rex, Kelsey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Schachter, Pamela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Horangic, Diana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Buzzell, Eli	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Boucher, Jason	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kaplan, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Grossi, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
KEANE, MARY JANE	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Keane, Thomas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Meyers, pat	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
O'Neil, Jenny	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moody, Katie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mackey, Phyllis	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gagnon, Leane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hesketh, Martell	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
LaClair, Bec	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McNaught, Kelso	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Strand, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McElwain, Mary Lou	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Scacchi, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kathleen, Mulkern	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dawson, Thomas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
ODell Wilson, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brody, Bernice	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Broshock, Mary Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Petratos, Danielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
G, Renée	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rettew, Annie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Petratos, Frankie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Madnick, Marni	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kossick, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Pond, Martha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Boose, Edwina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sanders, MD, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Holt, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bartlett, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mulvey, Courtney	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Randall, Charlotte	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moenter, Lesley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Janski-Johnson, Jaden	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Carr, Michelle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lord, Kit	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
PELKEY, MARY	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Garrett, Kiera	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McCubrey, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Coughlin, Quinn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fanburg, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lemahieu, Martha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moenter, Benjamin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Facteau, Brooke	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

shore, Pamcla	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Buck, Jean	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hubbard, Susan P	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lindner, Jenny	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Palm, Allison	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Higgins, Gretchen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lamonte, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Qiuji, Liana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kane, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lenahan, Carol	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Blackford, Paul	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lavee, Doreen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Blair, Kalynn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Didona, Paige	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Carpentier, Cristina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dane, Veronica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jago, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Flemming, Paula	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Flemming, Donald	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hirai, barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moutis, Nick	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Scholl, Heather	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bogursky, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Craggy, Braden	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fellows, Gordon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
perencevich, ruth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
DePaolo, Pamela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wagner, Kristin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Crompton, Misty	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Meade, Sara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ferner, David R	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Witzling, Mara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Barriere, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ferner, Betty	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rook, Kaela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hafner, Daniel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Scott, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bathey, Karyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cole, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rebeck, Andrea	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Overko, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Crooker, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Carter, Marissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Richman, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Husby, Paul	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kinncy, Erica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Patten, Sylvia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Chick, Donna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Van Dusen, Danielld	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Chick, Harry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Glowa, Patricia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Robart Bal, Katherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Amarakoon, Shanika	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Snierson, Lynne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jeri, Karlos	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Herring, Eileen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Vigars, Nan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Barnard, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bell, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Clark, Debbie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ryan, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Weldon, Jan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Thomas, William	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Snyder, Kristina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hall, C	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Blaisdell, Judith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kraus, Carol	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Moryan, Willow	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jacobson, Brent	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wentzell, Hillary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Purrrington, Amanda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dcchan, Rence	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Orlowskyj, Lee	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Grudzien, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Petersen, Alison	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Vincent, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Vincent, Paul	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sorensen, Dylan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Soucy, Jeramy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fischel, Josh	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jean, Sheryl	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Woods, Renia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
O'Keefe, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mahony, Kelly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kugel, Brian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Morrison, Brooke	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Martel, Melanic	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cutshall, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Vivado, Mauricio	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Johnson, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Autumn Joy Grenier, Zoë	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wamser, Ayla	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stamas, Nicole	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cullen, Eliza	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Schiavo, Diane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
St.Martin, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wilson, Corri	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rosenbloom, Sara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dumont, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Padilla, Alexandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Guay, Katie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gatten, Ann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Smiley, Julie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Raycraft, Donna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wilkes, James	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Johnson, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Neuwirt, Lynne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
NYKAMP, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Childs, Rob	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Murphy, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Beaudet, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jennings, Christopher	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

baker, chris	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Goff, Shanon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kiernan, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Maricetta, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Glass, Jonathan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Crandell, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Termini, Marcella	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dolat Bartlett, Rep Christy	An Elected Official	Merrimack County 19	Support
Lindemann, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Desmond, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brown, Whitney	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Holleran, Jess	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Schwoerer, Faith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Porter, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McGowan, Annamarie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Linnanc Romasco, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hebert, Ann M	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Valant, Valerie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wood-Wilber, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
wilber, michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Simpson, Alexis	An Elected Official	Rockingham, District 36	Support
Reuthier, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bishop, Michelle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Reuther, Dieter	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Meneil, Sara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cronin, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Garland, Cynthia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lafond, Kayla	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rooke, KaJay	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cruikshank-Mercier, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wilhelm, Joanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Shor, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ridolfi, Lanice	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lindner, Sean	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nealon, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McCabe-Corrow, Kyla	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Antonucci, Lcann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Tucker, Aimee	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Miller, Judy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sprague, Taylor	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Salo, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wygonik, Erica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McCabe-Corrow, Aislinn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dobson, Ava	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Flynn, Michelle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mosier, Melinda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Murphy, Alyssa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dutton, Priscilla	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Carey, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McKim, James	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jones, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wieser, Kelly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Shirley, Frederick	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McInerney, Teresa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
St Martin, Olivia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
White, Katiemae	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Karanasios, Morgan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Feula, Austin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Abbott, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lory, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Regan, Bridget	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lyons, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kattar, Christine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cook, Barb	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Richella, Simard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mangipudi, Latha	An Elected Official	Myself and my constituents	Support
Chretien, Jacqueline	An Elected Official	Hillsborough 42	Support
Marinoff, Phyllis	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Card, Tayler	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sy, Jeanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hutchings, Betsy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
White, Joyce	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Frizzell, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Allen, Mary Grace	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fitzgerald, Olivia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jones, Geoffrey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rogers, Allison	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
L, T	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Circosta, Albert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Printzlau, Carl	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Campion, Polly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Van Wickler, Richard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Graham, James	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Batthey, Eryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Batthey, Breanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Thunberg, Molly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Raye, Nicole	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Widhu, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ackerson, Judith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
West-Senor, Kendra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ackerson, Kenneth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Tentarelli, Liz	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Yacopucci, William	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Eaton, Carol	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jenkins, Julie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rystar, Judith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dwyer, Duncan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
D'Orazio, Julia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kopp, McKenna	State Agency Staff	Myself	Support
Casey, Phil	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Harrington, Alexandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Warren, Carly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Iannuccillo, Elena	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ferris, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Drury, Jacquelyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lucas, Janet	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Smith, Carla	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nelson, Hannah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Poinier, Liza	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McAdam, Donna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
DiPasquale, Katy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Glahn, Hansi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Ferris, Hannah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Devore, Gary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stewart, Samantha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Reinhold, Lee	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stanley, Lynn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Osterholtz, Kathryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dahl, Dana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Schlosser, nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lescarbeau, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mohan, Kim	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
ward, janet	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Casey, Tina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Robertson, Doug	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Padmore, Michael	A Lobbyist	NH Medical Society	Support
LaCasse, Chloe	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Odom, Judith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Evans, Amber	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Plummer, Cassie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Keanc, Amelia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ferguson, Molly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Doolittle, Lisa Kelly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hayes, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gray, Caitlin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Antonucci, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mondrick, Rachel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Goff, Mikayla	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bowden, Eric	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wrubel, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Belanger, Benjamin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Faust, Haley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hancock, Emma	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ratliffe, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Parker, Craig	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Kolter, Conner	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
DiPasquale, Drew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
G, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Truc, Kelley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Varney, Cathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
johnson, glenda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bird, Sharon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Walker, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ferris, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McLaughlin, Tina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
mackey, michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Theriault, Emma	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Williams, Janet	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Harris, Benjamin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sawyer, Michala	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sawyer, Julie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Graham, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Shaw, Nava	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Desaulniers, Meredith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sawyer, Mara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Phillips, Paul	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Dworjanyn, Natalia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Vachon, Amanda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support



Flanders, Eric	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Rigazio, Melissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Roy, Rachel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Vazzano, Stephanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Jacobs, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Benenate, Taylor	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
DiNapoli, Pamela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gilmore, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Frisella, Alison	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Simpson, Bre	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Baskin, Anna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
mchenry, eugenia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stiles, Carolyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lamphear, Savannah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Keller, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Korchinski, Micaela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Woody, Savannah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Searcy, Haley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Ross-Parent, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Altschiller, Representative	An Elected Official	Myself	Support
CONNELLY, NAOMI	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McDonough, Benjamin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lutz, Matthew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Arsenian, Aimee	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Haffenreffer, Sofia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mejia, Leroy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Britton-Barrows, Dakota	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Levesque, Kimberly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Shanks, Penelope	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Belanger, Tara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Russell, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Wilson, Tamara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McCabe, Lauren	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Langley, Anna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Roy, Logan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Chew, Andrew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
von Beren, Izabella	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Norris, Justin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
von Beren, Beth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Drake, Kim	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cherrington, Lisa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cullen, Katelyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Nickulas, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stinson, Benjamin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
gallant, diane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Van Wagner, Bonnie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Spencer, Louise	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Sullivan, Paul	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Starkey, Janice	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Taylor, James	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Keefe, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Robinson, Sara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Mundahl, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
DeMott, Ruth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Chase, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Lucchetti, Alaina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support

Ahn, Maureen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Doyle, Maria	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hodgdon, Cindi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Maclachlan, Lauren	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Whalley, Logan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bullwinkel, Kayla	A Member of the Public	Myself and all people who can get pregnant	Support
Musc, Ren	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Fox, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
McCoy, A	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stewart, Allison	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Johnson, Lynn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Stewart, Kiritan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Burnett, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Shaw, Ann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Tingle, Madison	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Brady, Daniel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Gross, Todd	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Murray, Megan	An Elected Official	Hillsborough 22 Amherst, NH	Support
Ballou, Rebekah	State Agency Staff	Myself	Support
Warren, Joan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Marcou, Corinne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Steucek, Beth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Bronzetti, Cynthia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
North, Crystal	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Cioffi, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
jerry-wolcott, jocelyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Shevlin, Monique	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Coulter, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Widger, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Labrie, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Delaney, Susanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Maki, Crystle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Slovenski, Kenton	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Colby, Nanette	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Hodges, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Camp, Loryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Skinner, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support
Page, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ansah, Althea	A Member of the Public	New Hampshire Right to Life as the Secretary	Oppose
Pauer, Eric	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Scaer, Beth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Pauer, Diane	An Elected Official	Myself	Oppose
Riopelle, Diane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Graves, Mandy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Foley, Ryan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Laughner, Julie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Larson, Sherri	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Larson, Tom	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bradshaw, Shannon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Linehan, Maureen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hubbard, Jamie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
LaPlante, Kristina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hope, Kelly	A Member of the Public	Myself Kelly Hope	Oppose
Courant, Julie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bryant, Yolanda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
St Pierre, Kathryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

Kendrick, Donna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Smith, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
MARINO, BRIANNA	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Haefner, Aly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Haefner, Mark	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Haefner, Christopher	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Haefner, Scan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Haefner, Brigid	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Haefner, Benjamin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Haefner, Mary Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
yvars, nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Yvars, Jack	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Fournier, Wilfred	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
LeClair, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Milligan, Germaine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cook, Celeste	An Elccted Official	Myself	Oppose
Moore, Katherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kalpakistan, Michele	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
O'Hearn, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kalpakistan, Aram	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Perl, Chris	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Owens, Madison	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Carroll, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
laplume, richard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Entrekin, Bryan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bord, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bord, Christopher	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Carter, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cabana, Glenn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cabana, Denise	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Constantian, Mark	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
landry, rosemary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rahilly, Monica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Grady, Virginia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Davis, Helena	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Clifford, Christina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Davis, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hickey, Vernon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cormier, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Perron, Bernard and Dorothy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Narrol, Jordan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Largy, Dawn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
MacNeil, Leonard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
TORRESSEN, Gary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wheeler, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Larson, Dcanna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bishop, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gendron, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Russamano, Lcann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hamel, Ben	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bissonnette, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Catano, Patricia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bieschke, Cathy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lincoln, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McGrath, Elaine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Page, Bette	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

Brooks, Lew and Jeanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bliss, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Biscornet, Brenda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
DEJAGER JR, PETER	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hamel, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
AuCoin, Richard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
St Martin, Gloria	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
BATSON, ROBERT	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Schilling, Janelle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
St Martin, Paul	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Aucoin, Martha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Schilling, Austin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dickinson, Patricia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McCarthy, Judith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Montine, James	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Monroe, Henry	A Member of the Public	Myself Henry N Monroe	Oppose
White, Raymond	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Peterson, Kathy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McNamara, Kevin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Duris, Anthony	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Reis, Kimberly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Halbach, Kelly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
HARUBIN, DAVID	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Morello, Annette	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Paluch, Rachel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
graustein, alan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Shanley, Eric	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Birck, Daniel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
De Jager, Gwyneth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Clay, Denise	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bishop, Gary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Phillips, Norma	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mack, Donald	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mack, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Morse, James	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Brock, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gilbert, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Garon, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Brock, Kenneth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Garon, R joseph	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Williams, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Safford, Benjamin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Adams, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Graham, Heidi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Burwen, Patti	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Grasso, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Catano, Lou	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kaminski, Marie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Burwen, Ken	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Levesque, Maria	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ferguson, Elaine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Johnson, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Locke, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
lepage, priscilla	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Jorgensen, Patricia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hedstrom, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

Dwyer, Debra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dwyer, Matthew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Scaer, Stephen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Strawbridge, Lucy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Orlep, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hubacker, Neil	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Marcoux, Daniel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hoyt, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
El-Azem, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kanu, Coral	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kanu, Alpha	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lamoureux, Cheryl A.	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Clark, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Axelman, Elliot	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Manuse, Andrew J	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rigazio, Sandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Potucek, John	An Elected Official	Myself	Oppose
Denis, Stephen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Jordan, Kevin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
GORDON, SANDRA	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Guyen, Taci	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lessard, Dec	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Brown, Roger	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Brown, Joyce	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lindenberg, Lorraine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
LaRoche, Pamela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Smith, Bill	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sullivan, Alexandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Diaz, Rachel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Diaz, Carmelo	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Jorgensen, Beth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Jorgensen, MD, Keith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Clark, Sundy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Preston, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dennehy, Roy K	An Elected Official	Myself	Oppose
Dennehy, Mary Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Schaefer, Debbi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gentile, Chris	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kingsley, Christine and Scott	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Carlson, Helen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Brown, Jeremy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mathson, Margaret	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
HURLEY, JACQUELINE	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Monico, Diane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Poteat, Vance	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McBride, Rose	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rowe, Heidi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Poteat, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mathicu, Jean	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Younus, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Younus, Laurine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Berger, Lisa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Prescott, Daniel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Prescott, Valerie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Berger, Alan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
LeBlanc, Constance	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

LeBlanc, Maurice	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Stapleton, Walter	An Elected Official	My Constituents	Oppose
Berger, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Berger, Katie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dukelow, Gayle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Worrall, Elena	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Grasso, Cynthia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Jacoby, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Nickerson, Paul	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Nickerson, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Masson, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Brodeur, Edward	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hettrick, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gabert, Liz	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Boyle, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Safford, Loriann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dorsey, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Friar, Sonya	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
StPierre, Lisa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Pierog, Kimberly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hilton, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Safford, Samuel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dangel, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kimball, Stephen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
ANAN, JAMES	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Fallon, Anthony	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bissonnette, Sandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Suszek, Gerald	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Foote, Suzanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kidney, Tammy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Silber, Rep Norm	An Elected Official	Belknap County District 2	Oppose
Pawlik, Darlene	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Swiriduk, LS Elisabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Joyce, Michele	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Murphy, Jim and Patsy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Earl, Dale	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Griffin, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Etringer, Sister	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Scholes, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bowen, Brenden	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McMullen, Claire	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ketteler, Claire	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Goedecke, Herb	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Goedecke, Viktoria	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Knightly, Dorothy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bailey, Celeste	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bailey, Todd	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Reddy, Sister Mary Rose	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rouleau, Holly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Coughlin, Sharon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rouleau, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Noronha, Allethaire	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Darrow, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ferraro, Gail	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Correira, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Muse, Sister Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

Whitney, Yvonne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mason, Richard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Peightell, Jan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Fortin, Lucille	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Timmins, Courtney	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Patton, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Timmins, Jeremiah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Swenson, Liz	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kiefer, Patrick	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tuttle, Philip	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tuttle, Kaylin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sihombing, Chelsea	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ferrantello, Anthony	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mullen, Nathaniel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dion, Donna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Clark, Victoria	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wilder, Jeffrey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wilder, Clara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Goduti, Paula	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wheeler, Douglas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Davis, Keith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Moore, Joanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Chicoine, Diane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Avard, Paul	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tavanyar, Yvonne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Otto, Kathryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tavanyar, Simon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Weir, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Massad, Sister Esther Marie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Huyett, Ian	A Lobbyist	Cornerstone	Oppose
Longacre, Angela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lomanno, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bender, Lorie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hart, Janet	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Durand, Rick	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lloyd, Gisela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lloyd, E.A.	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Guerdet, Carolyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Olson, Noella	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
King, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
King, Ashley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gage, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Worsman, Collette	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mackie, Danielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
ANGELIS, CHERYL	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
O'Day, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Turcotte, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Peternel, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Clifton, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Le Doux, Julie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Geck, Laurie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Williams, Mark	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
French, Mark	A Member of the Public	Myself & my Spouse	Oppose
Zelie, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Treadwell, Alchson	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Anan, Jimmy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

Moore, Brian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Williams, Lucinda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
LaMontagne, Ruth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Parrish, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ellis, Lori	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Savage, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Savage, Kenneth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Pounds, Jacqueline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Guptill, Jill	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kott, Steve	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Savastano, Thomas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Savastano, Ann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Myers, Patrice	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Samuel, Ashish	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Anan, Laurie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Spalthoff, Christopher	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
beliveau, kristine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mello, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Post, Gabriel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Faris, Fouad	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Silver, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Richardson, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Widness, Malcolm	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lombardi, Lori	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Colquhoun, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Washer, Juliann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Reed, Eleanor	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Runey, Dennis	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kaminski, Paul	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Matuszewski, John P.	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Scott, Andrew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gannon, Cathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Van Wagner, Darrell	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kotta, George	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Murray, Timothy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Washer, Steven	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hagen, Tina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Blaine, Marcia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dunn, Keri	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Pollak, Melissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kennedy, Meg	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Turner, Renee	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Thomas, Joanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cormier, Maurice	A Member of the Public	Loudon Congregational Church	Oppose
Cormier, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Saba, Robin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Caroselli, Francine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kelleher, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Burgess, Robert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Melvin, Daniel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
House, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cantwell, Kara	State Agency Staff	Myself	Oppose
Will, Jo	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Whiteneck, Stephanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bresciano, Lisa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Maltzie, Scott	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose



Warriner, Andrea	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hanson, Marie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Levasseur, Donna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Trefncy, Kimberly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Brown, Claudia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Worrall, James	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Worrall, Kathy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Forslind, Ingrid	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Craig, Lauren	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Forrester, Clare	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Milone, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Walker, jeannette	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Graustein, Joan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Schofield, Deb	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Butler, Sylvie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ryan, Gretchen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Brennan, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Brown, Jacqueline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Anderson, Cindee	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McKinney, Carolyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Evans, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Le Doux, Amiee	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hodgson, Sherree	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Genus, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Whitney, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Biron, Bernard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
johnson, steve	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Thomassian, Dorothy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Martin, Pamela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Morin, Eric	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mickela, Meghan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Carpenter, S	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Collins, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Martin, Donald	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Johnson, Vivian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Fahey, Catriona	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wells, Claudia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hey, M	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Marvin, Kurt	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Long, Sharon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Reese, Karen Lee	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lussier, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Del Rosario, Kelly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sederquest, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Zacher, Rose	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Swcency, Margaret	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Laflamme, Paul	State Agency Staff	Myself	Oppose
Kenny, Aveen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Patrick, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wadlinger, Marybeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Plunkett, Erin-Dail	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Werner, Rence	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Shaw, Jill	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Werner, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wallace, Andrew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gable, Aaron	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

Bishop, Ann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gable, Melissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Werner, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Grosky, Shelley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kaschak, Julia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Oakes, Lisa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Howe, Sharon M	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McCahon, Erin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Huntley, Francesca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Holland, Stephen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
drago, melanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sirois, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Fitts, Melodie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
drago, michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McDonald, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Towne, Brenda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cembalisty, Clara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Costenbader, Melody	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cembalisty, Richard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wallace, Christine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cianci, Leyna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Costenbader, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Herrick, Coreen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Price, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Woods, Judith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cianci, Christopher	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McDonald, Derek	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hibbard, Elaine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hibbard, Leonard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Adsitt, June	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Szymansky, Melissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kenda, Stephen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
St. Peter, Holly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Smith, Melanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hinckley, Cheryl	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Doyle, Alex	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gamer, Veronica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hinckley, Edward	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
O'Brien, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McCabe, Nicola	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hall, Charles	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Latino, Christine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Muller, Marie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Coutu, Bob	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Pumilia, MaryAnn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Coutu, Khinly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
OBrien, Dylan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Keller, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Carpenter, Scott	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Shiebler, Cheryl	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Murray, Patricia	A Member of the Public	Myself Patricia Murray	Oppose
Le Doux, Christian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lavigne, Christy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mandeville, Julia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Baillargeon, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Keena, Rachael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

Beaudoin, Sherry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Fulgieri, Sunna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ouellette, Kelly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Shiebler, Russ	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Temple, Beverly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gregory, Doug	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ouellette, Kyle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bollerud, kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gardner, Mary Frances	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Robinson, Richard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Brisson, Mackenzie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Martin, Jeanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Levesque, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lamontagne, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Baillargeon, Marc	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Fitts, Adrian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Temple, Cameron	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Johnson, Jill	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Johnson, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Taylor, Allyson	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Proulx, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Collins, Drew and Joy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mason, Judith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Pinette, Sandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cheevers, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tierney, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dupont, Pierre	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dudley, Gwen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dudley, Benjamin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mills, Bruce	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
samuels, laurie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ruocco, Holly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Balzano, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tuttle, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lamontagne, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
York, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
THIBAULT, NORMAN	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Collins, Joy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mandeville, Estelle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hopkins, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lewis, Michael and Pamela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Leblanc, Nathalie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Allen, Lydia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Smith, Thom	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Grenier, Wendy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kolb, Ellen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Schafer, Shasta	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Albrecht, Tom	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lyczak, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
wells, marnie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Winslow, Greg	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Peik, Leslie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Childs, Kathy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Abare, Kimberly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Winslow, Suzanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Abare, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

Tomasello, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wardell, Robert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gough, Jacqueline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gilmore, Kendall	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tuttle, Annette	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hussey, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Habaj, Edward	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Russell, Leslie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Vierow, Heith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McKenzie, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cucci, Rachel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Post, Shawn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sweeting, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kilgore, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Moore, Jenai	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
LaMontagne, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tower, Carol	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Burger, William C	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kelley, Christine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rocheleau, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Smith, Gabriel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kennedy, Kim	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tuttle, Lori	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Vierow, Sara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kimball, Maureen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Parry, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Habaj, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wells, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Grnnett, Marikaye	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gilliland, Gabrielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Garnett, Almer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Barnhart, Cheryl	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hall, Geneva	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wells, Noah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Beatrice, Donna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hohler, Beth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Beatrice, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Beatrice, Angela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Beatrice, Gianna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hamilton, Andrea	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Petit, Beth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rocheleau, Lionel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hamilton, Patrick	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lamontagne, Joseph	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Winslow, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Boutin, Stan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bock, Debra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lamontagne, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lindner, Theresa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Soucy, Kelly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Moriarty, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Patient, Carole	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Accomando, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Moriarty, Mark	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bannon, Cynthia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Soucy, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

Gallaher, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gehring, Katherine Keefe	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Molloy, Veronica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Szymansky, Ken	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sorber, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Harned, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Smith, Robert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gehring, William C.	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tom, Jan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Fahey, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Meier, Rachel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Medeiros, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lloyd, Mario-Bernarde	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
souza, kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Depelteau, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Fercira, Melissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dajka, Magdalena	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gauthier, Paula	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dunlap, Elisabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Pictrowski, Diane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wightman, Yvonne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lavoie, Judy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bock, Gerald	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Pray, D.	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Simon, Christine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Langlois, Robert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rieger, Danielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Linder, Rachel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Araldi, Maura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Stevenson, Linnea	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Arvidson, Emmalie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gosztyla, Allison	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
ARVIDSON JR, William w	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Chandonnet, Kim	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lyons, Charissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lyons, Mark	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McCormick, Grace	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lyons, Corinne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lyons, Linnea	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ruiz, Ricky	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Butow, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
White, Natalie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rees, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Russell, Laurie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Pauwels, Steve	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Milligan, Moira	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hennessey, Jason	A Member of the Public	NH Right to Life	Oppose
lang, anthony	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Banks, Stanley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Doty, Charles	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McMeeken, Natalie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Morse, Cheryl	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
De Rosa, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rees, Rita	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Chess, Valerie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Christoffersen, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

O'Connell, Darlene	A Member of the Public	R	Oppose
Delano, Janie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Miller, Emilie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Landolfi, Larry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bouchard, Ann	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Parasco, Andrea	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bobolia, James and Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Seuss, Chris	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Love, Representative David	An Elected Official	Rockingham county district 6	Oppose
Freeman, Honorable Lisa M	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Osgood, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sohmer, Mark	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Narkis, Kathy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Collier, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Fahey, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tullis, Lillian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Monasky, Karen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ankarberg, Aidan	An Elected Official	Myself	Oppose
Remillard, Heather	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tuttle, Gordon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Foster, Debra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Westbrook, Tenley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
MacFarlane, Scott	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
O'Malley, Christine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Crabb, Robert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Crabb, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Arone, Ann Marie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Girard, Madeleine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tower, Heather	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rogers, Christine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Landry, Jacqueline	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Calabro, Lisa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Vita, Josephine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Marshall, Elena	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
MERKT, RICHARD	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cunis, Eileen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ninc, Kimberly	A Member of the Public	Kim Ninc	Oppose
Shawver, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Foster, Susan G	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Handy, Greg	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Deroo, Dirk	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hill, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lacourse, GactanMarie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Millian, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rieter, Suzanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Marcoux, Gabriel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Swearingin, Wayne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ouellette, Michelle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Feagler, Olivia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sullivan, Melissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Keefe, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bryant, Ashley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Messina, Brian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Susan, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Fortin, Cecile	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Strycharz, Jorgen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

Nardi, Maria	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Vita, Bob	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Seale, Jill	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Johnson, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	My self	Oppose
Winslow, Ruth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gullifa, Katheryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Saari, Kathie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sylvestre, Tyanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
STARRING, JAN	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gibbons, Bill	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kelley, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wizboski Jr, Chet	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
DeYoung, Nick	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dutile, Shaun	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Brooks, Dave	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Testerman, Patrick	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kashulines, Daniel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dumont, Rick	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McIntire, Tanya	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kofalt, Cameron	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dubous, Philip	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Stoughton, Danielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
MacDougall, Ryan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Champney, Kate	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rotch, Jean	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lawrence, laurie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Johnson, Heather	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Crisp, Terry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Millian, Timothy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dougherty, Robin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Johnson, Jason	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
York, Andrew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dougherty, Thomas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Valentine, Marilyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Walsh, Eric	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Keena, Justin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wagner, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Healy, Nicholas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Murch, Heather	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
M Champagne, Lauren	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Pedone, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Giuda, Bob	An Elected Official	Senate District 2	Oppose
Philbrick, Jeffrey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Champagne, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Shillaber, Jillian	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Briseno, Jennyfher	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Brouillard, Dawn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Jacob, Richard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Thibodeau, Jeff	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Jacob, Michelle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Prout, Ryan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Preston, Christine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mellish, Todd	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Robinson, Michelle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bodell, Allyson	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bernier, Cheryl	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

Hendrick, Lisa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Prout, audrey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Landel, Tina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bernier, Christopher	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bolton, Ellen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Curran, Donna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gorski, Henry	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Craioveanu, Kelly	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Parr, Laurie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Metaxotos, Sandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Plourde, Amanda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tautkus, Siobhan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Johnsick Sr, Joe	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Barton, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Craioveanu, Radu	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Urella, Joyce	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Farrelly, Devin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hunter, Kristina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
DeCaprio, Mike	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sutherland, Connie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Slavin, Bobbi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hendrick, Will	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Homola, Sue	An Elected Official	Myself	Oppose
Romito, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Arcaro, Janice	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lloyd, Jean	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cuduco, Eliot	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Prescott, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Roux, Deb	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Messina, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Nicolcetti, Angela	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Perl, Leigh	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Snow, Danielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Page, Glenn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sereno, Tina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Federschneider, Anastasia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Page, Marilyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mitchell, Kristina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Burnham, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Clark, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hammond, Victoria	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gorski, Jeanmarie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Prescott, Perrin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
OKeefe, Bonnie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
OKeefe, Drew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Johnsnon, Jane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lang, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Golparvar, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mclaughlin, Bernadette	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hamel, Madeleine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tozier, Theresa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Case, Jill	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Capulso, Christopher	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
DeCaprio, Trish	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Roc, Kathy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Neault, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose



Guinta, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Carr, Lindsay	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wedge, Eastman	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lacasse, Jaimason	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Smith, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Andronico, Donna	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mitchell, Jacob	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Nalevanko, Joy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mancino, Kathryn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ploskonka, Deb	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ellis, Amber	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ellis, Carl	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cronin, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mancino, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Michaud, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ferreira, Matt	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Silver, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cooley, Diane	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Clegg, Richard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Shaw, Peggy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Drake, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Remillard, Doreen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Scott, Theresa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Elio, Margaret	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Pollock, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sluder, Stephanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Petrosky, Ashley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kelly, Corinne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Morehouse, Brendan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Radziewicz, Joanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Michalman, Christopher	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Monterio, Kimberley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lamontagne, Keri	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Barton, Louis	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Littlefield, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Frankie, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Stout, Jacob	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Tontodonato, Marie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rose, Pitarys	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Golparvar, MH	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Pitarys, Byron	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wallace, Gary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Crawford, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sullivan, Gloria	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McLaughlin, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Jewell, Janice	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Pollock, Matthew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hunt, Dianne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mitchell, Cindy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Palmer, Emily	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mitchell, Andrew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cybulski, Melody	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sanderson, Mary Sue	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Raineault, Steve	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Vaughan, Rachel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Buckley, Peter	State Agency Staff	Myself	Oppose

Statz, Rachel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hodges, Joan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cote, Lisa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ledoux, Max	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Emond, Ursula	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Nelson, Ellyn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bernstein, Barbie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McGinnin, Lindsey	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sanderson, Doug	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Krul, Lucille	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Milham, Leza	A Member of the Public	My self	Oppose
VOLKERS, JEFFREY	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Scheidegg, Carl	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Doucette, Bre	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lightfoot, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Moorman, Eva	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Proulx, Roger	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
O'Brien, Mallory	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Laverty, Jennifer	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Moorman, Elijah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Drigian, Bryon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bernier, Irene	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Baptiste, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bernier, Joy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bolduc, Tiffani	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ratay, Thomas	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Drigian, Doreen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bolton, Susan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Quimby, Jessica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lignos, Peter	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Walsh, Matthew	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Sontag, paul	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Oflaherty, Kaitlin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Twohig, Mark	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Paradis, Laurie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hansen, Kerri	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ridlon, Curt	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Christensen, Ryan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Manning, Kevin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Malone, Sharon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Paul, Karyl	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bravo, Christie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Pham, Diana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Murphy, Stephanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Wilbur, Joseph	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Marcoux, Joseph	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Girard, Dominic	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lacourse, Denise	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mcgrath, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Goddard, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Scott, JP	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Swenson, Marc	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Helgesen, Diana	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dyer, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gabel, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hoffman, Christy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

scrivani, lorraine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hyatt, Ellen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rice, Erica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Szulc, Amy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Coventry, Dianne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ruotsala, Melissa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Chang, Denise	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Strodel, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Larson, Bryan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kozak, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hogan, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Moseley, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Goodhue, Celeste	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
hennessey, xindi	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mitchum, Dan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Szulc, Jeanne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Calderon, Monica	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Merritt, Catherine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Claver, savannah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Paradis, Eric	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Smith, Laura	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Johnson, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Szulc, Walter	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Bolduc, Tara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Burnham, James	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Kuhn, Yolanda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Schwaegler, Victoria	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Granger, Sara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McCahon, Rico	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
O'Mara, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McMorran, Deborah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hasty, Bradford	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Craioveanu, Sarah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
See, Alvin	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
LeBlanc, Jeanine	A Member of the Public	Jeanine M. LeBlanc	Oppose
Lawrence, Dave	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
kepler, Marianne	A Member of the Public	Marianne Kepler	Oppose
Sage, Celeste	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Canaway, Sandra	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Walsh, Carlinda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ferreira, Aaron	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dunn, Robert	A Lobbyist	Roman Catholic Bishop of Manchester	Oppose
kepler, Lawrence	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gendre, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
kepler, lucia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Henry, Kathleen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Parr, Shannon	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
De Almeida, Kristen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Moffett, John	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
HINTON, GLORIA	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
DEWITT, DAVID	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Burke, Kimberley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Drenning, Heather	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Dubois, Christopher	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Chizook, Stephen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Drenning, Harmony	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

Mazurkiewicz, Maureen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ballaro, Jodie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
DRENNING, JEFFREY	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hatch, Celine	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Szulec, Michael	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
West, Darlene	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Rheaume, Gail	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cimini, Rob	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Evans, Teresa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Maxwell, Ronald	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Jorgensen, Dawn	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lafferty, Al	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Baker, Hannah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Chase, Cindy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Donaldson, Marcia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Aseltine, David	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Griffin, Greg	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hosman, Allyson	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Turner, Lauren	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McKinley, Chris	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Berkman, Robert	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Szulec, Melanie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
DeJoie, Stacie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Szulec, Stephen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
DeWitt, Judy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cieszka, Shirley	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
C, A	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Farrington, Anne	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Ernich, Alan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Clarke, Susannah	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Powell, Mary	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Glines, Sue	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Nicoletti, Richard	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Frulla, Rebecca	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Decatur, Lillemor	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Poland, Barbara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Freitas, Andreia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Blakeney, Nicole	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Elliott, Michele	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Leach, MICHAEL & PATRICE	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Corbett, Alice	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lambert, Christina	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Mock, Kali	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Lewis, Lauren	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Partington, Vanessa	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Breuder, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
LeBlanc Jr, Richard J	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Weiner, Kathy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Darragh, Nancy	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Cerbone, Astrid	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Skinner, Paula	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Nickerson, Elizabeth	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Meagher, Linda	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Camarota, Hon. Linda Rea	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Quirk, Judith	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Paynter, Julie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose

Whitton, Marie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Harrington, Tristan	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Roberts, Connie	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Hall, Tara	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
McNeel, Joyce	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Roy, Daniel	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Schaefer, Stephen	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Harrington, Isaac	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Statires, Sonia	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Gardiner, Renee	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose
Watson, Danielle	A Member of the Public	Myself	Neutral

# Testimony

## Oral Testimony Supporting SB399

Good afternoon, my name is Amanda Elizabeth Toll, and I represent the City of Keene at large. I am here today in support of SB 399-FN, which would repeal NH's abortion ban and ultrasound mandate. I, along with the vast majority of my constituents, oppose New Hampshire's abortion ban and mandatory ultrasound requirement. New Hampshire's abortion ban and ultrasound requirement are an affront to Granite Staters who value freedom, gender justice, the sanctity of the patient provider relationship, healthcare access, and our civil liberties. New Hampshire's residents are very supportive of reproductive freedom; some of the most supportive in the country. Polling shows that the abortion ban is deeply unpopular with Granite Staters.

I also want to speak in support of this legislation not just as a legislator but as someone who has needed abortion care. My abortion let me live the life I wanted to live— to go to college and grad school, to open my own business, to have a child when I was ready, and to become a state Representative who is advocating for public policy based in compassion and justice. This played a role in my development into a reproductive justice activist, something I've been involved in for more than twenty years.

Sharing my own abortion story for years, hearing the stories of others, I know one thing for sure: abortion care is an intersectional issue. People of all economic classes, races, and religions access abortion care. Legal barriers to abortion will not stop abortions. They will only keep disenfranchised folks from accessing the care they need, sometimes with deadly results. As government officials, our goal should be to expand access to care, not to limit it. Abortion is healthcare, and healthcare should be a basic human right. I hope the senate judiciary committee does the right thing, stands by providers and their patients, and passes SB399-FN.

Finally, I also strongly support SB436, The Access to Abortion Care Act, which will be heard later today. Given that there are many voices waiting to be heard today, I am submitting written testimony in favor of it. I strongly encourage you to closely listen and read all the heartfelt testimonies in favor of that bill later today.

## **Senator Suzanne Prentiss, Senate District 5**

### **Testimony in Support of SB 399-FN, repealing the fetal health protection act.**

#### **Senate Judiciary Committee - 1/19/22**

Thank you, Madam Chair. I am Senator Suzanne Prentiss, representing Senate District 5. Today, I am here in support of SB 399.

When I came to NH in 1992, I began working on a rural health outreach project, focused on improving the primary care safety net through building our EMS system stability. Based at Dartmouth Medical School, I traveled from the north country down to Claremont addressing the impacts our lack of primary care providers was having on access to healthcare, while better preparing them to do their job filling the gaps.

