

Bill as
Introduced

HB 331-FN - AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

24Feb2021... 0188h

2021 SESSION

21-0409
08/10

HOUSE BILL **331-FN**

AN ACT relative to a forfeiture of personal property.

SPONSORS: Rep. Sylvia, Belk. 6; Rep. T. Lekas, Hills. 37; Rep. Bean, Belk. 2; Rep. Greene, Hills. 37; Rep. Aron, Sull. 7; Rep. Spillane, Rock. 2; Rep. A. Lekas, Hills. 37

COMMITTEE: Judiciary

ANALYSIS

This bill limits the conditions under which seized property may be transferred to a federal agency.

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in **bold italics**.
Matter removed from current law appears [~~in brackets and struckthrough~~]
Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Twenty One

AN ACT relative to a forfeiture of personal property.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 1 New Section; Forfeiture of Personal Property. Amend RSA 617 by inserting after section 12
2 the following new section:

3 617:13 Limiting Adoptions by the Federal Government of Property Seized Under State Law.

4 I. A state or local law enforcement agency shall not offer for transfer or adoption property,
5 seized under state law, to a federal agency for the purpose of forfeiture under the federal Controlled
6 Substances Act, Public Law 91-513, unless the seized property includes more than \$100,000 in
7 United States currency.

8 II. Paragraph I shall only apply to a seizure by a state or local law enforcement agency
9 pursuant to its own authority under state law and without involvement of the federal government in
10 the seizure. Nothing in paragraph I shall be construed to limit state and local law enforcement
11 agencies from participating in a joint task force with the federal government.

12 III. The state or local law enforcement agency shall not accept payment of any kind or
13 distribution of forfeiture proceeds from the federal government if the state or local law enforcement
14 agency violates paragraph I. All proceeds received shall be transferred and deposited to the state's
15 general fund.

16 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect January 1, 2022.

**HB 331-FN- FISCAL NOTE
AS INTRODUCED**

AN ACT relative to a forfeiture of personal property.

FISCAL IMPACT: State County Local None

STATE:	Estimated Increase / (Decrease)			
	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024
Appropriation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Revenue	\$0	Indeterminable	Indeterminable	Indeterminable
Expenditures	\$0	Indeterminable	Indeterminable	Indeterminable
Funding Source:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> General	<input type="checkbox"/> Education	<input type="checkbox"/> Highway	<input type="checkbox"/> Other

LOCAL:

Revenue	\$0	Indeterminable	Indeterminable	Indeterminable
Expenditures	\$0	Indeterminable	Indeterminable	Indeterminable

METHODOLOGY:

This bill limits the conditions under which seized property may be transferred to a federal agency. The Department of Justice indicates the bill prohibits state and local law enforcement agencies from entering into agreements with the federal government to allow the federal government to take custody of property valued at less than \$100,000.00 for the purposes of forfeiting that property pursuant to federal law. In the context of drug-related crimes, the Attorney General’s Office handles all forfeiture proceedings. This bill would increase the number of forfeiture proceedings the Attorney General’s Office must handle because state and local law enforcement would no longer be able to work with the federal government to forfeit money or property. It is not clear how many additional forfeiture cases this bill would create. Therefore, this bill’s fiscal impact is indeterminable.

The New Hampshire Municipal Association states this legislation bars state, county, and municipal law enforcement agencies from entering into agreements with federal agencies to allow the federal government to engage in “adoptive forfeiture”; the process by which the federal government brings a forfeiture case without being involved in building the case except where \$100,000 in U.S. currency is involved, and unless the seizure of the property took place under the auspices of an intergovernmental joint task force. The Association indicates the bill would, presumably, cut some portion of the incoming equitable share due to the restriction on how

municipal law enforcement agency may turn over property to the federal government. The impact on county revenue and expenditures cannot be determined.

AGENCIES CONTACTED:

Departments of Justice, Administrative Services and New Hampshire Municipal Association

Committee Minutes

SENATE CALENDAR NOTICE
Judiciary

Sen Sharon Carson, Chair
Sen Bill Gannon, Vice Chair
Sen Harold French, Member
Sen Rebecca Whitley, Member
Sen Jay Kahn, Member

Date: April 1, 2021

HEARINGS

Tuesday

04/06/2021

(Day)

(Date)

Judiciary	REMOTE	1:00 p.m.
(Name of Committee)	(Place)	(Time)

1:00 p.m. **HB 331-FN** relative to a forfeiture of personal property.

1:30 p.m. **HB 197** relative to the use of deadly force in defense of another.

Committee members will receive secure Zoom invitations via email.

Members of the public may attend using the following links:

1. Link to Zoom Webinar: <https://www.zoom.us/j/94956688896>
2. To listen via telephone: Dial (for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location): 1-301-715-8592, or 1-312-626-6799 or 1-929-205-6099, or 1-253-215-8782, or 1-346-248-7799, or 1-669-900-6833
3. Or iPhone one-tap: US: +13017158592,,94956688896# or +13126266799,,94956688896#
4. Webinar ID: 949 5668 8896
5. To view/listen to this hearing on YouTube, use this link:
<https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCjBZdtriRnQdmg-2MPMiWrA>
6. To sign in to speak, register your position on a bill and/or submit testimony, use this link:
<http://gencourt.state.nh.us/remotecommittee/senate.aspx>

The following email will be monitored throughout the meeting by someone who can assist with and alert the committee to any technical issues: remotesenate@leg.state.nh.us or call (603-271-6931).

EXECUTIVE SESSION MAY FOLLOW

Sponsors:

HB 331-FN

Rep. Sylvia
Rep. Aron

Rep. T. Lekas
Rep. Spillane

Rep. Bean
Rep. A. Lekas

Rep. Greene

HB 197

Rep. Yakubovich
Rep. Aron

Rep. Binford
Rep. Rhodes

Rep. T. Lekas
Rep. Piemonte

Rep. Ankarberg
Rep. Abramson

Jennifer Horgan 271-7875

Sharon M Carson
Chairman

Senate Judiciary Committee

Jennifer Horgan 271-7875

HB 331-FN, relative to a forfeiture of personal property.

Hearing Date: April 6, 2021

Time Opened: 1:06 p.m.

Time Closed: 2:37 p.m.

Members of the Committee Present: Senators Carson, Gannon, French, Whitley and Kahn

Members of the Committee Absent : None

Bill Analysis: This bill limits the conditions under which seized property may be transferred to a federal agency.

Sponsors:

Rep. Sylvia

Rep. T. Lekas

Rep. Bean

Rep. Greene

Rep. Aron

Rep. Spillane

Rep. A. Lekas

Who supports the bill: 11 people signed up in support of the bill. Full sign in sheet available upon request.

Who opposes the bill: 220 people signed up in opposition to the bill. Full sign in sheet available upon request.

Summary of testimony presented in support:

Representative Sylvia

- NH handles asset forfeiture through a criminal process rather than a civil process as is done on the federal level.
- This bill adds to NH statute by trying to plug the loopholes.
- The federal program of equitable sharing adopts seizures from the state and allows them to move through civil prosecution at the federal level.
- This bill would set a \$100,000 cash limit of cash seized.
- When a seizure is below \$100,000 it would stay in the state criminal process, requiring a criminal conviction in most cases.
- This is clear in excluding federal joint taskforce operations, so that the only seizures that would be prosecuted on the state level are those exclusively done by state officials and below \$100,000.
- Senator Gannon asked what percentage of the federal seizures go back to the district.

