Bill as Introduced

HB 141-FN - AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

7Apr2021... 0877h

2021 SESSION

21-0086 08/06

HOUSE BILL

141-FN

AN ACT

allowing a county to exempt its chief administrative officer from compulsory

participation in the retirement system.

SPONSORS:

Rep. Rung, Hills. 21; Rep. Edgar, Rock. 21; Rep. Petrigno, Hills. 23; Rep. Meuse,

Rock. 29; Rep. Cushing, Rock. 21; Rep. Levesque, Straf. 4; Rep. Weston, Graf. 8;

Sen. Sherman, Dist 24

COMMITTEE:

Executive Departments and Administration

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This bill allows the county commissioners to exempt the county chief administrative officer from compulsory membership in the state retirement system.

Explanation:

Matter added to current law appears in bold italics.

Matter removed from current law appears [in brackets and struckthrough.]

Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

.......

7Apr2021... 0877h

21-0086 08/06

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Twenty One

AN ACT

allowing a county to exempt its chief administrative officer from compulsory participation in the retirement system.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 Retirement System; Modifications; Counties. Amend RSA 100-A:22 to read as follows: 100-A:22 Modifications.

I. Membership in the retirement system shall be optional for officers and employees of the employer who are in the service of the employer on the date when participation becomes effective, and any such officer or employee who elects to join the retirement system within one year thereafter shall be credited with prior service covering such periods of prior service rendered to such employer for which the employer is willing to make accrued liability contributions. If the employer is unable or unwilling to make such contributions, a member in service may petition the board of trustees for periods of prior service rendered to such employer. Upon payment by the member of the amount determined in accordance with RSA 100-A:3, VI(b) and with the approval of the board, the member shall receive credit for such prior service. Thereafter, service for such employer on account of which contributions are made by the employer and member shall also be considered as creditable service. However, in no event shall prior service purchased as creditable service under this section be used as creditable service for the purpose of eligibility for medical benefits under RSA 100-A:52, RSA 100-A:52-a, or RSA 100-A:52-b.

II. Membership shall be compulsory for all employees entering the service of such employer after the date participation becomes effective. Municipalities and counties may, by action of their city council [ex], board of selectmen, or board of commissioners, exempt their chief administrative officer, at the time of initial hiring or appointment, from compulsory membership provided herein. The chief fiscal officer of the employer, and the heads of its departments, shall submit to the board of trustees such information and shall cause to be performed with respect to the employees of such employer, who are members of the retirement system, such duties as shall be prescribed by the trustees in order to carry out the provisions of this chapter.

2 New Paragraph; Retirement System; Employer Contributions; Certain Municipal and County Employees Exempt. Amend RSA 100-A:16 by inserting after paragraph II-a the following new paragraph:

II-b. In addition to employer contributions required under paragraph II, municipalities and counties that exempt their chief administrative officers from participation in the retirement system under RSA 100-A:22 shall make contributions at the percentage rates certified by the board of trustees for the compensation paid to such employees; provided that the percentage rates applied

HB 141-FN - AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE - Page 2 -

- shall only be for the employers' share of the unfunded accrued liability determined under
- 2 subparagraph II(e).
- 3 Applicability. This act shall apply to chief administrative officers exempted from participation
- 4 in the New Hampshire retirement system under RSA 100-A:22 who commence employment on or
- 5 after the effective date of this act.
- 6 4 Effective Date. This act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.

HB 141-FN- FISCAL NOTE AS INTRODUCED

AN ACT

requiring the department of environmental services to maintain a public registry of where certain fire suppressants have been used.

FISCAL IMPACT:

[X] State

[] County

[] Local

[] None

	Estimated Increase / (Decrease)					
STATE:	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024		
Appropriation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0		
Expenditures	\$0	Indeterminable Increase	Indeterminable Increase	Indeterminable Increase		
Funding Source:	[X] General	[] Education] Highway] Other		

METHODOLOGY:

This bill requires the Department of Environmental Services to maintain a public registry of where certain fire suppressants have been used. The Department of Environmental Services indicates this bill would require it to establish a publicly available registry for compilation and analysis of information related to the sites where Class B firefighting foam has been discharged, stored, captured, collected, managed or disposed. The Department would be required to adopt rules relative to the content and design of forms for reporting use of the firefighting foam. The Department states an additional 1/2 FTE position of Environmentalist IV will be necessary to create and maintain reporting forms and develop and maintain the electronic registry. As no funding is provided for staff time, it is assumed all costs would come from the State General Fund. The Department estimates the cost of the position will be \$51,000 in FY 2022, \$52,000 in FY 2023 and \$54,000 in FY 2024. Aside from the necessary position, the State fiscal impact is indeterminable. There would be no fiscal impact to county and local government. The Department assumes existing fire department staff in each town would assume responsibility for reporting Class B foam use to the Department.