Starting in the mid-2000's, hospitals in NH saw the closing of maternity/birthing centers mostly in the northern part of NH, what we call maternity deserts. Teaming up with obstetrical care professionals from Dartmouth, once again I took up the mission of access to health care this time, maternal-fetal care. We worked on the development of a system of triage, training for EMS providers and for Emergency Department staff to help them identify and manage maternal-fetal emergencies as well as get them to definitive care. Cited early on was meeting the staffing demands of birthing centers, risk, and reimbursement. Our ability to attract new providers in NH had been injured.

Over the past 20 years, New Hampshire has lost 10 labor and delivery units — most recently the unit at Derry's Parkland Medical Center closed in 2020 — leaving swaths of the state without a hospital unit that specializes in delivering babies. This is no longer just a rural NH problem. The criminalization of OBGYN providers in HB 2 of last year will continue to impact staffing challenges. I am so proud to have DHMC in my District. A world class multi-specialty, tertiary care, and academic medical center. D-H has the only OB training program in NH. I have spoken with a long time OB at D-H, she assures me that criminalizing care given by OB providers is going to make it more difficult to recruit and retain the four OB providers they train every year. When prospective providers have choices, a state with criminal penalties for doctors is not an attractive one in which to practice. Consider specialty practices, like neonatologists, they will face the same questions and concerns when investigating NH as a place to practice medicine. Is this the consequence we want?

Healthcare cannot pivot based upon who sits in these seats, rather it must be grounded in best practice, medical evidence, not politics. Care should be determined by providers who know the literature, adhere to best practices and standards and are trained to provide care. Banning abortions after 24 weeks, with no exceptions, with a requirement for a transvaginal ultrasound, criminalizing providers and legislators inserting themselves into personal, medical decisions, was cruel, insensitive, and simply wrong. In a state with the best doctors in the world, there was



no reason that NH should be sending patients out of state for care that doctors here in NH are trained to provide.

This new law has ripping effects on the health care landscape, not just in my district but throughout the entire state. We heard this from providers, and we also heard it from the HHS commissioner - it will damage our chances at recruiting and retaining the best OB care providers, benefiting all Granite Staters. The road we are on now furthers the stressed state of OB care in NH and sets us up to fail, fail our families, our healthcare providers and our future.

Today, we have a chance to do what's best in the Granite State for the people we serve, and to undo the damage we've done so we can get back to conducting the business of the people.

**Please vote ITL in OPPOSITION to SB399**

Dear Honorable Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee:

I oppose this because:

No matter the situation of the mother or the condition of the unborn baby- it is still a HUMAN with a right to life, and

The life of the mother is safer not having an abortion and, in rape cases, the mother would know before 24 weeks that she is pregnant and be able to terminate her pregnancy.

The elimination of the ultra sound requirement is the only objective measure of gestational age to determine whether or not a human can be legally terminated /aborted.

Sincerely,

Representative Linda Gould,

*Representative Linda Gould*

District 7, Bedford

January 19th, 2022  
Senate Judiciary Committee

In Support of SB 399 - Repealing the fetal health protection act

Hello, my name is Josie Pinto, I'm an MPH student at the Dartmouth Institute for Health Policy and Clinical Practice, and I'm the founder and Executive Director of the Reproductive Freedom Fund of New Hampshire. We're an organization working to reduce barriers to abortion access for all Granite Staters through direct funding of abortion care, providing logistical support, and providing information and education relating to reproductive health in our state.

I come before you all today to express my strong support for SB 399. The abortion ban and ultrasound mandate that went into effect on January 1st have already had very harmful consequences for the organization I run. As an abortion fund that helps patients access critical care at all points in pregnancy, and particularly supports patients who are in financial duress, the abortion ban means patients will have to travel further for care and endure higher costs, not only for their procedures but for things like hotel rooms, transportation, and childcare. This places a major burden not just on these patients, but on our organization's ability to meet the needs of these patients.

To focus in on the ultrasound mandate, which I believe to be cruel and medically unnecessary, I'd like to give a brief overview of the current landscape of abortion care. As of right now, patients are able to receive abortion care through a wider variety of means than ever before. Since the year 2000, patients have been able to end pregnancy using a combination of Mifepristone and Misoprostol - 5 easy pills taken over the course of 2-24 hours that induce a miscarriage. Because of COVID restricting patients' access to in-person care, the FDA has approved mifepristone and misoprostol for telemedicine prescription and administration. This means that patients can access providers via telehealth, go through a verbal intake process with their provider, and receive their abortion pills by mail, with some services costing patients only \$150. This allows them to administer their abortion in the safety and comfort of their own home, surrounded by their chosen support systems, and safe from a deadly communicable virus. Abortion pills are 95% effective and have a lower complication rate than Tylenol and Viagra. Not only that, but with a provider shortage patients often have to wait several weeks to find an available appointment with an in-person provider. Here in New Hampshire, that means rural patients sometimes drive 2 to 4 hours to a clinic, risking exposure to COVID, taking time off work, finding childcare, paying for gas, and other expenses involved with accessing in-clinic care. These are massive barriers that we see patients struggle with every single day.

Telehealth is the future of abortion care. During the Covid-19 pandemic, even clinics have innovated the way in which they provide abortion care, and have shown how

abortion can successfully occur without a patient needing to set foot into a clinic - reducing a huge barrier to access. Our homes, for those of us privileged enough to be housed and safe during this pandemic, have become safe havens - and many people prefer to have their abortions from the comfort and safety of their own home. The bottom line is: every patient deserves the full breadth of options available to them. Of course, there will still be plenty of patients who prefer in-clinic care, and they must also be able to access that care without mandatory, invasive, and medically unnecessary ultrasounds. This mandate effectively eliminates a full process of healthcare that patients should be able to choose to access, forcing every single patient to walk into a clinic, which poses a massive barrier for many.

The FDA has approved the use of medication abortion without ultrasound. Most other states allow for it as well. Why should New Hampshire be behind and stand alone in opposing modern medical standards that increase our bodily freedom and our right to choose how we access medicine? I strongly urge you to support repealing the Fetal Health Protection Act today. Thank you for your time. I am happy to take questions.

Josie Pinto

Executive Director, Reproductive Freedom Fund of New Hampshire  
Constituent of Dover, New Hampshire

January 19th, 2022  
Senate Judiciary Committee

In Support of SB 399 - Repealing the fetal health protection act.

Hi, my name is Jordan Applewhite, I live in Sugar Hill.

I'm coming before you all today to express my strong support for SB 399, repealing the fetal health protection act. As someone who lives in the North Country, I'm very concerned about the increased barriers to access that my community now faces as a result of this legislation going into effect on January 1st. Up north, we do not have any places where people can access abortion care. The only options available to them are driving to either Concord or Vermont, each being at least an hour if not more away. This leaves many people to seek out the services of Crisis Pregnancy Centers, which there are many of up North. These places appear to offer care to patients, but are really extremely manipulative facilities that work to convince patients to not go through with an abortion. Given that this is often the only place many people know to turn to, I can't imagine how many people seeking abortions have been forced to continue with their pregnancies against their will due to their lack of access. The Fetal health protection act only works to increase those barriers to access even more.

Recently, I learned that there is a way patients can access abortion care from the comfort of their own home, utilizing telehealth medicine and getting abortion pills delivered to their door. I was so excited to help spread the word about this option to my community, who I knew would benefit greatly from this increased form of abortion access. But right when I had just started to do outreach about this, the ultrasound mandate went into effect, making this option impossible to access.

We know that abortion bans disproportionately harm those who already face the greatest barriers to care, including people with lower incomes, people of color, women, LGBTQ people, people with disabilities, and people in rural communities like mine. My community already faces so many barriers when it comes to accessing any kind of specialized care - from the lack of internet coverage throughout the north country, to the lack of public transportation, and with the misleading and incorrect information perpetuated by Crisis Pregnancy Centers and other groups looking to make abortion harder to access. It's hard enough to find doctors willing to work up north without this legislation further putting them at risk. Overturning this ultrasound mandate and abortion ban is just one small thing you all have the power to do in order to make rural access slightly better - which will in turn work to address poverty and other issues that can stem from having to continue an unwanted pregnancy. My community desperately needs more, not less, access to abortion care.

Thank you for your time, and I will not be taking questions at this time.

Senate Judiciary Committee Hearing 01/19/2022  
Senate Bill 399 Testimony  
Pastor Peter Chamberland

*Madam Chair*

Thank you, ~~Chairman~~ & Committee, for hearing testimony today. I am Peter Chamberland, the Pastor of Granite State Baptist Church here in Concord, NH. I testify today in adamant opposition to this bill to repeal the Fetal Life Protection Law along with any other attempt to move forward the atrocity in NH of terminating the lives of unborn babies. There are several reasons, for which, I am opposed to this repeal but will only spend my time on a few.

First, and the most minor of the objections is the financial reason stated behind the proposal of this repeal. As a citizen, to put forth that repealing this bill will reduce the financial burden upon the state is almost comical because the sponsors of this repeal are very rarely concerned about reducing the financial burden but continually look to increase spending and taxes upon Granite Staters. The real purpose of this repeal should not be hidden behind a façade of fiscal responsibility in spending.

Second, and the primary objection, is that, as a Pastor, it is time to say that the killing of unborn babies in the womb of their mother is wrong, unrighteous, against the Word of God, and a dark stain on the morality of our state and nation. The Bible reminds us in **Genesis 1:27** **"So God created man in his own image, in the image of God created he him; male and female created he them."** Again, in

**Psalm 139:16-19** **"Thine eyes did see my substance, yet being unperfect; and in thy book all my members were written, which in continuance were fashioned, when as yet there was none of them. How precious also are thy thoughts unto me, O God! how great is the sum of them! If I should count them, they are more in number than the sand: when I awake, I am still with thee."**

Every life is so precious in the sight of the God in Heaven that created them. Every member is written in God's book before they are even fashioned. All we hear about today is "Follow the Science, Follow the Science". Well, if science were to be truly followed by you today then you would acknowledge the beating heart within 21 days of conception. You would acknowledge the viability of a baby outside the womb due to the wonderful advances in our medical field. Every life is precious in the sight of God and should absolutely be precious in our sight.

Now, as to the 24-week abortion band that some want to repeal and get rid of. May I remind us that it was a 6-month-old baby (24 weeks) that first recognized who Jesus and His mother were while he was still in his mother's womb. This baby was none other than John. Luke chapter One informs us that John's mother, Elizabeth, was 6 months pregnant when the Virgin Mary became pregnant with our Savior, Jesus Christ. When Mary went to Elizabeth's house, who was 6 months pregnant, the Scriptures record that John, the unborn baby, leaped for joy in Elizabeth's womb. It is undeniable that a baby of 6 months (and months before that) is a person and should be treated as such.

We all know and see our State Motto, "Live Free or Die". Well, the unborn in our state are not being given that opportunity because they are not afforded the opportunity to live but just being sentenced to death and their only crime is their existence. It is time for this atrocity to end in New Hampshire and for us to value every life which includes the born and the unborn.

I ask every person on this Committee, in the Senate, and in the corner office to not repeal this law but to start being an example of valuing life. Thank you for your time.

When I discovered I was pregnant at 22 and unmarried I immediately set a date for an abortion (at the recommendation of my doctor). The insurance at my job at the time would even cover all expenses. After a few days of wrestling with my decision I decided to cancel the appointment and moved instead in the direction of adoption. My daughter was born in May of 1982, and she became the sheer joy of a couple who were unable to conceive.

Three years later my husband and I discovered we were pregnant with a baby boy and we were overjoyed, however, after some testing and an amniocentesis it was determined that “the possibility of fetal anomalies is significant. Impending intrauterine fetal demise or severe intrauterine growth retardation are also possibilities.... Another possibility is congenital nephrosis.... Although some infants can survive for a short time, it appears that congenital nephrosis is invariably fatal..... this fetus is at extremely high risk for having some other type of fetal abnormality or impending fetal demise. If the pregnancy continues to viability” ..... etc. As you can imagine we were devastated at this news but rejected the recommendation of the doctor to end the life of our baby boy in the womb rather than chose life.

Adam Wesley was born in June of 1985 and was whisked away from us immediately upon delivery to be inspected for any abnormalities. He was brought back to us a short time later and told, “You have a perfectly healthy baby boy”.

20 years and 10 months later during the Mother’s Day floods here in NH in 2006 his life did come to an end when his car veered off the road and he was killed instantly while driving home from Liberty University trying to make it home to surprise me on Mother’s Day morning.

Little did I know 24 years before that it would be the daughter I had given up for adoption who would be the balm my broken heart needed while grieving the death of my second child. She had come to find us just 20 months before Adam’s death. She calls me “mom”, she phones me at least once a day and it is as if we were never separated. I often think of the loss I would have faced if I had decided on abortion for either of these people. I would have missed out on 21 years of my son’s life and now to have both my daughters to help bear the burden of losing him has been healing. He filled my empty arms when I chose adoption, and she has helped to mend my broken heart after his death.

We don’t know what the future holds for us but we, as mothers, do hold the future of our children in our hands. I’m asking you to help women make the best, informed decisions for these people in their wombs by opposing this bill. And help protect the beating heart of a child.

Deborah Bishop, Weare NH

Testimony against SB 399  
Richard E. Johnson, MD  
Dunbarton, NH  
19 January 2022

Madam Chair and members of the committee.....Thank you for taking my testimony which is in opposition to SB 399.

It is interesting that as soon as legislation has been passed to protect from death a very small number of people – those babies who are now able to survive outside of the uterus, we are debating legislation whose goal is to abolish it. Why would that concern me?

**I am concerned legally.**

The Supreme Court, in *Casey v Planned Parenthood* (1992), said:

1. Women have the right to abort pre-viability child without undue interference from the state
2. The state may restrict abortion post-viability
3. The state has a legitimate interest in protecting woman's health and life of the developing child.

The Fetal Life Protection Act, that SB 399 will abolish, does just that.

1..It does not prevent or inhibit a woman from getting an abortion at anytime during the first 6 months of the pregnancy.

2. It does protect the right of our youngest NH resident.....the viable baby that is greater than 24 weeks old.

If SB 399 passes, there will be no restriction on abortion activity in NH. That means that a baby can be killed at any stage of development; including as it is being born. Within this framework of thinking, there is little reason to prevent killing after birth. In fact, some have argued that it would make more sense to evaluate the child after birth, and then if you don't want it, kill it.

Let me quote the abstract that appeared in the Journal of Medical Ethics From the University of Melbourne, Australia in 2012

*Abortion is largely accepted even for reasons that do not have anything to do with the fetus' health. By showing that (1) both fetuses and newborns do not have the same moral status as actual persons, (2) the fact that both are potential*



*persons is morally irrelevant and (3) adoption is not always in the best interest of actual people, the authors argue that what we call 'after-birth abortion' (killing a newborn) should be permissible in all the cases where abortion is, including cases where the newborn is not disabled.*  
(<https://jme.bmj.com/content/39/5/261.full>)

### **I am concerned for a biological reason.**

This 24-week-old preemie is about 12 inches long and weights about 1.5 pounds. She or he can hear and react to sounds. This child is the size of a 'onese'. With excellent medical care, this child has better than a 50% chance of survival outside the womb and having a normal life. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2017;130:e187-99.

Do we want to deny this baby her or his right to survive?

### **I am concerned for a moral reason**

When we ascribe a name to something or someone, we are thereby defining it so that we can do with it as we please.

This black man is 'my property'.

The Jew is a 'problem'.

The developing baby is the 'product of conception'.

When we do this, we no longer need to identify with the person in question.

### **In conclusion:**

Thomas Jefferson observed, "The care of human life and happiness, and not their destruction, is the first and only object of good government."  
<https://founders.archives.gov/documents/Jefferson/03-01-02-0088>

Let me honor Dr. M L King by quoting him: "Cowardice asks the question, 'Is it safe?'; expediency asks the question, 'Is it politic?'; vanity asks the question, 'Is it popular?'; but conscience asks the question, 'Is it right?'; and there comes a time when one must take a position that is neither safe, nor politic, nor popular, but because conscience tells one it is right." during a Feb. 6, 1968, speech in Washington, D.C.

It is morally 'right' for government to protect the life of a 24 week old preborn baby.

January 19, 2021

The Honorable Sharon Carson, Chair  
Senate Judiciary Committee  
State House, Room 100  
107 North Main Street  
Concord, NH 03301

Re: New Futures' testimony in support of SB 399 and SB 436

Dear Senator Carson and Honorable Members of the Committee:

New Futures appreciates the opportunity to testify in support of SB 399 (repealing the fetal health protection act) and SB 436 (relative to access to abortion care). New Futures is a nonpartisan, nonprofit health policy and advocacy organization that advocates, educates, and collaborates to improve the health and wellness of all New Hampshire residents. In this role, we work extensively with policy makers, health care providers and families to increase access to quality, affordable health care and to improve health equity across the Granite State.

New Futures stands in strong support of both SB 399 and SB 436, as each bill would increase access to critical reproductive care for individuals and families in need. In New Hampshire and across the country, reproductive care, including abortion, is a critical element of overall health care. Data is clear that restrictions on abortion, like those currently written in state law, have significant impacts on the health and wellness of women, children and families.

Research from The Turnaway Study out of the University of California San Francisco shows that:

- Years after an abortion denial, women were more likely to not have enough money to cover basic living expenses like food, housing and transportation.
- Women who were denied an abortion and gave birth reported more life-threatening complications like eclampsia and postpartum hemorrhage compared to those who received wanted abortions.
- Women who were denied an abortion and gave birth instead reported more chronic headaches or migraines, joint pain, and gestational hypertension compared to those who had an abortion.
- Children born as a result of abortion denial are more likely to live below the federal poverty level than children born from a subsequent pregnancy to women who received the abortion.

These impacts, among many others, are shown to disproportionately impact those already facing the greatest barriers to care, including those with lower incomes, residents of rural areas, people of color, women, LGBTQ+ individuals, people with disabilities and others.


By repealing New Hampshire's 24-week abortion ban and the invasive required ultrasound, SB 399 and SB 436 would increase access to these vital healthcare services for women and families, and they would support the health and wellness of our state. For these reasons, we ask that you support both of these bills and vote them Ought to Pass.

Please do not hesitate to contact me if you have any questions.

Respectfully submitted,



Jake Berry, Vice President of Policy, New Futures



**SB 399-FN repealing the fetal health protection act.**

**Committee: Senate Judiciary**

**Date: January 19, 2022**

**Position: Support**

**Background:** On January 1, 2022 New Hampshire's first abortion ban in modern history went into effect. It bans abortion at or after 24 weeks with virtually no exceptions. There is a narrow exception for physical maternal health, but none for cases of rape, incest, or fatal fetal diagnoses, and it criminalizes doctors with fines and up to seven years in prison. Furthermore, it requires an ultrasound prior to all abortion care - at all stages of pregnancy. This provision isn't consistent with best medical practices and affects the ability of providers to deliver patient-centered, trauma-informed care.

**Patients should decide personal medical care with their health care providers, not politicians.**

**Support SB 399 to repeal New Hampshire's cruel abortion ban and ultrasound mandate.**

**Each pregnancy and each circumstance is unique. That's why when people are making personal medical decisions, one-size-fits-all laws don't work.** New Hampshire's current law bans abortion arbitrarily at or after 24 weeks, no matter the health of the fetus. This law is not based on science or standard medical care.

Throughout the legislative process in 2021, we heard from patients and providers who all said the same thing: arbitrarily banning abortion later in pregnancy **harms women and families who have to make decisions in complex circumstances.** Many pregnant women do not receive a serious fetal diagnosis until after the point at which current New Hampshire law makes abortion unavailable. For Granite Staters in these circumstances, they must now have to coordinate out-of-state travel and overcome countless other hurdles in order to get the care they need.

**The newly-enacted law criminalizes doctors for doing their job** and working with their patients to get the health care they need. **New Hampshire law threatens providers with a felony and imprisonment.** Physicians who are exercising their best medical judgment in what could be a very stressful and time-sensitive moment could face up to seven years in jail and up to \$100,000 in fines.

This is an invitation for intimidation and harassment against medical professionals who treat patients later in pregnancies. The head of Dartmouth-Hitchcock OB/GYN stated that this law will make it difficult to recruit and retain qualified doctors to our state. Why is New Hampshire sending its citizens - in complex medical situations where days count - out of state to get the care that **New Hampshire doctors are trained to deliver?** People should be able to get the care they need in their communities.

We heard from public health experts that this **abortion ban will have negative impacts on the health care landscape in New Hampshire.** At an October 27 Executive Council meeting, DHHS Commissioner Shubinette, when asked about our fragile maternal-fetal health care system, replied, *"I think that it makes sense that specialty and subspecialty providers would typically settle in states that are more supportive and less restrictive around family planning and abortion. The more restrictive we become, the less attractive New Hampshire becomes for those specialties."*<sup>1</sup>

When this new law was being debated last year, nearly 200 New Hampshire health care providers expressed their opposition to this law because *"New Hampshire already struggles to retain medical professionals and we are deeply concerned that these criminal penalties will undermine the state's efforts to recruit and retain clinicians."*<sup>2</sup> We must repeal this ban before its effects begin to weaken our excellent maternal and fetal health outcomes.

SB 399 will also remove the invasive, costly, burdensome, and often medically unnecessary ultrasound requirement. Under New Hampshire law, **it is mandatory that all patients seeking an abortion, no matter at what stage of the pregnancy, must have an ultrasound.** At PPNNE, a first-trimester ultrasound is most often transvaginal. **This mandate is simply not consistent with best medical practices and affects PPNNE's ability to provide patient-centered, trauma-informed care.** But under New Hampshire law, it is mandated, regardless of clinician recommendation. Health care providers should decide this personal medical care with their patients, not politicians. These costly and invasive tests create another barrier to abortion access, especially for uninsured people and people struggling financially.

Unfortunately, it is not always possible for a person to get an abortion as soon as they decide to. This is in part because politicians have passed laws that put care out of reach or, especially right now, because rapidly changing pandemic protocols may delay care for hospital patients. With so many variables to consider, it is critical that patients and doctors have every medical option available based on their own unique situation. People seeking medical care later in pregnancy deserve our compassion and support — not judgment, and certainly not politicians telling them what to do.

**We trust a patient and their doctor. Period.** Making a decision to continue or end a pregnancy can be a complex medical and personal decision. Throughout their pregnancy, a person must be able to make health care decisions that are best for their circumstances, including whether to end a

---

<sup>1</sup> <https://sos.nh.gov/administration/miscellaneous/governor-executive-council/2021-meetings/>

<sup>2</sup> <https://www.vnews.com/Upper-Valley-health-care-professionals-protest-abortion-ban-40980320>

pregnancy. Doctors must be able to provide the best health care for each individual patient. Unfortunately, the state of New Hampshire is now standing in the way of a patient making their own choices about their health. **Every Granite Stater must have the freedom and privacy to make decisions about their pregnancy in consultation with their doctors.**

**We urge a vote of "OUGHT TO PASS" on SB 399 to reverse this cruel abortion ban.**

For more information contact: Kayla Montgomery, [kayla.montgomery@ppnne.org](mailto:kayla.montgomery@ppnne.org), 603.674.8372

**Planned Parenthood of Northern New England (PPNNE)** is the largest provider of reproductive and sexual health care for women, men and teens across the State of New Hampshire. We serve New Hampshire residents through 6 health centers in Claremont, Derry, Exeter, Keene, Manchester and White River Junction, VT. Last year we saw more than 12,000 patients at these sites.

**Planned Parenthood New Hampshire Action Fund (PPNHAF)** is an independent, nonpartisan, not-for-profit organization formed as the advocacy and political arm of Planned Parenthood of Northern New England in New Hampshire. The Action Fund engages in educational and electoral activity, including voter education, grassroots organizing, and legislative advocacy.

## **Support SB 399 - Prevent the Government from Controlling Private Healthcare Decisions**

**Bill Summary:** SB 399 would repeal RSA 329:43 through 329:50 – the so-called “fetal health protection act.” Under the act, abortions are banned at or after 24 weeks, with limited exceptions for the life of the pregnant person or serious risk of substantial and irreversible impairment of a major bodily function. The act also requires an ultrasound prior to all abortion care - at all stages of pregnancy. In addition, the act subjects abortion providers to both criminal and civil liability for providing abortion care to their patients.

**No one should be forced to carry a pregnancy against their will.** Current New Hampshire law denies Granite Staters their right to decide for themselves whether or not to end a pregnancy. When it comes to the most personal decisions in life — such as preventing or ending a pregnancy or having a child — it should be up to the pregnant person, free from punishment, judgment, or political interference.

**Current law deputizes people unconnected with an abortion to sue health care providers.** The civil remedies section of the abortion ban allows the spouse or parent(s) of a person who has an abortion outside of the ban to sue the health care provider who performed the abortion for civil damages. In reality, this means that an abusive spouse or estranged parents have legal standing to sue a medical provider.

**This legislation places both medical providers and their patients at risk.** It imposes potential sanctions on abortion-providing doctors unless the procedure is intended to prevent death or serious risk of substantial and irreversible impairment of a major bodily function. Because there is no clear, universal definition of the point at which a pregnant person's life becomes endangered, even when a physician is certain that an abortion or another intervention which poses a threat to a pregnancy is in the patient's best interest, the risk of prosecution will incentivize waiting to act until the patient's symptoms become demonstrably life-threatening. The threat of criminal and/or civil liability directly conflicts with a doctor's ability to effectively treat a patient needing medical care.

**The vast majority of Granite Staters support safe, legal abortion.** Sixty-six percent of Granite Staters support keeping abortion legal in all/most cases, rejecting the idea that bodies are public property to be regulated by government officials.<sup>i</sup> In fact, only three states have a higher percentage of residents who support safe, legal abortion access.<sup>ii</sup> As this polling makes clear, Granite Staters don't appreciate government intervention into their private health care decisions.

**The New Hampshire Legislature should focus on the pressing health care needs of Granite Staters.** The number of new COVID cases and hospitalizations in New Hampshire are at an all time high.<sup>iii</sup> In the past 12 months, the number of ICU beds being used by COVID-19 patients has increased by 135 percent.<sup>iv</sup> New Hampshire is one of four states that has less than 10% remaining capacity in their ICUs.<sup>v</sup> Nearly one in four New Hampshire hospitals are experiencing a critical staffing shortage.<sup>vi</sup> Instead of expanding the government's control of private health care decisions, legislators should be focused on the acute needs of medical providers in New Hampshire to combat COVID-19.

**Support SB 399 - Protect the health, safety, and privacy of Granite Staters**

---

<sup>i</sup> Jeff Diamant & Aleksandra, *Do state laws on abortion reflect public opinion?*, Pew Research Center, Jan. 21, 2020, available at <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2020/01/21/do-state-laws-on-abortion-reflect-public-opinion/>.

<sup>ii</sup> Jeff Diamant & Aleksandra, *Do state laws on abortion reflect public opinion?*, Pew Research Center, Jan. 21, 2020, available at <https://www.pewresearch.org/fact-tank/2020/01/21/do-state-laws-on-abortion-reflect-public-opinion/>.

<sup>iii</sup> Johnny Bassett, *New Hampshire Covid-19 numbers again hit all-time highs: Cases surge across the state as Omicron takes hold*, Granite State News Collaborative, Jan. 13, 2022, available at <https://www.nhbr.com/new-hampshire-covid-19-numbers-again-hit-all-time-highs/>.

<sup>iv</sup> Todd Bookman & Casey McDermott, *"A constant tsunami": N.H.'s health care workers at the epicenter of COVID surge*, New Hampshire Public Radio, Dec. 14, 2021, available at <https://www.nhpr.org/nh-news/2021-12-13/nh-catholic-medical-center-manchester-covid>.

<sup>v</sup> Travis Caldwell & Jason Hanna, *19 states have fewer than 15% of ICU beds left as health care staffing shortages complicate care*, CNN, Jan. 14, 2022, available at <https://www.cnn.com/2022/01/13/health/us-coronavirus-thursday/index.html>.

<sup>vi</sup> Todd Bookman & Casey McDermott, *"A constant tsunami": N.H.'s health care workers at the epicenter of COVID surge*, New Hampshire Public Radio, Dec. 14, 2021, available at <https://www.nhpr.org/nh-news/2021-12-13/nh-catholic-medical-center-manchester-covid>.



new hampshire  
**WOMEN'S FOUNDATION**

**To: Chairman Carson and the Senate Judiciary Committee**

**Re: Testimony in Support of Senate Bill 399, repealing the fetal health protection act**

**Date: January 19, 2022**

**Position: OUGHT TO PASS**

The New Hampshire Women's Foundation invests in equality and opportunity for New Hampshire women and girls through grantmaking, research, education, and advocacy.

The New Hampshire Women's Foundation submits this written testimony in support of SB 399 and respectfully requests that the Committee recommend this bill Ought to Pass.

We support SB399 because we believe that women, and all people who can become pregnant, should have access to the full range of reproductive choices, including abortion. The ultrasound mandate, which is forced upon pregnant people simply for choosing abortion care at any stage of their pregnancy, is an attempt to shame and stigmatize women. We believe that all Granite Staters should be able to access affordable reproductive healthcare in their own state without experiencing shame or stigma.

While the most important implication of SB 399 is the autonomy of women and people who can become pregnant to make decisions about their reproductive life, improvements in abortion access will also effect economic outcomes for women and their families. Deciding whether and when to have a child is the most consequential decision relative to a woman's lifetime economic well-being, so it is important that all choices, including abortion, are available to those who face unintended pregnancy. Women who are denied abortion go on to experience economic hardship and economic insecurity lasting for years and impacting the next generation.

Providing access to all reproductive healthcare including abortion will promote greater economic security for New Hampshire women and families in three keys areas:

- 1) **Long Term Economic Security** – Control over their reproductive health allow women to invest more heavily in their potential including increased schooling and job training which contribute to greater long-term economic security. Women who have access to abortion have higher rates of high school and college graduation.<sup>1</sup>

---

<sup>1</sup> Angrist and Evans, "Schooling and Labor Market Consequences of the post-1970's State Abortion Reforms", In Research in Labor Economics, 2000, pp75-113



- 2) **Labor Force Participation** – It takes 4-years on average for a woman to return full-time to the workforce after childbirth following abortion denial<sup>2</sup>.
- 3) **Multi-Generational impact** –Abortion access not only has economic benefits for the pregnant person, but also benefits other children (born previous or subsequent) in her household: lower rates of poverty and increase in high school graduation and college attendance<sup>3</sup>. Access to abortion contributes to the economic mobility of women and is critical to disrupt the cycle of poverty between generations. When women are healthy and prosperous, their families succeed and our communities grow stronger.

### Conclusion

Access to reproductive care, including abortion, provides opportunities for women to further their education, strengthen their economic security and improve their ability to parent existing children.

The New Hampshire Women’s Foundation respectfully requests your support for this bill and we thank you for the opportunity to provide testimony.

---

<sup>2</sup> Bernstein, Anna and Jones, Kelly, “*The Economic Effects of Abortion Access: A Review of the Evidence*“, Institute for Women’s Policy Research, 2019

<sup>3</sup> ANSIRH, 2019 *Introduction to the Turnaway Study*. UCSF [www.anshirh.org](http://www.anshirh.org)

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Wolfe-Hagstrom <jkyleewolfe@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 12, 2022 10:24 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Support SB 399 and SB 436

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

My name is Jennifer Wolfe-Hagstrom, LICSW of Amherst, NH. I would like my testimony in the public record. I'm a clinical social worker and I provide trauma counseling for people who have experienced various types of trauma, including rape and other forms of sexual assault.

On behalf of my clients, their families, myself, my daughter, and any other Granite Stater who will be forced into impossible decisions if the abortion ban is not repealed, **I implore you to Support SB 399 and SB 436 on January 19th.** The psychological effects of rape, incest, and sexual assault are horrific enough, our government need not make such terrible circumstances even more tragic by denying Granite Staters the right to physical health care in the wake of serious trauma.

Living in the "Live Free or Die " state I **never thought that our freedom of access to healthcare would ever be at stake** as it is today. Every Granite Stater deserves access to the health care they need - including abortion - **without government interference.** Without New Hampshire solutions, people in need of abortion care at any stage of pregnancy may be forced to leave New Hampshire, if they can afford it.

**Please Support SB 399 and SB 436 on January 19, 2022.**

Thank you for your time and your public service,

Jennifer Wolfe-Hagstrom, LICSW  
6 Thornton Ferry Road II  
Amherst, NH 03031

--

Jennifer Wolfe-Hagstrom, LICSW

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 8:33 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Support for SB 399

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Liz Ryan Cole <lizryancole@me.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 12, 2022 7:13 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Support for SB 399

This grandmother wants our legislators to know that if abortion had not been allowed in CT when I was having my family my second two sons would never have been born and my first two would have been motherless. Women and families must have choice. Thank you.

Liz Ryan Cole

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Lily J. Greene <Lily.J.Greene.MED@dartmouth.edu>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 10:24 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** testimony: support SB 399 and SB 436

Hello,

My name is Lily Greene and I am a second-year medical student at the Dartmouth Geisel School of Medicine. I strongly support both HB 399 and SB 436, but I can't be in person at the hearing next week because I will be in class. Please include the following as a part of the public record:

My name is Lily Greene and I am a medical student at Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth , and a longtime New Hampshire resident. I strongly support SB 399 repealing the fetal health protection act and SB 436 relative to access to abortion care. As someone who grew up in New Hampshire, the fetal health protection act going into effect in January 2021 was deeply chilling for two reasons. First, I believe that all New Hampshire residents deserve access to safe abortion care and that banning abortion after 24 weeks with no exceptions for rape, incest, or fatal fetal diagnoses is extremely harmful. Second, as a medical student, I understand the potentially unforeseen consequences of this bill on recruiting excellent physicians to the state to provide obstetrics care. Having a criminal penalty of fines and up to seven years in prison for providing access to potentially necessary medical care will discourage talented physicians from practicing in our state, as they can go somewhere else without the threat of legal repercussions for simply doing their jobs. I strongly support SB 436 because we are at a crisis moment in our country regarding access to abortion. Roe V. Wade could be overturned by June 2022, and as a state, we must act to ensure abortion remains safe and legal for everyone.

Some may say that 24 weeks is "enough time" for someone seeking to end their pregnancy to do so, but that type of logic minimizes the complexity of pregnancy. Each pregnancy is unique, and someone may learn of an unforeseen complication or fetal diagnosis later in gestation. Having a one-size-fits-all bill does not work for the complex moving target that is pregnancy. Additionally, we know that banning abortion does not reduce the number of abortions performed each year. People will continue to seek abortions after 24 weeks if it is banned, the difference being those procedures will be much more dangerous and unsafe. Banning abortion after 24 weeks does not stop abortion, it simply puts an undue burden onto Granite Staters who already face barriers to care, such as people of color, women, members of the LGBTQ+ community, people with disabilities, people with lower incomes, and people residing in rural communities.

The New Hampshire Senate should pass SB 399 repealing the fetal health protection act and SB 436 relative to access to abortion care to protect access to safe and legal abortion in our state and prevent unforeseen and harmful repercussions on physician recruitment that will occur if physicians continue to face the threat of legal persecution if the fetal health protection act remains in effect.

Sincerely,

**Lily Greene**  
MD Candidate | Class of 2024  
Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth  
Pronouns: *she/her/hers*



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** fran lachance <franlachance\_72@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 11:21 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Bills SB399 and SB436-FN

Dear Comitee Members,

I am pleading with you for those who not yet have a voice; the pre-born children. Please do NOT repeal the Fetal Life Protection Act and ultrasound requirement. There are alternatives to abortion. Please think. Every human life at every stage deserves protection and has dignity. We advertise about the injustices done to animals and how urgently they need our help, and rightfully so, but human life deserves the same protection. How twisted our thinking. The second bill will provide no extra abortion restrictions before 24 weeks. Both of these bills, SB399 and SB436-FN are an abomination and murderous. Please think long and hard about the human lives you will be taking with a stroke of a pen. We will all be accountable for our lives before God. At the end of the day, when you shut the light off and go home to your family, every human life that is taken by abortion because of your signature, you will be accountable for. Please THINK. Don't be pressured by colleagues.

Sincerely,

Fran LaChance

68 Forrest St

Unit 4A

Plaistow, NH 03865

franlachance\_72@hotmail.com

Sent from my Galaxy

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Samantha Pawlowski <samantha.r.pawlowski@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 11:22 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** testimony: support SB 399 and SB 436

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Testimony to be included in the public record from:

Samantha Pawlowski, MD  
OB-GYN Physician  
1 Coggins Way  
Mont Vernon, NH 03057

I'm Dr. Pawlowski. I have been practicing as an OB-GYN physician in NH for the past 9 years. I strongly support both HB 399 and SB 436, but I can't be in person today because I'm caring for patients in the operating room and in my office. Before you are two crucial bills to mitigate the upcoming harm as our state faces unprecedented attacks on women's healthcare. Repealing the abortion ban is critical for providers like me, who now face criminal charges for caring for my patients who are going through incredibly complex situations. One of the joys of my work is caring for families as they bring a new child into the world, however, we often don't speak publicly about the other families we care for that desperately desire to have a child, and are devastated when they discover an abnormality in their fetus. These families have to go through an unbearable loss, grieving the child they had hoped and dreamed for, and then have to make difficult decisions about whether to continue a pregnancy that will not result in a healthy infant, at significant risk to themselves and toll on their families. This intimate and complex decision making should be left to those trained in the intricacies of these heartbreaking situations, the doctors, nurses, genetic counselors, and specialists who understand the nuance of personalized care. It should certainly not be dictated by those outside the field of healthcare. It's critical we pass this legislation now to mitigate the harm, and pass SB436 so that when SCOTUS dismantles access to safe, legal abortion NH is ready. By doing nothing, we risk having politicians continue to chip away at access in our state. The NH legislature has not sat holding a patient's hand, grieving with them, when they make some of the hardest decisions of their life. The legislature must stop dictating patient-provider relationships with the passage of SB 399 & SB 436, and leave healthcare decisions to doctors like myself and our patients.

