# Committee Report

## CONSENT CALENDAR

February 27, 2018

# HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

# REPORT OF COMMITTEE

The Committee on Judiciary to which was referred HB 1490,

AN ACT relative to limits on money judgments in landlord-tenant cases. Having considered the same, report the same with the following resolution:

RESOLVED, that it is INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE.

Rep. Linda Kenison

FOR THE COMMITTEE

Original: House Clerk

Cc: Committee Bill File

# **COMMITTEE REPORT**

Committee:	Judiciary  HB 1490  relative to limits on money judgments in landlord-tenant cases.				
Bill Number:					
Title:					
Date:	February 27, 2018				
Consent Calendar:	CONSENT				
Recommendation:	INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE				

## STATEMENT OF INTENT

This bill increases the maximum amount which may be awarded as a money judgment in a landlord/tenant proceeding from the current \$1,500 to \$3,000. The committee believes that raising the jurisdictional amount will result in landlord/tenant cases being brought in superior court, rather than circuit court, where they are currently heard. The committee does not believe that this is a good policy.

Vote 15-0.

Rep. Linda Kenison FOR THE COMMITTEE

Original: House Clerk

Cc: Committee Bill File

### CONSENT CALENDAR

Judiciary

HB 1490, relative to limits on money judgments in landlord-tenant cases. INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE.

Rep. Linda Kenison for Judiciary. This bill increases the maximum amount which may be awarded as a money judgment in a landlord/tenant proceeding from the current \$1,500 to \$3,000. The committee believes that raising the jurisdictional amount will result in landlord/tenant cases being brought in superior court, rather than circuit court, where they are currently heard. The committee does not believe that this is a good policy. Vote 15-0.

Original: House Clerk

Cc: Committee Bill File

# Voting Sheets

### EXECUTIVE SESSION on HB 1490

BILL TITLE:

relative to limits on money judgments in landlord-tenant cases.

DATE:

February 19, 2018

LOB ROOM:

208

**MOTIONS**:

INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE

Moved by Rep. Kenison

Seconded by Rep. Hopper

Vote: 15-0

CONSENT CALENDAR: YES

Statement of Intent:

Refer to Committee Report

Respectfully submitted, Senha Bleans

Rep Sandra Keans, Clerk

### **EXECUTIVE SESSION on HB 1490**



BILL TITLE: relative to limits on money judgments in landlord-tenant cases. DATE: LOB ROOM: 208 MOTION: (Please check one box) ☐ Retain (1st year) □ OTP ☐ Adoption of Amendment # \_\_\_\_\_ ☐ Interim Study (2nd year) (if offered) Moved by Rep. KENISON Seconded by Rep. Holler MOTION: (Please check one box) □ OTP/A  $\square$  ITL ☐ Retain (1st year) ☐ Adoption of  $\square$  OTP Amendment # \_\_\_ ☐ Interim Study (2nd year) (if offered) Seconded by Rep. Vote: Moved by Rep. MOTION: (Please check one box) □ OTP/A ☐ Retain (1st year) ☐ Adoption of  $\square$  OTP Amendment # \_\_\_\_\_ (if offered) ☐ Interim Study (2nd year) Seconded by Rep. Vote: \_\_\_\_ Moved by Rep. MOTION: (Please check one box) □ OTP/A ☐ Retain (1st year) ☐ Adoption of  $\square$  OTP Amendment # \_\_\_\_\_ (if offered) ☐ Interim Study (2nd year) Seconded by Rep. Vote:

CONSENT CALENDAR: YES \_\_\_\_\_NO

Minority Report? \_\_\_\_\_ Yes \_\_\_\_\_ No If yes, author, Rep: \_\_\_\_\_ Motion\_\_\_\_\_

Respectfully submitted:

Rep Sandra Keans, Clerk

**JUDICIARY** 

### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE OFFICE OF THE HOUSE CLERK

1/5/2018 10:30:16 AM Roll Call Committee Registers Report

2018 SESSION

Bill #: Title:	a-sinant cosis				
PH Date: 01 124 12018	Exec Session Date:				
Motion:	Amendment #:				
<u>MEMBER</u>	<u>YEAS</u>	<u>NAYS</u>			
Hagan, Joseph M. Chariman	✓				
Rouillard, Claire A. Vice Chairman	<b>√</b>				
Hopper, Gary S.	/				
Sylvia, Michael J.	ab.				
Hull, Robert along	V				
Wuelper, Kurt F.	<b>√</b>				
Graham, Robert V.	√ /				
Hynes, Dan	✓				
Janvrin, Jason A.	V				
Leavitt, John A.	Q b				
Wall, Janet G.	√				
Horrigan, Timothy O.	V				
Berch, Paul S.	/				
Kenison, Linda B.	<b>V</b>				
Keans, Sandra B. Clerk	. ✓				
DiLorenzo, Charlotte I.	N				
Altschiller, Debra	ab				
Sullivan, Brian M. SM/7t/	V				
TOTAL VOTE:					

15-0

# Hearing Minutes

### **PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 1490**

BILL TITLE:

relative to limits on money judgments in landlord-tenant cases.