- In a federal civil asset forfeiture through equitable sharing 20% stays with the feds and 80% goes back to the department that seized the assets. In a state criminal asset forfeiture 45% goes to seizing agency, 45% goes to the Attorney General, and 10% goes to DHHS for drug rehabilitation services. Lee McGrath from the Institute of Justice has specific numbers.
- Senator French asked what the difference is between the federal and the state process.
 - The state process is generally a criminal process and federal is a civil process, meaning there does not need to be a connection to a crime on the federal level as it is a prosecution against the asset that has been seized.
- Senator French asked if when this is done on the federal level, an individual could lose their assets without being convicted of a crime and on the state they could not.
 - Correct. The bigger problem is that most seizures are below \$10,000 and at those levels it is cost prohibitive for a person to get a lawyer to fight for something like a \$5,000 car.
- Senator Kahn asked if this bill effects the state's criminal forfeiture process.
 - It is not, other than bypassing in some cases. One of the issues is that law enforcement is concerned about the loss of money. The policy of budgeting starts with the legislative body and we do not have executive branches funding themselves. Therefore, if we are going to budget for things police say they need then we should budget for that.
- Senator Kahn asked if this bill would affect the established distribution.
 - It will not. It just prohibits certain seizures from going through the federal process.
- Senator Kahn asked if anyone has tried to quantify the financial impact of this,
 - The last time this bill was in the Senate (HB614) it was turned into a reporting bill that would require the Attorney General to collect data on this from departments. Does not believe the Attorney General has proceeded with that yet.

Representative Judy Aron

- We need to put limits on what can and cannot be seized by law enforcement with regard to personal property.
- By setting the bar for forfeiture high and of a specific nature, we will make it more worthwhile for law enforcement to concentrate on real drug pins and high value targets, rather than those of lesser importance.
- 95% of forfeitures are below \$10,000.
- Disadvantaged members of society are hit particularly hard by these seizures.
- Need to consider those who are wrongly accused, especially when the federal government is involved.
- Need to take into consideration the poor individual who's \$5,000 car is seized by the feds and they are not convicted but can still never get their car back.
- Concerned with assets that are incorrectly seized and take forever to get back.

Lee McGrath (The Institute for Justice)

- This is a modest bill that builds on the work from the bill in 2016.

- The current practice of adoptions is inconsistent with Attorney General Session's guidelines.
- This bill focuses on roughly 12 seizures per year that constitutes \$72,000.
- The differential between the 80% from the feds and the state breakdown of 45% works out to only be \$25,000 a year.
- The work from the Committee in 2016 said the policy of NH is to charge and convict a person as a prerequisite to taking title of property through NH's civil forfeiture process.
- No one acquitted of the crime in NH loses their property in civil forfeiture.
- That is the due process difference between the state and federal level.
- In July 2017 Attorney General Sessions put out instructions that the US Attorney should not adopt cases that are less than \$5,000-\$10,000.
- The medium of those 12 adoptions is \$6,000.
- Senator French asked about the 12 cases.
 - The federal government has a system called CATs in which it reports on the number of forfeitures done under federal law. Broke down the number forfeitures under adoptions and those under joint taskforces. Over the last five years joint taskforces took in \$3.4million in 171 forfeitures. The other adoptions over three years were \$370,000 in 61 forfeitures. Annualizing that it is 12 forfeitures equaling \$72,000 a year. The federal government often does not engage in civil forfeiture. Title transfers under federal law is done through an administrative process. So the question of preponderance of the evidence is not even met.

Ross Connolly (Americans for Prosperity)

- This reform bill will protect citizens' property rights and require law enforcement to comply with state rules for forfeiture, preventing state and local law enforcement from taking assets for their own use without criminal proceedings or due process.
- A YouGov poll conducted in 2020 showed that 70% of Americans opposed the federal equitable sharing program.
- This must be corrected to ensure our justice system has the trust to carry out its critical business of keeping NH citizens safe.
- Senator Kahn asked if this is a matter of the timing of the forfeiture, the due process, and there is much more minimal impact than the total amounts shared by previous testifiers.
 - Correct. Removing joint taskforces was a compromise that significantly limited the scope of this bill.

Honorable Dan McGuire

- This will not cut law enforcement's funding significantly.
- Under federal equitable sharing 20% of the funds disappears to the federal government.
- Under the NH process 100% stays in NH.
- There might be some cases where the federal government would cause forfeiture to occur, but under state law it would not.
- If you are going to punish people they ought to have committed a crime first.
- NH has great forfeiture laws, and we should use them.

Honorable Joe Hannon

- The state Constitution mandates transparency and if there is money going to the federal government and then directly back to local law enforcement there is no accountability for how that money is spent.
- The purpose of the justice system is to rehabilitate, not to exterminate.
- Although drug courts are valuable, there is a lot of evidence that shows that individuals who are released after a short period of incarceration are 29 times more likely to die of an overdose related event than others.
- HB420 was very similar to this where it would take money away from the Attorney General's Office and give it instead to DHHS to actually help people.
- Money coming back to the state from the feds should be going to the General Fund to be distributed by the legislature.
- Suggests adding the language from HB420 to this bill.

Representative Max Abramson

- The federal and state Constitutions say assets should not be taken without due process of law, which means a person has a right to present their case in front of a jury.
- Believes in some instances asset forfeiture needs to be a punishment, but it has to be placed before a jury.
- A YouGov poll stated that only 26% of Americans said there should be civil forfeiture without a trial and without a criminal conviction. 67% of voters and 60% of republican voters said they would prefer a congressional candidate who was opposed to federal asset forfeiture.
- Although we are fortunate to have some of the best police in the country, there is still potential for abuse.

Summary of testimony presented in opposition:

Chief Michael Carignan (Nashua Police Department)

- The concept of drug forfeiture is to take drug dealers and punish them by taking the proceeds they make off selling drugs and putting those funds back into the criminal justice system through continued enforcement and education programs.
- The Nashua Police Department funds its drug unit strictly through asset forfeiture.
- If this bill moves forward, the department will not be able to continue to fund a drug unit.
- The Department gives \$25,000 a year to nonprofits for drug education, including the Child Advocacy Center (CAC) and Bridges.
- If the Department must narrow their budget under this, those nonprofits will lose out on those funds.
- Without a drug unit, the Department will be unable to participate in drug seizures without some other outside funding source.
- Seizing money from drug dealers enables the investigators to move up the supply chain.
- There is currently due process for every forfeiture that occurs: must prove it is drug dealing proceeds, and if the money cannot be accounted for along those lines then it does not get put into the kitty.