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Sean <seanwaclawik@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 11:56 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Oppose SB399 and SB436-FN

NH Senate Judiciary Committee,

New Hampshire already has loose abortion laws and restrictions compared to the rest of the country. The people of New Hampshire do not support the radical abortion on demand until the moment of birth agenda that's being pushed here.

SB399 would repeal the Fetal Life Protection Act and ultrasound requirement, which removes protections for preborn babies who can be delivered safely. It is absurd these modest restrictions on killing a fetus that can live outside the womb should be removed.

SB436-FN will remove virtually all abortion restrictions before 24 weeks. I've attached a photo of a baby that was born at 23 weeks and now a living healthy, happy life:



The Granite State does not want unlimited access to kill unborn babies on demand like the baby above. Keep these basic safeguards in place. They deserve more protection not less. Equality for all regardless of race, sex, age, etc.

Regards,

Sean Waclawik  
Firefighter/EMT  
CPR Instructor  
47 Emerson Rd.  
Milford, NH 03055



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Mickayla Waclawik <mickayahagar@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 12:02 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Oppose SB399 and SB436-FN

NH Senate Judiciary Committee,

New Hampshire already has loose abortion laws and restrictions compared to the rest of the country. The people of New Hampshire do not support the radical abortion on demand until the moment of birth agenda that's being pushed here.

SB399 would repeal the Fetal Life Protection Act and ultrasound requirement, which removes protections for preborn babies who can be delivered safely. It is absurd these modest restrictions on killing a fetus that can live outside the womb should be removed.

SB436-FN will remove virtually all abortion restrictions before 24 weeks. I've attached a photo of a baby that was born at 23 weeks and now a living healthy, happy life:



The Granite State does not want unlimited access to kill unborn babies on demand like the baby above. Keep these basic safeguards in place. They deserve more protection not less. Equality for all regardless of race, sex, age, etc.

Regards,  
Mickayla Waclawik  
47 Emerson Road  
Milford, NH 03055

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Lynn Durham <lynndurham@ymail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 6:15 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Abortion

I think it is very important to know exactly what you are voting on.

Before you decide, please ask to attend an abortion in person or at least watch a video of one where you can see them pulling arms and legs off and crushing skulls.

Then I think you can make an informed decision.

Are you pro-choice? How about giving that infant a right to choose?

NH already has many months for someone to decide to kill their child.

Lynn Durham  
Holderness NH 03245

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Friday, January 14, 2022 9:27 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Enabling women to have choices over their bodies

-----Original Message-----

**From:** margaret anderson <margig.anderson@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 13, 2022 3:57 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Enabling women to have choices over their bodies

It is important to me as a New Hampshire resident and a woman to know the judiciary committee has the interests of all women as they consider this bill. It has been decided in the United States under Roe vs. Wade that women have the right to decide when they wish to terminate a pregnancy. This private decision need to be honored here in New Hampshire just as in other parts of the country. Surely it is a woman's decision and not a committee to decide what is best for her.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration of my words.

Margaret Anderson  
Sent from my iPad

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Boat Canvas <gjmcanvas@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 14, 2022 10:15 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB399 & SB436-FN

I implore you to vote against these two infanticide bills and allow your conscience to humanely guide your decisions in protecting the life of every unborn human being still in the womb...These unborn babies are the most unprotected and vulnerable humans discriminate against on the basis of a woman's right...Science has proven these unborn babies do feel pain just as any human being does...**VOTE NO** and may God help guide your conscience in making that decision...And may God have mercy and grace on those who have been coerced and misguided from a decision made unknowingly in the past....

**SB399** would repeal the Fetal Life Protection Act and ultrasound requirement, which removes protections for preborn babies who can be delivered safely.

**SB436-FN** will make it so that there are no extra abortion restrictions before 24 weeks.

Respectfully,  
Greg Johns  
120 Locarno St  
Moultonboro NH 03254  
603-476-5856

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Timpe, Stacey <STimpe@Elliot-HS.org>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 14, 2022 1:08 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson  
**Cc:** William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay.Khan@leg.state.nh.us; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** testimony: support SB 399 and/or SB 436

My name is Stacey Timpe. July 27<sup>th</sup> 2021 I had a termination for medical reasons (TFMR) at 22.4 weeks. Let me preface that this was a much WANTED pregnancy. At my 18 weeks anatomy scan it was found that my daughter, Olivia had severe spina bifida with brain involvement.

Spina bifida is a birth defect that involves the spine and often the brain. Each year approximately 1,400 babies born in the United States have spina bifida. Olivia had the worst kind of spina bifida (myelomeningocele) – it is when a portion of the spinal cord or nerves is exposed in a sac through an opening in the spine that may or may not be covered by the meninges. This leads to changes in brain structure, leg weakness, bladder and bowel dysfunction. People with this condition require close follow up with physicians throughout their childhood and lifespan to maximize function and prevent complications.

If we continued with the pregnancy she would have needed multiple surgeries just as an infant and who would know how many more she would need throughout her lifetime. She wasn't going to be able to go to the bathroom on her own, walk and even breathe on her own. The lesion was so high up on her spine that she would require a ventilator to breathe. There also was a chance since her brain was so involved already that she might not even be able to know who her parents or herself were or have multiple cognitive issues.

As devastating as it is I cannot picture her living that way. I am saving her from a lifetime of pain, suffering, surgeries, etc. Not only would this affect her it would affect our family as well- financially I am not sure how much I would be able to provide for her and my other daughter who is 3 years old. I would have to stay at home and take care of Olivia full time or have caretakers' full time- this would come at an extra cost as well.

By passing this law you are making it impossible for families to choose to end their pregnancy for a condition and save their child from a lifetime of pain, suffering and financial issues. Imagine this happening in your family. What would your choice be?

Again, this pregnancy was a wanted pregnancy and there is not a day that goes by that we don't think of our sweet girl.

Stacey Timpe  
Register nurse @ Elliot Hospital  
18 Prescott rd. Raymond, NH 03077

\*I would like this testimony in the public record

---

**\*\*\*\* CONFIDENTIAL COMMUNICATION - PLEASE READ PRIVACY NOTICE \*\*\*\*** This communication is confidential and may be read only by its intended recipient(s). It may contain legally privileged and protected information. If you believe you have received this communication in error, please "Reply" to the Sender and so indicate or call (603) 663-2800. Then, please promptly "Delete" this communication from your computer. This communication, and any information contained herein, may only be forwarded, printed, disclosed, copied or disseminated by those specifically authorized to do so. UNAUTHORIZED DISCLOSURE MAY RESULT IN LEGAL LIABILITY FOR THOSE PERSONS RESPONSIBLE.

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Ashley Lamb <campioa@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, January 14, 2022 2:50 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Support SB 399 and 436

Dear Senators,

I am Dr. Lamb, an internist and pediatrician in the state of NH for the last 8 years. I strongly **support both SB 399 and SB 436**, but I can't be in person at the hearing for the health of my family. I would like my testimony in the public record. We are at a moment of crisis for abortion rights and these two bills aim to help protect new hampshirites from the impending harm when Roe v. Wade is overturned.

SB 399: Repealing the abortion ban is critical for my patients who live real, complex private lives that require real, complex decisions in order to best protect their own health and the health of their families. SB399 is a blanket one-size-fits all law that assumes that law makers know better than high risk obstetricians and maternal fetal medicine experts about when an ultrasound is required and when an abortion is medically necessary. The longer that I am in medicine, the more I learn that truly every patient's situation is unique. I have worked with patients with complex cardiovascular and pulmonary conditions that arise during their pregnancies, patients who are diagnosed with high grade cancers during pregnancies as well as devastating fetal anomalies discovered late in pregnancies. **As lawmakers, you don't need to help patients make very challenging life and death decisions about their own health care. You just need to pass SB399 so that Granite Staters can work with their health care teams to decide what is best for them.**

SB436: Senators, this is your opportunity to protect the freedoms of the citizens you were elected to represent. We need protection to provide safe, legal abortion care if Roe vs. Wade is overturned at the national level. The NH state senate can support Roe v Wade and support people's freedom to make their own private medical decisions, which includes abortion access. We can't let NH follow in the path of Texas, where an abortion ban is forcing people to travel out of state for care or to carry a pregnancy to term against their will. Denying people with uterus's abortion has been shown in the [Turnaway study](#) to have serious detrimental effects, including more life threatening pregnancy-related health complications, such as eclampsia. This study also showed that "the financial wellbeing and development of children is negatively impacted when their mothers are denied abortion". Finally, people denied an abortion are more likely to have long lasting financial hardship than those who received an abortion. Health care, including safe abortion care, is a human right. **AAA (SB436) would put abortion rights into statute in New Hampshire, thus ensuring that in NH, all citizens can truly live free.**

Sincerely,

Ashley Lamb MD MPH  
Internal Medicine and Pediatric Physician  
133 Madbury Rd.  
Durham, NH 03824

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Camille and Michael <15plum@posteo.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 15, 2022 8:13 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB399 and SB436-FN should be inexpedient to legislate

Dear Judiciary Committee Members,

Please oppose SB399 and SB436-FN. The question of women's rights to abortion vs. fetal and neonatal rights to life can be debated among people of good conscience, but I'm concerned that New Hampshire has been on the fringe of the abortion issue, taking the extreme "shout your abortion" stance, refusing to see any kind of complexity in the issue.

These bills continue to push us further toward that fringe. We should be more careful than that.

Sincerely,

Michael Hardt  
281 River Rd  
Canaan, NH 03741  
603-523-4583



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Russell Payne <19riderlee36@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 15, 2022 11:41 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB 399

Dear Members of Senate Judiciary Committee:

Below is my letter opposing the House attempt to gut and destroy the Fetal Life Protection Act passed last year to save the lives of babies after 24 weeks gestation. I understand SB399 is a Senate version with the same purpose. I urge you to protect the life of the unborn by voting this legislation down.

Russ Payne

Dear Representative Wolf, Graham, Ham, Allard, Deshaies and Depalma:

It is understandable why the "death culture" advocates such as "planned unparenthood" are so upset about the passage into law of the Fetal Life Protection Act" last year. But for you six Republican co-sponsors of HB-1609-FN : Representative's Wolf, Graham , Ham, Allard, Deshaies and Depalma, you all should hang your head in shame. Think about what you have done. During your campaign you paraded your credentials for qualification for office before the people under the Republican platform. So you have deceived the people by acting out a "lie." You have deceived the Republican party who counts on pro-life votes. You have started in process an attempt to negate a law passed by Republican's last year, HB 622 that represented the "will of the people." So not only morally, all six of you are standing on an earthquake of shaky ground against the "will of the people," you are betraying innocent lives in the womb that after 24 weeks have been blessed with the right to live by this new law.

Such morality exhibited in the legislature resembles a grown man not only standing aside in cowardice watching the rape and murder of a defenseless young lady, this man also promotes a law to legalize this criminal activity. There are some powerful forces with money and power promoting your shameless cause. In introducing this bill of betrayal as you have done, you are the vanguard (so to speak front men doing the dirty work) for an evil cause that will take the lives of millions of babies for profit

in the future. If you all have ever read the Declaration of Independence, you'll know you have betrayed the challenge to tyranny it has been for of 240 years. It is apparent that none of you have placed any value in the words of Jefferson: "We hold these truths to be self-evident, that all men are created equal, that they are endowed by their Creator with certain unalienable Rights, that among these are "life", Liberty , and the Pursuit of Happiness. That to secure these Rights , Governments are instituted among Men to secure these Rights." Securing the "right" to criminal activity for profit is not included.

All of you in your oath of office swear allegiance to both the NH Constitution and the U.S. Constitution. You are betraying this value that was placed on "life" by our Founders in our birthing documents. By so doing, your representation much more reflects the teachings of Karl Marx, specifically his words from the Communist Manifesto: " But Communism abolishes eternal truths, it abolishes religion, and all morality, instead of constituting them on a new basis ; it therefore acts in contradiction to all past historical experience." I know nothing of any of you, but your representation in this act of betrayal of life identifies your true character. For without life there are no other rights for the individual. If a person denies life to another , if a committee denies life to a person, and , if a government denies life to a person, each crime is the same violation: in all cases the duty of the government to be the "executioner for justice" against criminal activity is the same. This death culture propagated for the benefit of Planned Parenthood and the likes for profit, is a criminal activity that reverses this eternal truth . It should not be protected by government . It should be punished.

Sincerely & Respectfully

Russ Payne

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** RICHARD ARALDI <raraldimusic@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 15, 2022 1:10 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Oppose SB399

Greetings,

On my behalf, and many others, I'm calling on you to oppose SB399. Right to life is the fundamental human right (and the reason I vote for your party).

Thank you

Rich Araldi  
Dunbarton NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Ruth Larson <ruthlarson@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 16, 2022 2:46 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB 399 and SB 436

Dear Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee,

When Roe v. Wade was decided, in 1973, I was a law school student and part of a wave of women entering the field of law. Sarah Weddington, the lawyer who argued Roe before the US Supreme Court on behalf of Jane Roe, came to speak to my school to discuss her work on the case. Although the details escape me now, it was an inspiring talk, specifically regarding women's reproductive rights and more generally in support of greater autonomy for women. Keep in mind that it wasn't until the following year that the Equal Credit Opportunity Act was passed, giving women the right to have credit cards in their own names, separate from their husbands.

To me, Roe v. Wade was part of a broader movement supporting privacy rights (recognized by the Supreme Court in Griswold v. Connecticut in 1965), and civil rights more generally. Many of us remember a time when women had few legal rights, minorities had few legal rights (including even the ability to vote in some states), and all of us depended on the good will of individual white men for protection.

Roe v. Wade brought a measure of freedom to us, allowing women to decide for ourselves if and when we choose to terminate a pregnancy. Unfortunately, although the vast majority of women support Roe v. Wade, a determined minority in this country seeks to roll back the clock. Many who want to outlaw abortions also want to return to a bygone era regarding other issues as well.

On January 19, 2022, you will be discussing SB 399 (repealing the 24-week ban) and SB 436 (protecting access to abortion), and I urge you to support both. Some of us faced with a difficult decision regarding a pregnancy might have an abortion, and some might not. The decision, however, should always be ours. Let us consult with our doctors and talk with our life partners in coming to our decision, without interference from the state or others. Do not let those with an anti-abortion agenda turn back the clock.

Ruth Larson, Alton

Sent from my iPad

## **Jennifer Horgan**

---

**From:** Bill and Deb Pamplin <pamplin6458@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 16, 2022 3:12 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Testimony Re: SB399 and SB436-FN

Dear NH Senate Judiciary committee:

I am not going to address the usual abortion arguments which you already know and which have already been debated. I am not going to plea that you make your decision on abortion based on politics, religion, sexuality, or any other issue that you may have thought about.

We all have a conscience, or “moral compass” that we can use to employ in any decision that we make. It is innate within all of us: Republican, Democrat, independent, religious, non religious, wealthy or poor. It is independent of any political, social, racial, ethnic, academic or any other status of our being. It is something that all humans are born with, and which we all are very personally familiar with and from which we can never escape; it even follows us to bed at night.

I am asking each individual legislator to examine their moral compass and know that you have an extra duty to exercise that gift that you have been given especially in light of the profound consequences of your actions because they are amplified by those whom your actions impact.

No matter what circumstances under which conception occurs, the life that is created is guiltless. Our moral compass compels us to not terminate that life, and I beg you to not chart a course that deviates from your own moral compass. Killing the guiltless for expediency causes a lifetime of guilt and anxiety for the mother ( just ask Jane Roe). Your upcoming vote will echo into eternity for yourselves, and for those whom your actions may cause irreparable harm.

I urge you to REJECT abortion extremism and vote Inexpedient to Legislate for SB339 and SB436-FN.

Thank You,

William D. Pamplin  
PO Box 2003, 393 Gove Rd.  
Acworth, NH 03601

[pamplin6458@gmail.com](mailto:pamplin6458@gmail.com)

603-477-9371

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Beth Scaer <bscaer@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 16, 2022 3:58 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Vote ITL on SB399 to protect moms & babies from horrific third trimester abortions

Dear Committee Members,

I am writing to ask you to vote ITL on SB399 which would repeal the Fetal Life Protection Act.

Repealing the FLPA would return New Hampshire to allowing abortion up until the moment of birth for any reason.

Learn more about the Fetal Life Protection Act at <https://www.nhcornerstone.org/blog/understand-new-hampshires-fetal-life-protection-act-in-3-minutes>.

Late-term abortions are horrific for both mom and baby. Please vote ITL on SB399.

Beth Scaer  
111 East Hobart Street  
Nashua NH  
603-888-5487

My name is Paul Manganiello MD, MPH. I am a retired Professor Emeritus of the Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth. I was a faculty member in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, the Director of the Division of Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility, and I was the initial Medical Director of Advanced Reproductive Technologies at the Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center (DHMC) in Lebanon, New Hampshire.

I would like to present written testimony in favor of SB399-FN, a repeal of the fetal health protection act.

I would like to begin by saying, that throughout my professional career, I have never met a woman who sought to become pregnant in order to have to make a decision of whether or not to have an abortion. It would not be unusual, when I was practicing Obstetrics, that during the course of a given day, I would need to comfort a woman who had a non viable pregnancy and who would be experiencing an unwanted abortion; then to go across the hall to comfort a woman who had an unplanned pregnancy, needing to decide whether or not she would be able to continue with that pregnancy? It is always tragic. We have to approach this discussion with empathy, we need to be able to try and put ourselves in the shoes of the women who are confronted with making these decisions.

I came to DHMC in 1979, when Advanced Reproductive Technologies were just being implemented to assist individuals who were having a difficult time creating their desired family. That required technological advances such as cell cultures, microscopy, ultrasonography and genetic testing with counseling. With these new technologies we have been able to create human embryos. Science is now able to clone animals from somatic cell lines i.e., skin cells, which don't require sperm cells to fertilize an egg cell. We are able to cryopreserve human eggs and embryos. Science has been making technological advances while society is trying to catch up with the new ethical issues they present.

The core conflict surrounding abortion is the issue of personhood. When should the developing fetus be considered a "human being", a person? No one is denying that a fertilized egg (a zygote) is "human tissue". It has the same DNA as an individual human skin cell. But should it be considered a "human being", should it be considered a person? Does it deserve the same designation of human being as the child who was just given birth? If you argue in the affirmative, because the potential for life is there, then every egg cell has the potential of being stimulated through the cloning process to develop into a human being. What do we do currently with the countless numbers of excess eggs and embryos that have been cryopreserved at In Vitro Fertilization centers?

Humbly, Justice Harry Blackmun, who delivered the majority opinion of the US Supreme Court in Roe v Wade in 1973, stated, "We [the Court] need not resolve the difficult question of when [human] life begins. When those trained in the respective disciplines of medicine, philosophy, and theology are unable to arrive at any consensus, the judiciary, at this point in the development of man's knowledge, is not in a position to speculate as to the answer."



Is it science's role to define personhood? Science can define what is happening physically, a non-viable fetus usually less than 22-24 weeks gestational age has not developed to the point of surviving even with medical intervention.

I feel that it is important that we clarify medical terminology. We need to be clear that an embryo is not an unborn child/baby, it is an embryo; a fetus is not an unborn child/baby, it is a fetus. By definition an embryo is a human organism during that period extending from conception to approximately the eighth week after fertilization; while a fetus is a human organism developing from the end of the eight-week following fertilization. A fetus is capable of existing outside the uterine environment from approximately the 22<sup>nd</sup> - 24<sup>th</sup> week of gestation until it gives birth. After speaking with Dr. Emily Baker, a Maternal Fetal Medicine specialist at DHMC, late term abortions in the State of New Hampshire are rarely if ever performed; and if they do occur it is for non-viable pregnancies, or for infants with severe anomalies.

Is it the government's role to define personhood? We live in a religiously pluralistic society. Some individuals believe that a fertilized egg is a "person", while others do not, and believe that fetus is not fully "human" until the fetus has functional lungs, which enables the child to take it's first breathe at it's birth.

Our founding fathers had the foresight to build into the Constitution a formal separation of church and state, the first amendment to the Constitution, "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of a religion. . . ." The government needs to respect the religious, spiritual beliefs of all its citizens and residents. No one individual, or religious institution, has a monopoly on morality. The government should not over-reach its role and define when a developing zygote/embryo/fetus attains personhood. Laws, which limit the mother's decision, are not life affirming, but are actually paternalistic, and indeed punitive for the woman who needs to face the decision making of whether or not to terminate her pregnancy

I continue to be an active member of the Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center's Ethics Committee. New Hampshire has a mechanism in place, the "Surrogate Decision Maker Law" This law creates a hierarchical list of family members/friends who may make health care decisions, become a health care agent for patients who are deemed incapable of making their own health care decisions. We usually think of a surrogate healthcare agent for the elderly, but they may also be required shortly after birth or even into adolescence.

To summarize, the mother is the best person to consider all of the ramifications needed in making medical decisions affecting a given pregnancy, even if it means, "removing life support" for a fetus who is not competent to make their own health care decisions? The pregnant woman is positioned to be the best health care agent to make the necessary critical medical decisions throughout the entire duration of her pregnancy.

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 16, 2022 5:02 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Hearing Details: 1:00 pm , SB399 on 1/19/2022.

Jennifer C. Horgan  
NH State Senate  
Legislative Office Building  
Room 5  
Concord, NH 03301  
(603) 271-7875

---

**From:** Ken Burwen <urbigsuccess@hotmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 16, 2022 2:57 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Hearing Details: 1:00 pm , SB399 on 1/19/2022.

Dear senate committee members:

My name is Ken Burwen and thank you so much for hearing my point of view.

As a father of 2 and a concerned citizen, I know that children are our future.

They are not getting a fair shake when

they are in the womb. They have no voice and they must

have protection from being destroyed, so your voice becomes an extraordinary life line

for these little ones. Please act on behalf of helpless babies, instead of contributing to

their demise. I simply call on you to help save the children now. You may well be their last

chance at life on this Earth. They need you and so I ask you to advocate for these

precious kids by voting against SB399-FN. I pray to God to guide you to know their life is in

your hands.

Respectfully,

Ken Burwen

1 Crawford Rd

Concord, NH 03303

My name is Danielle Albushies. For 18 ½ years I have had the pleasure of practicing ob/gyn in NH. I was inspired to choose this career as practicing obstetrics is a privilege like no other. As obstetricians we are present for the most joyous time in a woman's life but also help a woman navigate some of the most physically and emotionally intense situations. This ultrasound requirement at any gestational age before termination of pregnancy and this 24 week abortion ban are cruel and unnecessary. This new law will have harmful intended and unintended consequences. I am here to support SB399, which would fully repeal this law.

First, to clarify: elective abortions at or after 24 weeks are not performed in this state. This ban does nothing to stop something that is not happening.

The intended consequences of this ultrasound requirement and lack of appropriate exceptions to this 24 week abortion ban are as follows:

- 1. No exception for a fetal fatal diagnosis after 24 weeks. An anatomic ultrasound of a fetus does not occur until 18-20 weeks. If an abnormal fetal finding is seen, that woman has to be scheduled with a specialty ob/gyn called a maternal fetal medicine physician. By the time a fetal fatal diagnosis is confirmed a woman may be beyond 24 weeks. To see a woman and her loved ones hear their baby has a lethal abnormality is one of the hardest things to witness as a physician. No person in this room has the right to ask a woman to carry a fetus that has no chance of survival for months before spontaneous labor may occur. This is a form of cruel and unusual punishment to a mother already processing the future loss of her child.
- 2. An ultrasound requirement at any gestational age prior to termination is also cruel in addition to invasive and expensive. It is a provider's duty to determine how far into the pregnancy a woman is prior to a termination, but an ultrasound is not always medically necessary. Early in the pregnancy an ultrasound needs to be transvaginal which is invasive and can be painful. It can also be particularly traumatic for survivors of sexual violence. No person in this room has the right to require a woman to have an invasive procedure that is not necessary.
- 3. Criminal penalties for the medical providers. As physicians we respect our job to help our patients make appropriate health care decisions as we guide them with the facts and give them their options. No person in this room should be a barrier between the trusted and privileged relationship between a woman and her physician. I could never have imagined when I chose this profession that I would have to worry about being charged with a felony and could go to prison for doing my job.

The unintended consequences:

New Hampshire is now an outlier in New England regarding the ultrasound requirement at any gestational age prior to a termination and regarding the lack of exceptions to the 24 week abortion ban. It is not the same law as in New York and Massachusetts as some have erroneously stated. Only in New Hampshire, will a

woman have to have an ultrasound prior to a termination at any gestational age. Only in New Hampshire, will a woman whose fetus has a fatal diagnosis be forced to continue the pregnancy. Only in New Hampshire, will physicians be charged with a felony for just doing their job.

Obstetric units throughout the state have closed in the last few years and it's not just in the rural areas. Lakes Region Hospital in Laconia has closed its ob unit. Parkland Hospital in Derry has closed its ob unit. How are we supposed to recruit ob/gyns to New Hampshire when our state can no longer offer women appropriate care and doctors have the risk of being charged with a felony? All women in this state will suffer as a result of the lack of general and specialty ob/gyns.

These intended and unintended consequences I have described are not necessary nor compassionate. Our state should not be forcing women to have invasive, unnecessary procedures that cause her undue emotional and physical harm OR blocking women from accessing the necessary care they need. It is time to repeal New Hampshire's abortion ban and ultrasound mandate before it causes even more harm.

Danielle Albushies, MD

4 McNichol Lane

Bow, NH

When I discovered I was pregnant at 22 and unmarried I immediately set a date for an abortion (at the recommendation of my doctor). The insurance at my job at the time would even cover all expenses. After a few days of wrestling with my decision I decided to cancel the appointment and moved instead in the direction of adoption. My daughter was born in May of 1982, and she became the sheer joy of a couple who were unable to conceive.

Three years later my husband and I discovered we were pregnant with a baby boy and we were overjoyed, however, after some testing and an amniocentesis it was determined that "the possibility of fetal anomalies is significant. Impending intrauterine fetal demise or severe intrauterine growth retardation are also possibilities.... Another possibility is congenital nephrosis.... Although some infants can survive for a short time, it appears that congenital nephrosis is invariably fatal..... this fetus is at extremely high risk for having some other type of fetal abnormality or impending fetal demise. If the pregnancy continues to viability"..... etc. As you can imagine we were devastated at this news but rejected the recommendation of the doctor to end the life of our baby boy in the womb rather than chose life.

Adam Wesley was born in June of 1985 and was whisked away from us immediately upon delivery to be inspected for any abnormalities. He was brought back to us a short time later and told, "You have a perfectly healthy baby boy".

20 years and 10 months later during the Mother's Day floods here in NH in 2006 his life did come to an end when his car veered off the road and he was killed instantly while driving home from Liberty University trying to make it home to surprise me on Mother's Day morning.

Little did I know 24 years before that it would be the daughter I had given up for adoption who would be the balm my broken heart needed while grieving the death of my second child. She had come to find us just 20 months before Adam's death. She calls me "mom", she phones me at least once a day and it is as if we were never separated. I often think of the loss I would have faced if I had decided on abortion for either of these people. I would have missed out on 21 years of my son's life and now to have both my daughters to help bear the burden of losing him has been healing. He filled my empty arms when I chose adoption, and she has helped to mend my broken heart after his death.

We don't know what the future holds for us but we, as mothers, do hold the future of our children in our hands. I'm asking you to help women make the best, informed decisions for these people in their wombs by opposing this bill. And help protect the beating heart of a child.

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 16, 2022 5:06 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399 - FN

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Daniel Birck <birckies@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 15, 2022 1:40 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Cc:** Daniel Birck <birckies@comcast.net>  
**Subject:** SB 399 - FN

Respected Senators,

I am requesting that you vote NO on SB399 and let the Fetal Life Protection stand as previously passed.

I have been a resident of New Hampshire for almost fifty years and have supported life legislation during that time frame. I was encouraged by the passage of the Fetal Life Protection Act but now cannot believe that we are proposing to destroy the protection the unborn children had received. You have heard the different viewpoints, I am sure. I just ask that you consider the pain these unborn children experience as their life is taken. Please consider how you would feel if this child was yours, a grandchild or the child of someone you know.

Thank you.

Daniel birck  
10 Cross Rd  
Hollis, NH

My name is Paul Manganiello MD, MPH. I am a retired Professor Emeritus of the Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth. I was a faculty member in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, the Director of the Division of Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility, and I was the initial Medical Director of Advanced Reproductive Technologies at the Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center (DHMC) in Lebanon, New Hampshire.

I would like to present written testimony in favor of SB399-FN, a repeal of the fetal health protection act.

I would like to begin by saying, that throughout my professional career, I have never met a woman who sought to become pregnant in order to have to make a decision of whether or not to have an abortion. It would not be unusual, when I was practicing Obstetrics, that during the course of a given day, I would need to comfort a woman who had a non viable pregnancy and who would be experiencing an unwanted abortion; then to go across the hall to comfort a woman who had an unplanned pregnancy, needing to decide whether or not she would be able to continue with that pregnancy? It is always tragic. We have to approach this discussion with empathy, we need to be able to try and put ourselves in the shoes of the women who are confronted with making these decisions.

I came to DHMC in 1979, when Advanced Reproductive Technologies were just being implemented to assist individuals who were having a difficult time creating their desired family. That required technological advances such as cell cultures, microscopy, ultrasonography and genetic testing with counseling. With these new technologies we have been able to create human embryos. Science is now able to clone animals from somatic cell lines i.e., skin cells, which don't require sperm cells to fertilize an egg cell. We are able to cryopreserve human eggs and embryos. Science has been making technological advances while society is trying to catch up with the new ethical issues they present.

The core conflict surrounding abortion is the issue of personhood. When should the developing fetus be considered a "human being", a person? No one is denying that a fertilized egg (a zygote) is "human tissue". It has the same DNA as an individual human skin cell. But should it be considered a "human being", should it be considered a person? Does it deserve the same designation of human being as the child who was just given birth? If you argue in the affirmative, because the potential for life is there, then every egg cell has the potential of being stimulated through the cloning process to develop into a human being. What do we do currently with the countless numbers of excess eggs and embryos that have been cryopreserved at In Vitro Fertilization centers?

Humbly, Justice Harry Blackmun, who delivered the majority opinion of the US Supreme Court in Roe v Wade in 1973, stated, "We [the Court] need not resolve the difficult question of when [human] life begins. When those trained in the respective disciplines of medicine, philosophy, and theology are unable to arrive at any consensus, the judiciary, at this point in the development of man's knowledge, is not in a position to speculate as to the answer."

Is it science's role to define personhood? Science can define what is happening physically, a non-viable fetus usually less than 22-24 weeks gestational age has not developed to the point of surviving even with medical intervention.

I feel that it is important that we clarify medical terminology. We need to be clear that an embryo is not an unborn child/baby, it is an embryo; a fetus is not an unborn child/baby, it is a fetus. By definition an embryo is a human organism during that period extending from conception to approximately the eighth week after fertilization; while a fetus is a human organism developing from the end of the eight-week following fertilization. A fetus is capable of existing outside the uterine environment from approximately the 22<sup>nd</sup> - 24<sup>th</sup> week of gestation until it gives birth. After speaking with Dr. Emily Baker, a Maternal Fetal Medicine specialist at DHMC, late term abortions in the State of New Hampshire are rarely if ever performed; and if they do occur it is for non-viable pregnancies, or for infants with severe anomalies.

Is it the government's role to define personhood? We live in a religiously pluralistic society. Some individuals believe that a fertilized egg is a "person", while others do not, and believe that fetus is not fully "human" until the fetus has functional lungs, which enables the child to take it's first breathe at it's birth.

Our founding fathers had the foresight to build into the Constitution a formal separation of church and state, the first amendment to the Constitution, "Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of a religion. . . ." The government needs to respect the religious, spiritual beliefs of all its citizens and residents. No one individual, or religious institution, has a monopoly on morality. The government should not over-reach its role and define when a developing zygote/embryo/fetus attains personhood. Laws, which limit the mother's decision, are not life affirming, but are actually paternalistic, and indeed punitive for the woman who needs to face the decision making of whether or not to terminate her pregnancy

I continue to be an active member of the Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center's Ethics Committee. New Hampshire has a mechanism in place, the "Surrogate Decision Maker Law" This law creates a hierarchical list of family members/friends who may make health care decisions, become a health care agent for patients who are deemed incapable of making their own health care decisions. We usually think of a surrogate healthcare agent for the elderly, but they may also be required shortly after birth or even into adolescence.

To summarize, the mother is the best person to consider all of the ramifications needed in making medical decisions affecting a given pregnancy, even if it means, "removing life support" for a fetus who is not competent to make their own health care decisions? The pregnant woman is positioned to be the best health care agent to make the necessary critical medical decisions throughout the entire duration of her pregnancy.



~~Jennifer Horgan~~

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 9:57 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399

---

**From:** Roger P Brown <rpbrown@cfaith.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 16, 2022 8:15 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB 399

Dear Committee Members, Thank you for the opportunity to share a few thoughts with you. I am a mother of 5 children, 3 are living wonderful lives and I pray they will all fulfill their God-given destinies. Two were miscarried and I won't see them until I get to Heaven. They are none the less my children. Life is a precious gift from God and we have been so careless with it. If NH has forbidden the Death penalty for those who have committed the most atrocious acts against fellow human beings, how can we possibly turn around and forbid giving that same protection to the most innocent of human beings? What crime has the unborn child in it's mother's womb ever committed that would warrant death by Abortion? We all know that the answer to that is the crime of being "an inconvenience, unwanted, unplanned, and subject to the Women's Reproductive Rights". It is criminal to allow abortion to continue as a "Right". No one has a "Right" to take another's life!!! This bill will repeal The Fetal Life Protection Act and move us back into Abortion up to birth. PLEASE do not allow this. Thank you for your time, Respectfully, Joyce Brown, Merrimack

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 9:57 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399-FN

---

**From:** Kent and Sandy Gordon <kentsandygordon@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 16, 2022 6:19 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB 399-FN

I am appalled at this effort to repeal the Fetal Life Protection Act. Have we become so hardened that we have no compassion, no regard for the unborn? Have we forgotten the 6th Commandment, "You shall not murder?"

Please, Senators, don't take us down this immoral path!!

Sincerely,

Sandra Gordon  
Dalton

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 9:58 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: I oppose SB-399 .....

---

**From:** Steve Denis <sr.denis68@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 16, 2022 5:45 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** I oppose SB-399 .....

I am opposed to SB-399 which wants to repeal the recently passed Fetal Life Protection Act. All human life needs to be protected from conception to natural death. We are going down the wrong path to start making exceptions.

Stephen R. Denis  
23 Franklin St.  
Claremont, NH 03743

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 9:58 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: New Hampshire needs a Life Bill

---

**From:** rushbeverly <rushbeverly@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 12:02 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** New Hampshire needs a Life Bill

Thank you for this opportunity to share a brief testimony. I am a longtime resident of NH since 1972. I was in my early twenties when I personally experienced the victimization of a coerced abortion and the indignity of going through with it. The doctors who assisted me had compromised their professional pledge to "do no harm". They could have, but did not value me or my baby enough to warn me of the physical and emotional trauma of the aftermath. I don't believe they knew back then of the psychological post-trauma regret that can last a lifetime even with having treatment. We have enough data now from mental health resources not to ignore the risk to a woman's mental health that an abortion can cause. It can negatively interrupt her life down the road, which happened to me. Giving this information out is not in the best interest of the abortion industry and goes largely hidden and ignored by them. The public should know. You should know of this mental health risk and warning.

Finally, a woman's reproductive right to do wrong is no right at all. Especially if it means aborting her baby to exercise her right. A woman's real reproductive right is to procreate after she gets married and to exercise responsibility and multiple forms of protection to prevent a surprise pregnancy. A man should be trained and expected to do the same.

We need a Life Bill to end abortion for more reasons than mentioned here. The current exception is the risk to the health of the mother. I hope this was helpful.

Thank you.

Sent from my Galaxy

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 11:20 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB399

---

**From:** lleep21 <lleep21@protonmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 8:20 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB399

Dear Committee Members,

As a pro-life woman, who had an abortion when she was 18, I am appalled that our nation has lowered ourselves to the depths we stand now with respect to human life. Last year, 850,000 abortions occurred, compared to 350,000 who died of covid.

When I was 18, my first year in college, I was a scared, shamed, uncourageous teen who didn't want to disappoint her widowed mother. I thought I took the easy way out, but little did I know that I would be *haunted by this decision for the entirety of my life*. I remember little about the event (Planned Parenthood), but I know one thing, I did **not** see the baby via ultrasound. It may have changed the course of my actions. To think it is okay to kill a baby up to even 15 weeks is unconscionable. How could a civil society partake in such heinous activity?

Since that time I have been on the course to help in any way I can to save the babies. Children are a blessing. There are many choices when having an unplanned pregnancy, including adoption. We have two beautiful grandchildren we happen to be raising because our daughter, made a couple of wrong choices, but chose to have her babies instead of abort them. I am proud of her. She was much more courageous than I. I feel that God has given me a second chance with our grandchildren. I have been blessed beyond measure.

As a taxpayer, I do not want my tax dollars going to assisting with these types of procedures.

