Committee Report

REGULAR CALENDAR

March 11, 2021

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT OF COMMITTEE

The Majority of the Committee on Children and Family

Law to which was referred HB 161,

AN ACT relative to the calculation of child support.

Having considered the same, report the same with the

following amendment, and the recommendation that

the bill OUGHT TO PASS WITH AMENDMENT.

Rep. Josh Yokela

FOR THE MAJORITY OF THE COMMITTEE

Original: House Clerk

MAJORITY COMMITTEE REPORT

Committee:	Children and Family Law
Bill Number:	HB 161
Title:	relative to the calculation of child support.
Date:	March 11, 2021
Consent Calendar:	REGULAR
Recommendation:	OUGHT TO PASS WITH AMENDMENT 2021-0549h

STATEMENT OF INTENT

The majority believes that the calculation of child support is overdue for an update and this bill adopts the recommendations of the last child support study which is required by law to happen every four years. The amendment clarifies that the court can make adjustments to child support for the best interest of the child. The bill raises the self-support reserve so people are not put into homelessness by child support. It updates to the percentages in the child support calculation and adds a credit for shared parenting which causes most of the deviations from the current formula. This bill will smooth the process in the courts with a more predictable and just outcome.

Vote 8-7.

Rep. Josh Yokela FOR THE MAJORITY

Original: House Clerk

REGULAR CALENDAR

Children and Family Law

HB 161, relative to the calculation of child support. MAJORITY: OUGHT TO PASS WITH AMENDMENT. MINORITY: INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE.

Rep. Josh Yokela for the **Majority** of Children and Family Law. The majority believes that the calculation of child support is overdue for an update and this bill adopts the recommendations of the last child support study which is required by law to happen every four years. The amendment clarifies that the court can make adjustments to child support for the best interest of the child. The bill raises the self-support reserve so people are not put into homelessness by child support. It updates to the percentages in the child support calculation and adds a credit for shared parenting which causes most of the deviations from the current formula. This bill will smooth the process in the courts with a more predictable and just outcome.

Vote 8-7.

Original: House Clerk

REGULAR CALENDAR

March 11, 2021

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT OF COMMITTEE

The Minority of the Committee on Children and Family

Law to which was referred HB 161,

AN ACT relative to the calculation of child support.

Having considered the same, and being unable to agree

with the Majority, report with the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that it is INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE.

Rep. Patrick Long

FOR THE MINORITY OF THE COMMITTEE

Original: House Clerk

MINORITY COMMITTEE REPORT

Committee:	Children and Family Law
Bill Number:	HB 161
Title:	relative to the calculation of child support.
Date:	March 11, 2021
Consent Calendar:	REGULAR
Recommendation:	INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE

STATEMENT OF INTENT

The minority agrees that equal parenting time needs legislative consideration, however defining coparenting as 30% or more overnights would create problems, as currently parents agree that time spent with their children is shared parenting. The minority also agrees that this bill would create additional conflict between the parents which is not in the best interest of the child/children involved.

Rep. Patrick Long FOR THE MINORITY

Original: House Clerk

REGULAR CALENDAR

Children and Family Law

HB 161, relative to the calculation of child support. INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE.

Rep. Patrick Long for the **Minority** of Children and Family Law. The minority agrees that equal parenting time needs legislative consideration, however defining co-parenting as 30% or more overnights would create problems, as currently parents agree that time spent with their children is shared parenting. The minority also agrees that this bill would create additional conflict between the parents which is not in the best interest of the child/children involved.

Original: House Clerk

Rep. Yokela, Rock. 33 March 1, 2021 2021-0549h 05/04

Amendment to HB 161

1	Amend the bill by inserting after section 5 the following and renumbering the original sections $6-7$ to
2	read as 7-8, respectively:
3	
4	6 New Paragraph; Adjustments to the Application of the Guidelines Under Special
5	Circumstances; Modification of Child Support As Justice and The Best Interest of the Child May
6	Require. Amend RSA 458-C:5 by inserting after paragraph II the following new paragraph:
7	III. Nothing in this section shall preclude a court from modification of the presumptive child
8	support order such as justice and the best interest of the child may require.

Amendment to HB 161 - Page 2 -

2021-0549h

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This bill revises the child support guideline percentages, increases the self-support reserve amount, defines a shared parenting plan, establishes a new formula for the calculation of child support in cases involving shared parenting plans; and permits the court to modify the presumptive child support amount as justice and the best interest of the child may require.