- The Department is audited every year on every forfeiture fund regarding how it comes in and what the Department does with it.
- Senator Whitley asked what the resulting lost revenue would be from this bill.
 - Varies from year to year. Some years it is \$45,000 and some years it is \$20,000.
- Senator Whitley asked what proportion goes to nonprofits.
 - \$25,000 goes to the local nonprofits. Over the last couple years, the CAC and Bridges each got \$10,000. The Department also gives to the Youth Council.
- Senator Kahn asked if the Attorney General provides any grant funding to assist case investigations.
 - No. Bigger cities like Nashua and Manchester have their own drug units, which are self-funded. The 45% that goes to the Attorney General is used to fund smaller towns that cannot fund their own taskforces.
- Senator Kahn asked if this is done in conjunction with the state.
 - Correct. Has officers in federal taskforces as well. In each state investigation the Department works in conjunction with the Attorney General's Office. A lot of cases will start local, but then end up being cross border, turning into a much larger investigation.
- Senator Kahn asked if because of the impact on the community, regardless of the funding, will the Department continue to pursue these efforts.
 - Disagrees. The Department's budget this year is already \$735,000 under the previous year. To add another more cuts exasperates that. This bill would absolutely impact the Department's ability to do street level drug investigations. In street level investigations, officers will often arrest the drug users and in 99% of the time they do not put them in prison, but instead require they get into a substance misuse program.
- Senator Gannon asked if seizures tried at a state criminal level court it must be tried beyond a reasonable doubt and on the federal civil level is only the preponderance of the evidence standard.
 - That's correct.
- Senator Gannon asked if it would be much harder to get convictions on the state level.
 - Correct.

Lt. John Cunningham (Manchester Police Department) (provided written testimony)

- If passed this bill would have a negative and lasting impact on the ability to operate Manchester's drug unit effectively.
- The passage of this will have a statewide impact.
- Manchester is a source city of statewide drug distribution and is a supply line for cross border drug traffickers.
- Manchester Police has seven taskforce officers assigned to federal agencies.
- Manchester's investigations result in legal seizures of assets from drug traffickers.
- This bill bars local agencies from entering into an adoptive forfeiture process with federal agencies.

- It is common for Manchester to conduct investigations into offenders who initially might not be considered high level drug traffickers and therefore those investigations do not include federal partners at the onset.
- Cannot always predict the seriousness of the drug trafficker until the investigation is well underway or even at the final stages.
- The seriousness of the offender is not based on the amount of cash seized.
- Majority of seizures are under \$100,000.
- Although NH has made a strong effort to combat the opioid epidemic, still seeing a sharp rise in fentanyl and crystal methamphetamine.
- Decreasing funding will result in a rise in crime with drug traffickers and users taking advantage of decreased enforcement efforts.
- This bill could shift the burden of drug enforcement from drug traffickers to taxpayers.
- Senator French asked how much Manchester would lose under this bill.
 - Varies from year to year. Since 2018 the Department has received \$330,000 from asset forfeiture funding through the feds.
- Senator French asked how much the police budget is.
 - The annual budget is \$28 million.
- Senator Kahn asked if the forfeiture money funds a position.
 - That \$330,000 comes directly back to law enforcement of operations.

Lt. Joshua Albert (Nashua Police Department)

- There is a high level of due process in the equitable sharing program.
- The facts of the case must be presented to a federal judge who decides whether the case should move forward.
- The federal program is fairly well vetted and has strict guidelines.
- Avenues of arrest and prosecution are not always available.
- In some cases the civil asset forfeiture is a particular mechanism due in large part to the ever-increasing sophistication of criminals.
- Criminals will hide assets in unwitting third parties or in shell corporations.
- Civil asset forfeiture allows law enforcement to seize assets they know to be of illegal drug proceeds.
- Nashua has five federal taskforce officers they partner with.
- Sometimes a case will begin on the federal level and then a federal prosecutor may not see the merits, which result in losing the state mechanism.
- Law enforcement needs the ability to adapt in cases.
- Senator Gannon asked if when a federal prosecutor is looking at a case they have already sworn to uphold the law, so they will not make something up or they would lose their license.
 - Correct. Every prosecutor has discretion in every case. A case may meet the weight limits or drug nexus of what a federal prosecutor is looking for, but there are times when that prosecutor may not want to prosecute. There are times at the state level, if an individual pleads to a lesser charge then that seized property is no longer able to be forfeited.
- Senator Gannon asked if the federal prosecutor wants to move forward with a case, do they then take it to a federal magistrate to find probable cause.
 - Correct. Probable cause has to be shown to seize the property.

- Senator Gannon asked if after the federal magistrate finds probable cause is there a hearing where the case has to be found beyond a reasonable doubt.
 - In the civil process under the federal guidelines, the burden of proof is raised to the preponderance of the evidence. At every stage both parties can represent their facts and position.

Major Christopher Bashaw (Rockingham County Sherriff's Office/Sheriffs Association)

- Has never seen any examples of abuses of asset forfeiture that have occurred in NH.
- This would devastate the ability to run county wide drug taskforces.
- NH's asset sharing formula does not provide the county with enough funds to effectively pursue drug dealers.
- As a result, generally pursue the federal adoption process.
- Under this bill, unless additional funding is provided for drug enforcement, law enforcement will not be able to effectively do their jobs.
- In approximately 95% of cases there are no assets to be seized beyond a few hundred dollars, and most of that is tied up in court for lengthy periods of time as buy money evidence.
- This bill would prevent NH law enforcement from bringing in federal officers as the case grows, as it would be seen as a circumvention of this bill.
- Not chasing the money but the money that does come back is vital in further drug investigations.
- When a taskforce receives a seizure amount, law enforcement has an obligation to make sure the supporting agencies receive a portion of the forfeiture depending on their efforts.
- If the sheriff's office receives a \$50,000 asset share, they have to also try to make whole all the partnering agencies that put up their time, assets and resources for the case.
- The federal process has mechanisms in place to allow defendants the ability to make the prosecutors prove there is a criminal nexus to the forfeitures.
- Concerned that the Attorney General's Office is not prepared and does not have the resources to manage the influx of cases this could result in.
- Senator Gannon asked about the concern of those who are wrongly accused not being able to get assets back.
 - Has heard stories of these federal seizures that happen in other parts of the country. NH law enforcement holds themselves to a higher standard. Has yet to see a specific example that speaks to this happening in NH. Has heard testimony concerning seizures of less than \$10,000 for those who are indigent, so is confused why this bill sets the limit at \$100,000.
- Senator Kahn asked if the county sheriffs know what the fiscal impact of this would be.
 - Did not put a comprehensive package on this. These cases fluctuate. Filled out a case on this last week and it would have been looking at a 25% difference in assets returned to the partnering agencies if this bill was in effect.
- Senator Kahn if the different ratios helps guide partnering agencies.

- It absolutely has an impact because law enforcement understands what resources are going to be available in each case. When partnering with the federal government, they bring a lot more assets and resources.

Chief Bryfonski (Association of Chiefs of Police/Bedford Police Department)

- The US Attorney General's Manual states *"when property is seized as part of an ongoing federal criminal investigation and the criminal defendants are being prosecuted in federal court, as a general rule, the federal seizing agency should commence an administrative forfeiture proceeding or forfeiture should be pursued civilly or criminally in federal court, regardless of whether the property was seized by a federal, state, or local law enforcement agency. Conversely, when a state or local agency has seized property as part of an ongoing state criminal investigation and the criminal defendants are being prosecuted in state court, any forfeiture action should generally be pursued in state court assuming that state law authorizes the forfeiture."*
- It is the policy of the US Attorney's Office in NH to require a state prosecution.
- The notion that property is being seized by people who are not being criminal prosecuted is patently false.
- Shared statistics regarding overdose deaths in NH.
- In addition to requiring a criminal prosecution, in the federal process there is a petition for remission or mitigation where anyone who has property that has been seized can petition the government for remission or mitigation of that seizure.
- When property is seized as proceeds or for facilitating drug trafficking and that property is transferred to the government, that is usually done as part of a plea bargain.
- All departments account for this money.
- This is dealing with drug traffickers and not an innocent car owner who lent their car to someone for the day.
- Senator Gannon asked how much money this means on average to Bedford.
 - It depends. Probably less than \$20,000 annually. Bedford is a town that is not necessarily as plagued by this issue as other cities, so it may not represent a serious issue for Bedford, it does for other towns.