Please **DO NOT** repeal the fetal protection bill. Protect the babies.

Thank you for your service to our state.

Cordially,  
Laura L. Preston  
Salem, NH  
603-560-5985

Sent from ProtonMail for iOS

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Kelly Warner <kellwarner@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 2:40 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** testimony: support SB 399 and SB 436

I'm Kelly Warner, a resident of Exeter, NH and a chemistry teacher at Noble High School in North Berwick ME. I strongly support both SB 399 and SB 436, but I can't be in person at the hearing because I will be teaching. Please include the following as a part of the public record.

I was born a few years after the passage of Roe v Wade, and I feel as though all my life I have taken reproductive rights for granted. The idea that these rights that I have assumed would be there if I ever needed them are threatened is very concerning to me. Please support both SB 399 and SB 436.

Kelly Warner  
Exeter, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Patti Anastasia <patti.anastasia@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 4:38 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Jay Kahn; Becky Whitley; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Testimony for Senate Judiciary Hearing Wednesday Jan 19 2022

Dear Committee,

This is my testimony for bills that your committee will consider on Wednesday Jan 19. Thank you for your consideration of my testimony.

### **SB399 repealing the fetal health protection act**

I SUPPORT this bill.

This bill will repeal would repeal New Hampshire's 24-week abortion ban and ultrasound mandate for all abortion care. The 24-week abortion ban is too extreme. It has no exceptions for rape, incest, or fatal fetal diagnoses. The exceptions are very narrow and limited to maternal health. The current abortion ban also criminalized health care providers with a class B felony, allows family members to file civil actions. No other NH laws that subject health care professionals to felony charges and imprisonment. A large number of medical providers opposed this bill during the last legislative session ([https://drive.google.com/file/d/1nx85QSfw6Ynf79XDPq-c-v\\_2OYGdmpob/view](https://drive.google.com/file/d/1nx85QSfw6Ynf79XDPq-c-v_2OYGdmpob/view)).

The ultrasound mandate in the current abortion ban is not a medically sound practice. th care decisions.  
Polling:

The current abortion ban is also very unpopular with votes in NH. Polling released in July, 2021 from the UNH Survey Center shows that New Hampshire's abortion ban has the support of only 1/3 of Granite Staters. Nearly half of independent Granite Staters oppose this ban, as do 27 percent of Republicans. ([https://scholars.unh.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1663&context=survey\\_center\\_polls](https://scholars.unh.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1663&context=survey_center_polls))

NH calls itself the Live Free or Die state. Meanwhile, the legislature has banned the freedom of NH women to make their own decisions about their own pregnancies or at the same time as the legislature supports the freedom of choice in much less far-reaching medical decisions such as vaccines. This is against the NH way.

### **SB436 relative to access to abortion care**

I SUPPORT this bill. This bill puts abortion rights into state law. It will ensure that abortion remains legal, safe, and accessible in NH. It upholds the NH values that our citizens have the right to make personal, private health decisions. It is imperative that all Granite Staters have access to all of the health care that they need without government interference. This right is important to the welfare of the women of NH.

Regards,  
Patricia Anastasia  
50 Holstein Avenue  
Londonderry, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Barbara D. Reed <bdreed74@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 5:07 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB 436 and SB399

I am very much in favor of these bills. Please vote to support our reproductive rights by passing both SB 399 and SB 436. For a state that boasts about freedom, as Governor Sununu frequently claims, it seems odd that the state of NH believes that women should have no right to determine what is right for them and their families. We are being treated as second class citizens. If a man's body were to be subjected to being controlled by women, there would be a massive outcry. The current and planned bills, such as HB 1477, to restrict abortion even further are abhorrent to many of us and make us feel as if we are chattel and of no importance. Women deserve the right to control our own bodies. Barbara D. Reed North Swanzey NH



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Debbie Kane <kanecommnh1@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 5:15 PM  
**To:** Becky Whitley; Harold French; Jay Kahn; Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Please support SB 399 and SB 346

I'm asking you to support two important bills that support women and our ability to make decisions for ourselves: SB 399 and SB 346. As a New Hampshire resident and parent to two daughters -- and someone who likes to think that our state supports living in the 21st century, not the 19th -- I'm in favor of any legislation that enables women to have access to safe, legal and accessible abortion care.

Please support SB 399 and SB 346.

Thank you for what you do for our state.

Debbie Kane  
Exeter, NH

Dear members of the Senate Judiciary Committee,

My name is Christine Arsnow. I am a pediatrician, mother of two young girls, and vice president of the NH chapter of the AAP. I recommend that you support SB 399-FN the Reproductive Health Privacy Act.

Regardless of how I feel personally about terminating a pregnancy, I acknowledge that this procedure is necessary in some situations. The decision to end a pregnancy is extremely complex, and should be between a woman and her physician.

It has been well documented that limiting access to safe abortion does not reduce the number of abortions; it only makes them less safe.

Abortion restrictions disproportionately affect women of color, and women of low socioeconomic status such as teenage mothers.

My four year old daughter just came up to me to ask me what I am doing. I told her that I am writing a letter to the people who make laws. I told her that there is a new law that would make it illegal to provide full healthcare for women in New Hampshire. I told her that it probably wouldn't affect us, because we have a car and enough money and can always go to Massachusetts or New York if we need to. I explained that there are some people who don't have a car or don't have much money, and have to stay in New Hampshire for their healthcare, and that those people could get very hurt or sick if this we don't provide the best healthcare possible in our state. She said "that is sad."

New Hampshire has a long tradition of providing excellent medical care, and attracts top physicians from around the country. When the government starts to limit scope of practice it will trickle down to impact other areas of healthcare. Do we want to take a step backwards and restrict New Hampshire women from access to safe medical care?

Please support the Reproductive Health Privacy Act.

Sincerely,  
Christine Arsnow, MD, FAAP

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Sally hansel <jhansel@ne.rr.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 5:44 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Support SB399 and SB436

Ladies and Gentlemen -

I am writing today to ask for your support in protecting abortion rights in our wonderful State of New Hampshire. Please vote "ought to pass" on bills SB399 and SB436.

We women of the state are counting on you!

Thank you,  
Sally Hansel  
Keene, NH

Sent from my iPad

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** William Williams <ww@pagweb.org>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 6:17 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** please oppose SB 399-FN

Dear friends,

we are not talking about fetus or blobs of tissue, but real live babies, that have arms and legs, hands and toes, a brain and a heart. please honor the law that has been passed to protect babies from 24 weeks on. 24 weeks is more than enough time for a woman to have an abortion if she so desires.

please be reasonable and vote against hb 1609 and give these children a chance to live. what person should be able to take their child's life simply because they are an inconvenience?

are you aware of the process of late term abortion where a dr goes in and dissects the child or has it live born then brutally harvests its organs without anesthesia for the sake of the pharmaceutical industries r&d? it is simply inconceivable, how any human being can be so heartless and cruel.

PLEASE OPPOSE SB 399-FN

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Bill Williams  
a constituent from Tilton, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Darlene Pawlik <gracefiles@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 6:32 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB 399 -FN

Dear Honorable Committee Members,

For the record, my name is Darlene Pawlik. I am a resident of Raymond, New Hampshire.

Please vote against this bill and uphold the right to life for babies.

Please, oppose SB 399.

The bill as written will nullify the protections offered to unborn children after 24 weeks gestation. These children are often able to live with preterm delivery, rather than the intentional brutal murder of abortion.

Thank you for allowing my testimony to be entered into the public record.

For Life All Ways,

Darlene Pawlik  
603.785.0016

[www.theDarlingPrincess.com](http://www.theDarlingPrincess.com)  
Testimony: The Dark Side of Christianity

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Elle Bryan <elle.jaye.bryan@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 9:03 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Testimony: Support SB 399

Hello. My name is Elle and I am a lifelong resident of Nashua, NH, where I work in higher ed. I am writing to share my story and add it to the testimony as part of the public record in support of SB 399.

Two years ago, I never anticipated the story of my life would include a chapter on abortion. I was raised Catholic, I volunteer in my local community, and consider myself a good person. I strive to live a life of purpose and service, and when I felt ready, I was excited to grow my family. Despite my previous confidence that I would never personally need an abortion, I found myself doing just that, weeks shy of my third trimester.

In 2019 I was pregnant with a very much wanted and hoped-for baby girl. Then, in my fifth month of pregnancy, I was blindsided to learn at a routine ultrasound that my daughter had fetal impairments impacting her brain and spinal cord. After consultations with some of the best doctors in the world, sleepless nights, and unending tears, I made the heartbreaking decision to end the pregnancy.

I was fortunate that my OB practice had one doctor willing and able to perform the procedure for me within a provided timeline. The clock ticked as I waited for referrals, waited to hear the availability of specialists in Boston, waited for the country to pause in celebration of the Thanksgiving holiday.

The decision to end my pregnancy was one I ultimately made out of love, out of the hopes to spare my daughter a painful life of constant and necessary, life-saving medical intervention. Since then, I've experienced acute grief marked by loneliness and shame of politicized healthcare, grief then punctuated by the isolation of a country deeply divided.

Through this grief, I've also met an amazing community of parents who've made the same hard decision when faced with a grim fetal diagnosis. Our community includes doctors and lawyers and god-fearing community leaders and amazingly thoughtful parents. We accept and support members who have been ostracized from faith and family, and help each other navigate paths back into the world after emerging from the depths of all-consuming grief. These parents know all too well that abortion access is not a black and white issue that can be boiled down to a simplified stance of caring about life or not caring about life.

The recently passed abortion ban includes incredibly narrow views on maternal health. I have multiple sclerosis, a chronic health condition. It is incurable and exacerbated by stress. The state's vested interest in maternal health during pregnancy, yet seeming lack of interest in long-term maternal health and wellness after the state-mandated birth of a child displays a narrow and overly-simplistic view of health. Conversations about abortion should be had with doctors, not with elected officials.

The state's abortion bans also drive further inequity within our state. Affluent members of the community will continue to travel out of state and pay for abortion access. However, women and families who may already be on the cusp of poverty or food insecurity will struggle to find the means to leave the state for the healthcare they need.

NH's current abortion ban does not help the citizens of NH or make us safer. These bills are an invasion into the most intimate moments in healthcare decision-making, a catalyst to drive mothers like me out of state to get the care they need, or to drive women into unsafe situations.

As our lawmakers in New Hampshire come together during a time of unprecedented health crisis, isolation, and uncertainty for the residents of our state, I feel compelled to speak up. You have an important role to play, but restricting access to much-needed healthcare is not it. Respect the citizens of NH's right to make well-considered choices for ourselves and our families—whatever that choice may be. I urge this committee to support SB 399.

Elle B.  
Nashua, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Claudia Damon <cordsdamon@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:23 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Jay Kahn; Becky Whitley  
**Cc:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** For the record: NH voter supports SB 399-FN and SB 436-FN

**Follow Up Flag:** Follow up  
**Flag Status:** Completed

Dear Chair Carson and Senate Judiciary Committee members,

I have tried to sign in remotely to indicate my support for two bills but I am not certain that my remote sign-in worked correctly. So I am writing this short note to let you know that I support SB 399-FN and SB 436-FN.

Please enter this note in the committee records.

Thank you,  
Claudia Damon  
Concord, NH



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:47 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Testimony against SB339 and SB436-FN

-----Original Message-----

From: jeffwilder2@outlook.com <jeffwilder2@outlook.com>  
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2022 9:47 PM  
To: Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
Subject: Testimony against SB339 and SB436-FN

Hello,

I am writing to you today to express my opposition to SB339 because it repeals the common-sense legislation protecting viable unborn humans from being cruelly murdered (there is nothing clean/humane about a late-term abortion).

I would also like to express my opposition to SB436-FN because it is a blanket law that removes the ability of the state to create common-sense regulations on the practice of abortion, which regardless of whether you agree or disagree with the practice, is a very bad idea.

Think of the consequences if a similar bill was passed that removed restrictions/regulations on heart surgeries - you would have unsafe practices.

Please reject abortion extremism and vote against both of these bills.

Thank you,

Jeffrey Wilder  
3 Buttonwood DR  
Derry, NH 03038

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:47 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Defeat SB 399

-----Original Message-----

**From:** P Goduti <pgoduti@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 9:39 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Defeat SB 399

I respectfully ask you to please DEFEAT SB 399.

Paula Goduti  
558 Straw Hill Road  
Manchester NH 03104

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:50 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: OPPOSING SB-399-FN, ITL this bill seeking to repeal Fetal Life Protection Act  
**Importance:** High

---

**From:** Walt Stapleton <Walt.Stapleton@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 9:21 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** OPPOSING SB-399-FN, ITL this bill seeking to repeal Fetal Life Protection Act  
**Importance:** High

**Hon. Members of Senate Judiciary Cmte.,**

Titled as the "Reproductive Health Privacy Act", this bill would throw NH back to basically unrestricted abortions essentially at any time up to the point at or near birth, then only prohibited by RSA 329:32-42, Partial Birth Abortion Ban Act.

Both SCOTUS decisions in Roe-v-Wade and Planned Parenthood-v-Casey indicated that a woman does not possess an autonomous and exclusive right to privacy as an enabler to abortion, because the State has a reasonable and legitimate interest in protecting life in the womb as future citizens! Even in our own statutes at 329:41 "Construction", paragraph Roman I declares: "Nothing in this subdivision shall be construed as creating or recognizing a right to abortion".

Polls show that approximately 65% to 75% of citizens oppose late term abortions, and many of my constituents have repeatedly indicated their abhorrence for abortion any time except in the case of medical emergency, or risk to the life or the significant functional health of the mother. Some have asserted that the FLPA fails to provide any exception for rape or incest; however, the bill provides six months for a woman to make a decision for abortion, regardless of the reason. New Hampshire's FLPA is a humane, reasonable and proper statute for balanced protection of both the late term viable baby and the liberty to choose abortion prior to the 24 week limit.

HB-399-FN repeal of FLPA is a harmful and unreasonable initiative and should be opposed with your votes to declare it ITL-Inexpedient to Legislate!

Respectfully,

**Walter & Claire Stapleton**  
90 Veterans Park Road  
Claremont NH 03743

Rep. Walter A. Stapleton  
NH House Representative

Environ. & Agriculture Cmte.  
Claremont Ward 3  
Sullivan County Dist. 5  
(603) 995-1034

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:51 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399-FN, repealing the Fetal Health Protection Act

---

**From:** Patrick Kiefer <pkiefer48@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 9:18 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB 399-FN, repealing the Fetal Health Protection Act

Honorable Senator Horgan:

I am writing to request you and all members of the Senate Judiciary Committee oppose SB 399-FN. The Fetal Life Protection Act, passed last year, would effectively be repealed by this act which has only been in effect for three weeks. Removing the limit to abortion after 24 week after conception is returning New Hampshire to no restrictions on abortion. This would bring our state back to the most open abortion state in our country.

Having just gained some abortion restrictions the last thing our state should do is to allow, once again, unrestricted taking the lives of innocent preborn children.

Please oppose this bill.

Respectfully,  
Patrick Kiefer  
Laconia, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:51 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Testimony in OPPOSITION to SB399

---

**From:** Phil Tuttle <phil@thezealmovement.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 9:11 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Testimony in OPPOSITION to SB399

Hello Jennifer,

I wanted to send a quick testimony in OPPOSITION to SB399.

In the fall of 2006, I was a sophomore at the University of Notre Dame when my girlfriend found out she was pregnant. As a young 19 year-old, we had to make the biggest decision of our lives whether to keep or abort the baby. With full knowledge of what it would mean, we chose to abort.

The process was quick, yet painful. But neither of us were prepared for what would follow. In the coming years, we both experienced the weight of shame, embarrassment, and the constant questioning of whether or not we made the right decision. One of the side-effects emotionally and psychologically for a father is that you shut down emotionally because you can't comprehend when you just did. You move into a place of no longer being able to feel emotionally. This is quite common for fathers who are involved in the decision to abort a baby.

As a father now to three incredible children, I know part of my role in their lives is to provide and protect them. When I aborted my child, I failed to fulfill my God-given responsibility in the life of my child and I want to make sure other fathers and mothers don't have to go through the years of emotional and psychological trauma associated with a decision like this.

Thank you for your time. This is an additional article to go along with my testimony as well that provides research to back up my claims: <https://www.usccb.org/committees/pro-life-activities/hollow-men-male-grief-trauma-following-abortion>

### "The Hollow Men": Male Grief & Trauma Following Abortion | USCCB

Vincent Rue, Ph.D. is co-director of the Institute for Pregnancy Loss, Jacksonville, Florida. He is a practicing psychotherapist, researcher, lecturer, and author of a book and numerous articles in professional journals on post-abortion trauma, for which he provided the first clinical evidence in 1981.

[www.usccb.org](http://www.usccb.org)

Phil Tuttle

The Zeal Movement

[phil@thezealmovement.com](mailto:phil@thezealmovement.com)

(603) 344-0511

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:51 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Opposition to SB399

---

**From:** Anthony Ferrantello <ajfnino@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 9:07 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Opposition to SB399

SB399 would legalize murder, pure and simple. It would eliminate the Fetal Life Protection Act by removing protections for defenseless human beings from birth. Thus, SB399 needs to be actively opposed on moral and religious grounds.

Thank You  
Anthony Ferrantello  
Keene, NH



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:52 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399

---

**From:** Lucille Fortin <lucillefortin121@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 8:59 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB 399

Dear Jennifer,

I have lived in New Hampshire for almost 68 years. As a mother of five children, and grandmother of seven, I firmly believe that every life is precious in the eyes of God, and should be respected and protected from conception through natural death. Every unborn child is a little person with potential to become a NH citizen who will contribute important things into society. Why do people think that we have the right to cut an unborn life short? We are not God. Currently, NH has one of the least stringent life laws in the country. Let us not go backward! Our unborn children deserve to be protected for their own good, and for society's good. Thank you.

Sincerely,  
Lucille Fortin  
Allentown, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:52 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Registering my support for reproductive rights SB 399

---

**From:** Mary Downes <mary.downes.7@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 8:32 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Registering my support for reproductive rights SB 399

I remember when my mother, now 89, went to work for "The State" of New Hampshire's first Family Planning office back in the early '70s. It was a time when Meldrim Thomson was Governor and William Loeb ran the Union Leader, and when 'Live Free or Die' began to be stamped on our license plates. I was just a kid, but I remember her explaining that Family Planning helped provide health care services to women and families, and I remember visiting her in the musty basement of a state building near the Corner View Restaurant in Concord. She was, and remains, fiercely committed to the right of women to have control over the most personal and momentous decisions about their own lives and their own bodies, including the right to use birth control and to have an abortion.

In college, I took a Women in Law course and studied the Roe v Wade case in detail. I remember learning, at age 20, that Roe was not guaranteed, and that we needed to be vigilant about protecting women's rights, particularly at the state level where anti-abortion laws remained on the books, unenforceable unless and until Roe were overturned. That seemed unlikely to me, even during the Reagan era, but I tried not to take for granted the legal and social progress that had been fought for and won by women of my mother's generation, and the generation before hers, and so on.

Decades later, here we are. I'm now past child-bearing age, but remain grateful for a Title X and public health dollars that allowed me to access birth control, antibiotics for the occasional yeast or bladder infection, annual Ob Gyn screenings, and a safe accessible abortion back in my 20s. My two adult kids (one male, one female) are now in *their* 20s, and frankly, I'm *angry* that they have to face the prospect of navigating their reproductive years knowing that an unplanned pregnancy could derail their lives, or worse, result in medical and / or psychological trauma.

This fight is not and never has been just about abortion, it's about control over women's lives. It's about limiting our choices, and punishing us for getting pregnant by forcing us into roles that may not be right for us emotionally, physically, or financially. This fight is about women having the right to job security; it's about women being able to pursue a degree and complete it; it's about women getting final say over whether to take on the very real medical risks that pregnancy and childbirth pose, especially when other health conditions are present; and it's about women being able to say 'no' to a stifling (or worse) relationship with a man, rather than becoming dependent on him and his income to help her raise a child she does not want to have (and that he probably doesn't want to have, either). In other words, this fight is about every aspect of women's lives.

I urge you in the strongest possible terms to support women's right to self-determination, including the right to terminate a pregnancy. Support SB 399 and SB 436. Thank you.

Mary Downes  
Madbury, NH  
sent from my personal Gmail account

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:52 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Oppose SB 399

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Claire Ketteler <cketteler@tds.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 7:03 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Oppose SB 399

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I oppose SB399. I support the Fetal Life Protection Act which was passed last session and due to take effect shortly.  
Thank you,  
Claire Ketteler  
Newbury

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:53 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB-399

---

**From:** Kate Scholes <leuca@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 6:53 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB-399

Please uphold the SB 399 and DO NOT repeal the Fetal Life Protection Act. Do not "gut it" of the ultrasound requirement. This is the only protection against late term abortions. There is untold damage being done to our women and girls when they realize that they aborted a real, viable baby. Be courageous and do what is right for the State of NH.

Thank You,  
Kathleen Scholes  
603-778-5758

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:53 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Bill on 1/19

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Comcast <sbiss1753@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 5:43 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Bill on 1/19

If I had known that my child had a heartbeat at 5 1/2 weeks I would've not aborted that child

Sent from my iPhone

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:54 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399-FN

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Anthony Fallon <tony@tonyfallon.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 5:40 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB 399-FN

Gentles:

Christ and I love you.

Christ and I love all people.

People are people inside and out of their mothers.

I oppose SB 399 as it seeks to make it easier to take the life of a person inside their mother.

Thank you for your service.

Light, Love, and Joy of Jesus,

Tony

Anthony Dreux Fallon  
Tony Fallon Architecture

PO Box 404  
49 Center Street  
Wolfeboro Falls, New Hampshire 03896

603 269 3206

[https://us-east-](https://us-east-2.protection.sophos.com?d=tonyfallon.com&u=aHR0cDovL3RvbnlmYWxsb24uY29t&i=NWRlZjJhNDgzYjRhNTgwZDk2OTMxNjY0&t=N2lmemc3YUFjdTcvHIDYWY1RFRQeEZ4SWtSdExCeUxDTk4vM3huSnk2cz0=&h=a40be4b9b51f468f86338c31e7472bc1)

[2.protection.sophos.com?d=tonyfallon.com&u=aHR0cDovL3RvbnlmYWxsb24uY29t&i=NWRlZjJhNDgzYjRhNTgwZDk2OTMxNjY0&t=N2lmemc3YUFjdTcvHIDYWY1RFRQeEZ4SWtSdExCeUxDTk4vM3huSnk2cz0=&h=a40be4b9b51f468f86338c31e7472bc1](https://us-east-2.protection.sophos.com?d=tonyfallon.com&u=aHR0cDovL3RvbnlmYWxsb24uY29t&i=NWRlZjJhNDgzYjRhNTgwZDk2OTMxNjY0&t=N2lmemc3YUFjdTcvHIDYWY1RFRQeEZ4SWtSdExCeUxDTk4vM3huSnk2cz0=&h=a40be4b9b51f468f86338c31e7472bc1)

[tony@tonyfallon.com](mailto:tony@tonyfallon.com)

Licensed Architect by New Hampshire & Maine

As your word unfolds, it gives light,  
and the simple understand.

Psalm 119:130



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:55 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Please support SB 399 and SB 436

---

**From:** Beth Brosnan <beth.brosnan@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 4:36 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Please support SB 399 and SB 436

Dear Sen. Horgan,

My name is Elizabeth (Beth) Brosnan. I'm a 20-year resident of Exeter, NH, and I'm writing to testify in support of both SB 399 and SB 436, and ask that this message be included in the public record. I am unable to attend in person because I work Monday through Friday as the chief breadwinner for my family.

At age 63, I've had the privilege of living my adult life with Roe v. Wade as the law of the land. I've had ready access to the information and reproductive health care I've needed, including abortion, enabling me to make the best choices for myself and my family.

In an era that places such a premium on individual freedoms, it's essential that this freedom of choice be preserved for the women of New Hampshire -- women like my 24-year-old daughter and her friends and co-workers. Women, working with their own health-care providers, are in the best position to determine what they need and when they need it for this most personal of choices.

Please be sure to vote for both SB 399 and SB 436. Thank you.

Elizabeth (Beth) Brosnan  
4 Prentiss Way  
Exeter, NH 03833



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:56 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: testimony SB 399/SB436

---

**From:** Miriam Cahill-yeaton <nmyeaton.mims@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 3:33 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** testimony SB 399/SB436

1. I am old enough to remember reading my father's newspaper as a child and seeing news stories that were horrifying. They were about young women who had died trying to abort a fetus.. These were commonly called "back alley" abortions, although I did not know that at the time. I just knew that they died and that they had been pregnant. Of course, I didn't fully understand these stories and would never have asked my father what they meant. I do understand them now. We need safe abortions to prevent a return to those dreadful and deadly times.
2. As a student nurse in a three-year diploma program, we worked in various areas of the hospital. One day we were in the OB/GYN area and we were going to be fortunate enough to observe a delivery. After we got scrubbed and gowned, we found out the baby we would see delivered would be abnormal. The couple was aware of this. Ultrasounds were brand new and were not common then. The couple had been told there was not enough amniotic fluid for the fetus' brain to develop normally. Such a fetus was known as "anencephalic" and the expected outcome was dire. The patient had carried her fetus to term. When she went into labor, she and her husband came to the hospital and the fetus was delivered by the physician. As was typical at that time, the father was not allowed in the delivery room. The fetus was quite disfigured and had no heartbeat. I can still remember how much this couple, and particularly the woman, suffered carrying a baby known to be almost certainly nonviable, and certainly not normal.
3. I was a single mother of two young children when I attended nursing school. I drove a school bus and had a friend who helped me a great deal with childcare, etc (also a bus driver). She was originally from a very catholic area in Canada. She told me of a baby she lost before term and before she moved to the states. The baby had already died in utero, but for religious reasons, she was forced to carry the fetus until she went into labor. When the fetus was delivered, she described it as "macerated" and shared how horrible the experience was for her.

I am prochoice. Some abortions are and will always be, necessary. I wish to have my statements included in the public record.

Miriam Cahill-Yeaton  
91 Goboro Rd.  
Epsom, NH 03234  
retired RN, retired AF nurse, retired nurse practitioner  
[nmyeaton.mims@yahoo.com](mailto:nmyeaton.mims@yahoo.com)  
603-731-1810

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:56 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Testimony: Strong support for HB 399 and SB 436

---

**From:** Susan Varn <ssvarn@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 3:16 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Testimony: Strong support for HB 399 and SB 436

My name is Susan Varn. I am a long-time, voting resident of Kensington, NH.

I strongly support reproductive rights and HB 399 and SB 436.

Unfortunately, I am unable to attend this important hearing in person because I have Covid and am isolating.

A woman's medical decisions are private, between herself and her medical professionals. The government does not belong in those decisions any more than it belongs in private decisions between a man and his medical professionals.

NH has a long, respected history of protecting reproductive rights, and we absolutely must not turn our backs on women's rights now.

Please include this in the public record. Thank you.

Susan Varn

Kensington, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:57 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB399 Testimony in favor

---

**From:** C Teran <catherine.teran@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 2:37 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB399 Testimony in favor

I am a 74 year old woman who became a cancer survivor last summer in the middle of the pandemic. That scary experience crystallized my thinking about what is important and what is not.

- Every person in this state should have the right to make their own health care decisions. A woman who finds herself pregnant without the means to raise a child faces the end of her life as she has known it, or living with having made the choice not to carry the fetus to term. It is a terrible situation to be in; she **MUST** be allowed to make the choice herself. It should not be made by a political group who are often governed by religious motivations (unconstitutional), or for political gain.
- We have so many children already born who do not have adequate shelter, food, clothing, educational opportunities, or enough love to thrive. When a child is forced on someone, it is likely that there will be another child added to their number. Who then will help? **VOTE YES ON SB399 TO REPEAL THE "FETAL HEALTH PROTECTION ACT"** and avoid creating another welfare state.
- A yes vote is a thinking vote, a no vote is caving to pressure.

--  
Catherine Teran  
Sandwich, New Hampshire

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:57 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Please Vote "Ought to Pass" on Wednesday!

---

**From:** lbutlernh@comcast.net <lbutlernh@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 2:23 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson <Sharon.Carson@leg.state.nh.us>; William Gannon <William.Gannon@leg.state.nh.us>; Harold French <Harold.French@leg.state.nh.us>; Becky Whitley <Becky.Whitley@leg.state.nh.us>; Jay Kahn <Jay.Kahn@leg.state.nh.us>; Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Please Vote "Ought to Pass" on Wednesday!

Dear Senators,

I live in the Seacoast and own NH property in the Monadnock region, and I'm writing to urge you to support abortion rights in our State this Wednesday, when, as you are likely aware, two critical bills are scheduled to come before your NH Senate Judiciary Committee. I've already sent this message to Bill Gannon and just found the emails for the rest of you Judiciary Committee members.

I've never had an abortion but I have a family member and friends who have, and I am so glad they had that choice at difficult junctures in their lives. You know how important engaged parents, with the mental and other resources to support their children, are to the safety and future of children and our country. As a woman, I know few potential mothers would ever make that choice lightly. The State should not be interfering in a decision anyone who is not ready or able, for whatever myriad reason, realizes is necessary. Women need that choice as a moral prerogative, an act of healthcare equity, and as an act of kindness. If our State and Federal Constitutions can protect the choice of someone to buy, own, and use a gun, we can definitely protect the choice of a woman to bring a child into this world under terms in which she can care for and nurture that child successfully.

Thank you for "listening;" I hope I can count on your supportive votes on Wednesday.

Kind regards,

Lisa Butler  
Exeter, NH

**Lisa Butler**  
Strategic Advisor, Educator, Board Leader  
[lisa@lbprod-dev.com](mailto:lisa@lbprod-dev.com)  
603-770-3954 cell  
[LinkedIn](#)

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:57 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: January 19 Bills

---

**From:** Taylor Brown <taylorlisabrown@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 1:43 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** January 19 Bills

Hello Jennifer,

My name is Taylor Brown I'm a resident in Manchester, New Hampshire. I am writing to let you know that I am in support of the SB399 and SB436. I think that laws restricting a person with reproductive organs right to choose what they do with their body when they want to see archaic and oppressive and that if New Hampshire wants to preach the "live free" motto this should include people being able to make choices for their bodies without government interference.

Best,  
Taylor

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 10:59 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Support of SB 399 & SB 436

---

**From:** Heidi Rowe <hasrowe@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 17, 2022 11:28 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Support of SB 399 & SB 436

A woman's right to choose - I support a woman's right to abortion access as defined by Roe v Wade. I'm aghast at the changes in women's abortion rights. Why do we not listen to the arguments carefully about health care, responsible choice, and leave women's bodies alone?

Cannot believe that there is such high interest in abolishing late-term abortion (uncommon and usually health related) after 24 weeks, that men think a projectile should be placed inside a woman to determine pregnancy gestation though doctors feel that current exterior methods are just as capable of determining), and that ALL women in NH are now subject to this obscene turnaround. It is so discriminatory! Why not add in that all fathers, if listed, have an anal probe the size of this ultrasound probe? It always fascinates me that MALES take little responsibility around pregnancy. Let me repeat - it is so easy for MEN to run away from the responsibility of pregnancy and yet be righteous in their stand against women. Statistics show the challenges of single parenthood. Statistics show that abortion is not abused. Statistics show that a pregnancy is usually not discovered or validated for a good bit of time. Statements show that abortion is an incredibly difficult choice (not a casual birth control solution). Please consider leaving abortion rights as they were after Roe v Wade. Church and NH State are supposed to be separate. Somehow, a woman pregnant (maybe not by her own choice) is now, as determined primarily by men and the church, is sacred. That is wrong.

I'm disgusted by the zealot Republican behavior of dominance and adamantly opposed to any changes to health support from 5 years ago.

Thank you for your time.  
Heidi Rowe  
Center Sandwich NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Lisa Berger <chillybergers@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 12:14 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB 399

Dear Senators of the Judiciary Committee,

Thank you for your service to New Hampshire and especially the citizens of our beautiful state.

I am writing today to ask you to oppose SB 399. My family was so excited last year when NH finally put some modest restrictions on abortion by banning abortions at 24 weeks and beyond. It's more than a little shocking to see that barely 6 months later a bill has been proposed to repeal the Fetal Life Protection Act. Life in the womb at 7, 8 and 9 months is worthy of protecting.

Polling in the last few years is revealing that hearts are being changed regarding abortion and especially late term abortions. Most people in the United States do not support late term abortions anymore. And younger generations are now more prolife than their parents. Please oppose this bill and do all you can to influence your colleagues to oppose it as well. Surely babies in the womb at 7 months and beyond are persons and worthy of life, liberty, and the pursuit of happiness.

One last thought, please do not allow big money coming in from out of state sway you away from standing with and supporting the FLPA that was enacted on January 1st. I believe the citizens of NH will support your courage if you do.

Thank you for your thoughtful consideration of my request.

Sincerely,

Lisa Berger  
Bedford, NH

Sent from my iPhone

Sent from my iPhone

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

## **Jennifer Horgan**

---

**From:** Ellen Joyce <ejoyce1961@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 12:25 AM  
**To:** Becky Whitley; Harold French; Jay Kahn; Sharon Carson;  
William.Gannon@leg.state.leg.nh.us; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB 399

Senators Carson, Gannon, French, Whitley, Kahn and Horgan

SB 399

Repeal of the 24 week abortion ban and the mandatory ultrasound prior to any abortion.

I have been an obstetrician gynecologist for 33 years. I have practiced in New Hampshire since 1998. The abortion ban and the mandatory ultrasound add stress and complexity to an already complex and stressful situation. To force a patient to leave this state to get compassionate care is cruel. Abortion bans interfere with the doctor patient relationship. A person going through a complex medical problem should be able to access the care they need from their doctor in their state. Bans do not make abortions go away it just makes them difficult to obtain and it puts the burden of finding that care on a patient who is going through an incredibly difficult time.

Please consider the pregnant patient who is fully human and deserves to have bodily autonomy and to make their own medical care decisions surrounding pregnancy. Medical care must be guided by sound science and by each individual needs-not legislative restrictions. Laws should not limit the ability of New Hampshire patients to get the reproductive health services that they need and that take medical decisions out of the hands of physicians and their patients.

I ask you to support SB 399 I request that this written testimony be submitted to the public record.

Ellen M Joyce MD, FACOG

Assistant Professor Dartmouth's Geisel School of Medicine

Meriden, NH



**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THIS ELECTRONIC MESSAGE:**

This message is intended for the use of the person to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, your use of this message for any purpose is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please delete the message and notify the sender so that we may correct our records.

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jan Schmidt  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 8:21 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Concerning SB399 and SB436


Most Honored Senators,

You have the ability with two OTP votes, to put NH back in it's position as a state that understands that women have to make decisions concerning their own bodies. Self determination, freedom, health of the person - the community - the state, whatever you wish to focus on, all of these have made us a state to be proud of. Please return the rights that have been stripped from women and their families and pass these two bills.

Regards,  
Representative Jan Schmidt  
Proud Chair of the Nashua Delegation

At Home: [Tesha4@gmail.com](mailto:Tesha4@gmail.com)  
11 Pope Circle, Nashua NH 03063  
Hillsborough District 28, Ward 1 Nashua

In Concord: [Jan.Schmidt@leg.state.nh.us](mailto:Jan.Schmidt@leg.state.nh.us)  
NH House of Representatives  
Labor, Industrial, and Rehabilitative Services Committee  
Room 307 Legislative Office Building



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** John <John.Sellers.Gov@protonmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 8:22 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB399-FN, I Oppose

Hello Members,

I oppose this bill, we must do all we can to protect the unborn children. Allowing one child to be killed is outrageous. By allowing this process we may be killing the next best invention or president or doctor. This bill must not be allowed.

Thank you,

John Sellers  
Bristol, NH

Sent with [ProtonMail](#) Secure Email.

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 8:23 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: We OPPOSE S399 (Residents of Deering, NH)

---

**From:** Michael King <michael@mfamily.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 8:02 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Cc:** Ashley King <apk425@yahoo.com>  
**Subject:** We OPPOSE S399 (Residents of Deering, NH)

Senate Judiciary Committee,

New Hampshire's historic Fetal Life Protection Act, which protects the lives of children after 24 weeks, or 6 months, should not be changed in any way!

How many babies could be protected in New Hampshire if either HB1609 or S399 pass? Exactly zero. We've come too far to erase these new protections for the innocent who cannot speak for themselves.

S399 will entirely strip these hard-won protections in the Fetal Life Protection Act for defenseless humans weeks from birth.

The fact that we still allow abortion in NH up to 24 weeks is a tragedy for the unborn. Please do the right thing and protect the voiceless. Protect the Fetal Life Protection Act and OPPOSE S399.

Thanks!



Michael King  
Director of Community Alliances  
Massachusetts Family Institute  
401 Edgewater Place, Suite 580  
Wakefield, MA 01880  
Office 781-569-0400  
Cell 978-204-9131  
michael@mfamily.org

Massachusetts Family Institute [www.mfamily.org](http://www.mfamily.org)

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 9:03 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399-FN

-----Original Message-----

From: Mary Konieczny <mjororke2014@comcast.net>  
Sent: Monday, January 17, 2022 11:13 PM  
To: Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
Subject: SB 399-FN

Dear Ms. Horgan,

Please vote in opposition to repealing the fetal health protection act. Please do not erase new protections for innocent and defenseless pre-born human beings who cannot speak for or defend themselves.