Voting Sheets

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW

EXECUTIVE SESSION on HB 161

BILL TITLE: relative to the calculation of child support.

DATE: March 11, 2021

LOB ROOM: remote

MOTIONS: OUGHT TO PASS WITH AMENDMENT

Moved by Rep. Yokela Seconded by Rep. DeSimone AM Vote: 15-0

Amendment # 2021-0549h

Moved by Rep. Yokela Seconded by Rep. DeSimone Vote: 8-7

Respectfully submitted,

Rep Caroletta Alicea, Clerk

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE OFFICE OF THE HOUSE CLERK



1/22/2021 9:54:23 AM Roll Call Committee Registers Report

2021 SESSION

Children and Family Law

Exec Session 3-//- 202/ Date:

Motion: OIP

Bill #://6/.

<u>Members</u>	YEAS	<u>Nays</u> <u>NV</u>	
Rice, Kimberly A. Chairman	V.:		
DeSimone, Debra L. Vice Chairman	$_{I}$	***************************************] 8
Yokela, Josh S.	V,		
Lewicke, John	V,		
Belanger, Cody M.	V)		
Cross, Kenna E. くみ 以リ			
Litchfield, Melissa A.	$v_{/}$		
Smith, Denise M. RANDWA	√,`		
Long, Patrick T.	\sqrt{y}		
Alicea, Caroletta C. Clerk	V		
Grossman, Gaby M.	V_{I}		
Levesque, Cassandra N.	Y		
Wazir, Safiya	J_{I} .		
Petrigno, Peter	J_{1}	-	
Altschiller, Debra	J		
TOTAL VOTE:			1

1500.

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE OFFICE OF THE HOUSE CLERK



1/22/2021 9:54:23 AM Roll Call Committee Registers Report

2021 SESSION

Children and Family Law

Exec

Session Date: 3-11-2021.

Motion: OTP = Ammerelment

Bill #: | 6|

AM D5494

<u>Members</u>	YEAS Nays NV
Rice, Kimberly A. Chairman	V
DeSimone, Debra L. Vice Chairman	✓ □
Yokela, Josh S.	
Lewicke, John	V
Belanger, Cody M.	<i>V</i>
Cross, Kenna E. U Hall	
Litchfield, Melissa A.	V-)
Smith, Denise M. (1) (1)	
Long, Patrick T.	//
Alicea, Caroletta C. Clerk	
Grossman, Gaby M.	V_{Λ}
Levesque, Cassandra N.	
Wazir, Safiya	bitemonts/sys
Petrigno, Peter	<i>//</i>
Altschiller, Debra	
TOTAL VOTE:	4 1

Public Hearing

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 161

BILL TITLE: relative to the calculation of child support.

DATE: February 3, 2021

LOB ROOM: 201-203 Time Public Hearing Called to Order: 10:50 AM

Time Adjourned: 11:31 AM

<u>Committee Members</u>: Reps. Rice, DeSimone, Alicea, Yokela, Lewicke, Belanger, Cross, Litchfield, D. Smith, Long, Grossman, Levesque, Wazir, Petrigno and Altschiller

Bill Sponsors:

Rep. Yokela Rep. Petrigno Rep. Malloy

Rep. Abrami

TESTIMONY

* Use asterisk if written testimony and/or amendments are submitted.

*Rep. Yokela - Bill Sponsor

- Was worked on for a significant amount of time from 2018 guidelines table + dated
- Child support percentages in the actual report only did percentage up to 3 children
- 1/15 percent to 1/20 enact guidelines to shared parenting
- Recommendation that we set a floor what shared parenting represents 30% multiply the amount by 1.5%
- Wanted the change based on how much time-you get more credit if you have 50 -40 0 all those calculations are in this bill – recommendations

Rep. Belanger - Does not understand

Rep. Yokela - Is trying to implement all

Rep. Rice Send it to work *unreadable*

Q: Rep. Long -30% of the time -2?

A: More than 2 less than 3

Q: Rep. Long - the fact that calculations are in at the end of the year can I get a reimbursement

Q: Rep. Belanger - If 100% is clarified - is it days in 1 week or mon

A: It's based on overnight it would encompass the whole year

Michael Baer - Come back

Christopher Cianci -

- This bill should be passed
- Personally experienced this
- Something that would prevent the hardship he went through

Marilyn Mahoney - Opposed

- Shared overnights expenses arrived during the day
- Must constitute language for adjustment wants it to go to interim study
- Q: Rep. Long Would language from the courts provision change your mind?