Joy Barrett (Granite State Children Alliance)

- The Nashua Police Department provides funding to the CAC through the DAG program, and those funds supports the family support specialist position.
- These federal forfeitures allow local law enforcement to receive funds that can then be put back into their community.
- This bill will reduce the amount of funds going to local communities and instead direct it to the General Fund.
- This will reduce or eliminate municipalities' ability to allocate drug forfeiture money to local programs that serve the needs of the community.
- Senator Whitley asked if Nashua is the only CAC that receives funds from this.
 - The Granite State Children's Alliance operates four centers. The Nashua center is the only one receiving DAG funding through the police department. Without those funds would be unable to have that position at the center.

- Senator Whitley asked if this type community reinvestment is unique to NH.
 - It does happen in other states. There are different funding strategies for different CACs, from being state funded to those that are nonprofits.
- Senator Whitley asked if it is true that services for children and support services for families is critically under funded in NH, so any sort of funding is desperately needed.
 - There is an obvious need for funding for services around NH, especially for CACs working with victims of crime. There is certainly much more that could be done if funding was available.

Senator Kahn requested a letter from the Attorney General's Office on this program.

jch

Date Hearing Report completed: April 9, 2021

Speakers

Senate Remote Testify

Judiciary Committee Testify List for Bill HB331 on 2021-04-06

Support: 11 Oppose: 222 Neutral: 0 Total to Testify: 12

<u>Name</u>	<u>Email Address</u>	<u>Phone</u>	<u>Title</u>	<u>Representing</u>	<u>Position</u>	<u>Testifying</u>	<u>Signed Up</u>
Bryfonski, John J.	jbryfonski@bedfordnh.org	603-792-1330	A Member of the Public	NH ASSOC OF CHIEFS OF POLICE	Oppose	Yes	4/5/2021 4:29 PM
McGuire, Dan	danmcguire@gmail.com	16037824918	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	Yes	4/3/2021 5:40 PM
Cunningham, John	jcunningham@manchesternh.gov	603 792-5504	A Member of the Public	Manchester Police Department	Oppose	Yes	4/2/2021 12:15 PM
McGrath, Lee	Lmcgrath@ij.org	(612) 963-02	A Lobbyist	Institute for Justice	Support	Yes	4/3/2021 1:29 PM
Bashaw, Christopher	cbashaw@rockso.org	Not Given	A Member of the Public	NH Sheriff's Association	Oppose	Yes	4/5/2021 3:40 PM
Connolly, Ross	rconnolly@afphq.org	603.530.1151	A Lobbyist	Americans for Prosperity New Hampshire	Support	Yes	4/5/2021 10:02 AM
Sylvia, Mike	Mike.Sylvia@leg.state.nh.us	603.707.8594	An Elected Official	Belknap 6	Support	Yes	4/5/2021 5:46 PM
Abramson, Max	Max.Abramson@leg.state.nh.us	Not Given	An Elected Official	Myself	Support	Yes	4/6/2021 2:18 PM
Hannon, Joe	joehannon4nh@gmail.com	603.418.5531	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	Yes	4/6/2021 10:55 AM
Carignan, Michael	carignanm@nashuapd.com	693.809.1024	A Member of the Public	Myself and the Nashua Police Department	Oppose	Yes	4/6/2021 6:58 AM
Shortt, Ashley	amshortty@gmail.com	603.387.8832	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 11:55 AM
Shortt, Kevin	kwshortt@gmail.com	603.254.8832	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 11:56 AM
Ebert, Joseph	joseph.ebert@dos.nh.gov	603-419-8242	State Agency Staff	NH State Police	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 1:52 PM
Gamble, Ryan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/9/2021 4:53 PM
Sprankle, Jeff	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/13/2021 8:35 AM
Milligan, Michael	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 11:38 AM
Robichaud, Kimberly	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 12:10 PM
Robichaud, Wayne	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 12:11 PM
Montray, Paul	pmontray@hcso.nh.us	603.848.0369	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 12:13 PM
Hoebeke, Joseph	jhoebeke@hollisnh.org	603.465.7637	State Agency Staff	Hollis Police Department	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 12:51 PM
JEFFERS, SPENCER	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/9/2021 5:13 AM
Shagoury, Andrew	a.shagoury@roadrunner.com	603.651.8450	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 6:54 AM
Baron, Kevin	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 4:42 PM
maille, christine	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/7/2021 7:58 AM
Ore, Michelle	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 5:46 PM

Ore, Darryl	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 5:47 PM
Carpenter, Timothy	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 7:24 AM
Vandersyde, Bill	wvandersyde@merrimacknh.gov	603.420.1842	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 7:24 AM
Ellis, David	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 7:26 AM
Prentice, Thomas	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 7:30 AM
c, rick	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 7:33 AM
MacLeod, Kenneth	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 10:19 AM
Ventura, John	jventura@wakefieldnh.com	16039888298	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 10:20 AM
Mennella, Alexandra	amennella1@protonmail.com	16466109858	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	4/5/2021 2:08 PM
GOULD, ALAN	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 2:09 PM
Smith, Andre	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:51 PM
Marshall, Steven	smarshall@smarshall.us	603.340.0849	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:51 PM
Christopher, Hart	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 7:24 AM
Vogt, Robin	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 8:18 AM
Newcomb, Brian	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 8:20 AM
Drouin, Jacob	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 7:39 PM
Massahos, Karen	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 7:53 PM
Massahos, James	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 7:55 PM
Clay, Diane	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 7:56 PM
Merchant, Brendan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 9:19 AM
Sweeney, Earl	emsone@metrocast.net	603.267.8811	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 3:25 PM
Fisher, Gary	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 7:51 PM
Fisher, Brenda	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 7:53 PM
Noyes, David	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 11:38 AM
Douidi, Daniel	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 1:57 PM
Wilson, Matthew	dyeman00@yahoo.com	603-608-5528	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 9:56 AM
Jackson, Shannon	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 10:20 AM
chick, kenneth	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 10:26 AM
Pickowicz, Joel	jpickowicz@belmontnh.org	603.267.8351	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 10:28 AM
Richard, Domenic	Not Given	Not Given	An Elected Official	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 10:49 AM
Hunter, Leland	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 10:05 AM
McGhee, Kat	Kat.mcgee@leg.state.nh.us	Not Given	An Elected Official	Hillsborough 27 Hollis	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 10:05 AM
Varney, Shawn	Shawn_vrny@yahoo.com	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 10:08 AM
Douglas, Frederick	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 10:57 AM
See, Alvin	absee@4Liberty.net	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	4/5/2021 11:31 AM
Stevens, Tessa	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 11:32 AM
Daley, Michael	mdaley1949@comcast.net	603.770.5753	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 12:04 PM