Respectfully,

Mr. and Mrs. David Konieczny

Sent from my iPhone

## **Please vote ITL in OPPOSITION**

Dear Honorable Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee:

I oppose this because:

No matter the situation of the mother or the condition of the unborn baby- it is still a HUMAN with a right to life, and

The life of the mother is safer not having an abortion and, in rape cases, the mother would know before 24 weeks that she is pregnant and be able to terminate her pregnancy.

The elimination of the ultra sound requirement is the only objective measure of gestational age to determine whether or not a human can be legally terminated /aborted.

Sincerely,  
Representative Linda Gould,

District 7, Bedford

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:41 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Testimony: Support SB399

---

**From:** Laurie Morrissey <ldougal@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:40 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Testimony: Support SB399

Greetings, Senator Horgan,

I am writing to urge you to support SB399. I strongly support this bill, but I cannot be at the hearing due to an illness in the family. Please insert the following as a part of the public record.:

I strongly support SB399.

Laurie Morrissey  
561 South Road  
Hopkinton, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:43 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: S.B.399 and S.B. 436

---

**From:** Carole and John Merrifield <caroleandjohnmerrifield@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:24 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** S.B.399 and S.B. 436

Life is difficult. It is unnecessarily so to be unwanted right from the start. Or to be a desperate young woman who tried to abort herself and wound up in an E.R. My mother was a physician and worked pro bono tirelessly for Planned Parenthood. The passing years and my own medical career have deepened my understanding and support of women's reproductive rights. Please act to enable women to have legal access to reproductive health care including abortion. I would like these comments, which I have sent to your other colleagues, to be part of the public testimony. Thank you  
John Merrifield 7 RiverWoods Drive Apt C-100 Exeter NH



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 11:03 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: 399-FN

---

**From:** Deborah Richardson <drichardson64@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:57 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** 399-FN

To the Judiciary Committee:

Bill 399-FN designed to protect viable life is in your hands. To remove protections from an infant, who can sustain life outside the womb is unthinkable. These are living human beings, with the ability to feel, think, survive and thrive. Whatever your stand is, you cannot deny that these are living babies with every right to life. Take a look at a 24 week gestation in the

This bill merely reflects the medical science which proves viability at 24 weeks. Women who desire to abort are fully aware of their pregnancy long before this time, and have opportunity to seek abortive services if they choose. Its interesting to note that financial consideration is included in this bill, but is there a price you can put on your life?

At what point do we decide one life over another. Make no mistake, to abort a child is to end a life, willfully and with full knowledge. I respectfully request that **you allow the Fetal Health Protection Act to stand as written, and ask that you vote NO, in efforts to repeal this critical protection of the preborn child.**

Respectfully,

Deborah Richardson, RN  
Alexandria, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Paula Hurley <paulajeanhurley@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 12:30 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Cc:** Paula Hurley  
**Subject:** SB 399\_FM

Respected Members of Senate Judiciary Committee,

I write to register my fervent SUPPORT for SB 399-FN which would repeal the fetal health protection act passed last year as a rider in our state budget.

Women should be treated with compassion and respect by people who are elected to represent them. Women possess the intelligence to make independent decisions grounded in their medical and practical realities without the intervention of politicians who claim to know what is best. Moreover medical professionals have ethical obligations to provide the best standard of care to all their patients given the realities each patient faces. To place these medical providers in the compromising position where their self interest is at odds with the interests of their patient is not enhancing medical care. The fetal health protection act is not in the best interests of the people - and more particularly the women - of the State of New Hampshire.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully submitted,  
Paula J. Hurley  
11 Fiskill Farm  
Concord NH 03301

paulajeanhurley@gmail.com

## **Jennifer Horgan**

---

**From:** Paul D. Manganiello <Paul.D.Manganiello@dartmouth.edu>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 1:03 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Cc:** James Potter  
**Subject:** January 19th Senate Judiciary Committee testimony on SB 399-FN

Good Morning! Over the MLK weekend I sent my testimony as a PDF. I am concerned that you might not be able to enclosures out of concern for cyber security, so I am including my testimony as text embodied in this e-mail. Thank you in advance of the hearing.

### **January 19th Senate Judiciary Committee testimony on SB 399-FN**

My name is Paul Manganiello MD, MPH. I am a retired Professor Emeritus of the Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth. I was a faculty member in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, the Director of the Division of Reproductive Endocrinology and Infertility, and I was the initial Medical Director of Advanced Reproductive Technologies at the Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center (DHMC) in Lebanon, New Hampshire.

I would like to present written testimony in favor of SB399-FN, a repeal of the fetal health protection act.

I would like to begin by saying, that throughout my professional career, I have never met a woman who sought to become pregnant in order to have to make a decision of whether or not to have an abortion. It would not be unusual, when I was practicing Obstetrics, that during the course of a given day, I would need to comfort a woman who had a non viable pregnancy and who would be experiencing an unwanted abortion; then to go across the hall to comfort a woman who had an unplanned pregnancy, needing to decide whether or not she would be able to continue with that pregnancy? It is always tragic. We have to approach this discussion with empathy, we need to be able to try and put ourselves in the shoes of the women who are confronted with making these decisions.

I came to DHMC in 1979, when Advanced Reproductive Technologies were just being implemented to assist individuals who were having a difficult time creating their desired family. That required technological advances such as cell cultures, microscopy, ultrasonography and genetic testing with counseling. With these new technologies we have been able to create human embryos. Science is now able to clone animals from somatic cell lines i.e., skin cells, which don't require sperm cells to fertilize an egg cell. We are able to cryopreserve human eggs and embryos. Science has been making technological advances while society is trying to catch up with the new ethical issues they present.

The core conflict surrounding abortion is the issue of personhood. When should the developing fetus be considered a “human being”, a person? No one is denying that a fertilized egg (a zygote) is “human tissue”. It has the same DNA as an individual human skin cell. But should it be considered a “human being”, should it be considered a person? Does it deserve the same designation of human being as the child who was just given birth? If you argue in the affirmative, because the potential for life is there, then every egg cell has the potential of being stimulated through the cloning process to develop into a human being. What do we do currently with the countless numbers of excess eggs and embryos that have been cryopreserved at In Vitro Fertilization centers?

Humbly, Justice Harry Blackmun, who delivered the majority opinion of the US Supreme Court in *Roe v Wade* in 1973, stated, “We [the Court] need not resolve the difficult question of when [human] life begins. When those trained in the respective disciplines of medicine, philosophy, and theology are unable to arrive at any consensus, the judiciary, at this point in the development of man’s knowledge, is not in a position to speculate as to the answer.”

Is it science’s role to define personhood? Science can define what is happening physically, a non-viable fetus usually less than 22-24 weeks gestational age has not developed to the point of surviving even with medical intervention.

I feel that it is important that we clarify medical terminology. We need to be clear that an embryo is not an unborn child/baby, it is an embryo; a fetus is not an unborn child/baby, it is a fetus. By definition an embryo is a human organism during that period extending from conception to approximately the eighth week after fertilization; while a fetus is a human organism developing from the end of the eight-week following fertilization. A fetus is capable of existing outside the uterine environment from approximately the 22<sup>nd</sup> - 24<sup>th</sup> week of gestation until it gives birth. After speaking with Dr. Emily Baker, a Maternal Fetal Medicine specialist at DHMC, late term abortions in the State of New Hampshire are rarely if ever performed; and if they do occur it is for non-viable pregnancies, or for infants with severe anomalies.

Is it the government’s role to define personhood? We live in a religiously pluralistic society. Some individuals believe that a fertilized egg is a “person”, while others do not, and believe that fetus is not fully “human” until the fetus has functional lungs, which enables the child to take it’s first breathe at it’s birth.

Our founding fathers had the foresight to build into the Constitution a formal separation of church and state, the first amendment to the Constitution, “Congress shall make no law respecting an establishment of a religion. . . .” The government needs to respect the religious, spiritual beliefs of all its citizens and residents. No one individual, or religious institution, has a monopoly on morality. The government should not over-reach its role and define when a developing zygote/embryo/fetus attains personhood. Laws, which limit the mother’s decision, are not life affirming, but are actually paternalistic, and indeed punitive for the woman who needs to face the decision making of whether or not to terminate her pregnancy

I continue to be an active member of the Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center’s Ethics Committee. New Hampshire has a mechanism in place, the “Surrogate Decision Maker Law” This law creates a

hierarchical list of family members/friends who may make health care decisions, become a health care agent for patients who are deemed incapable of making their own health care decisions. We usually think of a surrogate healthcare agent for the elderly, but they may also be required shortly after birth or even into adolescence.

To summarize, the mother is the best person to consider all of the ramifications needed in making medical decisions affecting a given pregnancy, even if it means, “removing life support” for a fetus who is not competent to make their own health care decisions? The pregnant woman is positioned to be the best health care agent to make the necessary critical medical decisions throughout the entire duration of her pregnancy.

*Paul Manganiello MD, MPH*

Paul Manganiello MD, MPH  
Emeritus Professor Obstetrics and Gynecology  
Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth  
Co-Medical Director of the Good Neighbor Health Clinic in WRJ, VT

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Roy K Dennehy <rkd6@msn.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 1:31 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Testimony for SB299-FN

On behalf of my 8000+ brother Knights of Columbus here in NH, I strenuously oppose bill SB299-FN! Since God creates each of us at the moment of conception, you have the power to destroy or protect pre-born children in the womb. Finally, there are approximately 2800 pre-born children killed each day in our country. Please choose the culture for life in NH with your vote.

Respectfully submitted, Roy K. Dennehy, Life Director, K of C and Trustee, NHRTL

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Frederick Berrien <fberrien@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 1:34 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Written Testimony SB399

Senate Bill 399 Repealing the fetal health protection act  
NH Senate Judiciary Committee  
Testimony for Hearing January 19, 2021  
Frederick (Skip) Berrien, MD

As a retired Professor of Clinical Pediatrics at the University of Connecticut and resident of Exeter, I am testifying in favor of SB 399 to repeal the fetal health protection act.

The fetal health protection act enacted on January 1, 2022 creates a criminal felony for any medical personnel who performs a medically justifiable procedure resulting in the termination of a pregnancy after 24 weeks gestation.

A medical termination of pregnancy beyond 24 weeks of pregnancy would be undertaken as an intervention for the health of the mother or in consideration of a serious fetal medical condition. Decisions regarding such a medical procedure are made by the mother bearing the fetus in consultation with her physician and her family.

In creating a criminal felony associated with a prison term, the state is making medical decisions which can only be made by a patient and her physician. State interference between a patient and her physician transgresses ethical norms. Such decisions between a patient and physician are privileged and subject to our privacy standards.

I ask the Judiciary Committee to vote Ought to Pass on SB 399 and repeal the fetal health protection act.

## **Jennifer Horgan**

---

**From:** Silvia von Sacken <msswan@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 3:08 PM  
**To:** William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan; Sharon Carson  
**Subject:** support SB 399 and SB 436

I am writing to urge your support of these 2 bills.

Every Granite Stater should have access to the health care they need - including abortion - without government interference.

We do not need to send Granite Staters to another state to get the care they need when we are perfectly equipped to provide patients health care they need right here. But without New Hampshire solutions, people in need of abortion care might be forced to leave New Hampshire, if they can afford it.

This, in combination with the Executive Council's vote to defund reproductive health providers, sets New Hampshire on the path of going backwards in time. New Hampshire, having strong maternal health outcomes, and the lowest unintended pregnancy rate in the country, should stop trying to fix what isn't broken.

Silvia von Sacken  
15 Hunt Pond Road  
Sandown

Psychotherapist/private practice



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Deborah Bacon Nelson <dbaconnelson@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 3:16 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Testimony in support of SB 399 and SB 436

Dear Senators,

I am unable to testify in Concord when you hear these bills as I am in Portland, ME, awaiting the birth of my second grandchild. What is an exciting event for my family, with a pregnancy that has passed without alarm, complications, or challenges, is unfortunately not the case for all women who become pregnant. As I await the birth of this child, I cannot help but think of the women who find themselves derailed by the reality of an unplanned pregnancy, I think of the women who face enormous financial difficulties, I think of the couples who are barely surviving with the children they already have, and I think of the women who have developed fetal abnormalities. There are so many stories surrounding pregnancy, and they deserve to take place in the privacy of the doctor's office rather than the political minefield of a Senate hearing.

Last fall I attended a program on Reproductive Rights in New Hampshire, and one panelist, Dr. Barry Smith - retired chair of the Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology at Dartmouth Hitchcock - sounded the alarm about the dangers of recent bills passed in Concord for all pregnant women. As you know, DHMC provides care to pregnant women and premature babies across an area that is not restricted to the state of New Hampshire. Women who are experiencing at-risk pregnancies are seen by medical experts who are increasingly uneasy about practicing in this state. The draconian bills being passed by individuals with no medical knowledge, and that seek to prevent women from terminating pregnancies no matter the reason, have an impact on the ability of DHMC to recruit and retain physicians. Should these barriers to women's health remain in place, we stand the very real possibility that the ability to care for all women who are pregnant in New Hampshire will suffer irreparable damage.

I urge you to vote in support of SB 399 and SB 436 and to seek ways to return medical decisions to medical professionals. I would like this testimony entered into the public record.

Sincerely,  
Deborah H. Bacon Nelson  
21 Forest Edge Drive  
Hanover, NH 03755

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Amy P <amyeparis@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 3:19 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Support SB 399 and SB 436

Honorable Chairman and members of the Senate Judiciary Committee ,

I'm Dr Amy Paris, a NH native and OBGYN taking care of the women of NH for four years. I strongly support both HB 399 and SB 436, but I can't be in person at the hearing because I will be in surgery. Please include the following as a part of the public record.

As an Obstetrician-Gynecologist, born in Manchester, raised in Hooksett, and now practicing in Lebanon, NH, I understand that every Granite Stater should have access to the health care they need - including abortion - without government interference.

Let me tell you about a couple, patients of mine. Newly married in their late 30s, their prayers were answered when they were able to get pregnant right away. Everything seemed to be going perfectly with the pregnancy until the ultrasound at 20 weeks. This is the big one every couple looks forward to, when they get to see 3D images of their baby and learn the gender. Only for this couple, the news was not good. The bag of water was nearly empty and the baby was smaller than she should have been. After visits with a team of specialists and undergoing an amniocentesis, the couple learned of their baby's poor prognosis: she would either die before being born, or shortly after birth. This couple found themselves faced with a terrible decision, which they were able to get through with the support of their loved ones and the care of their doctors.

Although abortions later in pregnancy are rare (just 1.2% of abortions are performed at or after 21 weeks), these frequently occur after a couple learns information about their pregnancy that was not able to be learned earlier in pregnancy. In these complex and often devastating situations, it is more important than ever for patients to get the care from their trusted medical team close to home. We shouldn't have to send Granite Staters to Boston or New York to get the care they need when we are perfectly equipped to provide patients health care they need right here. But without New Hampshire solutions, people in need of abortion care - at any stage of pregnancy - might be forced to leave New Hampshire, if they can afford it.

We are in a crisis moment in our country - nearly half a century of legal precedent is about to be upended at the US Supreme Court. We need New Hampshire solutions to protect and expand access to abortion care.

We've seen what happens when politicians stand in the way of women's health and interfere in these deeply personal medical decisions. In states that have passed laws like this, some women and their

families have been put into unimaginable situations — needing to end a pregnancy, but unable to do so.

I and my physician colleagues support access to safe abortion as an integral component of reproductive health care. New Hampshire has a strong tradition of supporting the doctor-patient relationship without interference, and these bills give us an opportunity to further this tradition.

I strongly urge you to support HB 399 and SB 436.

Sincerely,

Amy E. Paris, MD, MS

Hanover, NH

**Jennifer Horgan**

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 3:28 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Testimonial for medically necessary termination blocked by new abortion laws. 18 weeks.  
**Attachments:** mystorykomu.docx

---

**From:** Cindy Rosenwald <cindy.rosenwald@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 3:11 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Testimonial for medically necessary termination blocked by new abortion laws. 18 weeks.

**Testimony for SB 399**

\*\*\*\*\*

Cindy Rosenwald  
Senate District 13  
Deputy Democratic Leader  
Finance, Way and Means Committees  
State House 120  
107 N Main St., Concord, NH 03303  
603.271.7587

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Kelly Jean <kjean09@gmail.com>  
**Date:** Tue, Jan 18, 2022 at 1:27 PM  
**Subject:** Testimonial for medically necessary termination blocked by new abortion laws. 18 weeks.  
**To:** <[Cindy.Rosenwald@leg.state.nh.us](mailto:Cindy.Rosenwald@leg.state.nh.us)>

I have attached a letter explaining my case, sharing many details which lead up to the decision with medical advice to terminate a pregnancy that the fetus is determined to not survive to full term or birth. Please take the time to read my story and respond back with why the lack of access in NH is how it is. I fear it is based off the new laws signed into place and as a direct result of the NH executive council for stopping funds back in sept to places that perform abortions. I look forward to the response.

Kelly Omu

Hello,

My name is Kelly Omu. I am 30 year old female, who wanted to start a family with my husband. I would like to share my story to see if this topic(abortion) can be further investigated due to the new abortion bans placed without our states budget. So here it goes.

We found out in early October that we were expecting. I hemorrhaged at 9 weeks spending time in the ER thinking I had just witnessed a miscarriage. The next day, we saw the baby for the first time on the ultrasound! We were so relieved to see it wiggling around and hear the heartbeat. About 5 minutes later the Physician came in to tell us the results. They showed us the hemorrhage and told me to take it easy and it should resolve on its own. She then shared with us that we should follow up the genetics testing as the neck looked thicker than it should at this point in the pregnancy. The pit in my stomach sank, but we patiently waited for results to see if the baby had any genetic conditions, deciding we would provide the baby with whatever it needed as long as we could be their parents. The results came back negative for any genetic conditions but yet again at the next ultrasound they told us the hemorrhage healed but the fetus has what appeared to be a fetal cystic hygroma behind its neck(I believe they couldn't officially diagnose it). With one more ultrasound 2 weeks later it was determined that the fetus now showed signs of swelling under her body(hydrops).

They then had to refer us to high risk or how its known as maternal fetal medicine at a hospital in Manchester 3 weeks later. Early January we went in and spent a decent amount of time with a genetics counselor who assured us this was most likely just a very rare incident. I'm not sure the exact number but I think its 1/800 chance or something? In the ultrasound though we yet again received the news we didn't want to hear. The fetus was rubbing its feet in the previous ultrasound so we weren't sure what to expect this time. We didn't expect the options from the Dr that day to be so grim. We could either wait it out and come back in 2 weeks to assess if it was her heart that could be causing it. We could wait to see if the fetus passes naturally(unknown time) and at that point I was already 16 weeks, I've had nausea the entire time requiring full price medication even with insurance it was \$365 a month, and I have low hip/pelvic pain from inability to correct it. I had a lot of things swirling over my head. The last option was a scheduled D&E which is a procedure done in 2nd trimester to terminate the pregnancy. We decided with option one but then my husband asked if the baby will survive even if these next tests determine the cause of the cystic hygroma, The dr replied confidently with "No". She then continued to share that the amount of swelling at this point in the pregnancy was fatal and it really was just up to us with what directions we wanted to go. No pressure, no guilt, just plain facts. We left with decisions and an appt for the cardiac ultrasound 2 weeks later. But after some talking to each other, we decided that the best thing for MY body and this fetus was to terminate soon. We knew the fetus would grow between now and that appt and just thought it would be easier to start the healing process sooner rather than later, especially because emotionally this is very stressful. When I Called a few days later to ask about the D&E they told me it was okay to do it and referred me to the only 2 options I had.

That was shocking. I only had two options? Uh okay....So I chose a clinic in Boston because driving to Lebanon in this weather seemed even more stressful. They told me it would also be a 2 day event that I would need my husband to accompany me, with me needing to go back home between procedures. Or they suggested we get a hotel room. This all just felt so wrong, like why couldn't I get it done at the maternal fetal medicine I was talking to right there. So I asked....Why is there only one place I can go to in NH? They answered with certain places don't perform them in the second trimester and the second reason is unfortunately politics. I was shocked (but not really knowing the abortion laws in NH had just changed).

She didn't need to explain anything else after that. I've now had to be given a code word just to get into the clinic to keep myself and the staff safe from death threats....I've now been told by strangers that I'm scalding my baby to death and tearing it limb by limb. I've decided to share my story to see if you or someone can hold whomever is accountable for the lack of access to this life saving stigmatized procedure in our state. I'm 18 weeks and have to mourn the loss of a child, so does my husband and our family. The last thing we should have to do is let the government into the room with us. I believe the new abortion laws played a part in this. I learned that I not only in NH would still need my husband's okay for this to happen\*he can sue now legally due to the new abortion ban, but they also will be mandating a transvaginal ultrasound prior to all abortions. I don't think that is right to force a woman to get that done on her, imagine worse scenarios including sexual assault victims and then forcing them to get these internal invasive exams too. And what about domestic abuse cases where now a woman's body can be owned by her husband. This is so wrong. I don't believe the governor knew the implications of this bill he signed in. This is so wrong and shouldn't be this difficult to access. For a pro-choice governor he certainly had made it very hard for ME to make the choice. There are women out there that don't even have a car, how could they get the help they need? This is more than just about me and my situation....

I hope this is shared to save women from this same heartache. This isn't birth control, this is the life of the mother as well.

Kelly Omu

603-540-3570

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 3:52 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: sb 399 and 436-letter in support of

---

**From:** Charlene Browne <charlenealyce@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 3:43 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** sb 399 and 436-letter in support of

Please feel free to use this letter in anyway that you like

In regards to SB436 and 399. Please support women's rights. This is an equal rights issue.

First of all, women represent the majority of the population, yet they do not have control over the right to make decisions for their own body. Women historically have decided to have abortions for many reasons. Surprisingly many women are married and already have children. Some women can't afford it; others feel they are too old or too young to have children; some do not feel that their relationship with their partner is not strong enough or they won't be there for them in the long haul (married or not) or perhaps for health reasons for the child (which occurred when my fetus had a-crania and would die either before the baby was born in my womb or directly after birth- yes I was/am married); or in some cases the mother health may be in jeopardy.

Having been a college professor for several years, there have been young women that have come to me for advice about what to do in the case of a date rape. They were embarrassed, upset and most decided not to report it. What would happen to a young college student who found out she was pregnant? In other cases, women have the child and men decide they don't want to be fathers. "Not their problem?" Other couples' contraception method for various reasons did not work, or perhaps they have been raped by someone by their own relatives or their friends. How terrifying for a girl that has nowhere to turn. At any rate don't you think a woman has a right to decide when and what is best for her. It seems there is a lack of respect for women in these situations. It is shameful and distrustful to ask women to have an ultrasound before they have an abortion (an act that apparently was said by a republican congressman to shame the woman in to realizing what she is doing). Does the male dominated legislature think that women thinks, "hooray!" "It is great to have an abortion?" . It is as if the "immaculate exception" has occurred, where is the man in this situation? Did the woman become pregnant on her own?

The bottom line is women's rights need to be protected so a pregnant woman can make the best decision possible. In addition, the NH executive council turned down within the last year (I believe) 2 million dollars to date for funding of 2 of the Planned Parenthood clinics, however none of this would go towards abortion, but to help many financially challenged women take care of their health such as tests for cancer and woman's' health. Lastly, the state does not fund abortions, so why should it have been in the budget in the first place, and as it is not a budgetary item, it should have vetoed as it should not have been in there in the first place and should have been amended to cut this out of the budget. Because really if abortions are not paid for by the state, then it should be a women's choice with her partner and Dr. I feel that beyond this there will be some in our patrilineal society that want to control women's choices thereby increasing infringement on women's rights as full citizens of the U.S.

Sincerely,

Charlene Browne, Conway NH, I can be reached at ;

[charlenealyce@gmail.com](mailto:charlenealyce@gmail.com)



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Rev. Allison Palm <minister@uunashua.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 5:00 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Support SB 399 and SB 436

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee Members,

My name is Rev. Allison Palm. I am a Unitarian Universalist minister serving the Unitarian Universalist Church of Nashua, as well as a resident of Nashua. As a faith leader, I strongly support both SB 399 and SB 436, but I can't be in person at the hearing because my family is taking precautions so as not to unnecessarily expose our two young children during this Covid surge.

Unitarian Universalists have long been in support of access to safe and legal abortion because we believe deeply in the right of conscience and the inherent worth and dignity of every person. I do not believe that it is the purpose or place of our state government to ban safe medical procedures. The only people who have the right to decide what is best for a pregnant person are the pregnant person themselves, with their doctor. My faith tells me that every body is sacred – including and especially those whose bodies can carry pregnancies - and every person has a right to holy agency over their bodies. Everyone is capable of making moral decisions related to their bodies and their reproduction. There are no easy answers for life's complex questions. Every pregnant person should be able to make the best decision for their own circumstance, and that includes abortion care. Each person must have the right to make these choices.

We are in a crisis moment when it comes to abortion access in this country. Nearly half the country could lose abortion access by June of next year. We can't let that happen here in New Hampshire. To honor the sacredness of life, and respect the holy agency each person has over their body, we must pass both SB 399 and SB 436, restoring and ensuring access to safe and legal abortion care here in the Granite State.

Blessings,

Rev. Allison Palm

--

**Rev. Allison Palm (she/her/hers)**  
Minister | Unitarian Universalist Church of Nashua

email: [minister@uunashua.org](mailto:minister@uunashua.org)  
office: 603-882-1091, ext. 202  
cell: 320-420-0921

*I am generally available to meet by appointment Sunday-Wednesday.  
Thursday is my study/writing day and Friday is my day off.*

2022-01-08

Dear Honorable Senators,

I oppose the introduction of this bill as the intent is to water down the provisions to protect preborn babies from Abortion.

The use of an ultrasound provides a clear picture of gestational age.

I would prefer that no pregnancy be terminated and that no babies be murdered by abortion.

In order to enforce the provisions previously agreed to by the House and Senate, law enforcement needs clear guidelines. The ultrasound verification provides the means to do that.

Please do not change what was previously passed with this language.

Please kill bill SB399. It is inexpedient to legislate.

Thank you for your consideration,

Mike Gagnon  
22 Cherokee Ave  
Nashua NH 03062

Emese Nemeth  
10 Gilbert Dr.  
Merrimack, NH, 03054

Hello, my name is Emese Nemeth and I stand for women's rights and health equality. The views in this testimony are my own. I am a master's prepared registered nurse from Johns Hopkins School of Nursing, and I served as a United States Peace Corps Volunteer. I am a community health nurse at Amoskeag Health, a federally funded health center, in Manchester, New Hampshire. Majority of our patients are vulnerable or of disadvantage backgrounds, are refugees, are on Medicaid or are uninsured. This written testimony is for my female patients who do not have a voice in New Hampshire. I am writing to urge the Senate Judiciary Committee to pass Bill 399 and 436 to protect the rights of women.

New Hampshire has a long history of supporting a women's right to an abortion as that state leaned toward supporting individual's right to privacy. However, with the polarizing of political parties, a women's freedom to make decisions about her reproductive health is under attack. The current abortion law is clearly a violation on women's right to choose. The current law creates unnecessary financial hardship for women by mandating ultrasounds, it will cost the state money, time, and resources to investigate and prosecute healthcare providers, and it does not make any exception for rape, incest, or fetal health problems. We must repeal the Fetal Protection Act and pass the Access to Abortion Care Act.

The current state law is not founded in medical science and causes unnecessary financial hardship to a population that is more likely to be in poverty. Ultrasounds can cost up \$500 and to patients without insurance or on Medicaid, this is enormous burden. NH Medicaid will not cover cost related to abortion, another insult to vulnerable women. Ultrasounds for all abortions goes against medical practice as the FDA recently approved medication abortions by mail as a safe option for pregnancy up to 10 weeks gestation.

If the patient wants to terminate a pregnancy after the 24 weeks, healthcare providers, including nurse practitioners now face criminal charges and possible jail time. It is not the state of New Hampshire's place to tell a Medical Doctor or Nurse Practitioner what is right for a patient. That decision lies between the provider, the patient, and the medical community.

There is indisputable evidence that abortions are safe and uncomplicated procedures. A landmark study by the National Academies of Sciences, Engineering and Medicine dispels any arguments over safety and efficacy (NPR, 2018). In fact, abortions are safer than giving birth as the United States, as we have the highest maternal mortality rate among developed countries (CDC, 2019). A black woman is 2-4x more likely to die in childbirth compared to a white woman (CDC, 2019). It is also well documented that women obtaining illegal abortions can lead to serious injury and death.

Laws that infringe on abortion violate a nurse's code of ethics. The American Nurses Association has stated that for reproductive health, "Healthcare clients have the right to privacy and the right to make decisions about personal health care based on full information and without coercion" (ANA, 2010). The ANA Code of Ethics further states all persons have the right to bodily autonomy (ANA, 2015). The current abortion law violates these ethical principles. We must give female patient's the right to make informed decisions about their health and pass SB399 and SB436.

Restricting access to abortion is a social justice and human rights issue. Restricting access to abortions targets vulnerable women, such as women without insurance, on Medicaid, and women in poverty or of racial or ethnic minorities. Due to social determinants of health and institutional biases, their access to reproductive health has been limited. Therefore, restricting access to abortion further perpetuates harm to

a vulnerable population. It is no secret that regardless of the law, if women in New Hampshire have financial resources, they can travel to a neighboring state and obtain an abortion. However, of the patients seeking abortions, most cannot afford to do so. According to the Guttmacher Institute (2021), a research non-profit, “three-fourths of abortion patients were low income—49% living at less than the federal poverty level, and 26% living at 100–199% of the poverty level.” And “The majority of patients (53%) paid for their abortion out of pocket; Medicaid was the second-most-common method of payment, used by 24% of patients.” Note that NH state Medicaid does not pay for services related to abortion.

By restricting access to abortion, we are forcing women with already limited resources and limited agency to advocate for themselves, to carry an unwanted pregnancy to term. New Hampshire has also repeatedly denied funding to family planning centers in the state which provide contraceptives. New Hampshire Medicaid also will not cover long-acting contraceptives (LARC) unless it is the postpartum period. However, the Health Resources Service Agency (2020) has reported abnormally low usage of this benefit in New Hampshire due to issues with state’s Medicaid billing and reimbursement. The state is consistently removing options for women to have control over their reproductive health.

As majority of women seeking an abortion are of low socioeconomic status, limiting and refusing them the right to an abortion has long term consequences. It is well documented that children born from families of low economic status are at a higher risk of experiencing adverse child experiences (ACEs) that create permanent psychological and physical harm on children (CDC, 2021). Furthermore, New Hampshire is not a family friendly state. New Hampshire does not have systems in place to support mothers and children. We do not have a paid FMLA law for maternity leave like other neighboring states, the legislature recently struck down a law to protect mothers breastfeeding in the workplace and is limited affordable childcare options. New Hampshire is also one of the few states in the U.S. that has no state funding for universal early childhood education. And there is no public health effort to prevent ACEs.

We cannot afford to further restrict reproductive rights and create more barriers of women of New Hampshire. I strongly urge the legislature of New Hampshire to repeal the Fetal Health Protection Bill, pass the Access to Abortion Care Act and put the state back on par with our neighboring New England states.

## References

American Nurses Association (ANA) (2015). *Code of Ethics*. <https://www.nursingworld.org/coe-view-only>

American Nurses Association (ANA) (2010). *Reproductive Health*. <https://www.nursingworld.org/practice-policy/nursing-excellence/official-position-statements/id/reproductive-health/>

Center for Disease and Control (CDC) (2019). *Pregnancy-Related Deaths: Data from 14 U.S. Maternal Mortality Review Committees, 2008-2017*. <https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternal-mortality/erase-mm/mmr-data-brief.html>

Center for Disease and Control (2021) *Risk and Protective Factors: Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACEs)*. <https://www.cdc.gov/violenceprevention/aces/riskprotectivefactors.html>

HRSA (2020). *III.E.2.c. State Action Plan - Women/Maternal Health - Application Year - New Hampshire – 2020*. <https://mchb.tvisdata.hrsa.gov/Narratives/PlanForTheApplicationYear1/d59e97a5-7c3c-4f40-bbce-0eaa5d8c721c>

NPR (2018). *Landmark Report Concludes Abortion In U.S. Is Safe*. <https://www.npr.org/sections/health-shots/2018/03/16/593447727/landmark-report-concludes-abortion-is-safe>

Guttmacher Institute (2021). *Resources for Journalists: 15 Things to Consider When Covering Abortion, the Supreme Court and a Potential "Post-Roe World"*. <https://www.guttmacher.org/article/2021/11/resources-journalists-15-things-consider-when-covering-abortion-supreme-court-and>

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Althea Ansah <altheaansah289@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 7:11 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan; Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Jay Kahn; Becky Whitley; Harold French  
**Subject:** URGENT TESTIMONY - Vote Inexpedient to Legislate on SB399

Dear Honorable Senate Judiciary Committee Members:

My name is Althea Ansah, a 22-year-old secretary of New Hampshire Right to Life. Thank you for taking the time to read my email, and I am sending my testimony to share my opposition to SB399, Repealing the fetal health protection act.

As a WIC nutritionist knowledgeable on maternal health, I have basic knowledge regarding the female reproductive system and fetal development. Most babies who are 24 weeks gestation (or 22 weeks after conception) are viable, meaning they can survive outside the mother's womb in the case of a medical emergency [1]. Regarding the Fetal Life Protection Act, it utilizes an ultrasound requirement to ensure that the preborn child is at or after 24 weeks. If he or she is after that limit, that baby most likely will survive.

For the past 4 decades, New Hampshire lived under extreme abortion access, allowing the procedure to be legal for any reason and up until the end of pregnancy. Other states have a similar ban on late-term abortions. Massachusetts and Nevada, until recently, possessed a 24-week abortion ban to protect viable children [2]. Repealing the Fetal Life Protection Act would not only place viable babies at risk. Late-term abortions have been observed to carry a significantly higher risk of death for the mother when compared to giving birth[3,4].

Committing abortions not only kills the preborn child in the womb; it places women at risk of physical health complications, including death [1].

Protect women and their children, and OPPOSE SB399.

Sincerely,

Althea Ansah, BS  
Secretary of New Hampshire Right to Life  
Town of Hooksett  
13 Madison Ave, Hooksett, NH 03106

[1] <https://www.abortionprocedures.com/induction/>

[2] <https://www.nhcornerstone.org/blog/faq-on-late-term-abortion-ban-2/>

[3] "In addition, the risk of immediate maternal death from elective abortion procedures done after 20 weeks is greater than the risk of death from [a] vaginal birth or cesarean section. Induction abortions are riskier than D&E abortions." (includes citations) ~ Post-Viability Abortion Bans (20 weeks post-fertilization). Professional Ethics Committee of AAPLOG. 2017. <https://aaplog.org/wp-content/uploads/2019/08/CO-3-Post-Viability-Abortion-Bans.pdf>

[4] You can see this yourself based on these sources (compare similar years):

a) Risk of late-term abortion (CDC authors): Bartlett LA, et al. Risk factors for legal induced abortion-related mortality in the United States. *Obstet Gynecol.* 2004 Apr;103(4):729-37. doi: 10.1097/01.AOG.0000116260.81570.60.

b) Risk of pregnancy: Pregnancy mortality risk (CDC): <https://www.cdc.gov/reproductivehealth/maternal-mortality/pregnancy-mortality-surveillance-system.htm>

---

**Jennifer Horgan**

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 7:47 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399

---

**From:** mbconstantianmd <mbconstantian@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 7:34 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB 399

Dear Senator Horgan:

Before I was a plastic surgeon in Nashua for 43 years, I was a general surgery resident and trauma surgeon. I saw many times the thin thread between life and death as we tried to keep injured men and women alive. Life cannot be trivialized.

The miracle of birth can also not be trivialized. It is also thoughtless to parse abortion rights month-by-month.

The minute one cell divides into two, there is life.

I am strongly opposed to SB 399. Women do have a right to choose, and excepting rape and incest, they make that choice when they have unprotected sexual relations. We have the morning – after pill. Women's rights will be protected. But fetal lives matter.

Please vote against SB 399.

Thank you.

*Mark B. Constantian, MD, FACS*  
Clinical Adjunct Professor of Surgery, (Plastic Surgery)  
, University of Wisconsin

Visiting Professor, Department of Plastic Surgery, UVA [www.drconstantian.com](http://www.drconstantian.com)



**Author of newly released research on childhood trauma and plastic surgery patients:**  
[https://journals.lww.com/plasreconsurg/Abstract/2021/12000/The Prevalence of Adverse Childhood Experiences,.10.aspx](https://journals.lww.com/plasreconsurg/Abstract/2021/12000/The_Prevalence_of_Adverse_Childhood_Experiences,.10.aspx)

**Author of: *Childhood Abuse, Body Shame, and Addictive Plastic Surgery: The Face of Trauma*** [https://www.amazon.com/Childhood-Abuse-Addictive-Plastic-Surgery/dp/1138100307/ref=sr\\_1\\_1?keywords=constantian&qid=1550260503&s=gateway&sr=8-1](https://www.amazon.com/Childhood-Abuse-Addictive-Plastic-Surgery/dp/1138100307/ref=sr_1_1?keywords=constantian&qid=1550260503&s=gateway&sr=8-1)

---

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Caitlin Gray <caitlineringray@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 7:54 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Support SB 399 and SB 436

To the Senate Judiciary Committee,

My name is Caitlin Gray, and I am a resident of Dover. I cannot attend today's hearings as I am teaching exceptional youth at one of the best schools in this state. As a private citizen, I strongly support the two bills in front of you today, SB 399 and SB 436, as a result of my own deeply personal experience.