AGENCIES CONTACTED:

Department of Environmental Services

HB 141-FN FISCAL NOTE AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE (AMENDMENT #2021-0877h)

AN ACT

allowing a county to exempt its chief administrative officer from compulsory participation in the retirement system.

FISCAL IMPACT: [] State [X] County [X] Local [] None

	Estimated Increase / (Decrease)				
POLITICAL SUDIVISIONS:	FY 2021	FY 2022	FY 2023	FY 2024	
Appropriation	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Revenue	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Expenditures	\$0	Indeterminable	Indeterminable	Indeterminable	

^{*}The New Hampshire Retirement System states it is not able to separate the fiscal impact of this legislation between county and local government, therefore the fiscal impact is shown together as political subdivisions.

METHODOLOGY:

This bill as amended allows counties to exempt their chief administrative officer (CAO) from mandatory participation in the New Hampshire Retirement System at the time of initial hiring or appointment. This option is currently available only to municipalities. In addition to the expansion of this option, municipalities and counties that prospectively exempt their CAOs from participation in the retirement system will now also be required to make contributions to NHRS for the unfunded actuarial accrued liability portion of the employer contribution rate based on the compensation of such exempted CAO. These provisions are applicable to those CAOs who commence employment on or after the effective date of the act. It is assumed the act will be effective July 1, 2021.

The New Hampshire Retirement System and the System's actuary report that up to 10 county CAOs are currently participating in the NHRS and could potentially be affected and there are an unknown number of municipal CAOs that may be exempt in the future. The payroll of such individuals is also indeterminable, therefore the fiscal impact is indeterminable

The expanded eligibility for county CAOs and the new required unfunded actuarial liability contribution for municipal and county exempted CAOs is expected to have a small impact on the actuarial status of the System and employer contribution rates (i.e. change of less than 0.01% of

payroll). Contribution rates would be expected to increase slightly for new CAOs participating, but would be expected to decrease over time to the extent that unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) contributions are collected on non-covered CAO payroll.

AGENCIES CONTACTED:

New Hampshire Retirement System

Committee Minutes

SENATE CALENDAR NOTICE Executive Departments and Administration

Sen Sharon Carson, Chair Sen John Reagan, Vice Chair Sen Denise Ricciardi, Member Sen Kevin Cavanaugh, Member Sen Suzanne Prentiss, Member

Date: April 7, 2021

HEARINGS

Wednesday	04/14/2021
(Day)	(Date)

Executive	Departments and	l Administration	REMOTE 000	9:00 a.m.
(Name of C	Committee)		(Time)	
9:00 a.m.	HB 141-FN		to exempt its chief administipation in the retirement sy	
9:15 a.m.	HB 369-FN	relative to the use therapists.	of physical agent modalitie	s by occupational
9:30 a.m.	HB 499	relative to the use	of face recognition technolo	egy.
9:45 a.m.	HB 128	adding notificatio	n requirements to the weath	ner modification statute
10:00 a.m.	HB 190	relative to financi	al disclosures by legislators	
10:15 a.m.	HB 283	proclaiming April	11, 2021 as Wentworth Che	eswill Day.
10:30 a.m.	HB 456	relative to the dis	closure of information from	vital records.

Committee members will receive secure Zoom invitations via email.

Members of the public may attend using the following links:

- 1. Link to Zoom Webinar: https://www.zoom.us/j/95957001117
- 2. To listen via telephone: Dial(for higher quality, dial a number based on your current location):
- 1-301-715-8592, or 1-312-626-6799 or 1-929-205-6099, or 1-253-215-8782, or 1-346-248-7799, or 1-669-900-6833
- 3. Or iPhone one-tap: +13017158592,,95957001117# or +13126266799,,95957001117#
- 4. Webinar ID: 959 5700 1117
- 5. To view/listen to this hearing on YouTube, use this link:

https://www.youtube.com/channel/UCjBZdtrjRnQdmg-2MPMiWrA

6. To sign in to speak, register your position on a bill and/or submit testimony, use this link: http://gencourt.state.nh.us/remotecommittee/senate.aspx

The following email will be monitored throughout the meeting by someone who can assist with and alert the committee to any technical issues: remotesenate@leg.state.nh.us or call (603-271-6931).