Spillane, Chris	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 12:15 PM
Mike, Monahan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 1:41 PM
Plumer, Scott	splumer@bedfordnh.org	603.472.5113	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 1:42 PM
maille, tom	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 2:16 PM
Clark, Michael	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 4:28 PM
Sargent, Elizabeth	esargent@sheehan.com	603-568-0213	A Lobbyist	NH Association of Chiefs of Police	Oppose	No	4/2/2021 10:40 AM
Dolan, Joel	jpdolan113@gmail.com	603.235.6831	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/2/2021 11:41 AM
Riley, Scott	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 1:37 PM
Patten, Gregory	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 2:18 PM
Mefford, Connor	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 3:14 PM
Murphy, Brandon	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 5:24 PM
Hennessy, Jeffrey	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 5:29 PM
Wright, William	wwright@belknapcounty.org	603-729-1259	An Elected Official	Myself	Oppose	No	4/2/2021 1:11 PM
Aldenber, Allen	aaldenbe@manchesternh.gov	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Manchester Police Department	Oppose	No	4/2/2021 11:00 AM
Bryfonski, John	jbryfonski@bedfordnh.org	603.792.1330	A Member of the Public	NH Association Chiefs of Police	Oppose	No	4/2/2021 11:03 AM
Bean Burpee, Anthony	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 7:36 AM
Bean Burpee, Alan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 7:58 AM
Chase, Mark	mchasechpd@metrocast.net	603.253.3059	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 8:55 AM
Daigneault, Jordan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 10:11 AM
Wallin, Stephen	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 10:17 AM
Doran, Kyle	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 10:17 AM
Brewer, Richard	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 10:18 AM
Miranda, Manuel	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 10:39 AM
Keim, Jason	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 10:40 AM
Barry, Bill	Not Given	603.627.7189	An Elected Official	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 10:41 AM
Swinson, David	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 10:50 AM
McCabe, Shawn	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 10:51 AM
Morris, Chad	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 10:56 AM
Davenport, Adrienne	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 11:01 AM
Wheeler, Justin	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 11:09 AM
Mone, Kathryn	Klmone@comcast.net	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 11:13 AM
McGee, Michael	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 11:13 AM
Boylan, Peter	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 11:39 AM
Dow, Marsha	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 11:45 AM
Foster, Benjamin	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 11:49 AM
Antin, Luke	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 12:23 PM
Megowen, Robert	rmegowen96@gmail.com	603.244.8747	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 12:33 PM

Hurley, Michael	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 1:10 PM
Nocella, Matthew	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 1:14 PM
Weiss, Scott	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 5:50 PM
Johnson, Michael	Johnson_mljl@hotmail.com	512.827.6553	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 7:25 PM
Trudeau, Cheryl	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 11:20 AM
Massahos, Mike	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 11:23 AM
Pauley, Michael	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 11:33 PM
Arnold, Anthony	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 6:34 AM
Laurent, Tara	tlaurent@greenlandpd.us	603-431-4624	A Member of the Public	Greenland Police Dept	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 7:35 AM
Joffres, Guillaume	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 8:14 AM
Moore, Chris	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 8:20 AM
Choi, Andrew	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 9:02 AM
Maloney, Steven	smaloney@manchesternh.gov	603.396.6420	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 9:22 AM
Monier, Steve	srm47@comcast.net	603.387.8595	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 10:42 AM
Monier, Sandra	srm47@comcast.net	603.497.2000	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 10:44 AM
Thomas, Candice	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 11:55 AM
Mitchell, Taylor	t93mitch@yahoo.com	603.785.1834	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 12:27 PM
Axelman, Elliot	alu.axelman@gmail.com	732.674.2355	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	4/4/2021 3:38 PM
Chidester, Joel	Not Given	603-357-9813	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 7:13 PM
Brodeur, Paul	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 8:02 PM
Fisher, Nicolas	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 8:49 PM
libby, wilfred	wilfredlibby@gmail.com	941.628.3155	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 6:37 AM
Morency, Peter	Not Given	603-752-7325	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 7:41 AM
kilbreth, sean	seankilbreth@gmail.com	603.325.5835	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 7:43 AM
Parsons, John	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 7:50 AM
Blurton, Jeffrey	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:46 AM
Byam, Zachary	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:59 AM
Parsons, Colette	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:00 AM
Beauchemin, Shane	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:04 AM
DiGaetano, Scott	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:08 AM
Jacques, Christopher	christopher.m.jacques@gmail.com	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:09 AM
Goldstein, David	dgoldstein@franklinnh.org	16039989782	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:16 AM
Goldstein, Carol	d_b_goldstein@comcast.net	603-647-2456	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:18 AM
Lester, Scott	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:32 AM
Akerstrom, Stephen	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:38 AM
Mulet, John	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:39 AM
Toms, Joshua	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:40 AM

Bilodeau, Michael	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:41 AM
Bouchard, Peter	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:47 AM
Wilmot, Brent	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:51 AM
McFadden, Jeanne	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:54 AM
Connelly, Joanne	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:56 AM
Schultz, Lori	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:56 AM
Connelly, Andrew	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 9:57 AM
Rouse, Lance	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 10:32 AM
Auciello, Michael	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 10:44 AM
Warburton, Brian	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 11:22 AM
Sullivan, Patrick	Not Given	603-716-6808	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/2/2021 1:34 PM
Regan, William	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 6:50 AM
McDonald, Alexandra	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 11:23 AM
Patterson, Timothy	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 11:55 AM
Dennis, Charlie	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 1:09 PM
Drury, John	jdrury@farmingtonpd.com	603.755.2731	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 1:14 PM
Bowen, Kevin	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 2:32 PM
Nightingale, Jonathan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 2:38 PM
Pelton, Charles	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 2:40 PM
Moulton, Raechel	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 4:34 PM
Egan, Corey	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:32 PM
Salois, David	davidts@comcast.net	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 6:29 AM
Robito, Anthony	robitoanthony21@gmail.com	603.275.4487	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 6:37 AM
Estey, Lisa	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 7:52 AM
Aron, Judy	Judy.aron@leg.state.nh.us	8435907	An Elected Official	Myself	Support	No	4/6/2021 7:56 AM
Croft, David	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 8:59 AM
Krieger, Robert	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 9:04 AM
Joy, George	offgeorgej@yahoo.com	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 9:06 AM
Mitera, Glenn	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/6/2021 9:16 AM
Suokko, Timothy	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 8:31 AM
Poirier, Paul	Ppoirier@barnsteadpd.org	(603)269-810	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 8:42 AM
Berube, Aaron	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 8:59 AM
Jalbert, Janis	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:02 AM
Julian, Timothy	springcop@snet.com	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:06 AM
Levesque, Brian	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:09 AM
Levesque, Megan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:10 AM
Mangone, Steve	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:18 AM

Cataldo, Derek	drucataldo@gmail.com	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:19 AM
Osgood, Bradley	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:19 AM
Elizabeth, McLaughlin	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:20 AM
Newell, Brendan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:21 AM
Boyton, Ryan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:21 AM
Harrington, Nathan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:22 AM
Olsen, Ryan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:23 AM
Georgoulis, Nicholas	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:23 AM
O'Meara, Kevin	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:23 AM
Miranda, Kim	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:44 AM
PATERNO, CRISTINA	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:45 AM
DeJoy, Mike	Not Given	Not Given	State Agency Staff	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:48 AM
Naylor, Dylan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:49 AM
DuBois, Tom	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:49 AM
Bergeron-Rosa, Adam	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:51 AM
Gravelle, Bob	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:52 AM
Bergeron-Rosa, Andrea	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:54 AM
Sargent, Benjamin	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:56 AM
Robert, Bellenoit	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 10:00 AM
Batstone, Adam	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 12:45 PM
Mcdonald, Matthew	mjmcdonald1988@gmail.com	617.605.0074	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 12:50 PM
Mcdonald, Sarah	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 12:51 PM
Morales Lebreault, George	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 12:53 PM
Dias, Rogerio	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 6:56 PM
Feolr, George	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 9:34 AM
Wunderlich, Robert	chiefrhw@yahoo.com	803.759.0735	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 9:38 AM
Baiocchetti, Vinnie	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 9:43 AM
Reynolds, Charles	reynolds.charles@comcast.net	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 9:47 AM
Hankard, Stephen	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 10:58 AM
Hamelin, Garth	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/4/2021 4:18 PM
English, Joshua	jenglish163@gmail.com	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:03 AM
Skerry, Patrick	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:05 AM
Clay, Donald	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:05 AM