Brandon Towne - Parent support advocate

- Parents are facing significant hardships
- As a state we are doing more and more cases due to Silva vss. Loa 0 deep dives study posted on DHHS we owe our parents and kids who are stuck in the middle

Q: Rep. Long – Is Vermont solely on overnight?

A: Yes, they look at best practices

Q: Rep. Belanger – Are you saying the judicial system supports this bill? **A:** Did not have it at the time

Erin Janisa - Director of Domestic Advocacy - Opposed

• Go to Interim study – agree with Mahong

Q: Rep. Long – Do you know the % of cases tied in with abuse? **A:** Does not have it with her but will send

*Rep. Dennis Malloy - From Greenland - co- sponsor - Support

Attorney Markel -

• Problems he sees are: 60-70% are self-represented

Marissa Chase - Executive director NN - Agree with Attorney Mahoney

Kristen Smith

- Comments earlier were for 161 not 228
- 63% deviate from child support guidelines to have consideration on guidance to the provision for a really good safeguard

Respectfully submitted,

Rep. Caroletta Alicea

House Remote Testify

Children and Family Law Committee Testify List for Bill HB161 on 2021-02-03

Support: 34 Oppose: 7 Neutral: 4 Total to Testify: 9

Export to Excel

<u>Name</u>	City, State Email Address	<u>Title</u>	Representing	Position	Testifying	Non-Germane	Signed Up
Baer, Michael	Mik2002ma@yahoo.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	Yes (60m)	No	2/3/2021 7:08 AM
Mahoney, Marilyn	marilyn.t.mahoney@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	Yes (5m)	No	2/2/2021 2:41 PM
Cianci, Christopher	christopher.j.cianci@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	Yes (5m)	No	2/2/2021 5:09 PM
Towne, Brenda	btowne@protonmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	Yes (3m)	No	2/1/2021 11:04 AM
Yates, Derek	dly_2001@yahoo.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	Yes (3m)	No	2/1/2021 7:58 PM
Jasina, Erin	ejasina@nhla.org	A Lobbyist	NH Legal Assistance	Oppose	Yes (2m)	No	1/29/2021 3:51 PM
Malloy, Dennis	dennis@dennismalloy.com	An Elected Official	Myself	Support	Yes (2m)	No	1/31/2021 12:42 PM
Markell, Jay	jdmarkell@aol.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	Yes (0m)	No	2/2/2021 7:48 PM
Smith, Kristin	kristin.e.smith@dartmouth.edu	A Member of the Public	Myself	Neutral	Yes (0m)	No	2/3/2021 10:34 AM
Rathbun, Eric	ericsrathbun@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Neutral	No	No	2/3/2021 11:15 AM
Wyatt, Timothy	timwyatt1@juno.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/3/2021 1:20 PM
Day, Mary	nhagentmarie@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/4/2021 7:19 PM
Braunstein, Sean	Seanjbraunstein@yahoo.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/3/2021 7:52 AM
Frey, Gina	ginagfrey@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No	2/3/2021 8:14 AM
Frey, Kevin	kevfrey@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No	2/3/2021 8:15 AM
Jachim, Nancy	Nancyjachim@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No	2/3/2021 8:59 AM
Gagnon, Brett	BrettProtectingNH@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/3/2021 9:57 AM
Lofton, Stephanie	Slofton@comcast.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 8:28 PM
Berrien, Skip	Fberrien@Gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 10:19 PM