EXECUTIVE SESSION MAY FOLLOW

<u>Sponsors</u> :		•	
HB 141-FN			
Rep. Rung	Rep. Edgar	Rep. Petrigno	Rep. Meuse
Rep. Cushing	Rep. Levesque	Rep. Weston	Sen. Sherman
HB 369-FN		•	
Rep. McGuire	Rep. M. Pearson	Sen. Reagan	
HB 499			
Rep. McGuire	Rep. M. Smith	Rep. Berch	Rep. Hopper
Rep. T. Lekas	Rep. Merchant	Sen. Reagan	
HB 128			
Rep. Aron	Rep. Potucek	Rep. Allard	Rep. Howard
Rep. Sylvia	Sen. Ward	Rep. T. Lekas	Rep. Nunez
HB 190			
Rep. Gordon	Rep. Wall		
HB 283			
Rep. DiLorenzo	Rep. Mangipudi	Rep. Read	Rep. Cahill
Rep. Cushing	Rep. Petrigno	Rep. Harriott-Gathright	Rep. B. Griffin
Rep. Gay	Sen. Perkins Kwoka		
HB 456			
Rep. L. Ober	Rep. Umberger	Rep. McGuire	Rep. Leishman
Sen. Carson			

Cameron Lapine 271-2104

Sharon M Carson Chairman

Senate Executive Departments and Administration Committee

Cameron Lapine 271-2104

HB 141-FN, allowing a county to exempt its chief administrative officer from compulsory participation in the retirement system.

Hearing Date:

April 14, 2021

Time Opened:

9:04 a.m.

Time Closed:

9:22 a.m.

Members of the Committee Present: Senators Carson, Reagan, Ricciardi,

Cavanaugh and Prentiss

Members of the Committee Absent: None

Bill Analysis: This bill allows the county commissioners to exempt the county chief administrative officer from compulsory membership in the state retirement system.

Sponsors:

Rep. Rung

Rep. Edgar

Rep. Petrigno Rep. Levesque

Rep. Meuse Rep. Weston Rep. Cushing Sen. Sherman

Who supports the bill: Representative Diane Schuett (Merrimack – District 20), Senator Tom Sherman (District 24), and Eric Pauer.

Who opposes the bill: Andrew Wallace.

Who is neutral on the bill: Mary Karlon (NH Retirement System) and Becky Benvenuti (New Hampshire Municipal Association).

Summary of testimony presented in support:

Representative Diane Schuett

Merrimack - District 20

- Representative Schuett introduced HB 141-FN on behalf of Representative Rosemary Rung, who was not present.
- Rep. Schuett said that HB 141-FN as introduced dealt with the environmental effects of fire

- suppressants. During the House Executive Departments and Administration hearing, the Committee learned that the bill was premature, set the content of the bill aside, and adopted a non-germane, replace-all amendment.
- Rep. Schuett said that the need for the new HB 141-FN stems from Merrimack County being in a position where the head of the County's corrections system has had to step in as acting chief administrative officer, but is hesitant to do so fully, so as to not have to give up multiple decades of Group II New Hampshire Retirement System (NHRS) service in exchange for five to eight years of Group I NHRS service.
- Rep. Schuett said that she worked with Rep. Carol McGuire to develop the new language in HB 141-FN.
- Rep. Schuett said that the Committee heard pushback due to shorting some income from the NHRS Trust Fund. She said that she worked on Amendment 0877h, which the Committee added to HB 141-FN and passed the House on the consent calendar.
- Rep. Schuett said that HB 141-FN is a compromise, allowing a county's chief administrative officer to be added to the statute that allows a municipality to exempt their chief administrative officer from NHRS. At most, this could be 10 additional exemptions to the existing municipal exemptions. She said that if a county chooses to exempt their chief administrative officer, they would continue to pay the employer's portion to the NHRS Trust Fund for the duration of the employee's employment. She said that this would not be shorting the NHRS Trust Fund or the unfunded liabilities.
- Speaking for a second time, Rep. Schuett said that the amendment to HB 141-FN was designed so that it would not be retroactive and only apply going forward. She said that any entity that chose the option would be aware of the costs involved.