As a constituent and life-long resident of New Hampshire alongside you, it feels very important to me that I share my story of becoming a mother with you. On April 10, 2019, I had what many consider a late-term abortion.

My experience underscores that there are no one-size-fits-all pregnancies, and thus we shouldn't create one-size-fits-all laws that will criminalize compassionate, necessary care when other pregnant people face a diagnosis like my daughter's, and what could have been mine. SB 399 and SB 436 will protect our state's mothers, as they make some of the most personal and impactful medical decisions of their lives, and the lives of their family.

My husband and I found out twelve days after we lost our baby, that she had a chromosomal condition called complete triploidy. She had an extra chromosome on every single one - 69 chromosomes in total, instead of 43. There are no risk factors for this condition, and embryos with this condition are the cause of at least 20% of miscarriages by 8 weeks. It is 100% fatal. The chances of my girl living until our pregnancy ended at 20w4d was 1 in 250,000. That is a 0.000004% chance. There have only been a handful of cases where a baby with complete triploidy lived more than a couple of excruciating hours outside of it's mother's womb. Most are born early, if this is the case.

We didn't know anything was wrong until 19w3d, at the anatomical ultrasound. We had two previous ultrasounds - 8 weeks and 12 weeks. Nothing was detected then, although she was always measuring a week behind what I thought she should be at. Our girl always, always had a strong heartbeat. I felt her moving early. I took prenatal vitamins for almost two years in preparation for her (and my husband did too, actually), and stopped drinking alcohol the moment my husband and I decided to forgo birth control. We eat well and care for ourselves. We do nothing quickly; it took us eight years to marry and four additional ones to create this baby.

When we lost her, we didn't know what the cause of her multiple brain malformations, 2 chambered heart, single and dysfunctional kidney, cupped hands and overall restricted growth were. What we did know was that any life she had would be one full of pain, without even the comfort of sleep. She would not be able to be aware enough to receive love. She would have to undergo multiple surgeries, one immediately after birth, unless we immediately agreed to palliative care, which would shorten her life. Our maternal fetal medicine specialist and his genetic counselor were nearly in tears when they shared these surprise diagnoses and described what they were certain of based on the ultrasound. They did not push us to end the pregnancy, and were fully supportive with any decision we made. ANY decision would have the same outcome - our daughter would die. We did not have time, even in liberal New Hampshire, to have all of the second opinions or amniocentesis that would fully paint the picture of her

life to help us feel more sound to make any choice possible. No one would perform a termination after 22 weeks, and because there was nothing emergent medicially, it could be difficult to get those appointments in a quick enough time to get answers and still have options. In fact, it was difficult to get a procedure scheduled at all. We had to go to Boston for the two outpatient procedures.

We chose the option that provided one-hundred percent certainty that our daughter would not suffer, and we made that decision immediatly. She did not have the capacity to feel pain at that point of development. She only knew her mama's womb - warm, soft, weightless and loved. I'm so grateful that was what her existence was.

In my position of crisis it did not fully occur to me that we were getting an abortion until I looked up my surgeon's name. These emotions: wave after wave of trauma, grief, despair, crisis and above all, a deep love for my daughter... these emotions were NOT what I was taught abortion was. But, the first link on Google for our world class Harvard-teaching, Medical Director of Gynecology surgeon's name was to a hit list for abortion doctors. Interestingly, enough, not a single medical professional in our entire experience with ending our pregnancy used the word "abortion." Only the legal paperwork I signed used that word.

Stigma, and by proxy some of the less restrictive laws in our country at the time, made this traumatic situation a thousand times more traumatic. It is devastating that the choice my husband and I made for our daughter granted her salvation from a life in which she would have only been able to know pain -- and it is devastating we may be forever judged for that. Additionally, had we had more time to make our choice, and fully understand the underlying cause of our daughter's many malformations and anomalies, we could have been better advised medically. If we had chosen to carry naturally, and/or chosen labor and delivery over dilation and evacuation, I would have been at higher risk for retaining tissue in the uterus. While this is normally okay, and expelled during the next menstrual cycle, it would not have been okay in our circumstance. The placenta of a triploidy pregnancy can become cancerous very quickly. I would have never been able to consider having a living child. Because the choice was made under crisis mode due to time constraints, we are LUCKY in the preservation of my health. We are LUCKY to have had our healthy son in July of 2020.

We are so very grateful we were able to make any choice at all, and encourage you to continue your efforts in ensuring the constitutional right any woman has to manage her healthcare. A medical crisis that is not immediately emergent should never result in a rushed decision.

I implore you to support these two bills. While not perfect, they will return some integrity and freedom to mothers, as they make the most critical medical decisions of their lives.

If you wish to speak further, I am happy to answer any questions you have, or speak directly with you about our experience at any time.

Thank you for your consideration and time,  
Caitlin Gray  
Dover, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Mary Anne Broshek <mabandsadie@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 8:01 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB 399 and SB 436

These bills will be heard in your committee tomorrow. I hope that you will support them.

SB399- Historically, NH never had an issue or problem with abortion access prior to 2021. Libertarians included abortion on their "Don't Touch" list supporting one of their arguments which states "Women own their own bodies and have the fundamental right to make decisions about them; any attempt to prohibit that freedom is anti-liberty." The current law should be repealed because:

It does not address pregnancies due to rape, incest or issues with fetal development.

It does not recognize that medical decisions take priority.

It requires an invasive procedure which no legislative body has a right to require. I have had these ultrasounds due to cancer and I can assure you that it is painful, invasive and that no one except a physician should even suggest it be done.

The current law does nothing to reduce abortions. When I worked at DHHS on reducing the teen out of wedlock birth rate (which was very low in nh), we won a federal high performance of 25 million dollars for reducing the teen out of wedlock birth rate without increasing the number of abortions. You don't need restrictive legislation. What works is:

increasing access to birth control (like funding the centers who currently have their funding rejected);

education (yes it really is needed);

mentoring for girls who lack parental guidance;

increased protection with access to domestic violence programs and shelters;

affordable housing;

support for the Healthy Kids Medicaid program

greater enforcement of child support orders;


and increased accountability for those who can impregnate without ever having a consequence.

SB 436

Given what I have stated above, the right to an abortion must be protected in NH regardless of what happens at the Federal level. 66 percent of Granite Staters believe that abortion should be legal in all or most cases.

If you really care about children - make sure they are born into situations where they can be safe and cared for .  
Remember that women are important too.

Mary Anne Broshek, Andover, NH



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Ilyssa Sherman <ijv2@wildcats.unh.edu>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 8:16 PM  
**To:** William Gannon; Sharon Carson; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Support SB 399 and SB 436!!!

Good Evening members of the Senate Judiciary Committee,

My name is Ilyssa Sherman, and I am the Sexual and Reproductive Health Nurse at the Lovering Health Center in Greenland, NH. Patients have been coming to Lovering for over 40 years. My job as a nurse is not only to give unbiased, safe, quality care to my patients, but it's to also advocate for them both at the bedside and on a larger macro level. With that being said, I must speak on behalf of my patients. My patients DESERVE to be heard, and they DESERVE continued access to abortion care. To put it plainly, Provision 3 of the Code of Ethics for Nurses from the ANA states "the nurse promotes, advocates for, and protects the rights, and safety of the patient." Furthermore, it affirms reproductive healthcare as a universal right.

That very right is being stripped away. We are in a crisis moment- two US Supreme Court cases could overturn Roe v Wade, jeopardizing abortion access in our country. By next year, 26 states could lose abortion access. New Hampshire is one of the most supportive states in the country when it comes to reproductive freedom so we need to take action to ensure protections for Granite Staters. We continue to be in a public health crisis - it's time for our state leaders to show us that they support reproductive rights. As a registered nurse and long-time Granite Stater, I am asking you: please support the full repeal of the abortion ban and support the Access to Abortion-care Act. You would be ensuring a brighter, positive future for so many NH citizens by doing so. I just cannot urge you enough. Thank you so much for your time. I would like this testimony to be included in the public record.

I am happy to answer any questions you may have via this email address.

Ilyssa Sherman MS, RN, CNL  
Sexual and Reproductive Health Nurse

Home Address:  
10 Chestnut Street  
Exeter, NH 03833

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** mdrye@madscape.com  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 8:39 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Vote no on SB399

To the members of the Senate Judiciary Committee:

Of the 198 countries in the world, only a handful have no protection for life in the womb after 24 weeks.

If our state drops its ban on abortion after 24 weeks, it joins such notable compatriots as Singapore, Vietnam, North Korea and China.

Is this really the company we want to keep?

Please vote SB 399 ITL.

Sincerely,

Margaret Drye,

Plainfield, NH

mdrye@madscape.com

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 8:42 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399

---

**From:** Beverly Temple <bevtemple831@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 8:33 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB 399

Ms. Horgan. I am emphatically opposed to Senate Bill 399. I worked for many years in the field of Early Supports and Services. My clients included many children born at 24, 25, 26 weeks gestation. They are fully formed, feel pain and emotion, and with some help are able to do well in life. In addition, those who feel this bill is too restrictive are ignoring the fact that there are absolutely NO restrictions on any procedures prior to 24 weeks.

I respectfully submit my opinion to the committee.  
Thank you.  
Beverly Temple  
Franklin, NH



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Nancy S <sirois.n@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:06 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** OPPOSE SB 399 - NH RESIDENT

Dear Honorable Jennifer Horgan, and most honorable Judicial Committee Members, Harold French, Becky Whitely and Jay Kahn

I strongly urge you to OPPOSE SB 399

We celebrated the Life of Martin Luther King this week... A man who placed his own life on the line to protect and secure the basic Civil Rights for All. Rights are not rights if there are exceptions; Civil rights must be granted to ALL...

This bill destroys any and all basic human rights to the most vulnerable among us; our babies. A child is not an intruder and a womb should be a safe place...

Civil Rights means EVERYONE gets the same rights... not just a lucky few that escape....

Respectfully submitted,  
Nancy Sirois  
2 Manatee Drive, Rochester, NH 03868

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Marjorie Smith <msmithpen@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:11 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB 399 and SB 436

Madam chair and members of the Senate Judiciary committee. It is with regret that my personal circumstances make it impossible for me to appear before you in support of two bills important to the well being of New Hampshire women and their families.

I miss the early days of my 25 years in the house. I knew that personal privacy would be respected, the government stayed out of New Hampshire's bedrooms and left it to the individual to work out the decisions and bear the responsibility of matters best left to individuals and their families. We viewed ourselves as a libertarian state.

I am not a doctor but have never understood how a 424 person legislature could fit in a doctor's office and insert themselves between doctor and patient, ordering medical procedures such as ultra sound, without regard to medical necessity, patient safety or cost.

I am not a prosecutor, but cannot imagine holding pregnant women and their physicians

criminally liable for acting in the best medical interest of the patient.

My academic training is in public administration.

I do know how to read a budget.

There is, as we all know, nowhere in the budget where there are funds for performing abortions. Public funds are not used to perform abortions regardless of the reason for the procedure. No state or federal funds go to abortion.

Why has such a personal decision becomes central to polarized political views? There are many theories, but the general agreement is that as a political issue, rather than as a religious, moral or personal issue, access to abortion can generate strong reactions and is an effective political organizing tool.

I would hope instead that the right to keep government out of our bedrooms would prevail over actions that interfere with personal, decisions reached by individuals and their physicians, physicians who should be free to offer the best health care to their patients without fear of criminal charges.

please support these bills



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** William Williams <ww@pagweb.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:32 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Please Oppose SB 399-FN

Dear friends,

we are not talking about fetus or blobs of tissue, but real live babies, that have arms and legs, hands and toes, a brain and a heart. please honor the law that has been passed to protect babies from 24 weeks on. 24 weeks is more than enough time for a woman to have an abortion if she so desires.

please be reasonable and vote against SB 399-FN and give these children a chance to live. what person should be able to take their child's life simply because they are an inconvenience?

are you aware of the process of late term abortion where a doctor goes in and dissects the child or has it live born then brutally harvests its organs without anesthesia for the sake of the pharmaceutical industries research and development? it is simply inconceivable, how any human being can be so heartless and cruel.

PLEASE OPPOSE SB 399-FN

Thank you for your consideration in this matter.

Peggy Williams email: [peggy@pagweb.org](mailto:peggy@pagweb.org) I had to use my husbands computer due to mine not working right now.  
a constituent from Tilton, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jay Kahn <kahnjay03@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:50 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Fwd: Testimony in Support of SB399 and SB436  
**Attachments:** Support for SB-399-FN and SB436.doc

In case I received this from Mr. Moyer but the rest of the Committee and you did not, I am forwarding it to you for distribution. Thanks.

Jay

Jay Kahn  
State Senator  
Senate-District 10  
Keene, NH  
603-381-2930 (c)

Begin forwarded message:

**From:** "Herb Moyer" <[herb.moyer@comcast.net](mailto:herb.moyer@comcast.net)>  
**Subject:** Testimony in Support of SB399 and SB436  
**Date:** January 18, 2022 at 7:18:23 PM EST  
**To:** <[Jay.Kahn@leg.state.nh.us](mailto:Jay.Kahn@leg.state.nh.us)>  
**Resent-From:** <[jay.kahn@leg.state.nh.us](mailto:jay.kahn@leg.state.nh.us)>

Senator Kahn,

Please include my wife, Karen, and my testimony in support of SB399 and SB436 as part of the official legislative record.

Thank you !

Herb & Karen Moyer  
51 Westside Drive  
Exeter, NH 03833  
603-772-6910  
Cell 603-231-3083  
[herb.moyer@comcast.net](mailto:herb.moyer@comcast.net)

Senator: Please include this email document as an official entry into this legislative record !

**We SUPPORT: SB 399-FN** repealing the fetal health protection act. (24-week ban and ultrasound mandate repeal), and SB436.

**New Hampshire's abortion ban must be repealed because it has no exceptions for rape, incest, or the health of the fetus and it doesn't take real-life complexities into account:**

Each pregnancy and each circumstance is unique. That's why when people are making personal medical decisions with their doctor, one-size-fits-all laws don't work.

Abortion later in pregnancy often results from a patient's health or life being at risk, or because of serious complications with a pregnancy. Achieving the best possible outcomes in extremely complex pregnancies depends on the outstanding efforts of highly trained physicians, nurses, and nurse practitioners. Because of the new abortion ban, these experts now face potential civil suits, allowing the court to scrutinize the decisions they must make in real-time, high-stress situations, when their only concern should be the patient. These are situations in which *seconds count*.

**New Hampshire now requires an ultrasound for all abortion patients. That's invasive, costly, and sometimes not medically necessary. We must repeal government mandated ultrasounds because:**

- This affects providers' ability to deliver patient-centered, trauma-informed care, as this mandate is required, regardless of the recommendation of the clinician.
- Broad based government mandates that require all people seeking abortion care first undergo an ultrasound, even if it is not medically necessary, serve only one purpose - to shame patients and add barriers to abortion access
- This will have a chilling effect on recruiting and training providers to NH.
- We strongly agree with the positions expressed by Planned Parenthood New Hampshire Action Fund
- This ban has no exception for fatal fetal anomalies; no exception for rape; no exception for incest; a very narrow exception for maternal physical health; criminalizes health care providers with a class B felony; mandates ultrasounds prior to all abortion care; allows husbands to file civil actions; and allows the patient's parents to file civil actions.
- This ban is in direct opposition to the Granite State values of privacy and personal freedom. "Live Free or Die"

We agree with Kayla Montgomery's comments in the NH Bulletin JULY 26, 2021 6:10 AM

"The bottom line is that this abortion ban is shameful and cruel, and it will hurt real women and real families. It is an attempt to control and shame women, and the authors of it are politicians – clearly not doctors – who think their judgment is better than actual medical professionals who vehemently opposed this ban".

Sincerely,

Herbert & Karen Moyer  
51 Westside Drive  
Exeter, NH 03833  
603-772-6910



January 19, 2022

Chair Sharon Carson  
NH Senate Committee on Judiciary, LOB Room 100  
33 N. State St.  
Concord, NH 03301

**RE: SB 399-FN**

Dear Chair Carson and Members of the Committee,

The New Hampshire High School Democrats vehemently affirm our support for SB 399, repealing the fetal health protection act, and urge you to recommend SB 399 “Ought to Pass.” The fetal health protection act is unpopular, unconscionable, and unconstitutional.

First, Granite Staters have voiced their opposition to abortion bans and subsequent ultrasound requirements. A poll conducted by UNH Survey Center demonstrated that a plurality of Granite Staters oppose a 24-week abortion ban. The survey also revealed that 53% of Granite Staters oppose a required vaginal ultrasound for an abortion. Evidently, the General Court was out of touch with Granite Staters and erred when it passed the fetal health protection act last year.

More importantly, an abortion ban in NH is unconscionable. The fetal health protection act employs the first abortion ban in modern-day NH. The New Hampshire High School Democrats continue to support the rights of pregnant people to obtain legal abortions. Abortion is a deeply important and personal decision and should be left for a pregnant person to decide—their body, their choice. Nobody, not even a legislator, can know what a pregnant person goes through when making this significant decision. Additionally, people who are denied access to abortion services have higher rates of depression, suffer higher rates of poverty, and are less likely to graduate from educational programs.

Furthermore, an abortion ban is unconstitutional pursuant to Part 1, Article 2-a [Right of Privacy] of the NH Constitution, which states “An individual’s right to live free from governmental intrusion in private or personal information is natural, essential, and inherent.” The right to choose an abortion is a private decision between a pregnant person and their doctor. It is an encroachment of the state’s power to enact any abortion ban.

To conclude, the New Hampshire High School Democrats affirm their position that any abortion ban is unpopular, unconscionable, and unconstitutional. Further, we respectfully ask that this committee recommend that SB 399 “Ought to Pass”.

Respectfully,

Hunter Porter  
Legislative Director  
New Hampshire High School Democrats

Sophia Biondolillo  
Advocacy Director  
New Hampshire High School Democrats



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Valerie Valant <valerie.valant@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 8:12 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Testimony: support SB 399 and SB 436

To whom this may concern,

My name is Dr. Valerie Valant and I am an OBGYN physician who has been practicing in the state of NH for the last 5 years. **I strongly support both SB 399 and SB 436.** I unfortunately cannot be present in person at the hearing due to my clinical duties; I am taking care of patients today. Please include the following as part of the public record.

Before you are two critical bills that impact the ability of women to receive safe and necessary medical care. Our country is in a moment of crisis for abortion rights. If the SCOTUS overturns Roe v. Wade, we need the NH state protections to ensure that abortion remains safe, legal and accessible to the women of NH. Repealing the abortion ban is critical for providers like me, who will now face criminal charges for caring for patients in incredibly complex situations. My sentiment applies directly to the fetal health protection act, which places a 24-week ban on abortions and an ultrasound mandate. There are no exceptions for rape, incest, the pre-existing and possibly life-threatening medical state of the woman or the health of the fetus. It does not take into account the real-life complexities that lead women to consider abortion, and it strips women of compassion and support, disproportionately harming people of color, those with lower income, those with disabilities and those in the LGBTQ community, as well as women in rural communities, a large percentage of the NH population. Each pregnancy and circumstance is unique and cannot be defined by a certain set of laws or guidelines. Furthermore, the ultrasound mandate at all stages of pregnancy is not consistent with best medical practices and threatens the ability of providers to deliver patient centered, trauma informed care. It creates an unnecessary cost barrier to abortion access. Although there are some medical situations where an ultrasound is necessary, for those women who do not need this invasive intervention, it causes unwarranted shame and life-long trauma.

Although I am so grateful to the New Hampshire legislature for their dedication to the well-being of our community, when it comes to medical decision making, politicians should not be making laws that impact the medical choices that should be agreed upon by only a patient and their medical provider. It interferes with the patient-provider relationship and creates barriers to providing the best and most appropriate medical care. The reproductive health and well-being of women is under attack in New Hampshire. Please vote to protect women. Thank you for your consideration of my written testimony.

Warmly,

Dr. Valerie Valant



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 8:55 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399

---

**From:** Tanya McIntire <wigwam735@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 8:52 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB 399

Dear Ms. Horgan,

I am writing in opposition to SB399. The triple screen return a small chance that my baby may be born with trisomy 18- Edward's syndrome. I had never heard of this and did some research. I met with the geneticist who explained the consequences of this condition. She then explained the various options for terminating the pregnancy. Fortunately, I was an older mother, and said, wait, let us first find out if the baby actually has this condition before we discuss termination. She explained the methods of determining, amniocentesis being the most definitive, but which could be risky. My husband and I determined it was worth the risk. The baby was perfect! He is now 21! I was appalled that the options for termination were offered before the options for determination.

Education for life options need to be available for mother's, and service to help families with hardship babies need to be flexible with wise people in the decision making roles.

*Tanya D. McIntire*

PO Box 85  
Grantham, NH 03753  
603 863-2014  
[wigwam735@comcast.net](mailto:wigwam735@comcast.net)

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 8:56 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Senate Bill 399-FN

---

**From:** Eileen Cunis <emcunis@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 8:16 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Senate Bill 399-FN

Dear Ms. Horgan,

I am fully against the passage of SB 399. The Fetal Life Protection Act, which the bill in question will directly repeal, is -- as its name reveals -- a crucial declaration of the State of New Hampshire of the immeasurable value of human life. It also is a protection for women, who at a time of great vulnerability, are prey to the pressures of partners, parents, and society at large. The lives of their unborn children must not be treated as worthless, problematic, alien, or disposable.

Thank you for registering my serious disagreement with SB 399.

Eileen Cunis  
Campton, NH

Senator: Please include this email document as an official entry into this legislative record !

**We SUPPORT:** SB 399-FN repealing the fetal health protection act. (24-week ban and ultrasound mandate repeal), and SB436.

**New Hampshire's abortion ban must be repealed because it has no exceptions for rape, incest, or the health of the fetus and it doesn't take real-life complexities into account:**

Each pregnancy and each circumstance is unique. That's why when people are making personal medical decisions with their doctor, one-size-fits-all laws don't work.

Abortion later in pregnancy often results from a patient's health or life being at risk, or because of serious complications with a pregnancy. Achieving the best possible outcomes in extremely complex pregnancies depends on the outstanding efforts of highly trained physicians, nurses, and nurse practitioners. Because of the new abortion ban, these experts now face potential civil suits, allowing the court to scrutinize the decisions they must make in real-time, high-stress situations, when their only concern should be the patient. These are situations in which *seconds count*.

**New Hampshire now requires an ultrasound for all abortion patients. That's invasive, costly, and sometimes not medically necessary. We must repeal government mandated ultrasounds because:**

- This affects providers' ability to deliver patient-centered, trauma-informed care, as this mandate is required, regardless of the recommendation of the clinician.
- Broad based government mandates that require all people seeking abortion care first undergo an ultrasound, even if it is not medically necessary, serve only one purpose - to shame patients and add barriers to abortion access
- This will have a chilling effect on recruiting and training providers to NH.
- We strongly agree with the positions expressed by Planned Parenthood New Hampshire Action Fund
- This ban has no exception for fatal fetal anomalies; no exception for rape; no exception for incest; a very narrow exception for maternal physical health; criminalizes health care providers with a class B felony; mandates ultrasounds prior to all abortion care; allows husbands to file civil actions; and allows the patient's parents to file civil actions.
- This ban is in direct opposition to the Granite State values of privacy and personal freedom. "Live Free or Die"

We agree with Kayla Montgomery's comments in the NH Bulletin JULY 26, 2021 6:10 AM

"The bottom line is that this abortion ban is shameful and cruel, and it will hurt real women and real families. It is an attempt to control and shame women, and the authors of it are politicians – clearly not doctors – who think their judgment is better than actual medical professionals who vehemently opposed this ban".

Sincerely,

Herbert & Karen Moyer  
51 Westside Drive  
Exeter, NH 03833  
603-772-6910

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:02 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: opposition to SB399

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Debra Foster <debhfoster@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 7:27 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** opposition to SB399

As a member of the public and a female living in NH for 46 years, I am horrified that legislators would agree to pass this bill that overreaches into a women's health decision with her doctor. Why are there not bills that prohibit men from procreating? Why are there not bills to jail men who rape their daughters or other women? why are there not bills that force men to have vasectomies if DNA is found to match them? What if a 13 year old is raped by their father? They have to carry a child to birth? Are you kidding?

This is one of the most discriminatory bills I have ever seen and men pay no consequences, only women. For free starters, yes disguised as Republicans, are so hypocritical in not wanting government yet putting in bills that overreach into personal areas and discriminate against women.

We are not in the 1950s, so any senator who votes for this bill agrees to discriminate against women and make medical decisions that belong to professionals and a women. You are not medical professionals. Please do not vote for this bill or you will be labeled discriminatory. where did our New Hampshire values go in 2022?

Debra Foster  
603-774-5932  
Dunbarton

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:02 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: I Support SB 399 and SB 436

---

**From:** Loretta Brady <LBrady@Anselm.Edu>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 7:08 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** I Support SB 399 and SB 436

Dear Senate Judiciary,

I am emailing my support of SB 399 to repeal the 24 week abortion ban and ultrasound mandate which limits access to needed care

I support SB 436 to ensure the right to choose is preserved in NH regardless of federal challenges to the Supreme Court ruling Roe v Wade.

Thank you,

Loretta Brady  
Manchester, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:03 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399

---

**From:** Michael De Rosa <michael.derosa67@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 4:08 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB 399

I am opposed to this bill as it promotes the destruction of life.

God's word tells us that life begins at conception:

*"Before I formed you in the womb I knew you,  
and before you were born I consecrated you;  
I appointed you a prophet to the nations."* Jeremiah 1:5

*For You formed my inward parts;  
You wove me in my mother's womb.* Psalms 139:13

Therefore, to delineate a certain time when a baby becomes viable is against God's will and has already been judged by Him. Therefore any reason to support this bill has been found insufficient. I realize there will be ripple effects for those leaders who will oppose this bill. But, for those who are concerned with this, I say this: trust God to defend you.

Thank you for allowing me this space to share my views with you

-Michael K. De Rosa





## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:03 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Please oppose SB 399

---


**From:** Mark and Charissa Lyons <markandcharissa@myfairpoint.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:32 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Please oppose SB 399

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

We are writing to ask that you please oppose SB 399, which would repeal the Fetal Health Protection Act. The code of medical ethics requires that we first do no harm. To intentionally tear a life from the protection of the womb in order to destroy it most certainly does not uphold that ethic.

We pray that our state would choose to be an example of one that that honors and protects life in all of its precious stages, from the time in the womb until natural death. Ultimately, God, who creates each and every life, will be our judge.

Respectfully,  
Mark and Charissa Lyons  
Windham, NH  
603 890-6972



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:04 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399

---

**From:** Mary Butow <mbutow@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 11:58 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB 399

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

Thank you for your service to our state. I am writing to ask that you please oppose SB 399, which would repeal the Fetal Health Protection Act. I find it somewhat hard to believe we are even having these types of discussions in 2022. On one hand we are desperately trying to ensure that we protect the unborn from the potential effects of PFAS exposure, and on the other are willing to let a baby die up to birth. It just doesn't seem right, or logical.

We know, and are thankful for the amazing and tremendous efforts that have been taken to rescue and sustain precious babies in the NICU, and yet under, e.g. NY's abortion law, a baby could be born completely viable and healthy and still face death. How can this be? Supporting life-saving measures should be common sense, and I believe is, as one poll noted 85% of Americans support protecting life at this stage.

In addition to imploring you to vote no on this bill, I would also ask that you work towards considering the current barriers to adoption, and finding ways to support those choosing life.

We often hear how climate is an existential threat, yet, I contend the messages we are sending with regard to respect for life or lack thereof, are the actual and literal existential threats.

Respectfully,  
Mary Butow  
Londonderry, NH  
603-490-5000

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:04 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Testimony SB 399-FN An Act to Repeal the Fetal Life Protection Act

---

**From:** Veronica Molloy <shamrockwalker@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:58 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Testimony SB 399-FN An Act to Repeal the Fetal Life Protection Act

I offer you my objection to SB 399-FN An Act to Repeal the Fetal Life Protection Act based on the impact report on this piece of legislation which states: **"There is no method to determine how many fewer charges may be brought as a result of the changes contained in the bill,"** The very statement of impact for SB 399-FN admits that the fiscal assessment presented is based on nothing but conjecture that 1) multitudes of felony level charges, or 2) no felony level charges at all, could result from the Fetal Life Protection Act.

Further, I find the following:

In my opinion, proponents of SB 399-FN present a cost analysis to assert a financial impact premised on multiple felony charges actionable when the current law is broken by a multitude of NH abortion providers who will abort a multitude of unborn children rather than suggest to their clients an alternative reproductive health pathway for prenatal and post partum maternity care sanctioned by NH law. On the contrary, it is possible that abortion providers may welcome the opportunity to present clear direction to a pathway for alternative maternal prenatal and postpartum health care to late term clients requesting an abortion. Both may be relieved to find that NH Law now sanctions and supports an opportunity to carry one's pregnancy to term where previously the only feasible choice appeared to be abortion.

**There is reason to doubt the premise of SB 399-FN. Clearly, the fiscal impact statement is based on conjecture. Please vote SB 399-FN inexpedient to legislate.**

**Addendum:** The Fetal Life Protection Act is only 19 days old at the time of this hearing. NH should honor the process by which the Fetal Protection Act was ratified and signed into law. It will take time to know the fiscal impact of the law. There may be impact to Family Courts if mothers begin to make a choice for adoption. There will be impact to maternal and child health services, but how much? There may be impact in the NICUs in the State. NH may need more money directed to parenting classes....and more. We don't know all the ramifications of this law and it is too early to predict fiscal impact.

Veronica Molloy  
60 Roundabout Way Unit 3  
Manchester NH 03102

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Nancy Lory <nancylory@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:04 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Abortion Rights

Good morning,

I write this testimony with extensive knowledge of fetal development and the risks of denying abortion after 24 weeks. While most women do not expect fetal anomalies and/or viability after 24 weeks, situations occur where there are devastating outcomes. Most women will prepare themselves for pregnancy by reading books/website on what to expect during each week of pregnancy BUT they skip over the chapters on birth defects or miscarriage. "This isn't relevant to me." Yes, most miscarriages occur during the first 12 weeks but there certainly are numerous examples of inherited genetic defects and/or anomalies that are not detected if a woman does not have an amniocentesis. What if an ultrasound indicates that the fetus has innumerable physical deformities that will certainly mean that the fetus will not survive postnatally? What if the placenta is no longer nourishing the fetus and the woman has to wait days or weeks for a miscarriage? What if the mother has severe eclampsia and may lose her life and leave her other children motherless? Are you so cruel that you would deny that woman an abortion? Do you take that choice away from the woman and her doctor? Why does one have to have personal experience to understand an issue? I hope you, your spouse, or your children never have to have their rights taken away because of an extreme law without merit.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Lory, EdD  
Professor Emerita ~ Special Education  
Keene State College

63 Wyman Road  
Keene NH  
03431

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:05 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Senate bills

---

**From:** Patricia T. Glowa <Patricia.T.Glowa@hitchcock.org>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:44 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Senate bills

Dear Ms. Horgan,

I am writing in support of SB 399 and SB 436. I have been involved in reproductive health care for 41 years, since completing my training as a Board-Certified Family Physician. In that role I have seen many women face pregnancy-related issues which are sometimes very difficult, even catastrophic, for their lives and the lives of their families. In order for them to make the best possible decisions, they need to have access to excellent medical care, unhindered by medically unsupported legislated restrictions. We uphold the best traditions of privacy and autonomy, so dear to Granite Staters, by removing barriers such as the recently enacted restrictions placed inappropriately in the state budget bill. Banning abortions after 24 weeks is cruel in its lack of exceptions for fatal fetal anomalies, rape, incest or the very narrowly defined exception for maternal health. The mandate for ultrasound for all pregnancies prior to abortion services is medically unsupported and should not have legislative control of a medical decision.

In addition, with the very real possibility of the Supreme Court acting politically – not in accordance with best medical evidence and judgment, nor in accordance with fifty years of Supreme Court precedent – to overturn *Roe v. Wade*, we should take action in the Granite State to protect the right to safe, legal abortion care. Women in this state are counting on you, our legislative representatives, to act respectfully toward their sound judgment and autonomy.

Thank you for your attention to these issues.  
Sincerely,

Patricia T. Glowa, MD  
Assistant Professor of Community and Family Medicine, and of Pediatrics, and of Obstetrics and Gynecology  
Geisel School of Medicine at Dartmouth-Hitchcock  
Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center  
Lebanon, NH

**IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THIS ELECTRONIC MESSAGE:**

This message is intended for the use of the person to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, your use of this message for any purpose is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please delete the message and notify the sender so that we may correct our records.

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:05 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399 Oppose

---

**From:** ElizabethM Gallaher <elizabeth.business@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:43 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB 399 Oppose

Please oppose SB 399.

I'm so proud that New Hampshire enacted the Fetal Protection Act. It should be celebrated as a rational and just law instead of repealed.

There is no medical reason for late term abortions. We are medically advanced enough that late-term babies can be successfully delivered early to safeguard their mother's health. Unwanted babies can be placed in adoptive homes. We do not have to kill our young in order for our adult citizens to prosper.

For parents who fear birth defects, I say first: diagnostics are not always accurate. I was told my second child likely had Down's Syndrome based on ultrasounds. We decided to continue the pregnancy, and he was marvelously healthy in every way. Second: we have another son who developed an autoimmune disease at age 7 that was truly life-changing. We don't plan to end his life, of course, but what would happen if his autoimmune disease were detectable in utero? Would we consider abortion just because we hadn't met him yet?

It seems pre-born babies are easier to dispose of because they are almost invisible. Anyone reading this was just that age once, too.

Sincerely,

Elizabeth Gallaher  
Bow, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:06 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Roe v Wade - private murder

---

**From:** Beverly Rush <rushbeverly@gmail.com>

**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:21 PM

**To:** r.kosky@comcast.net; Ruth Pierce <ruthpierce@myfairpoint.net>; Rich <rich.rush@gmail.com>; Sue Nickerson <pnickerson11@comcast.net>; Pastor Dennis Cowing <cowing67@comcast.net>; Pastor Joe Johnsick <pastor.joe@ghcc.online>; Pam Abbott <ps1454@yahoo.com>; Annette Tuttle <annettetuttle2222@gmail.com>; governorsununu@nh.gov; Representative Chris Pappas <NH01CP.Outreach@mail.house.gov>; Noella Olson <truenorth7@gmail.com>; Jeanine Notter <Jeanine.Notter@leg.state.nh.us>; Beth Folsom <Beth.Folsom@leg.state.nh.us>; Justin Whitney <whitneyjm2@gmail.com>; Sarah Marcoux <marcouxhouse@yahoo.com>; Diane Chicoine <newsong@comcast.net>; Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>

**Subject:** Roe v Wade - private murder

An Article to the Union Leader

A women's right to decide to have an abortion is protected under the 14th amendment "right to privacy" extended to women under the 1973 Supreme Court Roe v Wade decision.

The decision clearly intends that abortion is an act of privacy with no reference to abortion being a crime. It presumes abortion is not a crime. The right to privacy gives the crime of abortion a pass.

This unfortunately was not challenged at the time. The criminal act of abortion continues to go overlooked and even accepted to this day.

It is saying a woman has the right to privately kill her unborn while the law looks the other way.

Should we then suppose to extend this right of privacy to others who wish to murder privately so they can be exempt of the crime?

Roe v Wade created a crime scene covered up by the "extended" right to privacy to women. Abortions are crime scenes covered up by our constitutional law.

Abortion is a crime even when done privately. We need to challenge this thinking that the wrong of abortion is made right by a woman's right to privacy

Let us rectify these wrongs by creating a Life Bill to the attention of the Supreme Court.

Beverly Rush  
Merrimack, NH



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Nancy Lory <nancylory@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:06 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Freedom of Choice

Good morning,

I write this testimony with extensive knowledge of fetal development and the risks of denying abortion after 24 weeks. While most women do not expect fetal anomalies and/or viability after 24 weeks, situations occur where there are devastating outcomes. Most women will prepare themselves for pregnancy by reading books/website on what to expect during each week of pregnancy BUT they skip over the chapters on birth defects or miscarriage. "This isn't relevant to me." Yes, most miscarriages occur during the first 12 weeks but there certainly are numerous examples of inherited genetic defects and/or anomalies that are not detected if a woman does not have an amniocentesis. What if an ultrasound indicates that the fetus has innumerable physical deformities that will certainly mean that the fetus will not survive postnatally? What if the placenta is no longer nourishing the fetus and the woman has to wait days or weeks for a miscarriage? What if the mother has severe eclampsia and may lose her life and leave her other children motherless? Are you so cruel that you would deny that woman an abortion? Do you take that choice away from the woman and her doctor? Why does one have to have personal experience to understand an issue? I hope you, your spouse, or your children never have to have their rights taken away because of an extreme law without merit.

Sincerely,  
Nancy Lory, EdD  
Professor Emerita ~ Special Education  
Keene State College

63 Wyman Road  
Keene NH  
03431

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:07 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: OPPOSE SB 399 - NH RESIDENT/VOTER

---

**From:** Nancy S <sirois.n@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 10:08 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** OPPOSE SB 399 - NH RESIDENT/VOTER

Dear Honorable Jennifer Horgan, and honorable Judicial Committee Members,

I strongly urge you to OPPOSE SB 399

We celebrated the Life of Martin Luther King this week... A man who placed his own life on the line to protect the basic Civil Rights for All. Civil rights are not Civil rights unless they are granted to ALL...