DATE:

January 24, 2018

LOB ROOM:

208

Time Public Hearing Called to Order:

2:40 p.m.

Time Adjourned:

2:57 p.m.

<u>Committee Members</u>: Reps. Hagan, Rouillard, Keans, Hopper, Sylvia, Wuelper, R. Graham, Hynes, Janvrin, Berch, Kenison, DiLorenzo and Altschiller

Bill Sponsors:

Rep. Twombly

Rep. Baldasaro

Rep. M. MacKay Rep. Ohm

Rep. Emerick Rep. LeBrun Rep. Hinch Rep. Seidel

Sen. Avard

### **TESTIMONY**

\* Use asterisk if written testimony and/or amendments are submitted.

Rep. Hynes introduced the bill to the committee. No sponsors were present.

### Nick Norman, New Hampshire AA -NH - neutral

Not a new subject; seems like a good idea BUT -box at bottom of form - for eviction process \$1,5000 allowed but allows client to file counter claims and another process which tenant may win. If more than \$1,5000 could provide for trial in Superior Court. What is really needed is a CACR to change amount.

Q. Rep. Sylvia: Can we eliminate last line of bill? Ans. No constitution.

### Eliot Berry, New Hampshire Legal Assistance Association - oppose

Wondering why 2016 bill can be taken up again? RSA 540:13 I only authorization to bring action is to a jury trial. Mr. Norman's concerns may be ............

Respectfully submitted,

Rep. Sandra B. Keans, Clerk

Sendre Bleads

### **PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 1490**

BILL TITLE:

relative to limits on money judgments in landlord-tenant cases.

DATE:

1-24-18

ROOM:

208

Time Public Hearing Called to Order: 2:40

Time Adjourned: 2.57

(please circle if present)

Committee Members: Reps. Hagan Rouillard, Hopper, Sylvia, Hull, Wuelper, R. Graham, Hynes, Janvrin, Leavitt, Wall, Horrigan Berch, Kenison, DiLorenzo, Mulligan, Altschiller and Keans

Bill Sponsors:

Rep. Twombly

Rep. Baldasaro

Rep. M. MacKay

Rep. Emerick

Rep. Hinch

Rep. Ohm

Rep. LeBrun

Rep. Seidel

Sen. Avard

### TESTIMONY

\* Use asterisk if written testimony and/or amendments are submitted.

REPHYNES INTRODUCE NO SPONSORS
NICK NORMAN - HAMITED NHAA - NOT A NEW SUBJECT
 SEEMS LIKE A GOOD IDEA BOT- EVICTION PROCESS 1500 ALLOWED
BUT ALLOWS CHENT TO FILE COUNTER CLAYMS AND ANOTHER
PROCESS WHICH TENANT MAY WIN - IF MORE THAN ISOO COULD
PROVIDE FOR TRIAL IN SUPERIOR COURT. WHAT IS REALLY IS NEEDED
IS CACR TO CHANGE AMT.
Q SYLVIA - DAN WE ELIMINATE LAST LINEOFBILL - NO CONSTITUTION

DELIOT BERRY OPPOSED WHILEGAL ASSIST-CHUNDRERING WITH DOGGEN CAN

BE TAKEN UP AGAIN 7 540:13 I ONLY AUTHORIZATION TO

BRING ACTION IS - TO A JURY TRIAL - MR. NORMAN'S

CONCERNS MAY BE

# Testimony

# SIGN UP SHEET

To Register Opinion If Not Speaking

Bill # HB 1490

Committee Lediciny

Date January 14, 2018

\*\* Please Print All Information \*\*

		1 Icasc	FIIII AI					
							(check	c one)
Name		Address		Phone		Representing	Pro	Con
ROP. N	tinda L	bould	Bed	ford	Ĩ	ost 7	X	/
Pan	Kevin &	Vaid	l		5	Representing  OCST  AH12	W	
						6		
					A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH			

01/24/2018 at 02:00 PM LOB Room 208 HB1490, Money Judgement Increase Nick Norman
Legislative Initiative Landlord Tenant Law
AANH Government Affairs Chair
NickNorman@yahoo.com
603-432-5549

My position is neutral on this bill and would like to highlight some concerns if you move forward on the bill.