Kerr, Brock	porschebk85@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 10:53 PM
Chase, Wendy	wendy.chase@leg.state.nh.us	An Elected Official	Myself	Support	No	No	1/31/2021 2:40 PM
Hayes, Matthew	matthew.hayes@dhhs.nh.gov	State Agency Staff	DHHS/BCSS	Neutral	No	No	2/2/2021 3:46 PM
Bryans, Ed	xxedbryansxx@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/1/2021 8:19 PM
Putnam, Debra	dputnam327@comcast.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/1/2021 9:20 PM
Baer, Meredith	Butterflykisses8688@yahoo.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 9:27 AM
Greenwood, Tony	Greenwoodtony@icloud.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 9:28 AM
Silva, Lance	Lancesilva33@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 9:28 AM
Towne, Rod	rod@northeast-marketing.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 10:27 AM
Chantlos, Sarah	chantlos.sarah@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Oppose	No	No	2/2/2021 5:43 AM
Brochu, Alicia	agrant83.ag@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 6:32 AM
Guessferd, Bob	shemya87@msn.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 7:49 AM
Routhier, Matthew	mrrouthier@comcast.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 8:37 AM
Crooker, Anne	Ajcrooker@comcast.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 8:58 AM
Cass, Douglas	dougk9trainer@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 9:24 AM
Wise, Jeremy	Jwise53@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 9:40 AM
Delfuoco, Janet	Upperbow@msn.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 10:11 AM
Perkins, Max	maxperkins72@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 10:29 AM
Drobat, Gayle	drobat28@comcast.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 10:57 AM
Hebert, Karen	karen.e.hebert@dhhs.nh.gov	State Agency Staff	Dept. of Health and Human Services	Neutral	No	No	2/2/2021 11:30 AM
Martin, Jason	martinjason78@yahoo.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 11:31 AM
P. Martin, Priscilla	FredMikePris@comcast.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 12:24 PM
Tatem, J Daniel	Dan.tatem@metrocast.net	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 1:06 PM
Oligny, Jeffrey	Jeffreyoligny@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 1:44 PM
Costenbader, Melody	Cmelody4hair@yahoo.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 6:09 PM
Millina, Benjamin	benjamin.millina@gmail.com	A Member of the Public	Myself	Support	No	No	2/2/2021 6:27 PM

Testimony

Karen Karwocki

From: Honey Hastings, Mediator < hhastings@familymediationnh.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 3, 2021 11:32 AM

To: ~House Children and Family Law Committee; Kimberly Rice

Subject: HB161 in House Children and Family Law

Importance: High

Rep. Rice

This bill is not the same as the VT law, which has more steps in calculating support. See:

https://dcf.vermont.gov/sites/dcf/files/OCS/Docs/CS-Shared-Custody.pdf

I believe that VT has various levels of support, based on the percentages of time.

More study is needed, to consider practical impact on families.

The bill should also provide that the statutory changes do not of themselves justify a modification. Without such, old cases will flood the courts.

Honey Hastings, JD, CFM #75 PO Box 33 Temple NH 03084 603.654.5000 Online Services – FamilyMediationNH.com

From: Honey Hastings, Mediator

Sent: Wednesday, February 3, 2021 8:22 AM

To: CFL@leg.state.nh.us; kimberly.rice@leg.state.nh.us **Subject:** HB161 & HB228 in House Children and Family Law

Rep. Rice

I will not be available to testify.

I recommend that HB161 and HB228 be sent to interim study together. While there are some good elements in each bill, the unanswered question is what would be the resulting child support under each bill?

Making support predictable in equal parenting time cases would make them easier to settle. Having a formula would give similar cases in different courts similar results.

The interim study subcommittee should calculate what support would be under each of the formulas in various sample family scenarios. This would show what this change in policy would mean for NH children receiving support.

Honey Hastings, JD, CFM #75

PO Box 33 Temple NH 03084 603.654.5000 Online Services – FamilyMediationNH.com

Karen Karwocki

From: Honey Hastings, Mediator < hhastings@familymediationnh.com>

Sent: Wednesday, February 3, 2021 8:22 AM

To: ~House Children and Family Law Committee; Kimberly Rice

Subject: HB161 & HB228 in House Children and Family Law

Rep. Rice

I will not be available to testify.

I recommend that HB161 and HB228 be sent to interim study together. While there are some good elements in each bill, the unanswered question is what would be the resulting child support under each bill?

Making support predictable in equal parenting time cases would make them easier to settle. Having a formula would give similar cases in different courts similar results.

The interim study subcommittee should calculate what support would be under each of the formulas in various sample family scenarios. This would show what this change in policy would mean for NH children receiving support.

Honey Hastings, JD, CFM #75 PO Box 33 Temple NH 03084 603.654.5000 Online Services – FamilyMediationNH.com

Karen Karwocki

From: Brenda Towne <btowne@protonmail.com>

Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 9:50 PM

To: ~House Children and Family Law Committee

Subject: Supporting material for HB161

Attachments: HB 161.pdf

Hello everyone-

Attached please find material that gives background to HB161, a bill for a standard calculation for shared parenting child support. I addition, I am including the link to the Child Support Study commissioned by the legislature & governor, presented to the Child and Family Law committee in 2019, that is now housed on the DHHS website: https://www.dhhs.nh.gov/dcss/documents/cs-guidelines-review-2018.pdf HB 161 is a bill updated to reflect the recommendations of this study.