This bill destroys any and all basic human rights to the most vulnerable among us; our babies. A womb should be a safe place...

Civil Rights means EVERYONE gets the same rights... not just a lucky few that escape....

Respectfully submitted,  
Nancy Sirois  
2 Manatee Drive, Rochester, NH 03868

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:07 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB399

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Maureen Kimball <maureen.kimball@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 9:35 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB399

Please vote AGAINST SB399.

Sincerely, Maureen Kimball, Hampton, NH

Sent from my iPhone

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:07 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Dupont <plads@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 9:06 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB 399

Judiciary Committee members.

I am writing to express my opposition to eliminating / weakening the fetal protection act. It was first passed and signed by the Governor because it is a good law! New Hampshire has no Death Penalty because it is cruel punishment! How much more cruel is dismembering a baby or crushing it anytime at all let alone after 24 weeks!! A woman has 6 months to decide to kill her baby! They are not tissue. And what is all this fear about an ultrasound? There is No harm and No cost!! Please vote to kill this bill not our children!!! Thank you. God give you wisdom and understanding. Pierre Dupont. Manchester.  
From pierre

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:07 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: statement about SB 399

---

**From:** Amy Wilson <nh.amy.wilson@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 8:58 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** statement about SB 399

To the Honorable Senators.

I support SB 399 and SB 436, NH must protect a woman's right to her medical care and the medical care of her child, even if unborn. I find it hypocritical that the same people who are fighting hard against the COVID mandates: mask, vaccine, remote learning/ meetings, and vaccines because they feel threatened by any mandate that feels like it might dictate what they do to their bodies or their health are the very same people who are fighting to take that very same right away from women and their child. In both incidents, they are ignoring best practices and ignoring public health.

We cannot turn the clocks back on abortion. Fifty years of empirical evidence shows that mandates on abortion hurt the poor, those with no or little insurance coverage, the marginalized, and our black and brown women and has less of an effect on the wealthy, as they can afford to go elsewhere for care.

The ultrasound screen tacked onto this bill feels like another loophole and money maker for the insurance companies. It is not medically necessary in all cases.

SB 399 and SB 436 allow the legal right of abortion to be in the hands of women and their health care providers, where it belongs. Please stand in support.

Respectfully,  
Amy ODell Wilson  
173 Chesham Rd  
Harrisville, NH 03450

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:10 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB 399

---

**From:** Jillian Flood Shillaber <drjnflood@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:02 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB 399

Good morning,

I hope this email finds you well and I apologize for the late notice for my written testimony being sent so close to the hearing this afternoon at 1pm.

I am opposed to SB399 for various reasons. As a mother of two sons and grieving mother of an unborn baby I resonate with the need to protect the unborn from being killed before birth (I could comment on after birth murder but that isn't up for review and therefore not relevant to this message).

I personally know the devastation of losing an unborn baby and I can't even imagine how I would feel if that was of my own decision rather than a natural miscarriage. I am also a psychologist who has worked with women who have aborted their babies and are still emotionally scarred decades later.

Please consider opposing SB 399 and upholding the fetal health protection act due to the protections it provides to maternal mental health and fetal physical health.

I am happy to testify in the hearing if you need further information.

Sincerely,  
Jillian (Flood) Shillaber

--

Jillian Shillaber

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:10 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Testimony on SB 399

---

**From:** Andrea Rebeck <rebeckarchitect@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:07 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Testimony on SB 399

Dear Ms. Horgan:

Please accept the following as written testimony for the Senate Judiciary Committee hearing today on SB 399:

I am in support of the passage of SB 399.

I urge New Hampshire legislators to see another aspect of this issue: the economic future of our state. There is growing concern for how poorly we are retaining our young people of high school and college age. We acknowledge that “they are our future” but we must act as if we really believe that. If our young people are living and working in other states, states whose more liberal policies offer them protections and freedoms that we don't, there is no way they will return. An aging population is an expensive population (unless you have Florida's sunshine to coax well-off folks to move there). Just how do our legislators think these young women (and the young men who care for them) will react to laws that thrust them back 50 years to a time when their entire lives could change in an instant because they are not able to control their fertility?

In recent decades our state has become increasingly libertarian, proud of our motto “Live Free or Die,” and fiercely protective of our rights to control our bodies, our property, and our lives. These freedoms accrue to the half of our population that is female as much as they do to males. There is no justification for the government to impose more restrictions on women than it does on men. The religious arguments for promoting the importance of a fetus over that of a living woman have no place here, as we do not all practice the same religion, nor do all religions hold the same beliefs regarding the start of life and the role of a pregnant woman.

We've had millennia to see the effects of treating women as breeding stock, and we've had half a century to see the value of giving women the power and the responsibility to make their own decisions about having children. States that try to push women back into the dangerous world of illegal abortions will lose in the final analysis. Let's not be one of those states.

--  
Andrea Rebeck  
111 Atherton Avenue  
Nashua, NH 03064-1904  
585-590-1199  
[rebeckarchitect@gmail.com](mailto:rebeckarchitect@gmail.com)

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Ian Huyett <ihuyett@nhcornerstone.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:57 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan; Richard Lehmann  
**Cc:** Shannon McGinley  
**Subject:** Cornerstone Document to Accompany Testimony Today  
**Attachments:** HB 1609 - 2022-0048h.pdf

Dear Members of the Judiciary Committee,

The attached amendment was adopted and passed yesterday by the House HHS Committee yesterday in an executive session. OLS has not yet produced a version without the "unapproved" watermark, but I'm sure Chairman Mark Pearson or another House HHS Committee member could confirm it has been approved.

In its attached amended form, which Cornerstone supports, HB 1609 would reiterate and further clarify the limited scope of the ultrasound requirement in the Fetal Life Protection Act. I intend to reference it in my testimony today on SB 399, as it may bear on the Committee's consideration of what to do with SB 399.

Best,

Ian





**Ian B. Huyett, Esq.**  
**General Counsel**

603-228-4794

[www.ianhuyett.com](http://www.ianhuyett.com)

P.O. Box 4683, Manchester NH 03108





Amendment to HB 1609-FN

1 Amend the title of the bill by replacing it with the following:

2

3 AN ACT relative to certain provisions of the fetal life protection act requiring an ultrasound  
4 examination.  
5

6 Amend the bill by replacing all after the enacting clause with the following:

7

8 1 Fetal Life Protection Act; Prohibitions; Ultrasound Examination Requirement. Amend RSA  
9 329:44, I to read as follows:

10 I. Except in the case of a medical emergency as specifically defined in paragraph III, no  
11 abortion shall be performed, induced, or attempted by any health care provider unless a health care  
12 provider has first made a determination of the probable gestational age of the fetus. In making such  
13 a determination, the health care provider shall make such inquiries of the pregnant woman and  
14 perform or cause to be performed all such medical examinations, imaging studies, and tests as a  
15 reasonably prudent health care provider in the community, knowledgeable about the medical facts  
16 and conditions of both the woman and the fetus involved, would consider necessary to perform and  
17 consider in making an accurate diagnosis with respect to gestational age, provided, however, that  
18 the health care provider shall conduct an obstetric ultrasound examination of the patient for the  
19 purpose of making the determination. *This subdivision shall be construed to require the*  
20 *performance of an ultrasound only if the provider either knows that the fetus has a*  
21 *gestational age of at least 24 weeks or is conscious of a substantial risk that the fetus has a*  
22 *gestational age of at least 24 weeks.*

23 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect upon its passage.

2022-0048h

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This bill construes the obstetric ultrasound examination requirement to determine probable gestational age prior to an abortion.

UNAPPROVED

## Testimony of Julie A. Jenkins, MSN, APRN, WHNP-BC

### **SB399 - Ought To Pass**

### **Repealing the Fetal Health Protection Act**

Judiciary Committee

January 19, 2022

Senator Carson and distinguished members of the Judiciary Committee: My name is Julie Jenkins. I am a Women's Health Nurse Practitioner and an abortion provider. I can't be in person at the hearing because of the Public Health Emergency. Please include the following as a part of the public record.

I submit this testimony in support of SB399, which would allow me to meet patients' needs, and fulfill my own professional responsibilities and deeply held values, by providing abortion services without the requirement of an ultrasound in New Hampshire.

I have spent over 30 years working in women's health, including 17 years as a board-certified Women's Health Nurse Practitioner (WHNP-BC). I received my Bachelor of Science in Nursing from the University of Southern Maine in 2003, my Certificate in Women's Health Care from Harbor Medical Center-University of California at Los Angeles in 2005, and my Master of Science in Nursing from California State University at Long Beach in 2007. I am currently enrolled in a doctoral program at Johns Hopkins University School of Nursing. I am also a nursing instructor with a Graduate Faculty appointment at the University of Maine Orono School of Nursing and I hold a lecturer position at the MGH Institute of Health Professions in Boston.

New Hampshire is one of the most rural states in the country, and the ultrasound mandate severely restricts where and when patients can obtain an abortion. Medication abortion can often be safely provided by telehealth provision, but this ultrasound mandate makes telehealth impossible, and patients may have to needlessly travel for hours—and pay for transportation, childcare, and time off work that many low-income people simply cannot afford—rather than getting care in their own communities, often forcing them to delay that care. While abortion is extremely safe, delaying care can increase risks. The State simply has no justification for harming New Hampshire patients in this way.

I have provided telehealth services in abortion care since 2015 while working in rural Maine. Since that time the safety and efficacy of medication abortion without ultrasound has been demonstrated repeatedly, and telehealth services allow for patients to have an abortion in their own community and without delay with the support of a provider. I recently co-authored a peer-reviewed article published in the *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health* on this very topic showing that "evidence supports that in-clinic testing is largely unnecessary for safe and


Julie A. Jenkins, MSN, APRN, WHNP-BC -- Dover, NH  
(207)299-6779 – jjenkinsNP@gmail.com

effective administration of medications for an abortion. . . ACOG consider[s] no-test medication abortion guidelines [without ultrasound] a safe option for appropriately screened people. No-test medication abortion is safe and efficacious and is associated with high patient satisfaction.”<sup>1</sup>

I care about this issue dearly. Time and again, my life experiences have affirmed for me that people need to be able to make their own decisions about pregnancy and parenting—and have access to health care to see those decisions through. My own journey to parenthood was a difficult one that included multiple rounds of IVF, miscarriages, and more anxious and sleepless nights than I can count. That experience only strengthened my resolve that people should be able to control their reproductive destinies, no matter what decisions we make.

I urge members of this Committee to vote Ought To Pass on SB399. Whether a patient decides to become pregnant, to continue a pregnancy, or to seek abortion care, they should have access to quality, sensitive care in their own community, and in the way that best fits their needs.

Thank you for your time.



Julie A. Jenkins, MSN, APRN, WHNP-BC

1. Jenkins, J., Woodside, F., Lipinsky, K., Simmonds, K., & Coplon, L. (2021). Abortion with pills: Review of current options in the United States. *Journal of Midwifery & Women's Health*, 66(6), 749–757.  
<https://doi.org/10.1111/jmwh.13291>

207.299.6778 • jjenkinsNP@gmail.com

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Dickerson Family <dougjuneanthony@aol.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 10:24 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Jay Kahn; Becky Whitley; Harold French; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB 399-FN

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I am writing to you today to urge you to please keep the Fetal Health Protection Act as is and vote Inexpedient to Legislate on SB 399-FN.

You are in a position to protect unborn living babies from being murdered through abortion. At 24 weeks or more, those babies could actually survive outside the womb. Please protect those innocent babies from the murder of abortion and keep the Fetal Health Protection Act.

I suggest that you view pictures of all stages of life in the womb from conception to 9 months in the womb before birth. Once you see this, your only choice is to protect the unborn baby. It is the baby's right to life.

Abortion is the murder of a living baby in the womb. You have a responsibility to protect those living babies in the womb that are alive with a heart beat. No matter how the baby was conceived, the baby does not deserve to die because of the sins of one or more of the parents.

If you have your own children, think about the stages of pregnancy and how each baby is a miracle. Think about when the baby was moving inside the womb on their own and kicking. These babies are living human beings growing in the mother's womb.

I would suggest making information about adoption more readily available to expectant mothers, especially in the cases of rape or incest, etc. In these cases, the baby will be born at full term and be adopted by people who will love and care for that baby as their own. Adoption options and adoption agencies need to be more readily available to expectant mothers.

Once again, please keep the Fetal Health Protection Act as is and vote to Inexpedient to Legislate on the SB 399-FN bill.

Thank you and may God help guide you to make the right choice.

Sincerely,  
June Dickerson  
76 Longhill Road  
Raymond, NH 03077

Sincerely,  
Anthony Dickerson  
76 Longhill Road  
Raymond, NH 03077

Reply Reply All

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 10:29 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Abortion Laws

---

**From:** Theresa Scott <[theresajmscott@gmail.com](mailto:theresajmscott@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 9:42 AM  
**To:** Jeb Bradley <[Jeb.Bradley@leg.state.nh.us](mailto:Jeb.Bradley@leg.state.nh.us)>; James Gray <[James.Gray@leg.state.nh.us](mailto:James.Gray@leg.state.nh.us)>; Tom Sherman <[Tom.Sherman@leg.state.nh.us](mailto:Tom.Sherman@leg.state.nh.us)>; Becky Whitley <[Becky.Whitley@leg.state.nh.us](mailto:Becky.Whitley@leg.state.nh.us)>; Kevin Avard <[Kevin.Avard@leg.state.nh.us](mailto:Kevin.Avard@leg.state.nh.us)>; Cameron Lapine <[Cameron.Lapine@leg.state.nh.us](mailto:Cameron.Lapine@leg.state.nh.us)>  
**Subject:** Abortion Laws

Hello,

I am writing to ask you to not repeal SB 399, the Fetal Life Protection Act, or SB436-FN. This is my testimony.

I oppose abortion for many reasons, the primary one being that I believe that the human in the womb has as many rights as any other person. But I also oppose abortion because I believe it is a symptom of an appalling disdain for mothers that runs rampant in our culture.

As a young woman myself, with many friends who are currently pregnant or have just had children, I know how much support it requires to get through labor, delivery, and childraising. As the sister of two special needs children, I know how the addition of medical complications can make life harder.

I have seen the lack of support, education, and respect the medical system offers mothers and children. I have seen the struggles that come from a social support system that tries to help, but cannot provide everything. But I never met a mother who turned down an ultrasound. I never knew a mother who regretted the birth of her child.

Don't create laws that simply dispose of life. Fix the society that disposing of babies is an answer. Focus on helping mothers and children.

Thank you,

Theresa Scott  
267 Main Street,  
New London, NH 03257

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Michael Padmore <Michael.Padmore@nhms.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 10:34 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB399 Testimony in Support - Dr. Melissa Martinez-Adorno  
**Attachments:** Dr. Melissa Martinez-Adorno - SB399 Testimony in Support.mov

Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee,

Ahead of SB399's hearing this afternoon, I wanted to share a video from Dr. Melissa Martinez-Adorno in support of SB399. Please let me know if you have any questions or have trouble opening the file.

Thanks,  
Mike Padmore  
Director of Advocacy  
New Hampshire Medical Society  
7 North State St, Concord NH  
(603) 858-4744 (cell)  
michael.padmore@nhms.org

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** maxim ledoux <maximledoux@protonmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:01 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Cc:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn  
**Subject:** Oppose SB 399

Dear Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee,

Please reject SB399, relative to "repealing the fetal health protection act."

New Hampshire is the Live Free or Die state, not the Kill Babies state.

Max Ledoux  
Tuftonboro



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Liz Neville <liznevilleh@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:03 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB399, SB436-FN

Good morning,

I am writing to encourage you to vote against the following two bills in order to protect the sanctity of life: SB399 and SB436-FN. I urge you to reject abortion extremism and vote Inexpediate to Legislate on these bills. Thank you for your time.

Liz Neville

5 Isabella Drive

Londonderry , NH 03053

REJECT abortion extremism and vote Inexpedient to Legislate on these bills. Make sure to state your full address, and town/city in the signature.

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Daniel Richardson <daniel6\_22@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:18 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Cc:** David Watters; Kevin Cavanaugh; Cindy Rosenwald; Rebecca Perkins Kwoka; Lou D'Allesandro; Becky Whitley; Tom Sherman; Suzanne Prentiss; Donna Soucy; Jay Kahn; Marjorie Smith; Alexis Simpson; Amanda Bouldin; Katherine Rogers; Renny Cushing; Tom Lanzara  
**Subject:** In Opposition to SB 399 repealing the fetal health protection act.

Ref: Jan 19, 2022 Committee Meeting

SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE -

I write in opposition to SB 399. This Godless bill would betray every moral principle on which our common law was founded.

This fiendish bill would carve the heart organ out of our statutory morality. This bill would return to our shameful history of infant torture on demand.

Please cranial lance SB 399 and suck its life out with extreme prejudice.

Daniel Richardson, Nashua

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Paul St Martin <saintspg5@metrocast.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:37 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB 399

Please protect our babies who feel pain, have a heart beat, smile, hear Their Mother's voice, suck their thumb, and are human beings like you and me. Doctors are operating on babies the same age in their mother's womb.

I ask you in Jesus Holy Name to protect these innocent lives.

Sincerely,  
Gloria St. Martin of Wolfeboro

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:41 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB399

---

**From:** agapehomestead <agapehomestead@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:09 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB399

My name is Janna S Straughan, and I am against SB399. Please cast your vote against it. Thank you. My address is 80 Bean Rd, Moultonboro, NH 03254

Sent from my Samsung Galaxy smartphone.



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:42 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Please support SB 399 and SB 436

---

**From:** Mike & Janet Ward <jwardnh@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:04 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** re: Please support SB 399 and SB 436

Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee:

Both SB 399 and SB 436 recognize that respect for human rights includes respect for the right of a woman, in consultation with her doctor, to address the circumstances relating to her pregnancy. I sincerely hope that you will support these sensible, reality-based bills.

Legislators dealing with reproductive issues would benefit from reading LIFE'S EDGE: THE SEARCH FOR WHAT IT MEANS TO BE ALIVE (Dutton, 2021) by science columnist Carl Zimmer who also teaches in the department of Molecular Biophysics and Biochemistry at Yale University. This careful study of current science explains why it is not correct to say that life begins at conception. That is not a demonstrable fact no matter how often religiously-motivated individuals may claim that it is. In fact, in 1967, Nobel Prize-winning biologist Joshua Lederberg wrote that "There is no single, simple answer to 'When does life begin?'" In the first chapter of LIFE'S EDGE, the author gives a clear explanation of where science stands even as I type this message to you on the question of the beginning of life.

The circumstances of every pregnancy differ, but the rights of a woman whose body is the locus of that pregnancy, should be respected. SB 399 and SB 436 respect both scientific knowledge and the rights of a woman to make informed decisions regarding her pregnancy.

Thank you for your critical work on this most important issue.

Janet Ward  
Contoocook, NH  
603/746-4991

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:43 AM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Abortion Bills

---

**From:** Theresa Scott <theresajmscott@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 10:32 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Abortion Bills

Hello,

I am writing to ask you to oppose SB 399, the Fetal Life Protection Act, and SB436-FN. This is my testimony.

I oppose abortion for many reasons, the primary one being that I believe that the human in the womb has as many rights as any other person. But I also oppose abortion because I believe it is a symptom of an appalling disdain for mothers that runs rampant in our culture.

As a young woman myself, with many friends who are currently pregnant or have just had children, I know how much support it requires to get through labor, delivery, and childraising. As the sister of two special needs children, I know how the addition of medical complications can make life harder.

I have seen the lack of support, education, and respect the medical system offers mothers and children. I have seen the struggles that come from a social support system that tries to help, but cannot provide everything. But I never met a mother who turned down an ultrasound. I never knew a mother who regretted the birth of her child.

Don't create laws that simply dispose of life. Fix the society that disposing of babies is an answer. Focus on helping mothers and children.

Thank you,

Theresa Scott  
267 Main Street,  
New London, NH 03257

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** dpham9214@yahoo.com  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:56 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** I oppose SB399 & SB436

Dear Senate Judiciary,

I am deeply concerned and greatly oppose both bills, SB399 and SB436. Abortion is not a woman's right, it is not a human right, babies from the moment of conception are human beings. That is a scientific fact. Governments ought to protect the rights of every human being.

As a woman, as a New Hampshire born and raised resident, who obtained her licensure in medical laboratory science from Dartmouth Hitchcock within the great and beautiful state of New Hampshire, it is deeply appalling that these two bills could even be written.

Aborting and terminating the life of a human being in the womb, and dehumanizing that human being in order to justify the killing of human beings in the womb, should not be advertized, should not be promoted, should not be practiced by 'healthcare,' sanctioned by governments, and believed by any sane individual, who has the capacity to understand the basic truth that every fetus, zygote, and baby in the womb is indeed a human being with human rights. That is biological, scientific fact. Facts are truths in accordance with reality. No word or euphemism which mass media wants to promote can change the reality that the child is a human being with human rights that should be accorded to that child in the womb and after the womb.

I am a proud resident of this Granite state, 27 years old, biological female, who obtained her scientific medical degree from UNH and medical certification from a hospital from this state (class of 2016).

Please, for the sake of every New Hampshire resident, I plead will you to safeguard the first basic human right, which is life. If children in the womb will be dehumanized so that our society can continue to indulge in whatever form of recreational activity (rampant drug use or recreational sex) without any form of punitive recourse because things like 'woman's reproductive healthcare' (aka abortion, aka terminating and killing human beings in the womb) is being promoted and encouraging generations of New Hampshire residents to have ill-formed consciences with little to no understanding of basic consequences (ie: that sex is a reproductive act and the possibility of pregnancy should not be a surprise but a safeguarded miracle). If we allow these horrendous bills to pass, we will be among the states contributing to the downfall of our society through the breakdown of the family.

I oppose both bills strongly, and no contraception is not the answer either.

Please represent my voice and know that I am speaking on behalf of all the children who have died by abortion and on behalf of all the fathers and mothers, doctors, surgeons, administrators, and clinic personnel who regret their abortion and their involvement in it.

And I request that my email would be share at todays hearing.



Thank you for your consideration.

Diana Pham  
Resident of Manchester, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:03 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Abortion bill opposition

---

**From:** J L <jrlaverty@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:58 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Abortion bill opposition

To those in authority today, who are deciding for the unborn.

This is an important decision to be made today. The bill is in direct opposition to what the One who has given us authority over the next generation and for them to have those who are unborn have their fundamental right as human beings. It is not a choice to destroy what the Maker of heaven and earth has declared for his purpose in the lives of the nation which was considered a nation of principle of life and not death. I am asking for the decision of the law to enforce these unborn children to have the right to live in the same way you have lived with affirmation that they matter as much as we do.

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:37 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: SB399

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Elizabeth Gilmore <gdngirl@icloud.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:26 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB399

At 24 weeks, a fetus certainly has viability, so I would not support an abortion unless it were absolutely advised by the attending physician. What I fear, with the legislation that is currently in place, is that we are headed toward the type of ban that has been put in place in Texas, and that's a frightening prospect.

I want to make it clear that I do not support abortions being used as birth control. Women and men should take necessary steps to ensure that there are no unintended pregnancies and not rely on an abortion for dealing with a whoops! Every child should be a wanted child, who will be cared for emotionally, financially, physically, etc. It is a huge responsibility to bear and rear a child.

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:38 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Document opposing SB399

---

**From:** Marc Swenson <marc@firstossipee.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:18 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Document opposing SB399

To the Senate Judiciary Committee,

I am writing in opposition to SB399 which will be voted on Wednesday, January 19 at 1:00.

I am a United States Citizen and a pastor in the rural town of Ossipee, NH. In our Pledge of Allegiance we pledge that we are "One nation under God." Though God has slowly been removed from the public square, the majority of Americans still hold to Judeo- Christian values, one of them being, "Do not murder". The debate over abortion has centered on women's rights for so many years, but there is also the right of the baby which must be considered. In most instances if a fetus is allowed the chance to go to term, it will come out of the womb as a living, breathing, beautiful child.

How then is it that we as humans outside of the womb have the right to cut off the life of a human inside of the womb? How is it that we can hold, cuddle, embrace and be emotionally moved by a baby that is wanted and yet treat an unwanted baby as fetal tissue... medical waste? Nothing about the child or it's life has changed except our view of it. Life is life. It is not for us to take.

As a United States Citizen, I hold to the values of our founding fathers who fought for the inalienable rights of all Americans. To them it would have been anathema to take the life of the unborn. More importantly I hold to the truths of our Heavenly Father. In Psalm 139:13-16, Scripture says,

"For you created my inmost being; you knit me together in my mother's womb.  
I praise you because I am fearfully and wonderfully made;  
Your works are wonderful, I know that full well.  
My frame was not hidden from you  
When I was made in the secret place.  
When I was woven together in the depths of the earth,  
Your eyes saw my unformed body.  
All the days ordained for me were written in your book before one of them came to be." (Psalm 139:13-16)

God gives life, it is not for us to take. I vehemently oppose SB399 and hope you oppose it as well.

Sincerely,

Marc Swenson  
Ossipee, NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Cheryl Mousseau <mousseaux@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 12:56 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB399

Members of the Committee:

I oppose Bill SB399.  
As a member of the public, I am representing myself.

Cheryl Mousseau  
156 New Boston Rd  
Bedford, NH 03110



## DIOCESE OF MANCHESTER

January 18, 2022

Via email only

Senator Sharon Carson, Chair  
And Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee  
State House  
Concord, NH 03301

**Re: SB 399 (Repealing the Fetal Life Protection Act)**

Dear Senator Carson and Members of the Committee:

As the Director of the Office of Public Policy of the Roman Catholic Diocese of Manchester, and on behalf of Bishop Peter Libasci, I write to respectfully register our opposition to SB 399.

Prior to the enactment of the Fetal Life Protection Act, which restricts abortions after 24 weeks, New Hampshire law permitted abortions to take place essentially at any point up to birth. Thus, by repealing the new law, the legislature would be reinstating a system which failed to recognize the interests of children who have reached the stage of viability. It is worth remembering that in this regard the prior law went even farther than *Roe v. Wade* had, given that in *Roe* the Supreme Court recognized “the State’s important and legitimate interest in potential life” and determined that “[i]f the State is interested in protecting fetal life after viability, it may go so far as to proscribe abortion during that period, except when it is necessary to preserve the life or health of the mother.”

As you consider whether to reinstate a system that would remove protections for unborn children after 24 weeks, I hope that you will think about SB 399 in the context of the way we want society to treat those who are in any way voiceless. As Pope Francis put it in *Laudato Si*, his 2015 encyclical letter on the environment (or, as he subtitled it “Care for our Common Home”):

*Since everything is interrelated, concern for the protection of nature is also incompatible with the justification of abortion . . . ‘If personal and social sensitivity towards the acceptance of the new life is lost, then other forms of acceptance that are valuable for society also wither away.’ (Laudato Si, 120).*


It stands to reason that if we want our society to respect and value the child who is a refugee, or the child who is homeless, or the child who does not have access to health care, then we need to respect and value the child in the womb as well. If society decides that certain ones of us can be considered expendable, we should not be surprised if society treats certain ones of us as expendable.

Senator Sharon Carson, Chair  
And Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee  
January 18, 2022  
Page 2

Therefore, I respectfully ask that you vote ITL on SB 399.

Thank you for your kind consideration of our views.

Very truly yours,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'R. E. Dunn, Jr.', is written over the typed name and title. The signature is stylized and somewhat abstract, with overlapping loops and a long horizontal stroke.

Robert E. Dunn, Jr., Esq.  
Director, Office of Public Policy

525 Clinton Street  
Bow, NH 03304  
Voice: 603-228-2830  
Fax: 603-228-2464



61 Elm Street  
Montpelier, VT 05602  
Voice: 802-229-0002  
Fax: 802-223-2336

January 19, 2022

Senator Sharon Carson, Chairwoman  
Senate Judiciary Committee  
State House, Room 100  
107 N. Main Street  
Concord, NH 03301

RE: SB 399 repealing the fetal health protection act

Dear Chairwoman Carson and Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee:

Bi-State Primary Care Association and our members respectfully request SB 399 repealing the fetal health protection act be recommended ought to pass. Bi-State staff and our members will not attend legislative hearings due to the risk of COVID-19, and we thank you for the opportunity to submit written testimony to your committee electronically. Bi-State and our members write to you in strong support of SB 399.

Bi-State Primary Care Association (Bi-State) is a 501(c)(3) nonprofit organization, formed by two health and social service leaders in 1986 to advance access to comprehensive primary care and preventive services for all, with special emphasis on those most in need in New Hampshire and Vermont. Today, Bi-State represents 28 member organizations across both states that provide comprehensive primary care services to over 300,000 patients at 146 locations. Our members include community health centers, federally qualified health centers, area health education center programs, and Planned Parenthood of Northern New England. New Hampshire's 13 health centers serve approximately 112,000 patients at locations across the state, including in those districts represented by the senators on the Judiciary Committee.

Bi-State and our members strongly opposed the abortion ban included in the state budget last session. As you know, RSA §§329:43 – 329:50 ban abortion at or after 24 weeks with virtually no exceptions: The only exception included was for the physical health of the mother, but there were no exceptions for cases of rape, incest, or fatal fetal diagnoses.<sup>1</sup> The abortion ban also criminalized the services of doctors and included fines of up to \$100,000 and up to seven years in prison for simply providing care to their patients.<sup>2</sup> Statute now also requires that patients undergo an obstetric ultrasound prior to receiving abortion care, regardless of whether the pregnancy was the result of rape or incest or the stage of the pregnancy.<sup>3</sup> This is inconsistent

---

<sup>1</sup> See N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. §329:44 (2022).

<sup>2</sup> See N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. §329:46 (2022).

<sup>3</sup> N.H. Rev. Stat. Ann. §329:44 (2022).



with best medical practices and affects the ability of clinicians to deliver patient-centered, trauma-informed care.

Bi-State Primary Care Association and our members strongly believe patients have the right to make their own personal medical decisions in consultation with their health care providers. A patient and their provider know the real-life complexities that exist in the patient's life. It is impossible for statutes to consider and accommodate the difficult and unfortunate circumstances families find themselves in, and SB 399 acknowledges this. Pregnant patients deserve our compassion and support; they do not deserve intrusion or interference in the patient-provider relationship, or the determination of a family's circumstances that people outside of this relationship cannot understand.

Requiring patients to receive an ultrasound prior to accessing abortion care creates an unnecessary barrier to care. Ultrasounds cost money. While some patients can access ultrasounds at a reduced cost, they are not readily available or easily accessible for all. New Hampshire statutes now force a pregnant patient to endure a medical procedure that may not be necessary. This requirement eliminates the ability of clinicians to deliver trauma-informed care and instead shames patients and adds a barrier to abortion access.

The highly trained clinicians who treat complex pregnancies do not need or deserve the threat of criminal penalties and fines hanging over their heads while trying to do their jobs. Pregnancies are complex, and unfortunately sometimes result in serious complications later in pregnancy. Achieving the best possible health outcomes is paramount and depends on the skills and efforts of these trained clinicians. The abortion ban now in New Hampshire statute interferes with the patient-provider relationship and the ability of these clinicians to do their jobs.

For these reasons and more, we ask for your support of SB 399. We trust pregnant patients and their clinicians to know what is best for those pregnant patients. We ask you to do the same by supporting SB 399.

Sincerely,

Kristine E. Stoddard, Esq.  
[kstoddard@bistatepca.org](mailto:kstoddard@bistatepca.org)  
(603) 228-2830 ext. 113

Dear Chairperson Carson and the members of the Senate Judiciary Committee,

My name is Tamara (Tami) Chin. I am a Family Medicine physician that provides obstetric and gynecological care in NH, and I am here in favor of repealing the Fetal Health Protection Act.

Imagine you are pregnant, after trying for years with your partner. You're both ecstatic and have been counting down the minutes to your anatomy scan, performed at about 20 weeks gestation. You can't wait to see your sweet baby's profile and watch them wiggle and kick on the screen. In the coming days after your scan, you find out the devastating news that there were several abnormalities found on the imaging, and that you'll need to be seen for another ultrasound and seen by a Maternal Fetal Medicine specialist to find out more information. In the coming weeks, your life is turned upside down. Instead of picking out colors for your nursery and planning your gender reveal, you are now finding out that your baby cannot survive outside the womb and will pass moments after they are delivered.

Due to all of the appointments, repeat ultrasounds, and further testing, it is more than likely that you will be close to or past 24 weeks by the time you have all of the answers. A ban on 24+ week terminations could potentially prohibit you from making the choice that's right for you, because it only allows for terminations for "medical emergencies – namely those circumstances in which a pregnant woman's life or a major bodily function is threatened." Although it feels like your heart is breaking, the language of this bill does not allow your circumstance to be considered grounds for legal termination. The current ban assumes you are a monster who does not want their baby, as opposed to a grieving parent facing an impossible choice.

These are important conversations that should strictly occur between the patient and their provider. They are emotionally charged with countless "what ifs" and incredible grief. It is inappropriate for third party opinions to bear any weight on the outcome. Choosing to ban terminations at 24 weeks has no clinical significance and rather is timed so that it will be nearly impossible for families facing these decisions to have any choice but to carry a pregnancy for an additional 20 weeks knowing that they won't be taking their baby home and to put yet another barrier to care for anyone making this difficult decision.

Under the Fetal Health Protection Act, my patients will be forced into traumatic situations that no one should have to face. I urge you to take this into consideration and to push this repeal forward to protect the rights of our community members to make their own informed decisions about their healthcare.

Thank you for your time and for the work that you do.

Tami Chin, DO

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 5:11 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: HB 399

---

**From:** Cindy Rosenwald <cindy.rosenwald@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 1:34 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Cc:** Marina Altschiller <Marina.Altshiller@leg.state.nh.us>; Jessica Eskeland <jessica.eskeland@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Fwd: HB 399

Jenny,  
Please make sure Judiciary members see this testimony on SB 399.  
Thanks,  
Cindy

\*\*\*\*\*

Cindy Rosenwald  
Senate District 13  
Deputy Democratic Leader  
Finance, Way and Means Committees  
State House 120  
107 N Main St., Concord, NH 03303  
603.271.7587

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Pam DiNapoli <nhna.ned@gmail.com>  
**Date:** Wed, Jan 19, 2022 at 1:19 PM  
**Subject:** HB 399  
**To:** <Cindy.Rosenwald@leg.state.nh.us>

Good afternoon Senator Rosenwald  
Thank you so much for sponsoring SB 399 and your eloquent passionate introduction of this bill this afternoon. The NH Nurses Association had this discussion last night as part of our legislative town hall forum and as an association we are in support of your bill. I have encouraged individuals to sign in support of this bill and as an association we hope to craft a statement in support of a woman's right to choose  
Pam D

Pamela P DiNapoli, PhD, RN, CNL  
Executive Director  
25 Hall Street Suite 1E  
Concord, NH 03301  
(603)225-3783  
(603) 566-7407 Cell  
[nhna.ned@gmail.com](mailto:nhna.ned@gmail.com)

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 5:11 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** FW: Judiciary Bill SB 399

-----Original Message-----

From: info@loveinclr.org <info@loveinclr.org>  
Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 1:15 PM  
To: jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
Subject: Judiciary Bill SB 399

Cc:  
Sharon.Carson@leg.state.nh.us <Sharon.Carson@leg.state.nh.us>,

William.Gannon@leg.state.nh.us <William.Gannon@leg.state.nh.us>,

Harold.French@leg.state.nh.us <Harold.French@leg.state.nh.us>,

Becky.Whitley@leg.state.nh.us <Becky.Whitley@leg.state.nh.us>, Jay.Kahn@leg.state.nh.us  
<jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
Content-Transfer-Encoding: quoted-printable  
Content-Type: text/html; charset="utf-8"

```
<html xmlns:o=3D"urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" xmlns:w=3D"urn:sc= hemas-microsoft-com:office:word"
xmlns:m=3D"https://us-east-
2.protection.sophos.com?d=microsoft.com&u=aHR0cDovL3NjaGVtYXNmubWljb2Z0LmNvbS9vZj0=&i=NWRlZjl
hNDgzYjRhNTgwZDk2OTMxNjY0&t=Z0ZoWUJncUIDWUtZRMZUWThpZ3pzV3NCUWJISG9LbTY0QVRYTVMz
ZFJwOD0=&h=78460663e698463585fb549adb27f256
fice/2004/12/omml" xmlns=3D"https://us-east-
2.protection.sophos.com?d=w3.org&u=aHR0cDovL3d3dy53My5vcmcvVFIvUkVdLWh0bWw0MCI-PGhIYWQ-
PG1ldGE=&i=NWRlZjlhNDgzYjRhNTgwZDk2OTMxNjY0&t=N1djWlpsdGp6MGVHMzY0cVRDNIUxUDJ4NXVsO
XBWoe43ejVrTmthVzdrTT0=&h=78460663e698463585fb549adb27f256 ht= tp-equiv=3DContent-Type
content=3D"text/html; charset=3Dutf-8"><meta name= =3DGenerator content=3D"Microsoft Word 15 (filtered
medium)"><style><!--
/* Font Definitions */
@font-face
    {font-family:"Cambria Math";
    panose-1:2 4 5 3 5 4 6 3 2 4;}
@font-face
    {font-family:Calibri;
    panose-1:2 15 5 2 2 2 4 3 2 4;}
@font-face
```

```

    {font-family:"Bell MT";
    panose-1:2 2 5 3 6 3 5 2 3 3;}
@font-face
    {font-family:"Segoe UI Historic";
    panose-1:2 11 5 2 4 2 4 2 2 3;}
/* Style Definitions */
p.MsoNormal, li.MsoNormal, div.MsoNormal
    {margin:0in;
    font-size:11.0pt;
    font-family:"Calibri",sans-serif;}
.MsoChpDefault
    {mso-style-type:export-only;}
@page WordSection1
    {size:8.5in 11.0in;
    margin:1.0in 1.0in 1.0in 1.0in;}
div.WordSection1
    {page:WordSection1;}
--></style></head><body lang=3DEN-US style=3D'word-wrap:break-word'><div
-->cl=
ass=3DWordSection1><p class=3DMsoNormal>As a legal, voting resident of New Hampshire, residing at 193 Ossipee
Mountain Road in Moultonboro, NH, I, myself, oppose <span style=3D'font-size:11.5pt;font-family:"Segoe UI
Historic",sans-serif;color:#050505;background:#E4E6EB'>Judiciary Bill SB 399. </span=
></p><p class=3DMsoNormal><o:p>&nbsp;</o:p></p><p
>class=3DMsoNormal><b><spa=
n style=3D'font-size:12.0pt;font-family:"Bell MT",serif>Stephany F Cameron= ~<o:p></o:p></span></b></p><p
class=3DMsoNormal><span style=3D'font-family="Bell MT",serif>Clearinghouse
Coordinator<o:p></o:p></span></p><p class=3D=
MsoNormal><span style=3D'font-family:"Bell MT",serif>Love INC of the
MsoNormal>Lakes=
Region<o:p></o:p></span></p><p class=3DMsoNormal><span style=3D'font-famil= y:"Bell
MT",serif>=E2=80=9COffering a hand up, not just a hand out.=E2=80= =9D<o:p></o:p></span></p><p
class=3DMsoNormal><span style=3D'font-family:"B= ell MT",serif>(603)366-1525<o:p></o:p></span></p><p
class=3DMsoNormal><spa= n style=3D'font-family:"Bell MT",serif><o:p>&nbsp;</o:p></span></p><p clas=
s=3DMsoNormal><o:p>&nbsp;</o:p></p></div></body></html>=

```

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** David Love <sobah1990@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 5:49 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Fwd: Unplanned (movie) is now included in Amazon Prime Video

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Xindi Song <[xsong7@gmail.com](mailto:xsong7@gmail.com)>  
**Date:** Tue, Apr 14, 2020, 6:23 AM  
**Subject:** Unplanned (movie) is now included in Amazon Prime Video  
**To:** Board Nhrtl <[board@nhrtl.org](mailto:board@nhrtl.org)>

<https://www.amazon.com/Unplanned-Ashley-Bratcher/dp/B07VB9ZWZ1>

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** David Love <davidlove4rep@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 7:22 PM  
**To:** ~Senate Judiciary Committee  
**Subject:** Fwd: Scene from Unplanned movie

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Beth Folsom <Beth.Folsom@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Date:** Wed, Jan 19, 2022, 9:20 AM  
**Subject:** Re: Scene from Unplanned movie  
**To:** David Love <DavidLove4rep@gmail.com>

Thanks!