Summary of testimony presented in opposition: None.

Neutral Information Presented:

Marty Karlon

NHRS

- Mr. Karlon said that the exemption option for municipal officials has been in statute since
 the early 1970s. He said that in the theoretical exemption of a county official, it would be
 done at the time of the initial hiring by a vote of the governing body, documented, and
 transmitted to NHRS.
- Mr. Karlon said that the employer contribution would be on the unfunded accrued liability for a Group I member, at 11.17% of pay.
- Mr. Karlon said that there would be a minimal impact on NHRS due to the number of
 positions involved. Speaking in general terms, he said that if HB 141-FN passed, employer
 contributions received on the unfunded liability would be somewhat greater with more rapid
 amortization and, ultimately, reduced employer contributions. He said that it would depend
 on the magnitude of the additional contributions.
- Senator Carson, referencing a letter received from the New Hampshire Municipal
 Association (NHMA), asked about the current exemptions for municipal employees, the
 existence of employer contributions, and an unprecedent situation with the increase in the
 employer contribution rate in July of 2021.
 - o Mr. Karlon said that, without taking a position, it would be a new assessment on the

exempt positions. He said that the language is a request of House Executive Departments and Administration and NHRS has looked at it, basing it on an older bill related to the use of retirees for part-time work. He said that HB 141-FN without the employer contribution information did not have enough support in House Executive Departments and Administration to pass over cost concerns as positions are carved out. Conceptually, he said, an employer has a choice when they negotiate with a potential hire and could include the exemption in their benefits package. He said that the cost of employer contributions would be included in the total compensation for an individual before hiring them.

- Senator Carson, referencing the NHMA letter again, said that on July 1, 2021 the employer contribution rate will increase to 14.06% and the unfunded liability is 11.17%, 81.2% of the total pension cost. She asked if that removed any incentive to exempt the position.
 - o Mr. Karlon said that those number were correct, as NHMA had run the calculation by NHRS in advance.
- Senator Prentiss asked if there was an updated fiscal note for HB 141-FN, as the existing fiscal note referenced the pre-amendment bill.
 - o Mr. Karlon said that NHRS had received the request for an updated fiscal note last week, that it was due the day of the hearing, and that NHRS would be sending it to the Legislative Budget Assistant.

Becky Benvenuti

Government Finance Advisor, NHMA

- Ms. Benevuti said that she became aware of the HB 141-FN amendment after the last NHMA board meeting and will explain the amendment to the Board at their next meeting. She clarified she is not authorized to take an official position.
- Ms. Benevuti said that Senator Carson had summarized NHMA's letter well.
- Senator Carson asked Ms. Benevuti to reach out the Committee after the NHMA board
 meeting. She said that, on its face, HB 141-FN is giving counties the ability to exempt their
 chief administrative officer but the employer rate is still being paid. She said she did not
 know if that was a precedent to establish but that it was for the Committee to determine.

cml Date Hearing Report completed: April 16, 2021

Speakers

Senate Remote Testify

Senate Remote Testify

Executive Departments and Administration Committee Testify List for Bill HB141 or Support: 3 Oppose: 1 Neutral: 2 Total to Testify: 3

<u>Name</u>	Email Address	Phone	<u>Title</u>	Representing	Position	<u>Testifin</u> ;
Karlon, Marty	marty.karlon@nhrs.org	603.410.3594	State Agency Staff	NH Retirement System	Neutral	Yes
Schuett, Dianne	dianne.schuett@leg.state.nh.us	603.224.0314	An Elected Official	House ED&A, cosponsor of Am	Support	Yes
Benvenuti, Becky	bbenvenuti@nhmunicipal.org	603.230.3308	A Lobbyist	New Hampshire Municipal Association	Neutral	Yes
Sherman, Senator Tom	jennifer.horgan@leg.state.nh.us	271-7875	An Elected Official	SD24	Support	No
Wallace, Andrew	Not Given	Not Given	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No
Pauer, Eric	secretary@BrooklineGOP.org	603.732.8489	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No