Thank you for your consideration and support, Brenda Towne 603-327336

WHY HB161

FEB 3, 2021

Background

- In 2018, NH supreme court case, Silva V Silva DENIED the ability of a family court judge to modify child support for significant parenting time without evidence <u>fixed costs</u> were reduced by the arrangement for the Obligee parent. (UNLIKELY)
- In 2019, NH supreme court case Anderson V Anderson ruled that although there were no fixed costs born in this case by the Obligee parent, no standard calculation for relief was available for remedy. The NH supreme court pushed this issue back to the legislative branch to address this gap in our statutes. WE ARE
- In 2005 through 2006, NH commissioned a study & review of child support issues in New Hampshire. The
 report was reviewed in the C&FL committee in May of 2019. In 2019 & 2020, the family committee could
 not agree on a current bill HB 362 because of short comings in definition, methodology & scope. It was
 put out to study AND WE BELIEVE THIS BILL HAS RESOLVED THIS TODAY.
- Using the 2018 report commissioned by the state, HB161 was crafted to address the recommendations for shared child parenting support calculations, definitions and the self support reserve.
- This bill would meet the needs of both parents and judges who have been seeking standardization in this of the family court process. This bill would give much needed relief to NH families have been waiting for years for this solution. It would reduce the number of children experiencing a lower standard of living then when they are with the non primary parent.

Scenario 1: equal income/equal parenting time

_
2
ğ
đ
S
g
į
C
ğ
Ξį
Ž
l parenting
20
7
shared
ā
shared
Ž
3 161 for st
7
16
B 1
I
£
npact of HB
ac
ğ
7
_

	impact of the forther parenting come sapport		,		
FROM TH	FROM THE CURRENT GUIDELINES				Court N
		Obligor	Obligee	TOTAL	In the m
PINE 6	Adjusted gross income	\$ 2,083	\$ 2,083	\$ 4,166	Childs?
					,,
Line 7A	Child Support Guideline Amount	\$ 2,083	\$ 2,083	\$ 1,136	30
Line 7B	guideline Percent			32.59%	t. Total
	Proportional share of income	%05	20%		2. Oblig
					PAWW
					N NOW
					4 W
					ο α
Shared Pa	Shared Parenting changes				58. 50
					5C. M
7A	Adjusted for Shared parenting- Total Support Obligation	1.5		\$1,704.00	5D. A
				/	Si A
	Child Support Guideline Amount	\$ 822	\$ 852		SF. M
	Adjust for shared parenting				5G. To
	Credit for parenting time				
	20%	852			4 X
					78. G
	Obligation	- \$	\wedge		8 A8
					88. M
					ľ

parents' total net income, as defined in RSA 458-C:2, VI, by the appropriate percentage derived from this section and multiplying the resulting number by 1.5. Otherwise, the total support obligation shall be determined by multiplying the $\Pi(a)$ When there is a shared parenting plan, the total support obligation shall be determined by multiplying the parents' total net income, as defined in RSA 458-C; 2, VI, by the appropriate percentage derived from this section.

5 Child Support Guidelines; Child Support Formula. Amend RSA 458-C:3, II(d) to read as follows:

(d) For those cases involving a shared parenting plan, a credit for the parenting time is calculated by multiplying the parenting time percentage with the total support obligation to determine the parenting time credit. The parenting time credit is then subtracted from the support obligation reached in subparagraphs (b) or (c) to generate the child support obligation.

DCBS PR 14-02

	and	and	
Child's Name DOB	Child's Name		900
1. Tota Number of Children 2			
Obligor's Responsible Medical Support Obligation 83 (th undergradiently from human menes rates)	83.00 3. Obligee's Re	3. Obligee's Reasonable Medical Support Obligation (AN orbigate Morty Cose more transfer page 500)	rt Obligation 83.00
PAYMENT CALCULATIONS	OBUIGOR (Column 1)	OBLIGEE (Column 2)	(Column 3)
	2083.33	2083.33	
5A. Court/Admits ordered support for other chloren	00:00	00.0	· ·
5B. 50% of actual self-employment taxes paid	0.00	0.00	
5C. Mandatory retirement	0.00	0.00	
5D. Actual state income taxes paid	0.00	0.00	
SE. Allowable child care expenses (obligor)	0.00		ť
SF. Medical support for children (obligor)	0.00		
5G. Total deductions auditomatics	0.00	00:00	
6. Adjusted morthly gross income	2083.33	2083.33	4166.66
7A. Child support guideline amount			1138.40
7B. Guideline percentage			32.583 %
8A. Alfowable child care expenses (obligee)		0.00	
88. Medical support for children (obligee)		00'0	
8C. Total allowable obligee expenses		0.00	
 Total adjusted monthly gross income 	2083.33	2083.33	4168.68
 Proportional share of income 	% 00'09	% 00.09	
11. Parental support coligation	568.20	568.20	
ABILITY TO PAY CALCULATION			1
12. Self-support reserve	1223.00		
13. Income available for support	860.33		
14. Monthly support payable (for the form that of the transmitted for the transmitted	568.20		
15. Presumptive child support obligation If wast, blocking or you all theway, also as step 1:1 represent one was expected in the second or you are	\$568.00	Frequency (Frequency (choose one):