Representative Beth Folsom  
Clerk, Health, Human Services & Elderly Affairs  
Public Defense and Veteran's Affairs Commission on PTSD and Traumatic Brain Injury  
Brain and Spinal Cord Injuries Advisory Council

---

**From:** David Love <davidlove4rep@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 18, 2022 9:20 AM  
**To:** ~House Health Human Services and Elderly Affairs <HHSEA@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** Fwd: Scene from Unplanned movie

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** David Love <davidlove4rep@gmail.com>  
**Date:** Sun, Jan 16, 2022, 1:38 PM  
**Subject:** Re: Scene from Unplanned movie  
**To:** <cmcmahon55@gmail.com>

On Thu, Mar 18, 2021, 1:00 PM David Love <davidlove4rep@gmail.com> wrote:  
Forward to Jeb Bradley

----- Forwarded message -----

**From:** Xindi <xsong7@gmail.com>  
**Date:** Sat, Feb 13, 2021, 5:06 PM  
**Subject:** Scene from Unplanned movie  
**To:** davidlove4rep@gmail.com <davidlove4rep@gmail.com>

<https://youtu.be/m74-vT0u98w>

Honorable Colleagues of the Senate Judiciary Committee,

I write in support of SB399-FN. Throughout New Hampshire's history there is one thing that can be said for the reverence and precedent in a person's ability to, in pregnancy, have the ability to confer with their doctor and make medically based decisions based on the specific situations as they relate to a person's reproductive health and the unique situations they face. I have heard from constituents who worry that there is a chilling effect on the practice of medicine and health care at large should felony charges against medical professionals continue to be supported as law.

Not only would allowing this ban to remain in place stymie the reproductive healthcare profession in New Hampshire, it would also force individuals who seek care to seek it outside of New Hampshire. In the event that a person's financial status is limited or their access seeking care outside of New Hampshire does not exist, the repercussions would be and are profound.

Situations of patients who experience pregnancy health complications are incredibly complex, and when an individual seeks treatment for care as the situation presents itself and is denied care, outcomes can be dire.

Please support SB399-FN.

Sincerely,

Megan Murray  
Amherst NH



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Maris K. Toland <Maris.K.Toland@hitchcock.org>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 10:16 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Cc:** Michael Padmore; Canada,Liz  
**Subject:** Support SB 399 and SB 436

My name is Maris Toland and I am a resident physician in obstetrics and gynecology. I am writing this testimony to be included on the public record in support of SB399 and SB436 as I was taking care of patients and unable to be present in person at the legislative hearing today.

Your current legislation banning abortion later in pregnancy and mandating unnecessary tests sends a message of mistrust and derision to doctors who are already in short supply, burned out, and overworked. By threatening doctors with a felony for providing the most up-to-date, compassionate, and evidence-based medical options to patients you invalidate our training and our expertise, and to what end? You alienate the medical community, which will deter young physicians (such as myself) from choosing to practice in the state of New Hampshire. This is not a theoretical or abstract concept. I have a choice in where I practice when I finish my residency in just over one year. The legislative efforts of this state to restrict access to life-saving medical care, to take autonomy and choice out of patients' and out of doctors' hands, and to penalize providers such as myself for providing reasonable and safe medical treatment options in impossible situations saddens me and frustrates me to the point that I no longer desire to practice in this state. I do not want to see the repercussions of these actions on the constituents of New Hampshire. I want to provide all medically appropriate options to my patients without fear of legal ramifications. I know I am not alone in this. Pass senate bill 399 and senate bill 436 to repeal these inflammatory bills and protect the rights of patients. Please stop attacking the medical community. We are simply trying to provide the best care possible, and it should not be so hard.

Maris K. Toland, MD

She/her/hers

Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center

Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology

[Maris.k.toland@hitchcock.org](mailto:Maris.k.toland@hitchcock.org)

### IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THIS ELECTRONIC MESSAGE:

This message is intended for the use of the person to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is privileged, confidential, and protected from disclosure under applicable law. If you are not the intended recipient, your use of this message for any purpose is strictly prohibited. If you have received this communication in error, please delete the message and notify the sender so that we may correct our records.

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Renee Turner <RTurner@Anselm.Edu>  
**Sent:** Thursday, January 20, 2022 10:10 AM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Cc:** Cornerstone Action  
**Subject:** Re: Email sent yesterday

Thank you so much for your prompt reply !! I did check my outbox and draft messages. The Message is not there. I have 3 email accounts and checked all three. Thank you for letting me know that my vote was recorded and that there is still time to send a letter.

Best,  
RTurner

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jan 20, 2022, at 8:43 AM, Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us> wrote:

>

> Good Morning Dr. Turner,

>

> I apologize that you had an issue with the website. I want to reassure you though that that Committee has not voted on the bill and we are still accepting written testimony. We are not meeting again until Tuesday, so that is the earliest possible date we could take up the vote on it. So there is still time if you would like to try and send me testimony again. I would be more than happy to make sure the Committee Members get to see it.

>

> In looking at the sign-in sheets I do see your opinion recorded as opposed to SB399, so that is a part of the record. I cannot speak to how the Cornerstone site works, but I imagine it simply links to our pages.

>

> The way our website works is that once you sign-up on a bill we do not allow the same person to sign-up again, just to make sure the information on the sign-in sheet is accurate and we don't end up with duplicates by accident. However, that in no way should have been prevented you from emailing me again. I know you said that the email is not in your sent mail folder, but could it possibly be stuck in your outbox or maybe in drafts? I am certainly not tech savvy in anyway, but if the email is not in your sent mailbox, then I am not sure what could have happened to it. If you would like to call me on the phone we can absolutely try to figure out what went wrong. I know an issue a number of people have had, is when they copy my email address from the sign-in page they accidentally include the period at the end of the sentence, making the email address defective.

>

> I also want to let you know that you can watch the hearing here: [https://us-east-2.protection.sophos.com?d=youtube.com&u=aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cueW91dHVzSS5jb20vd2F0Y2g\\_djlqX1FGZ2FpTEsY TQ==&i=NWRlZjJhNDgzYjRhNTgwZDk2OTMxNjY0&t=T2VZdnVandNcUZEYlo0RVNqVndHVmwlenIrYk1zbWx ISWFNKzk1Z2FEbz0=&h=2d7ff29de2804080aae17e1fe6ccaad1](https://us-east-2.protection.sophos.com?d=youtube.com&u=aHR0cHM6Ly93d3cueW91dHVzSS5jb20vd2F0Y2g_djlqX1FGZ2FpTEsY TQ==&i=NWRlZjJhNDgzYjRhNTgwZDk2OTMxNjY0&t=T2VZdnVandNcUZEYlo0RVNqVndHVmwlenIrYk1zbWx ISWFNKzk1Z2FEbz0=&h=2d7ff29de2804080aae17e1fe6ccaad1) as we are keeping all livestreams on the Senate YouTube page.

>

> Here is the direct link to our sign-in page: <http://gencourt.state.nh.us/remotecommittee/senate.aspx> for your future use, and obviously you have my email for submitting testimony moving forward.

>

> I want to encourage to submit any testimony you would like because again we are still accepting it on the bill, and again I want to apologize for the issues you had with the website.

>

> If you have any other questions, or if you would like to chat about trying to figure out where the email went wrong, please feel free to call or email me at any time.

>

> Best,

>  
> Jenny  
>  
> Jennifer C. Horgan  
> NH State Senate  
> Legislative Office Building  
> Room 5  
> Concord, NH 03301  
> (603) 271-7875  
>  
> -----Original Message-----  
> From: Renee Turner <RTurner@Anselm.Edu>  
> Sent: Thursday, January 20, 2022 7:32 AM  
> To: Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
> Cc: Cornerstone Action <cornerstone@nhcornerstone.org>  
> Subject: Re: Email sent yesterday  
>  
> Hi Jennifer  
> I could not forward because I could not find it in sent mail and do not have a copy. That is why I emailed to ask if you had received it. I went through the state website for submitting my vote and it said I could submit a letter to your email address, which I did. I tried redoing but the site would not permit me. It said I had already registered my vote. I hope that at least that vote of opposition to the bill was counted.  
> I was out of town yesterday and could not attend or watch the session live from my computer.  
> I know that this was all time sensitive and at this point mute. I would like to prevent this from happening again. Perhaps there is a problem with the site? Perhaps there is a time constraint on using it? I would like to know for later. Perhaps I should have gone direct and not through the cornerstone site.  
> Thank you for reading and any clarification on the subject.  
> Sincerely,  
> Renee E. Delgado-Turner PhD  
>  
> Sent from my iPhone  
>  
>> On Jan 19, 2022, at 9:12 AM, Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us> wrote:  
>>  
>> Good Morning Ms. Turner,  
>>  
>> Thank you for reaching out on this.  
>>  
>> I do not see an email from you yesterday regarding SB399. Did you send it just to the members? Either way if you would like to forward your email to me, I would be happy to distribute it to the Committee Members.  
>>  
>> If there is anything further I can assist you with, please do not hesitate to let me know.  
>>  
>> Thank you very much,  
>>  
>> Jenny  
>>  
>> Jennifer C. Horgan  
>> NH State Senate  
>> Legislative Office Building  
>> Room 5  
>> Concord, NH 03301  
>> (603) 271-7875  
>>  
>> -----Original Message-----  
>> From: Renee Turner <RTurner@Anselm.Edu>

>> Sent: Wednesday, January 19, 2022 8:34 AM  
>> To: Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
>> Subject: Email sent yesterday

>>

>> Hi Jennifer,

>> I sent an email yesterday opposing SB399 but did not receive a copy of that sent mail. Did you get it?

>> Thanks,

>> Renee

>>

>> Sent from my iPhone

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** William Gannon  
**Sent:** Friday, January 21, 2022 11:55 PM  
**To:** monira4@myfairpoint.net; Sharon Carson; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Re: SB399 and SB436-FN

Done  
Senator Gannon

Get [Outlook for iOS](#)

---

**From:** monira4@myfairpoint.net <monira4@myfairpoint.net>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 19, 2022 11:22:10 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson <Sharon.Carson@leg.state.nh.us>; William Gannon <William.Gannon@leg.state.nh.us>; Harold French <Harold.French@leg.state.nh.us>; Becky Whitley <Becky.Whitley@leg.state.nh.us>; Jay Kahn <Jay.Kahn@leg.state.nh.us>; Jennifer Horgan <jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us>  
**Subject:** SB399 and SB436-FN

Good morning,  
I am writing to ask you to vote against the following two bills in order to protect the sanctity of life: SB399 and SB436-FN. I urge you to reject abortion extremism and vote Inexpediate to Legislate on these bills. Thank you for your time.

Respectfully,

Ken and Monica Rahilly

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Pris LePage <lepageplmom@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 22, 2022 10:03 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB399

Please vote Inexpedient to Legislate on SB 399.

Thank you.

Pris LePage  
Northwood NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Debbie Strodel <prov1434@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 22, 2022 12:46 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Inexpedient to Legislate on SB 399

Protect innocent human life, at all stages. I support Cornerstone's position on this. Thank you!

Debbie Strodel  
Wolfeboro NH  
[prov1434@gmail.com](mailto:prov1434@gmail.com)

***Pray as if everything depends upon God, act as if everything depends upon us.***

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Lillian Seitz <liliem@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 22, 2022 1:18 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** HB 399

Attention Senate Judiciary Committee Members:

Please vote Inexpedient to Legislate on SB 399. This is very important!

Thank you,

Lillian Seitz

Portsmouth, NH 03801



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Rhonda Tracy <rhondatracy37@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 22, 2022 1:38 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** HB 399

To the Senate Judiciary Committee:

Please vote Inexpedient to Legislate on HB 399. If this bill passed it will completely repeal the Fetal Life Protection Act, which became a law just a few weeks ago. Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely, Rhonda L. Tracy  
37 Mansfield Woods Way  
New Hampton, NH 03256

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Paul St Martin <saintspg5@metrocast.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 23, 2022 9:01 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB399

Dear Judiciary Committee ,

Please vote Inexpedient to Legislate on SB 399. Protect these precious babies from the torture of abortion. So many couples would love to adopt these babies. Remember they can feel pain, smile, hear Their Mother's voice, suck their thumbs, and have a soul.

The fifth commandment is Thou Shall not kill. Be a voice for these babies.

God bless you all,

Sincerely,  
Gloria St. Martin of Wolfeboro

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Charles Kruase <chaskruase@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 23, 2022 9:28 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB 399

Sent from Mail for Windows

I URGE THIS COMMITTEE TO VOTE INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE ON SB 399. THE ULTRASOUND REQUIREMENT IS THE ONLY ENFORCEMENT MECHANISM WITH EFFECTIVENESS. IT'S EXCLUSION PROVIDES A LOOPHOLE A TRUCK COULD PASS THRU.

EVERY LIFE IS PRECIOUS AND SCIENCE AND MEDICINE HAVE CERTAINLY PROVIDED THE PROOF NEEDED TO SEE THAT IT STARTS MUCH EARLIER THAN THOUGHT YEARS AGO.

THANK YOU,

CHARLES KRAUSE PETERBOROUGH,NH

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Erin McCahon <erin.c.mccahon@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 23, 2022 1:42 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Please vote ITL for SB-399

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee members,

As a resident of New Hampshire, a mother, and a believer that all life is sacred, I urge you to oppose SB-399 that would repeal the recently enacted Fetal Life Protection Act. Please consider the precious lives that it will save, and vote with your conscience. Thank you so much.

Erin McCahon

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Lauren Catano <lcatano@ymail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 23, 2022 1:56 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB399

Good day,

I am a voting citizen of New Hampshire (Loudon) and am writing to request that you vote Inexpedient to Legislate on SB 399. It is not in the best interest of the unborn or if the people of this State.

Thank you for your time and attention to this matter.

Lauren Leicht  
6 Hoover Circle Loudon

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Doug Dinnsen <ddinnsen@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 23, 2022 2:35 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Please vote Inexpedient to Legislate on SB 399

Why effectively repeal a law passed just weeks ago when the only change is that Planned Parenthood and other companies have campaigned against it?

Doug Dinnsen  
21 Hawthorne Dr  
Auburn, NH 03032  
603-854-9818



Virus-free. [www.avast.com](http://www.avast.com)

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Keri Lamontagne <kerilamontagne7@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 23, 2022 3:29 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB-399: Please Vote Inexpedient to Legislate!

Dear Senate Judiciary Committee,

I am writing to you to urge you to please vote against SB-399. I am aware that you will be voting on this bill soon and I am hoping and praying that you will make the decision to support and protect human life. I have never written to you before, but I cannot bear to think of the murdering of all these unique, precious individuals who do not have the ability to speak up for themselves. On behalf of all these unborn babies, please do NOT support the killing of innocent, vulnerable, precious human lives. Please think about all the babies who could be blessing our world with their presence and growing up to use their special gifts to make our world a better place. Please choose the loving option and vote AGAINST SB-399.

Sincerely,  
Keri Lamontagne

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Lero Family <4leros@charter.net>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 23, 2022 5:28 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE ON SB 399

To All Members of the Senate Judiciary Committee:

My wife and I urge you protect the pre-born and vote INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE on SB 399.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

William Lero, MD  
Jane Lero

6 Irene Drive  
Hollis, NH 03049



## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Kent and Sandy Gordon <kentsandygordon@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 23, 2022 5:43 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB399

Respected Senators:

Please vote inexpedient to legislate on SB399 which would repeal the Fetal Life Protection Act. NH needs to protect the lives of all its citizens, including the unborn!

Sincerely,

Kent and Sandra Gordon  
Dalton

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jeffrey Semprebon <jesemprebon@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 23, 2022 10:24 PM  
**To:** William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Please vote YES on SB399-FN to repeal the late-term abortion ban

Dear Committee Members:

The late term abortion ban is an unnecessary intrusion into women's health care that should be repealed. The law makes no exclusion for a fetus having severe, even fatal genetic defects (the reason for late term abortions, if not for the health of the mother), and would require women to give birth to non-viable babies. Forcing women to serve as wombs for the state in any circumstance just to placate a pack of religious fanatics' desire to control women is offensive to the Libertarian traditions of the state, and this law should be repealed.

Jeffrey E. Semprebon  
Claremont

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Jennifer Bobolia <jjbobolia@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 24, 2022 3:53 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Vote ITL on SB 399

Dear Judiciary Committee Members,

We are writing to request that you vote Inexpedient to Legislate on SB 399 to repeal the Fetal Protection Act. This law was just enacted a few weeks ago and there is yet to be proof that it is unduly burdensome or impedes appropriate medical care in any setting.

Thank you for your service to the citizens of New Hampshire,

James Bobolia  
Jennifer Bobolia, APRN, MSN, FNP-C  
26 Hampshire Drive  
Derry, NH 03038

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Sheila Gagne <sgagne126@comcast.net>  
**Sent:** Tuesday, January 25, 2022 9:13 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** SB399

Honorable Senators:  
Please vote Inexpedient to Legislate on SB 399 and protect life.

Thank you.  
Sheila Gagne  
126 Lakeside Dr  
Manchester, NH 03104



**Dartmouth-Hitchcock Medical Center**

One Medical Center Drive  
Lebanon, NH 03756-0001

Phone (603) 653-1910

Fax (603) 653-1906

Dartmouth-Hitchcock.org

**GOVERNMENT RELATIONS**

January 25, 2022

Chair Carson and members of the Senate Judiciary Committee – via email

Regarding SB 399, repealing the Fetal Health Protection Act

Dear Madam Chair,

Thank you for your consideration during the hearing last week on SB 399. Dr. Cass and I appreciated the opportunity to talk with you about some of the implications of the Fetal Health Protection Act as passed last year, including the risk that the imposition of criminal penalties on providers may have a negative impact on our ability to recruit maternal fetal medicine specialists and OBGYNs in general.

You had asked a question about the ability of California to recruit similar specialists in light of that state's own criminal penalties. What we did not clarify at the time, and I wanted to do so here, is that California's law pertaining to abortion is different than New Hampshire's law in at least two significant ways:

1. California's limitation on abortion does not rely on a fixed gestational age (as does New Hampshire's at 24 weeks) but rather is based on viability.
2. By definition, California law prohibiting abortion after viability would not be applicable to a non-viable pregnancy (e.g., anencephaly).

This is one of the pieces we at Dartmouth-Hitchcock have been advocating for since the earliest discussion of the Fetal Health Protection Act: inclusion of an exception where a fatal fetal anomaly is discovered after 24 weeks. Such exception would not only mitigate the risk to provider recruitment but would also enable a woman in this unimaginably difficult situation to make a health care choice in consultation with her provider closer to home.

I am happy to connect to discuss further. Thank you, again, for your consideration.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "M. S. Houde".

Matthew S. Houde  
Vice President, Government Relations  
Dartmouth-Hitchcock

**Jennifer Horgan**

---

**From:** Judy McCarthy <jesuslovesjudym@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 29, 2022 1:21 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Vote ITL on SB 399

I'm writing you today to ask you to vote Inexpedient to Legislate on SB 399.

Keep the Fetal Life Protection Act.

Sincerely,

Judith McCarthy  
4 Woodburn Dr, Litchfield, NH 03052

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Karen Borges <kbacknh55@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 29, 2022 9:11 AM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Please represent us and protect our preborn children by voting for SB 399. Karen Borges Hopkinton NH

1. **Contact Senators Carson, Gannon, and French** and thank them for protecting preborn children by voting Ought to Pass on SB 399 with the amendment.
2. **Contact your Senator** and ask them to vote yes on the Judiciary Committee recommendation of Ought to Pass with Amendment on SB 399.

1.

**Contact Senators Carson, Gannon, and French** and thank them for protecting preborn children by voting Ought to Pass on SB 399 with the amendment.

2. **Contact your Senator** and ask them to vote yes on the Judiciary Committee recommendation of Ought to Pass with Amendment on SB 399.





## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Alfred Lafferty <alafferty33@outlook.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, January 29, 2022 12:31 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Thank you for supporting HB399 to help reduce abortions.

>From Al Lafferty voter and long-time resident of Windham NH. May God bless you and yours. Thanks for your service to we the people.

Sent from my iPhone

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Julie Ledoux <[jbizzbuzz@gmail.com](mailto:jbizzbuzz@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 31, 2022 4:18 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan  
**Subject:** Re: Please THANK. . . .

Thank you!  
*julie's bizz-buzz*  
[jbizzbuzz@gmail.com](mailto:jbizzbuzz@gmail.com)

On Jan 31, 2022, at 4:17 PM, Jennifer Horgan <[jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us](mailto:jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us)> wrote:

Good Afternoon Mr. and Mrs. Le Doux,

I have passed your email on to the Judiciary Committee and Representative Folsom.

Please let me know if there is anything further I can do to assist you.

Best,

Jenny

**Jennifer C. Horgan**  
NH State Senate  
Legislative Office Building  
Room 5  
Concord, NH 03301  
(603) 271-7875

---

**From:** Julie Ledoux <[jbizzbuzz@gmail.com](mailto:jbizzbuzz@gmail.com)>  
**Sent:** Monday, January 31, 2022 1:39 PM  
**To:** Jennifer Horgan <[jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us](mailto:jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us)>  
**Subject:** Please THANK. . . .

Dear Jennifer,

Please thank Senators Carson, Gannon French and Rep Folsom for their efforts to defend life!!

Mr. and Mrs, Le Doux  
Hollis, NH  
*julie's bizz-buzz*  
[jbizzbuzz@gmail.com](mailto:jbizzbuzz@gmail.com)

## Jennifer Horgan

---

**From:** Caitlin Gray <caitlinringray@gmail.com>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 2, 2022 6:12 PM  
**To:** Sharon Carson; William Gannon; Harold French; Becky Whitley; Jay Kahn; Jennifer Horgan; David Watters  
**Subject:** Support SB 399 and SB 436

To Senator Watters and the Senate Judiciary Committee,

My name is Caitlin Gray, and I am a resident of Dover. I cannot attend tomorrow's hearings as I am teaching exceptional youth at one of the best schools in this state. As a private citizen and as I shared last month, I strongly support the two bills in front of you today, SB 399 and SB 436, as a result of my own deeply personal experience.

As a constituent and life-long resident of New Hampshire alongside you, it feels very important to me that I share my story of becoming a mother with you. On April 10, 2019, I had what many consider a late-term abortion.

My experience underscores that there are no one-size-fits-all pregnancies, and thus we shouldn't create one-size-fits-all laws that will criminalize compassionate, necessary care when other pregnant people face a diagnosis like my daughter's, and what could have been mine. SB 399 and SB 436 will protect our state's mothers, as they make some of the most personal and impactful medical decisions of their lives, and the lives of their family.

My husband and I found out twelve days after we lost our baby, that she had a chromosomal condition called complete triploidy. She had an extra chromosome on every single one - 69 chromosomes in total, instead of 43. There are no risk factors for this condition, and embryos with this condition are the cause of at least 20% of miscarriages by 8 weeks. It is 100% fatal. The chances of my girl living until our pregnancy ended at 20w4d was 1 in 250,000. That is a 0.000004% chance. There have only been a handful of cases where a baby with complete triploidy lived more than a couple of excruciating hours outside of it's mother's womb. Most are born early, if this is the case.

We didn't know anything was wrong until 19w3d, at the anatomical ultrasound. We had two previous ultrasounds - 8 weeks and 12 weeks. Nothing was detected then, although she was always measuring a week behind what I thought she should be at. Our girl always, always had a strong heartbeat. I felt her moving early. I took prenatal vitamins for almost two years in preparation for her (and my husband did too, actually), and stopped drinking alcohol the moment my husband and I decided to forgo birth control. We eat well and care for ourselves. We do nothing quickly; it took us eight years to marry and four additional ones to create this baby.

When we lost her, we didn't know what the cause of her multiple brain malformations, 2 chambered heart, single and dysfunctional kidney, cupped hands and overall restricted growth were. What we did know was that any life she had would be one full of pain, without even the comfort of sleep. She would not be able to be aware enough to receive love. She would have to undergo multiple surgeries, one immediately after birth, unless we immediately agreed to palliative care, which would shorten her life. Our maternal fetal medicine specialist and his genetic counselor were nearly in tears when they shared these surprise diagnoses and described what they were certain of based on the ultrasound. They did not push us to end the pregnancy, and were fully supportive with any decision we made. ANY decision would have the same outcome - our daughter would die. We did not have time, even in

liberal New Hampshire, to have all of the second opinions or amniocentesis that would fully paint the picture of her life to help us feel more sound to make any choice possible. No one would perform a termination after 22 weeks, and because there was nothing emergent medicially, it could be difficult to get those appointments in a quick enough time to get answers and still have options. In fact, it was difficult to get a procedure scheduled at all. We had to go to Boston for the two outpatient procedures.

We chose the option that provided one-hundred percent certainty that our daughter would not suffer, and we made that decision immediately. She did not have the capacity to feel pain at that point of development. She only knew her mama's womb - warm, soft, weightless and loved. I'm so grateful that was what her existence was.

In my position of crisis it did not fully occur to me that we were getting an abortion until I looked up my surgeon's name. These emotions: wave after wave of trauma, grief, despair, crisis and above all, a deep love for my daughter... these emotions were NOT what I was taught abortion was. But, the first link on Google for our world class Harvard-teaching, Medical Director of Gynecology surgeon's name was to a hit list for abortion doctors. Interestingly, enough, not a single medical professional in our entire experience with ending our pregnancy used the word "abortion." Only the legal paperwork I signed used that word.

Stigma, and by proxy some of the less restrictive laws in our country at the time, made this traumatic situation a thousand times more traumatic. It is devastating that the choice my husband and I made for our daughter granted her salvation from a life in which she would have only been able to know pain -- and it is devastating we may be forever judged for that. Additionally, had we had more time to make our choice, and fully understand the underlying cause of our daughter's many malformations and anomalies, we could have been better advised medically. If we had chosen to carry naturally, and/or chosen labor and delivery over dilation and evacuation, I would have been at higher risk for retaining tissue in the uterus. While this is normally okay, and expelled during the next menstrual cycle, it would not have been okay in our circumstance. The placenta of a triploidy pregnancy can become cancerous very quickly. I would have never been able to consider having a living child. Because the choice was made under crisis mode due to time constraints, we are LUCKY in the preservation of my health. We are LUCKY to have had our healthy son in July of 2020.

We are so very grateful we were able to make any choice at all, and encourage you to continue your efforts in ensuring the constitutional right any woman has to manage her healthcare. A medical crisis that is not immediately emergent should never result in a rushed decision.

I implore you to support these two bills. While not perfect, they will return some integrity and freedom to mothers, as they make the most critical medical decisions of their lives.

If you wish to speak further, I am happy to answer any questions you have, or speak directly with you about our experience at any time.

Thank you for your consideration and time,  
Caitlin Gray  
Dover, NH

Amendment to HB 1609-FN

1 Amend the title of the bill by replacing it with the following:

2

3 AN ACT relative to certain provisions of the fetal life protection act requiring an ultrasound  
4 examination.  
5

6 Amend the bill by replacing all after the enacting clause with the following:

7

8 1 Fetal Life Protection Act; Prohibitions; Ultrasound Examination Requirement. Amend RSA  
9 329:44, I to read as follows:

10 I. Except in the case of a medical emergency as specifically defined in paragraph III, no  
11 abortion shall be performed, induced, or attempted by any health care provider unless a health care  
12 provider has first made a determination of the probable gestational age of the fetus. In making such  
13 a determination, the health care provider shall make such inquiries of the pregnant woman and  
14 perform or cause to be performed all such medical examinations, imaging studies, and tests as a  
15 reasonably prudent health care provider in the community, knowledgeable about the medical facts  
16 and conditions of both the woman and the fetus involved, would consider necessary to perform and  
17 consider in making an accurate diagnosis with respect to gestational age, provided, however, that  
18 the health care provider shall conduct an obstetric ultrasound examination of the patient for the  
19 purpose of making the determination. *This subdivision shall be construed to require the*  
20 *performance of an ultrasound only if the provider either knows that the fetus has a*  
21 *gestational age of at least 24 weeks or is conscious of a substantial risk that the fetus has a*  
22 *gestational age of at least 24 weeks.*

23 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect upon its passage.

2022-0048h

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This bill construes the obstetric ultrasound examination requirement to determine probable gestational age prior to an abortion.

UNAPPROVED

# Voting Sheets



**Senate Judiciary Committee**  
**EXECUTIVE SESSION RECORD**  
*2021-2022 Session*

Bill # **SB399**

Hearing date: 1/19

Executive Session date: 1/26

Motion of: OTD Vote: \_\_\_\_\_

Committee Member	Made by	Second	Yes	No
Sen. Carson, Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Gannon, V-Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. French	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Kahn	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Whitley	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Motion of: O295s Vote: 3-2

Committee Member	Made by	Second	Yes	No
Sen. Carson, Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Gannon, V-Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. French	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Kahn	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Whitley	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Motion of: OTPA Vote: 3-2

Committee Member	Made by	Second	Yes	No
Sen. Carson, Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Gannon, V-Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sen. French	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Kahn	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Whitley	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

Reported out by: Carson

Notes: \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_  
 \_\_\_\_\_

# Committee Report

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
SENATE  
REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE

Wednesday, January 26, 2022

THE COMMITTEE ON Judiciary

to which was referred **SB 399-FN**

AN ACT                                repealing the fetal health protection act.

Having considered the same, the committee recommends that the Bill

OUGHT TO PASS WITH AMENDMENT

BY A VOTE OF:    3-2

AMENDMENT # 2022-0333s

Senator Sharon Carson  
For the Committee

Jennifer Horgan 271-7875

**JUDICIARY**

**SB 399-FN**, repealing the fetal health protection act.

Ought to Pass with Amendment, Vote 3-2.

Senator Sharon Carson for the committee.

# SB399-FN

## Bill Details

**Title:** (New Title) relative to certain provisions of the fetal life protection act requiring an ultrasound examination.

**Sponsors:** *(Prime)* Rosenwald (D), Watters (D), Cavanaugh (D), D'Allesandro (D), Perkins Kwoka (D), Whitley (D), Sherman (D), Prentiss (D), Donna Soucy (D), Kahn (D), Marjorie Smith (D), Simpson (D), Amanda Bouldin (D), Katherine Rogers (d), Robert Renny Cushing (D)

LSR Number: **22-2858**

General Status: **HOUSE**

House:

**Committee:** Judiciary

**Due Out:** 4/28/2022

**Status:** LAID ON TABLE

Senate:

**Committee:** Judiciary

**Floor Date:** 2/3/2022

**Status:** PASSED/ADOPTED WITH AMENDMENT

### Bill Docket

Body	Description
S	To Be Introduced 01/05/2022 and Referred to Judiciary; <u><a href="#">SJ 1</a></u>
S	Hearing: 01/19/2022, Room 100, SH, 01:00 pm; <u><a href="#">SC 2</a></u>
S	Committee Report: Ought to Pass with Amendment # 2022-0333s, 02/03/2022; <u><a href="#">SC 5</a></u>
S	Committee Amendment # 2022-0333s, RC 14Y-10N, AA; 02/03/2022; <u><a href="#">SJ 2</a></u>
S	Sen. Rosenwald Floor Amendment # 2022-0472s, RC 10Y-14N, AF; 02/03/2022; <u><a href="#">SJ 2</a></u>
S	Sen. Whitley Floor Amendment # 2022-0444s, RC 11Y-13N, AF; 02/03/2022; <u><a href="#">SJ 2</a></u>
S	Sen. Prentiss Floor Amendment # 2022-0440s, RC 10Y-14N, AF; 02/03/2022; <u><a href="#">SJ 2</a></u>
S	Sen. Soucy Floor Amendment # 2022-0452s, RC 10Y-14N, AF; 02/03/2022; <u><a href="#">SJ 2</a></u>
S	Ought to Pass with Amendment 2022-0333s, RC 13Y-11N, MA; 02/03/2022; <u><a href="#">SJ 2</a></u>
S	Sen. Bradley Moved to Reconsider the vote on: Ought to Pass with Amendment, MA, VV; 02/03/2022; <u><a href="#">SJ 2</a></u>
S	Ought to Pass with Amendment 2022-0333s, RC 14Y-10N, MA; OT3rdg; 02/03/2022; <u><a href="#">SJ 2</a></u>
H	Introduced 03/17/2022 and referred to Judiciary
H	Public Hearing: 04/13/2022 09:00 am SH Reps Hall
H	Executive Session: 04/14/2022 09:00 am LOB 206-208
H	Committee Report: Ought to Pass <u><a href="#">(Vote 21-0; CC)</a></u>
H	Removed from Consent (Rep. M. Smith) 05/04/2022 <u><a href="#">HJ 11</a></u>
H	Special Order SB399 (Rep. M. Smith): MF RC 159-173 05/04/2022 <u><a href="#">HJ 11</a></u>
H	Lay SB399 on Table (Rep. Osborne): MA DV 177-156 05/05/2022 <u><a href="#">HJ 12</a></u>

# Other Referrals

## Senate Inventory Checklist for Archives

Bill Number: SB399

Senate Committee: Judiciary

Please include all documents in the order listed below and indicate the documents which have been included with an "X" beside

Final docket found on Bill Status

### Bill Hearing Documents: {Legislative Aides}

Bill version as it came to the committee

All Calendar Notices

Hearing Sign-up sheet(s)

Prepared testimony, presentations, & other submissions handed in at the public hearing

Hearing Report

Revised/Amended Fiscal Notes provided by the Senate Clerk's Office

### Committee Action Documents: {Legislative Aides}

All amendments considered in committee (including those not adopted):

- amendment # 0048h       - amendment # 0295s

- amendment # 0333s       - amendment # \_\_\_\_\_

Executive Session Sheet

Committee Report

### Floor Action Documents: {Clerk's Office}

All floor amendments considered by the body during session (only if they are offered to the senate):

- amendment # 0472       - amendment # 0444

- amendment # 0440       - amendment # 0452

### Post Floor Action: (if applicable) {Clerk's Office}

Committee of Conference Report (if signed off by all members. Include any new language proposed by the committee of conference):

Enrolled Bill Amendment(s)


Governor's Veto Message

### All available versions of the bill: {Clerk's Office}

as amended by the senate       as amended by the house

final version

Completed Committee Report File Delivered to the Senate Clerk's Office By:

  
Committee Aide

8/12/22  
Date

Senate Clerk's Office ML