intra01/senate/remoteComMgt/

Testimony

PresidentWendy Piper
Grafton County Commissioner

Vice President
Tom Tombarello
Rockingham County Commissioner

At Large Member
Toni Pappas
Hillsborough County Commissioner

At Large Member
Cathy Stacey
Rockingham County Register of Deeds



29 School St., Ste. 200 Concord, NH 03301

info@nhcouties.org

www.nhcounties.org

Immediate Past President
Chuck Weed
Cheshire County Commissioner

Treasurer
Suzanne Collins
Coos County Treasurer

Bylaws Chair
Chris Coates
Cheshire County Administrator

Friday, April 16, 2021

Senator Sharon Carson Chair Senate Executive Departments & Administration Concord, NH

Senator Carson and members of the Senate Executive Department & Administration Committee,

The NH Association of Counties would like to register its support for HB 14, allowing a county to exempt its chief administrative officer from compulsory participation in the retirement system, Part II. This section of the bill was brought forward last year and passed the House, however it fell victim to the COVID pandemic and never received a hearing in the Senate.

This bill would bring NH counties on par with the municipalities and state government CEOs, by allowing them to opt out of the NH Retirement System. The Association would like to increase the pool of candidates for recruitment opportunities for their CEO positions, a pool that is currently very limited. This bill would only allow this exemption going forward, it would not exempt any of the current county administrators from the Retirement System. The Association would like to create parity with the other divisions of government and ask for your support on Part II.

The Association is concerned that the bill in II-b would require county administrators who opt out of the Retirement System to continue to pay the unfunded accrued liability portion for the employer, which will be approaching 15% in the next year. Currently, municipalities and the State positions that opt out of the Retirement System are not required to pay the unfunded accrued liability, and therefore counties should not be burdened alone in this cost.

The NHAC would like to recommend that the Committee strike this section of the bill and move forward only with Part II that would allow counties to exempt their CEO from compulsory participation in the NH Retirement System and bring counties on par with the State and municipalities. Thank you for your consideration and please reach out to Kate Horgan, or executive director, with any questions at khorgan@dupontgroup.com.

Sincerely,

Wendy Piper President

NH Association of Counties



April 14, 2021

Honorable Sharon Carson, Chair Senate Executive Departments & Administration Committee State House Room 103 Concord, New Hampshire

Via Electronic Mail Only

Re: HB 141, allowing a county to exempt its chief administrative officer from compulsory participation in the retirement system.

Dear Senator Carson and Members of the Committee:

I write to express the concerns of the New Hampshire Municipal Association on HB 141. The non-germane amendment #2021-0877h was offered at the end of March, after our last Board of Directors' meeting. We will be sharing and explaining the effects of the bill to our Board at our meeting this Friday. However, at this writing, although we are not authorized to express an official position on the bill, I would like to offer the following information.

HB 141 would require a municipality (and county) which makes the decision to exempt its "chief administrative officer" from the NHRS to make annual contributions to the retirement system equal to the percentage rate for the employers' share of the unfunded accrued liability for that position. Requiring certain employers (counties and municipalities) in this multi-employer plan to make employer contributions for exempt employees is unprecedented. Currently, no employer contributions are required for a municipality's chief operating officer position that is exempt from participation in the NHRS. This bill would create a new cost to any municipalities (or counties) who make this decision in the future. This is concerning, because it comes at the same time the overall employer contribution rates are set to increase approximately 20 percent effective July 1, 2022.

This bill creates further concern because a municipality (or county) would presumably be making such a business-type decision in the best overall economic interest of its community; and by exempting this position, the overall retirement system costs for "future benefits" would be reduced—providing a cost benefit to all participating employers. On the other hand, requiring municipalities (and counties) to make continued payments equivalent to the unfunded accrued liability for these exempt employees would impose significant new costs on those individual entities, while providing very minimal benefit to the overall retirement system.

Honorable Sharon Carson, Chair April 14, 2021 Page 2 of 2

To put this into perspective, I offer the following information and example using the rates published by the New Hampshire Retirement System effective July 1, 2021. The employer contribution rate required for a chief administrative officer of a political subdivision is 14.06% of payroll. The unfunded accrued liability is 11.17% of payroll (81.2% of total pension cost). If the chief administrative officer's total salary amounted to \$110,000, the total employer contribution amount would be \$15,466; and the unfunded accrued liability amount would be \$12,287—nearly removing any incentive to the municipality or county to exempt this position.