Scenario 2: income disparity /equal parenting time

Impa	Impact of HB 161 for shared paretning child support	oddns þ	4		
FROM TH	FROM THE CURRENT GUIDELINES				
		Obligor	Obligee	TOTAL	
LINE 6	Adjusted gross income	\$ 5,000	\$ 3,500	\$ 8,500	
Line 7A	Child Support Guideline Amount	\$ 5,000	\$ 3,500	\$ 1,910	,
Line 7B	guideline Percent			29.14%	
	Proportional share of income	29%	41%		
Shared Pa	Shared Parenting changes				
7A	Adjusted for Shared parenting- Total Support Obligation	1.5		\$ 2,865	
	Child Support Guideline Amount	\$ 1,685	\$ 1,180		
	Adjust for shared parenting				
	Credit for parenting time				
	20%	\$ 1,433			
	Obligation	\$ 253			

total net income, as defined in RSA 458-C:2, VI, by the appropriate percentage derived from this section and multiply the resulting number by 1.5. Otherwise, the total support obligation shall be determined by multiplying the parents' total m II.(a) When there is a shared parenting plan, the total support obligation shall be determined by multiplying the pare income, as defined in RSA 458-C:2, VI, by the appropriate percentage derived from this section.

5 Child Support Guidelines; Child Support Formula. Amend RSA 458-C:3, II(d) to read as follows:

(d) For those cases involving a shared parenting plan, a credit for the parenting time is calculated by multiplying t parenting time percentage with the total support obligation to determine the parenting time credit. The parenting t credit is then subtracted from the support obligation reached in subparagraphs (b) or (c) to generate the child supp

In the matter of:	100년		
Child's Name DOB	Child's Name	102	90
Total Number of Children 2			
Support Obligation contribution of page	200.00 3. Obligee's Re	 Obligee's Responsible Medical Support Obligation att at Dagen's Mortify Orde Income, course to nearest colon; 	rt Obligation 140.00
PAYMENT CALCULATIONS All forms and depends on the convention of t	OBUIGOR (Column 1)	OBLIGEE (Column 2)	COMBINED (Column 3)
X	5000.00	3500.00	
5A. Court/Adrsin, ordered support for other chloren	00:00	00.0	
5B. 50% of actual self-employment taxes paid	0.00	00:0	
SC. Mandatory retirement	0.00	00.00	
5D. Actual state income taxes paid	0.00	0.00	
SE Allowable child care expenses (collgor)	00:00		f
5F. Medical support for children (obligor)	0.08		2
5G. Total Oeductions possess on majority	00:00	00:00	
6. Adjusted monthly gross income (sectional to 30 monthly gross income)	5000.00	3508.00	8500.00
			1909.85
7B. Guideline perpentage (Form Superior Nate)			29.140 %
8.4. Allowable child care expenses (obligee)		0.00	
		0.00	
 Total allowable obligee expenses passing the phase of the passing of	The second second	0.00	
9. Total adjusted monthly gross income	5000.00	3500.00	8500.00
10. Proportional share of income	58.82 %	41.18 %	
11. Parental support obligation	1123.37	786.48	
l⊒I			1
12. Self-Support reserve From dazelen dazelen habet	1223.00		
13. Income available for support	3777.00		
14. Monthly support payable (color to use of trained).	1123.37		
15. Presumptive child support obligation makes also as a set of the set of th	\$1123.00	Frequency O Weekly O	Frequency (choose one): Neekly O BHWeekly Monthly
			.000

