

Bill as
Introduced

HB 357 - AS INTRODUCED

2013 SESSION

13-0595

06/01

HOUSE BILL

357

AN ACT prohibiting an employer from using credit history in employment decisions.

SPONSORS: Rep. Cushing, Rock 21

COMMITTEE: Labor, Industrial and Rehabilitative Services

ANALYSIS

This bill prohibits employers from using credit history in employment decisions.

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in ***bold italics***.
Matter removed from current law appears [~~in brackets and struck through.~~]
Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Thirteen

AN ACT prohibiting an employer from using credit history in employment decisions.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 1 New Subdivision; Credit Privacy. Amend RSA 275 by inserting after section 70 the following
2 new subdivision:

3 Credit Privacy

4 275:71 Definition. In this subdivision, "Credit history" means any written or other
5 communication of any information by a consumer reporting agency as defined in RSA 359-B:3, VI.

6 275:72 Unlawful Discriminatory Practice.

7 I. It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for any employer, labor organization, or
8 employment agency to use or request information in the credit history of a job applicant or employee
9 in connection with or as a criterion for employment decisions related to hiring, termination,
10 promotion, demotion, discipline, compensation, or the terms, conditions, or privileges of employment
11 unless the employer is required by state or federal law to use individual credit history for
12 employment purposes.

13 II. Before an employer may request or use a required credit history, the job applicant or
14 employee shall sign an authorization and consent form which explicitly states the specific purpose,
15 use, and limitation of use of such credit history as it pertains to such employment decision.

16 III. Before using a consumer report to which the employer is entitled, the employer shall
17 provide to the job applicant or employee:

18 (a) The name, address, and telephone number of the consumer reporting agency
19 providing the report.

20 (b) A description of the consumer's rights under RSA 359-B.

21 (c) A reasonable opportunity to respond to any information in the report that is disputed
22 by the job applicant or employee.

23 2 Consumer Credit Reporting; Permissible Purposes of Reports. Amend RSA 359-B:4, I(c)(2) to
24 read as follows:

25 (2) Intends to use the information for employment purposes *when required to do*
26 *so by state or federal law*; or

27 3 Effective Date. This act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.

HB 357 - AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

20Mar2013... 0932h

2013 SESSION

13-0595

06/01

HOUSE BILL

357

AN ACT

prohibiting an employer from using credit history in employment decisions.

SPONSORS:

Rep. Cushing, Rock 21

COMMITTEE:

Labor, Industrial and Rehabilitative Services

ANALYSIS

This bill prohibits employers from using credit history in employment decisions.

Explanation:

Matter added to current law appears in ***bold italics***.

Matter removed from current law appears ~~[in brackets and struckthrough.]~~

Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Thirteen

AN ACT prohibiting an employer from using credit history in employment decisions.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 1 New Subdivision; Employers' Use of Credit History in Hiring Decisions. Amend RSA 275 by
2 inserting after section 70 the following new subdivision:

3 Credit Privacy

4 275:71 Definitions. In this subdivision:

5 I. "Credit history" means any written or other communication of any information about the
6 employee's or prospective employee's credit score, credit account balances, payment history, savings
7 or checking account balances, or savings or checking account numbers by a consumer reporting
8 agency as defined in RSA 359-B:3, VI.

9 II. "Employer" means an individual or entity that permits one or more individuals to work or
10 that accepts applications for employment or is an agent of such individual or entity. "Employer" does
11 not, however, include:

12 (a) Any bank holding company, financial holding company, bank, savings bank, savings
13 and loan association, credit union, or trust company, or any subsidiary or affiliate thereof, that is
14 chartered by any state or the United States.

15 (b) Any state or local government agency which requires use of the employee's or
16 applicant's credit history or credit report.

17 III. "Substantially related to the employee's current or potential job" means the information
18 contained in the credit history is related to the position for which the employee or prospective
19 employee who is the subject of the report is being evaluated because the position:

20 (a) Is a managerial position which involves setting the direction or control of a business,
21 division, unit, or agency of a business;

22 (b) Involves access to customers', employees', or the employer's personal or financial
23 information other than information customarily provided in a retail transaction;

24 (c) Involves a fiduciary responsibility to the employer, including, but not limited to, the
25 authority to issue payments, collect debts, transfer money, or enter into contracts; or

26 (d) Provides an expense account or corporate debit or credit card.

27 275:72 Unlawful Discriminatory Practice. It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for
28 any employer, labor organization, or employment agency to use or request information in the credit
29 history of a job applicant or employee in connection with or as a criterion for employment decisions
30 related to hiring, termination, promotion, demotion, discipline, compensation, or the terms,

1 conditions, or privileges of employment unless the employer is required by state or federal law to use
2 individual credit history for employment purposes, or the employer has a bona fide purpose for
3 requesting or using information in the credit history report that is substantially related to the
4 employee's current or potential job and the employer complies with the notice and consent
5 requirements of the Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. section 1681 et seq.

6 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.