2016 & 2017 saw very similar bills. 2016 HB1196, sought to allow landlords to ask for a judgment of up to \$5,000 in an eviction action. 2017, HB434, sought to increase the amount to \$3000. Although on first glance it may seem like a good idea we have large concerns.

If a landlord seeks damages in excess of \$1,500 and a tenant could request a jury trial. Also, the tenant could counter claim and could request a jury trial. The eviction action would then be moved to Superior Court, which always hears criminal cases first, and can languish there for months. Therefore, the eviction is severely delayed and more expensive to process. There is also no provision for the tenant to pay rent pending a jury trial. As well it could be the case that the Superior court would only hear the damages claim and then remand (send back) the possessory action to Circuit (District) Court causing even more delays.

When a damages issue is claimed by the landlord, a counter claim must be decided either before or simultaneously with the eviction action. If the award of the tenant's counterclaim is greater than the award of the landlord's claim, the eviction is denied and the landlord is ordered to pay the tenant and still have the tenant in his or her building.

All legal attorney presentations that we have attended all say, that for the above reasons, it is better to not seek damages in an eviction case. The non-legalese recommendation is to "not check the box" (on the LT-Writ) and file small claims as a separate case. This is because if the landlord does not ask for a monetary judgment, the tenant cannot file a counterclaim.

A major part of the issue is not with the RSAs but rather with the NH Constitution. Article 20 of the NH Constitution which provides that the parties have a right to a jury trial if the amount exceeds \$1500. A constitutional amendment is needed to raise the limit. Note that in 1960 the amount was raised from \$100 to \$500, in 1988 the amount was raised from \$500 to \$1,500.

While the intent is good, we feel this bill could be somewhat of a trap to unwary landlords because it would lead them to "check the box" and invite a large set of delays and expense on to the landlord.

It is a better practice to run a small claims action separate from the eviction action.

# Bill as Introduced

### **HB 1490 - AS INTRODUCED**

### 2018 SESSION

18-2523 10/08

HOUSE BILL

1490

AN ACT

relative to limits on money judgments in landlord-tenant cases.

SPONSORS:

Rep. Twombly, Hills. 34; Rep. Baldasaro, Rock. 5; Rep. M. MacKay, Hills. 30;

Rep. Emerick, Rock. 21; Rep. Hinch, Hills. 21; Rep. Ohm, Hills. 36; Rep. LeBrun,

Hills. 32; Rep. Seidel, Hills. 28; Sen. Avard, Dist 12

COMMITTEE:

Judiciary

### **ANALYSIS**

This bill increases the maximum amount which may be awarded as a money judgment in a landlord-tenant proceeding.

Explanation:

Matter added to current law appears in bold italics.

Matter removed from current law appears [in brackets and struckthrough.]

Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Eighteen

AN ACT

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

relative to limits on money judgments in landlord-tenant cases.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 Actions Against Tenants; Money Judgments. Amend RSA 540:13, III to read as follows:

III. The writ of summons and the notice provided in paragraph II shall be returnable 7 days from the date of service of the writ by the sheriff. The writ of summons shall provide an opportunity for the landlord, at the landlord's option, to make a claim for an award of unpaid rent. If the landlord elects to make a claim for unpaid rent, the court shall consider any defense, claim, or counterclaim by the tenant which offsets or reduces the amount owed to the plaintiff. If the court finds that the landlord is entitled to possession on the ground of nonpayment of rent, it shall also award the landlord a money judgment. If the court determines that the amount owed by the landlord to the tenant, as a result of set-off or counterclaim exceeds or equals the amount of rent and other lawful charges owed by the tenant to the landlord, judgment in the possessory action shall be granted in favor of the tenant. If the court finds that the tenant's counterclaim exceeds the amount of the nonpayment, a money judgment shall issue in favor of the tenant. Any decision rendered by the court related to a money judgment, shall be limited to a maximum of [\$1,500] \$3,000 and shall not preclude either party from making a subsequent claim in a court of competent jurisdiction to recover any additional amounts not covered by the [\$1,500] \$3,000 judgment.

2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.