Scenario 3: income disparity / 40% parenting time

Impact of HB 161 for shared parenting child support

ישליייי	impact of the tot for smarca barching ching sapport	יטקקשכ י	•		
FROM TH	FROM THE CURRENT GUIDELINES				Child
		Obligor	Obligee	TOTAL	
LINE 6	Adjusted gross income	\$ 4,100	\$ 3,500	\$ 7,600	
					÷.
Line 7A	Child Support Guideline Amount	\$ 4,100	\$ 3,500	\$ 1,772	2.0
Line 7B	guideline Percent			29.14%	PAY
	Proportional share of income	54%	46%		
					£ \\
					(
					ei c
					į į
Shared Pa	Shared Parenting changes				į ų
7A	Adjusted for Shared parenting- Total Support Obligation	1.5		\$2,658.00	5F.
					99
	Child Support Guideline Amount	\$ 1,434	\$ 1,224		6
	Adjust for shared parenting				7A.
	Credit for parenting time				78.
	40%	\$ 1,063			8A.
					88
	Obligation	\$ 371			0
					o ;

II.(a) When there is a shared parenting plan, the total support obligation shall be determined by multiplying the paren total net income, as defined in RSA 458-C:2, VI, by the appropriate percentage derived from this section and multiplyi the resulting number by 1.5. Otherwise, the total support obligation shall be determined by multiplying the parents' total net income, as defined in RSA 458-C.2, VI, by the appropriate percentage derived from this section.

5 Child Support Guidelines; Child Support Formula. Amend RSA 458-C:3, II(d) to read as follows:

credit is then subtracted from the support obligation reached in subparagraphs (b) or (c) to generate the child support (d) For those cases involving a shared parenting plan, a credit for the parenting time is calculated by multiplying the parenting time percentage with the total support obligation to determine the parenting time credit. The parenting tin obligation.

In th	In the matter of:		and	1007	1
Ş	Child's Name	DOB	Child's Name	•	DOB
+	Total Number of Children				
2.0	Obligor's Reasonable Medical Support Obligation (4% of Obligation Insulate Asset)	on 164.00	-	Obligee's Reasonable Medical Support Obligation (4% of Obligee's Monthly Grow Income, rounded to memort default.)	ort Obligation 140.00
PA	PAYMENT CALCULATIONS NOTE: All booses and expenses must be answelled to monthly amounts fourthly amounts fourthly	Adam	OBLIGOR (Column 1)	OBLIGEE (Column 2)	(Column 3)
4/		8 8	4100.00	3500.00	
5A.	Count/Admin. ordered support for other children		0.00	0.00	
5B.	50% of actual self-employment taxes paid	Pii	0.00	0.00	
50	Mandatory retirement		0.00	0.00	
5D.	Actual state income taxes paid		0.00	0.00	_
SE.	Allowable child care expenses (obligor)	/	00'0		P.
5F.	Medical support for children (obligor)		00'9		
95	Total deductions (Addition SA though SF)	-	0.00	0.00	
øj.	Adjusted monthly gross income		4100.00	3500.00	7600.00
7A.	Child support guideline amount	-			1771.82
78.	Guideline percentage (From Guideline Catalation Table)				29.890 %
8A.	Allowable child care expenses (obligee)			0.00	
8B.	Medical support for children (obligee)			0.00	
80	Total allowable obligee expenses			0.00	
oi	Total adjusted monthly gross income		4100.00	3500.00	7600.00
10.	Proportional share of income	6 - b	53.95 %	46.05 %	
11	Parental support obligation (the 10 times line 74)		955.90	815.92	
AB	ABILITY TO PAY CALCULATION				
12.	Self-support reserve (From Guideline Celculation Table)		1223.00		
Ę.	Income available for support (Subsequently 2 from the 6 column t)		2877.00		
1	Monthly Support payable (Enter be smaler of the 11, colorn 1, or line 13, colorn 1. If line 13, ordann 1 is less than \$50.00 than a section or \$50.00 is action	13	955.90		
15. SR :	15. Presumptive child support obligation (**reway), divide line 14 ty 4.33 (To-seed), divide line 14 by 2.17.If martify, either was entered an line 14.) **ROUND THE RESULT TO THE NEAREST WHOLE DOLLAR***		00.95e s	Frequency (Frequency (choose one): Neekly OBI-Weekly ® Monthly
18		/			*****

Karen Karwocki

From: Josh Yokela

Sent: Tuesday, February 2, 2021 7:31 PM

To: Josh Yokela **Subject:** HB 161 - info

Attachments: HB 161.pdf; Child Support Guidelines Review 2018 11.7.18 - 1.pdf; Revised

Recommendation 3.docx

Hello,

The 2018 Child Support Guidelines review reviewed "current economic data and court case files, the surveys of judges, mediators, and family lawyers, input from key informants, Obligee and Obligor parents, and state visits to Massachusetts and Vermont" and suggested three recommendations:

- "We recommend that New Hampshire update its child support guidelines tables to reflect the most recent estimates on expenditures for child rearing based upon the most recently available Rothbarth estimates."
- 2. "We recommend a modest increase in the self-support reserve in the New Hampshire guidelines, from 115 percent to 120 percent of the federal poverty line."
- 3. "We recommend the New Hampshire legislature enact changes to the guidelines to address shared parenting."