Again, NHMA does not currently have a position on this bill, but we would be happy to follow up with the Committee immediately following our Board meeting on Friday.

Sincerely,

Becky I. Benvenuti

Government Finance Advisor

Becky Benvenuti



April 16, 2021

Honorable Sharon Carson, Chair Senate Executive Departments & Administration Committee State House Room 103 Concord, New Hampshire

Via Electronic Mail Only

Re: HB 141, allowing a county to exempt its chief administrative officer from compulsory participation in the retirement system.

Dear Senator Carson and Members of the Committee:

As requested at the HB 141 hearing on Tuesday, this is to report that the Board of Directors of NHMA, following its review at its meeting this morning, voted to oppose HB 141. Accordingly, please accept this letter in opposition to the bill.

As previously discussed, HB 141 would require a municipality (and county) which makes the decision to exempt its "chief administrative officer" from the NHRS to make annual contributions to the retirement system equal to the percentage rate for the employers' share of the unfunded accrued liability for that position. Currently, no employer contributions are required for a municipality's chief administrator position that is exempt from participation in the NHRS. The cost to contribute to the unfunded accrued liability is 81.2 percent of the total contribution (see example below). Due to this significant cost, this bill would effectively eliminate any financial, business-type incentive for a municipality to be able to attract chief administrative officers from other organizations or states who may already be participating in a non-NHRS retirement plan and seeking a similar employer contribution amount for the existing plan.

Again, requiring municipalities and counties in this multi-employer plan to make employer contributions for exempt employees is unprecedented. This bill would create a <u>new cost</u> to any municipalities (or counties) who make this decision in the future. This is concerning, because it comes at the same time the overall employer contribution rates are set to increase approximately 20 percent effective July 1, 2022.

\$110,000 - Example salary of chief administrative officer (CA)

\$15,466 - Full employer contribution (14.06% rate effective July 1, 2022)

\$12,287 - Contribution for "exempt" CAO (unfunded accrued liability 11.17% of payroll, or 81.2% of total pension costs).

Honorable Sharon Carson, Chair April 16, 2021 Page 2 of 2

Unfortunately, this bill, while solving a problem for a unique circumstance in one county, has unintended consequences for all municipalities and counties.

Thank you for the opportunity to follow up with the committee following today's board meeting. We respectfully request that you vote this bill inexpedient to legislate.

Sincerely,

Becky I. Benvenuti

Government Finance Advisor

Becky Benveneti

Voting Sheets

Senate Executive Departments and Administration Committee

 $\begin{array}{c} {\tt EXECUTIVE~SESSION~RECORD} \\ {\tt 2021~Session} \end{array}$

					Bill	#HB	141-FN
Hearing date: 4-14-21							
Executive Session date: 4-18-	21						
Motion of: 07P						_ Vot	te: <u>J</u> -J
Committee Member	Pres	ent	Made	e by	Se	cond	Yes No
Sen. Carson, Chair			TOTAL TOTAL			13.00	X
Sen. Reagan, Vice Chair	X		\mathbf{z}	(
Sen. Ricciardi							
Sen. Cavanaugh	X						
Sen. Prentiss	א		The state of the s			又	
Motion of: Recorder OTP Vot	he .					_ Vot	te: <u> </u>
Committee Member	Pres	ent	Made	e by	Se	cond	Yes No
	X	WALLSON				X	The second secon
Sen. Reagan, Vice Chair	×		X				
Sen. Ricciardi	<u>\</u>						X
Sen. Cavanaugh	X						
Sen. Prentiss	X	-		S			X
Motion of: OTP						_ Vot	te: <u> 4~1</u>
Committee Member	Pres	ent	Made	e by	Se	cond	Yes No
Sen. Carson, Chair	X					_%'	
Sen. Reagan, Vice Chair	X		X				X
Sen. Ricciardi	X		. in Project			خ.	X
Sen. Cavanaugh	X						
Sen. Prentiss	· X					X	X
Reported out by: 5 en. Carson			<u>.</u>				
· 							

Committee Report

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

SENATE

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE

Wednesday, April 28, 2021

THE COMMITTEE ON Executive Departments and Administration to which was referred HB 141-FN

AN ACT

allowing a county to exempt its chief administrative officer from compulsory participation in the retirement system.