Aspinwall, Kyle	sw4566@comcast.net	603.582.6051	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:13 AM
Estey, Holly	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:18 AM
Estey, Michael	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:19 AM
Walters, Gregory	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:20 AM
Reams, Mark	mreams@amherstnh.gov	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:21 AM
Hackett, E Douglas	doug.hackett@cornishnh.net	603.543.0535	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:24 AM
Lougee, Margaret	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:24 AM
Roscoe, Michael	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:28 AM
lindbom, daniel	daniel.lindbom@comcast.net	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:28 AM
Manuele, Kevin	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:29 AM
Brace, James	j.brace@newbostonnh.org	603-487-2433	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:31 AM
Perrotti, Alexa	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:31 AM
Loui, Ken	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:31 AM
Jajuga, Matthew	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:33 AM
Garland, Ryan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:33 AM
Loui, Arlene	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:34 AM
Carter, Timothy	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:35 AM
Grant, Meghan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:36 AM
Emerson, Joan	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 9:37 AM
Cortese, Adam	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/3/2021 2:36 PM
Howland, Curtis	howland@priss.com	16035123414	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	4/4/2021 1:43 PM
Stacey, Cameron	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 2:04 AM
Connelly, Christopher	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 7:02 AM
Cobb, Emily	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:30 AM
Shields, Wayne	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	4/5/2021 8:31 AM
Albert, Joshua	albertj@nashuapd.com	Not Given	A Member of the Public	NH Association of Chiefs of Police	Oppose	Yes	4/5/2021 2:52 PM
Barrett, Joy	jbarett@cac-nh.org	603 864 0215	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	Yes	4/6/2021 12:47 PM

Testimony

Senate Judiciary Committee

April 6, 2021

HB 331-FN - Relative to a forfeiture of personal property

Thank you, Chairman Carson, and Members of the Judiciary Committee.

My name is Chris Bashaw, I am the Major at the Rockingham County Sheriff's Office, and I am here today representing Sheriff Massahos and all 10 Sheriffs of the State of New Hampshire Sheriff's Association to testify in opposition to this bill.

I will attempt to not duplicate any testimony given by other members of Law enforcement in opposition of this bill and appreciate your time.

It seems every legislative session, there is discussion or proposed bills restricting our abilities to use the federal adoption process. Each session we are presented with stories about perceived abuses that have occurred in other parts of the country, yet I have never seen any evidence that any type of abuse is happening in New Hampshire.

I currently oversee all the financial matters of the Rockingham County Sheriff's Office including both our State and Federal Assets forfeiture accounts.

We operate a county wide drug task force comprised of numerous town and cities within Rockingham County.

This bill would devastate our ability to continue operating effectively as we combat this ongoing epidemic. Unfortunately, the State of New Hampshire's asset sharing formula does not provide us enough funds to effectively pursue drug dealers that are poisoning our citizens. Because of this we generally pursue the federal adoption process. Our goal is deter and punish drug dealers who destroy our communities, and we need resources to accomplish those goals. Unless additional funding is provided specifically for drug enforcement we will not have the tools, training and equipment to effectively do our jobs.

I would approximate that on 95% or more of the cases that we handle, there are no assets beyond a few hundred dollars to be seized in connection with most of our drug arrests, and then most of that is tied up in court as evidence of buy money for lengthy periods of time. Many cases start out small and we need the Federal agencies to get involved to further the case by providing investigative resources, buy money for larger quantities of drugs to catch the suppliers. This bill would prevent us from bringing in federal agencies as the case grows.

Our local Chiefs of Police dedicate officers, overtime and other resources to our drug task forces, and for every seizure of an actual asset that can be used, there are dozens of cases that the only seizures are of dangerous drugs and the apprehension of criminal suspects.

These cases still involve tremendous amounts time, money, adjudication and other resources. The Sheriff's Offices and supporting agencies are never made financially whole from the few seizures that do occur, but we support these efforts because it is the right thing to do.

When a task force receives a seizure amount, we also have an obligation to make sure the supporting agencies involved receive a portion of the forfeiture depending on how much time, personnel and resources they have dedicated to the case.

Additionally, the communities that are willing to undertake this colossal task that involves high risk work, detail oriented investigations and specialized training should receive the lion's share of the seizures for their efforts and involvement so they can continue this important work.

The Federal process has mechanisms in place to allow defendants the ability to make the State/Federal Officers prove there is a criminal nexus to the forfeiture. Furthermore, the US Attorney's Office refuses to take on Forfeiture cases without a criminal case attached. Most cases submitted to the Feds are associated with Firearms, Drugs from over state lines, larger quantities of drugs and the ability of the Federal resources is much greater, not to mention if the case evolves, we continue to be part of the additional forfeitures, unlike the State

I also have concerns that the Attorney General's office may require additional resources to accommodate the influx of adoption cases that this bill would force to go through their office.

Ultimately, by limiting us to the State's adoption process and sharing formula, we will have less funds to conduct these investigations. If our investigations are curtailed it will reduce the number of seizures overall, eventually defunding and dismantling many drug units and task forces.

In closing, I ask that you find this bill **Inexpedient to Legislate**.

Thank you for your consideration.

Major Chris Bashaw
Rockingham County Sheriff's Office
NH Sheriff's association

Testimony
Senate Judiciary Committee
April 6, 2021

HB 331-FN – Relative to a forfeiture of personal property

Dear Senator Carson, Chair, and Members of the Committee:

My name is Josh Albert; I am a Detective Lieutenant with the Nashua Police Department. I have been a NH resident all of my life, and I have been in law enforcement for almost 20 years. I currently serve as the divisional commander of the Narcotics Unit. Seven of those years have been directly combatting the trafficking of narcotics within Nashua and New England. I am here to testify in opposition to HB 331-FN on behalf of the Nashua Police Department.

We feel this bill is unclear and does not make sense. Supporters claim that this bill is necessary in order to respect the due process standard put in place by the state, yet it has a \$100,000 limit. Why is there suddenly due process after \$100,000? The fact is that there is a high level of due process in the Equitable Sharing Program. The facts of the case MUST be presented to a Federal judge who decides whether or not there is probable cause to move forward. Both sides have an opportunity to present their case before a decision is made.

This bill, if passed, would seriously restrict our ability to fund a drug unit. Many state agencies have officers assigned to joint Federal task forces. Nashua has 5. We work many of our cases in conjunction with these officers because our drug supplies come from across the border. By taking the fight into source cities in Massachusetts, we keep it out of Nashua, and all the surrounding towns. This law would make it cost prohibitive to participate in a Federal task force. Because we have officers assigned, we would not be able to take any forfeiture through Equitable Sharing because of their distribution restrictions. The federal Equitable Sharing Program does not allow local agencies to take its portion and go through the state. It is a Federal program with well vetted and strict guidelines.