They said these "recommendations are intended to be considered as a package. Any separation of individual issues may impact the overall balance of effects of these recommendations on Obligors and Obligoes."

HB 161 is the legislation that was created to implement these changes as a package. I have included a copy of the review/recommendations and some slides that give examples of how this would be applied, and I would be happy to answer any questions that you have and look forward to the hearing tomorrow.

Thanks for your consideration on this important topic,

Hon. Josh Yokela www.JoshYokelaForNH.com 603-722-0501

Bill as Introduced

HB 161 - AS INTRODUCED

2021 SESSION

21-0233 05/04

HOUSE BILL 161

AN ACT relative to the calculation of child support.

SPONSORS: Rep. Yokela, Rock. 33; Rep. Petrigno, Hills. 23; Rep. Malloy, Rock. 23; Rep.

Abrami, Rock. 19

COMMITTEE: Children and Family Law

ANALYSIS

This bill revises the child support guideline percentages, increases the self-support reserve amount, defines a shared parenting plan, and establishes a new formula for the calculation of child support in cases involving shared parenting plans.

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in bold italics.

Matter removed from current law appears [in brackets and struckthrough.]

Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Twenty One

AN ACT relative to the calculation of child support.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

- 1 1 Child Support Guidelines; Definition of Self-Support Reserve. Amend RSA 458-C;2, X to read 2 as follows:
- 3 X. "Self-support reserve" means [115] 120 percent of the federal poverty guideline for a 4 single person living alone, as determined annually by the United States Department of Health and Human Services. 5
- 6 2 New Paragraph; Child Support Guidelines; Definition of Shared Parenting Plan. Amend RSA 458-C:2 by inserting after paragraph XI the following new paragraph:
 - XII. "Shared parenting plan" means a parenting plan where the child overnights with each parent 30 percent or more of the time.
- 10 3 Child Support Guidelines; Child Support Formula. RSA 458-C:3, I(a) is repealed and 11 reenacted to read as follows:
 - (a) The child support guidelines shall be based on the following:
 - Percent of Combined Net Income Devoted to Child Support

7

8

9

12

13

26

27

28

29

30

31

14	\$44,000 or less	22 percent	34 percent	42 percent	45 percent
15	\$55,000	21	33	40	43
16	\$66,000	20	30	36	39
17	\$77,000	18	28	33	36
18	\$88,000	17	25	30	33
19	\$110,000	16	25	30	32
20	\$121,000	16	24	28	30
21	\$132,000	15	22	27	29
22	\$176,000	13	20	24	26
23	\$176,001 or more	12	18	21	23

- 244 Child Support Guidelines; Child Support Formula. Amend RSA 458-C:3, II(a) to read as 25 follows:
 - II.(a) When there is a shared parenting plan, the total support obligation shall be determined by multiplying the parents' total net income, as defined in RSA 458-C:2, VI, by the appropriate percentage derived from this section and multiplying the resulting number by 1.5. Otherwise, the total support obligation shall be determined by multiplying the parents' total net income, as defined in RSA 458-C:2, VI, by the appropriate percentage derived from this section.

HB 161 - AS INTRODUCED - Page 2 -

1

5 Child Support Guidelines; Child Support Formula. Amend RSA 458-C:3, II(d) to read as

2	follows:
3	(d) For those cases involving a shared parenting plan, a credit for the parenting
4	time is calculated by multiplying the parenting time percentage with the total support
5	obligation to determine the parenting time credit. The parenting time credit is then
6	subtracted from the support obligation reached in subparagraphs (b) or (c) to generate the
7	child support obligation.
8	(e) All child support obligations calculated pursuant to this chapter shall be rounded to
9	the nearest whole dollar.
10	6 Repeal. The following are repealed:
11	I. RSA 458-C:5, I(h)(1), relative to adjustments to the child support guidelines due to equal
12	or approximately equal residential responsibility.
13	II. RSA 458-C:5, I(h)(2)(B), relative to adjustments to the child support guidelines due to
14	lower fixed costs resulting from equal or approximately equal residential responsibility.
15	7 Effective Date. This act shall take effect January 1, 2022.