Having considered the same, the committee recommends that the Bill

OUGHT TO PASS

BY A VOTE OF: 4-1

Senator Sharon Carson For the Committee

Cameron Lapine 271-2104

EXECUTIVE DEPARTMENTS AND ADMINISTRATION

HB 141-FN, allowing a county to exempt its chief administrative officer from compulsory participation in the retirement system.

Ought to Pass, Vote 4-1.

Senator Sharon Carson for the committee.

7/20/2021 Bill_Status

General Court of New Hampshire - Bill Status System

Docket of HB141

Docket Abbreviations

Bill Title: (New Title) allowing a county to exempt its chief administrative officer from compulsory participation in the retirement system.

Official Docket of HB141.:

Date	Body	Description
1/12/2021	Н	Introduced (in recess of) 01/06/2021 and referred to Executive Departments and Administration HJ 2 P. 36
3/8/2021	н	Public Hearing: 03/08/2021 01:00 pm Please click the link below to join the webinar: https://zoom.us/j/97343187968
3/3/2021	Н	Public Hearing on non-germane Amendment #2021-0594h: 03/15/2021 01:15 pm Members of the public may attend using the following link: To join the webinar: https://zoom.us/j/94033090497 / Executive session on pending legislation may be held throughout the day (time permitting) from the time the committee is initially convened.
3/18/2021	Н	Public Hearing on non-germane Amendment #2021-0877h: 03/24/2021 02:00 pm Members of the public may attend using the following link: To join the webinar: https://zoom.us/j/97152965622 / Executive session on pending legislation may be held throughout the day (time permitting) from the time the committee is initially convened.
3/31/2021	Н	Committee Report: Ought to Pass with Amendment #2021-0877h (Vote 19-0; CC) HC 18 P. 12
4/7/2021	Н	Amendment #2021-0877h: AA VV 04/07/2021 HJ 5 P. 21
4/7/2021	Н	Ought to Pass with Amendment 2021-0877h: MA VV 04/07/2021 HJ 5 P. 21
4/7/2021	н	Reconsider (Rep. Osborne): MF VV 04/07/2021 HJ 5 P. 50
4/7/2021 _.	S	Introduced 04/01/2021 and Referred to Executive Departments and Administration; SJ 11
4/7/2021	S	Remote Hearing: 04/14/2021, 09:00 am; Links to join the hearing can be found in the Senate Calendar; SC 19
4/28/2021	S	Committee Report: Ought to Pass, 05/06/2021; SC 22
5/6/2021	S	Ought to Pass: RC 11Y-12N, MF; 05/06/2021; SJ 14
5/6/2021	S	Sen. Bradley Moved Laid on Table, RC 15Y-8N, MA; 05/06/2021; SJ 14
5/6/2021	S	No Pending Motion; 05/06/2021 SJ 14

	
NH House	NH Senate

Other Referrals

Senate Inventory Checklist for Archives

Bill Number: HB 191-+N Senate Committee: ED*A
Please include all documents in the order listed below and indicate the documents which have been included with an "X" beside
Final docket found on Bill Status
Bill Hearing Documents: {Legislative Aides}
Bill version as it came to the committee
Bill version as it came to the committee All Calendar Notices Hearing Sign-up sheet(s) Prepared testimony, presentations, & other submissions handed in at the public hearing Hearing Report
Hearing Sign-up sheet(s)
Prepared testimony, presentations, & other submissions handed in at the public hearing
Hearing Report
Revised/Amended Fiscal Notes provided by the Senate Clerk's Office
Committee Action Documents: {Legislative Aides}
All amendments considered in committee (including those not adopted):
amendment # amendment #
- amendment # amendment #
Executive Session Sheet
Committee Report
Floor Action Documents: {Clerk's Office}
All floor amendments considered by the body during session (only if they are offered to the senate):
amendment # amendment #
amendment # amendment #
Post Floor Action: (if applicable) {Clerk's Office}
Committee of Conference Report (if signed off by all members. Include any new language proposed by the committee of conference):
Enrolled Bill Amendment(s)
Governor's Veto Message
All available versions of the bill: {Clerk's Office}
as amended by the senate as amended by the house
final version
Completed Committee Report File Delivered to the Senate Clerk's Office By:
Cameron M. Japail 7/20/21
Committee Aide / Date
Senate Clerk's Office