This bill would have a huge impact on all agencies throughout the state. The vast majority of our seizures are well under \$100,000. It would significantly shift the financial burden from the drug dealer to the tax payer. The Equitable sharing program seizes and redistributes money. That money goes back to NH agencies that conducted the investigations which allows us to reinvest in future drug investigations. Losing these funds would mean we would not have enough money to conduct undercover drug operations, buy safety and surveillance equipment, or function in a safe and efficient manner. It could lead to the eventual elimination of our narcotics unit. In Nashua last year, members of our narcotics unit were responsible for almost half of all the Department's narcotics arrests for the year. We regularly interview people, and they have repeatedly said that because of our enforcement efforts, they have had a very difficult time buying drugs in Nashua. They are forced to go to Lawrence. This high level

enforcement is also going on in Manchester, Concord, Hudson, Hillsborough County and the State Police. They, too, will not be able to function with such a purposeless reduction in funding.

According to the agencies that are required to report to the NH Intelligence Analysis Center, state and local agencies with established drug units account for a number of arrests. This demonstrates how important the local drug units are and how well they are being run. They can't afford to lose a majority of their funding. The results of their work are also a benefit to all of the surrounding smaller towns that may not have the resources to deal with the problem.

This bill, if passed, would force us to terminate all education and diversion programs currently supported by our use of Federal Equitable sharing funds. The Nashua Youth Council would lose \$10,000, the Child Advocacy Center would lose \$10,000, and we would no longer be able to use these funds to provide drug abuse prevention and awareness every year to the public and private students of Nashua. We would also no longer be able to lend financial support to the Hillsborough County Drug Court.

In closing, I ask that you find this bill **Inexpedient to Legislate**.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,

Lt. Josh Albert
Nashua Police Department



HB 331

Tuesday, April 6, 2021


Dear Committee Members,

My name is Joy Barrett; I am the Chief Executive Officer at the Granite State Children's Alliance (GSCA). The GSCA is a nonprofit organization that serves as the chapter organization for all Child Advocacy Centers in New Hampshire. Child Advocacy Centers provide forensic interviews for DCYF, Law Enforcement and prosecutors investigating allegations of child abuse and neglect. Along with forensic interview services, child advocacy centers provide supports for children and their caregivers to help them navigate systems and received the services they need to heal from their trauma. *Many children seen at CACs in New Hampshire have experienced abuse and/or neglect directly related to caregiver substance misuse.* Because they recognize the services CACs provide to children affected by substance misuse, the City of Nashua, through the Nashua Police Department, provides the CAC in Nashua with funding through the DAG program. This DAG funding supports the Family Support Specialist position at that CAC. Family Support Specialists work with children, and their caregivers, to ensure they get medical, mental health and other services they need to heal from their trauma.

Adoptive forfeiture allows local law enforcement agencies to work with their federal partners on asset seizure and forfeiture related to illegal drug trade regardless of when the federal agency is brought into the investigation. Adoptive forfeiture partnerships with federal agencies allows local law enforcement to receive a larger share of forfeiture monies back into their own communities. Eliminating adoptive forfeiture will place a larger portion of drug

forfeiture monies into the state's general fund and reduce the amount going directly back to the community from which it was seized. Each community in New Hampshire is unique and has unique needs related to illegal drug activity and substance misuse. Allocating a larger share of these funds back to the local communities ensures that they can be used locally to address issues related to the illegal drug trade and substance misuse as needed in that community. Reducing the amount of DAG funds that are returned to local communities will reduce or eliminate municipality's ability to allocate drug forfeiture money to local programs that serve the needs of their community, for example programs like Child Advocacy Centers. It is for this reason that we are speaking in opposition of HB331.

Regards,



Joy Barrett

Chief Executive Officer
Granite State Children's Alliance
72 South River Road, Suite 202
Bedford, New Hampshire 03110
603.864.0215

Learn more about KNOW & TELL at: www.KNOWandTELL.org

Learn more about NH's Network of Child Advocacy Centers at: www.cac-nh.org

Chief of Police
Allen D. Aldenberg
Assistant Chief
Steven A. Mangone



Commission
Scott R. Spradling, *Chairman*
Charlie Sherman
Manny Content
Eva Castillo
John G. Cronin

CITY OF MANCHESTER

Police Department

HB 331-FN – Relative to a forfeiture of personal property

April 6, 2021

Dear Senator Carson and Members of the Committee:

My name is John Cunningham; I am a Lieutenant with the Manchester Police Department Special Enforcement Division. I have been a NH resident for the past 25 years, and I have served my community in law enforcement for over 20 years. I am also a US Army veteran. I am currently assigned as the executive officer for the Special Enforcement Division that encompasses our Special Investigations Unit (our drug investigations unit) our Street Crime Unit (our drug interdiction unit) and our Anti Crime Unit (our violent offender unit). I am providing testimony in **opposition** to HB 331-FN on behalf of my department.

Our mission is to stop illegal drugs from entering our community, hold those that distribute them accountable and target violent offenders.

We are opposed to HB 331-FN because if passed, it will have a negative and lasting impact on our ability to fund drug enforcement operations. This bill cuts funding that was intended to support NH drug enforcement. If this bill should pass you would dramatically decrease our ability to operate effectively. Make no mistake – if law enforcement cannot be operationally effective drugs and crime will increase in our communities. By supporting this bill you will have a direct role in defunding drug enforcement operations and increase the supply of drugs into our communities.

The passage of this bill will not only affect the citizens of Manchester; it will have a much broader statewide impact. Manchester is a source city for statewide drug distribution and a supply line for cross boarder drug traffickers. We are constantly targeting drug traffickers and we work closely with our state, local and federal partners but it comes with a high cost. We routinely conduct joint investigations that protect Granite Staters and we have 7 task force officers assigned to various federal agencies that allow us to carry out our mission.

Michael L. Briggs Public Safety Building
405 Valley Street • Manchester, New Hampshire 03103 • (603) 668-8711 • FAX: (603) 668-8941
E-mail: ManchesterPD@manchesternh.gov • Website: www.manchesterpd.com

A NATIONALLY ACCREDITED LAW ENFORCEMENT AGENCY



Our federal task force officers expand investigations across borders, they share intelligence, enhance agency capabilities and target those distributing illegal drugs into NH. Our federal, state and local partners work jointly to better serve the Granite State. Our investigations result in the **legal** seizures of assets from drug traffickers. This law would make it cost prohibitive to participate in the federal task forces. This bill bars local agencies from entering into an “adoptive forfeiture” with federal agencies. This is extremely concerning because it is common for our agency to conduct investigations into offenders who initially might not be considered high level traffickers. These investigations often do not include our Federal partners at the onset or even during the initial investigation itself. We cannot often predict the seriousness of the drug trafficker until the investigation is well underway or even at the final stages. It is common to request assistance from our Federal partners during the later stages of the investigation and “adopt” the case once we can rationalize what resources are needed to further the investigation and what courts are best suited to hold drug traffickers and violent offenders accountable for their actions.

In order to properly fund drug enforcement we rely on the federal Equitable Sharing Program (asset forfeiture funding). Since 2018, the Manchester Police Department has received over \$330,000 from the federal Equitable Sharing Program. This funding allows us to operate a full time Special Enforcement Division. By slashing our funding you will adversely affect our ability to stop drug traffickers. We rely on these funds to be successful, we rely on these funds to operate and we rely on these funds to stop drugs from entering our communities. The fact is that we cannot be effective and protect Granite Staters without proper funding.

The federal process of asset forfeiture (Equitable Sharing Program) ensures a high level of due process for defendants. The process allows for facts to be presented before a Federal judge who determines the validity and probable cause for the case to move forward. Both the prosecution and defense are allowed the opportunity to present arguments in the case before a ruling is made. If the assets seized are determined to be from legitimate means then they are not subject to forfeiture. The Equitable Sharing Program is well vetted with strict guidelines that protect all parties. This bill has put a threshold of a \$100,000 limit. Does due process become less of a concern at \$100,000? This argument does not seem valid and/or to have merit. The vast majority of our seizures are under \$100,000. Please do not have a misconception that the seriousness of the offender is based upon the amount of proceeds seized during an investigation – this is a mistaken notion and a myth.

The Granite State has invested considerable money and resources to combat an opioid epidemic. While we continue to target a high surge of heroin/fentanyl we are now seeing a sharp rise of crystal methamphetamine throughout the state. Efforts are underway to address this growing trend, but they will be ineffective if you defund our drug units. This trend of crystal methamphetamine should be extremely alarming to the state and local communities due to nature of the drug and what it does to those who are addicted to it.

If you decrease our funding you should expect an increase in crime throughout our communities. Drug traffickers and drug users will take advantage of the decreased enforcement efforts and will commit more crime and bring more drugs into our communities. I would caution efforts to defund our enforcement resources as the drug

trade is based upon supply and demand. The more available the drugs become, the more crime the communities will face and the more resources will be drained.

If passed, this bill would have a negative impact on the majority of law enforcement agencies throughout the state. Should our federal asset forfeiture funding become unavailable the burden for funding drug enforcement could shift away from drug traffickers and to lawful tax payers. The Equitable Sharing Program seizes and redistributes money directly from criminals to NH law enforcement agencies. The majority of money goes back to NH communities and allows us to carry out our drug enforcement mission.

The Manchester Police Department Special Enforcement Division relies on our federal asset forfeiture funding to remain operational. This is the bottom line - restricting federal forfeiture funding would promote defunding our drug enforcement and allow drug traffickers to distribute more drugs into our communities. Please allow us to continue our mission and keep the drugs out of the communities and preserve the safety of the Granite State.

In closing, I ask that you find this bill **Inexpedient to Legislate**.

Thank you for your consideration. I would be happy to answer any questions you may have.

Respectfully,

Lieutenant John Cunningham
Manchester Police Department
Special Enforcement Division
(603) 792-5504
jcunningham@manchesternh.gov

Voting Sheets

Senate Judiciary Committee
EXECUTIVE SESSION RECORD
2021-2022 Session

Bill # HB 331

Hearing date: _____

Executive Session date: _____

Motion of: ITL Vote: 3-2

Committee Member	Made by	Second	Yes	No
Sen. Carson, Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Gannon, V-Chair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. French	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Kahn	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Whitley	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Motion of: _____ Vote: _____

Committee Member	Made by	Second	Yes	No
Sen. Carson, Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Gannon, V-Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. French	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Kahn	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Whitley	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Motion of: _____ Vote: _____

Committee Member	Made by	Second	Yes	No
Sen. Carson, Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Gannon, V-Chair	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. French	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Kahn	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Sen. Whitley	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Reported out by: Whitley

Notes: _____

Committee Report

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE

Wednesday, April 21, 2021

THE COMMITTEE ON Judiciary

to which was referred **HB 331-FN**

AN ACT relative to a forfeiture of personal property.

Having considered the same, the committee recommends that the Bill

IS INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE

BY A VOTE OF: 3-2

Senator Rebecca Whitley
For the Committee

Jennifer Horgan 271-7875

JUDICIARY

HB 331-FN, relative to a forfeiture of personal property.

Inexpedient to Legislate, Vote 3-2.

Senator Rebecca Whitley for the committee.

Docket of HB331

Docket Abbreviations

Bill Title: relative to a forfeiture of personal property.*Official Docket of HB331.:*

Date	Body	Description
1/9/2021	H	Introduced (in recess of) 01/06/2021 and referred to Judiciary HJ 2 P. 44
1/21/2021	H	Public Hearing: 01/26/2021 10:30 am Members of the public may attend using the following link: To join the webinar: https://www.zoom.us/j/94597513398 / Executive session on pending legislation may be held throughout the day (time permitting) from the time the committee is initially convened.
2/18/2021	H	Committee Report: Ought to Pass with Amendment #2021-0188h (Vote 18-2; RC) HC 12 P. 9
2/24/2021	H	Amendment #2021-0188h : AA VV 02/24/2021 HJ 3 P. 12
2/24/2021	H	Ought to Pass with Amendment 2021-0188h: MA VV 02/24/2021 HJ 3 P. 12
3/4/2021	S	Introduced 03/04/2021 and Referred to Judiciary; SJ 7
4/1/2021	S	Remote Hearing : 04/06/2021, 01:00 PM; Links to join the hearing can be found in the Senate Calendar; SC 18
4/21/2021	S	Committee Report: Inexpedient to Legislate, 04/29/2021; SC 21
4/29/2021	S	Inexpedient to Legislate, RC 20Y-4N, MA === BILL KILLED === ; 04/29/2021; SJ 13
4/29/2021	S	Sen. Bradley Moved Reconsideration on ITL, RC 23Y-1N, MA ; 04/29/2021; SJ 13
4/29/2021	S	Inexpedient to Legislate, RC 21Y-3N, MA === BILL KILLED === ; 04/29/2021; SJ 13

NH House

NH Senate

Other Referrals

Senate Inventory Checklist for Archives

Bill Number: HB331

Senate Committee: Jud

Please include all documents in the order listed below and indicate the documents which have been included with an "X" beside

Final docket found on Bill Status

Bill Hearing Documents: {Legislative Aides}

- Bill version as it came to the committee
- All Calendar Notices
- Hearing Sign-up sheet(s)
- Prepared testimony, presentations, & other submissions handed in at the public hearing
- Hearing Report
- Revised/Amended Fiscal Notes provided by the Senate Clerk's Office

Committee Action Documents: {Legislative Aides}

All amendments considered in committee (including those not adopted):

___ - amendment # ___ ___ - amendment # ___
___ - amendment # ___ ___ - amendment # ___

- Executive Session Sheet
- Committee Report

Floor Action Documents: {Clerk's Office}

All floor amendments considered by the body during session (only if they are offered to the senate):

___ - amendment # ___ ___ - amendment # ___
___ - amendment # ___ ___ - amendment # ___

Post Floor Action: (if applicable) {Clerk's Office}

- Committee of Conference Report (if signed off by all members. Include any new language proposed by the committee of conference):
- Enrolled Bill Amendment(s)
- Governor's Veto Message

All available versions of the bill: {Clerk's Office}

___ as amended by the senate ___ as amended by the house
___ final version

Completed Committee Report File Delivered to the Senate Clerk's Office By:

Jennifer Hogan
Committee Aide

8/16/21
Date

Senate Clerk's Office AK