HB 357 - AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

20Mar2013... 0932h
06/06/13 1999s

2013 SESSION

13-0595
06/01

HOUSE BILL

357

AN ACT prohibiting an employer from using credit history in employment decisions and authorizing electronic payment of payroll.

SPONSORS: Rep. Cushing, Rock 21

COMMITTEE: Labor, Industrial and Rehabilitative Services

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This bill:

I. Prohibits employers from using credit history in employment decisions.

II. Deletes the requirement that an employer who pays wages by electronic fund transfer offer employees the option of being paid by check.

III. Permits an employer to pay wages with a payroll card after offering employees the option of being paid by direct deposit.

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in ***bold italics***.
Matter removed from current law appears ~~[in brackets and struck through]~~
Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

HB 357 - AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

20Mar2013... 0932h
06/06/13 1999s

13-0595
06/01

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Thirteen

AN ACT prohibiting an employer from using credit history in employment decisions and authorizing electronic payment of payroll.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 1 New Subdivision; Employers' Use of Credit History in Hiring Decisions. Amend RSA 275 by
2 inserting after section 70 the following new subdivision:

3 Credit Privacy

4 275:71 Definitions. In this subdivision:

5 I. "Credit history" means any written or other communication of any information about the
6 employee's or prospective employee's credit score, credit account balances, payment history, savings
7 or checking account balances, or savings or checking account numbers by a consumer reporting
8 agency as defined in RSA 359-B:3, VI.

9 II. "Employer" means an individual or entity that permits one or more individuals to work or
10 that accepts applications for employment or is an agent of such individual or entity. "Employer" does
11 not, however, include:

12 (a) Any bank holding company, financial holding company, bank, savings bank, savings
13 and loan association, credit union, or trust company, or any subsidiary or affiliate thereof, that is
14 chartered by any state or the United States.

15 (b) Any state or local government agency which requires use of the employee's or
16 applicant's credit history or credit report.

17 III. "Substantially related to the employee's current or potential job" means the information
18 contained in the credit history is related to the position for which the employee or prospective
19 employee who is the subject of the report is being evaluated because the position:

20 (a) Is a managerial position which involves setting the direction or control of a business,
21 division, unit, or agency of a business;

22 (b) Involves access to customers', employees', or the employer's personal or financial
23 information other than information customarily provided in a retail transaction;

24 (c) Involves a fiduciary responsibility to the employer, including, but not limited to, the
25 authority to issue payments, collect debts, transfer money, or enter into contracts; or

26 (d) Provides an expense account or corporate debit or credit card.

27 275:72 Unlawful Discriminatory Practice. It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for
28 any employer, labor organization, or employment agency to use or request information in the credit

1 history of a job applicant or employee in connection with or as a criterion for employment decisions
 2 related to hiring, termination, promotion, demotion, discipline, compensation, or the terms,
 3 conditions, or privileges of employment unless the employer is required by state or federal law to use
 4 individual credit history for employment purposes, or the employer has a bona fide purpose for
 5 requesting or using information in the credit history report that is substantially related to the
 6 employee's current or potential job and the employer complies with the notice and consent
 7 requirements of the Federal Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. section 1681 et seq.

8 2 Payment of Wages; Weekly. Amend RSA 275:43, I(e) to read as follows:

9 (e) With checks on a financial institution convenient to the place of employment where
 10 suitable arrangements are made for the cashing of such checks by employees for the full amount of
 11 the wages due~~]; provided, however, that if an employer elects to pay employees as specified in~~
 12 ~~subparagraphs (b), (c), or (d), the employer shall offer employees the option of being paid as specified~~
 13 ~~in subparagraph (e), and further],~~ provided that all wages in the nature of health and welfare fund
 14 or pension fund contributions required pursuant to a health and welfare fund trust agreement,
 15 pension fund trust agreement, collective bargaining agreement, or other agreement adopted for the
 16 benefit of employees and agreed to by the employer shall be paid by every such employer within 30
 17 days of the date of demand for such payment, the payment to be made to the administrator or other
 18 designated official of the applicable health and welfare or pension trust fund.

19 3 Payment of Wages; Weekly. RSA 275:43, II(b) is repealed and reenacted to read as follows:

20 (b) Provide its employees the option of being paid by direct deposit under subparagraph
 21 I(c). If, after the employer has offered an employee direct deposit and provided the employee with
 22 the written disclosures required by subparagraph (a), the employee does not designate an account at
 23 a financial institution for direct deposit, the employer may arrange to pay the employee using a
 24 payroll card.

25 4 Payment of Wages; Weekly. Amend RSA 275:43, II(c) and (d) to read as follows:

26 (c) Provide written notice of any change to any of the terms and conditions of the payroll
 27 card or payroll card account, including but not limited to an itemized list of all fees that may have
 28 changed~~], and obtain written assent from the employee that the employee voluntarily consents to~~
 29 ~~receive wages to a payroll card or payroll card account subject to the changes].~~ The employer shall
 30 be responsible for any increase in fees charged to the employee before the employer provides written
 31 notice of such changes to the employee.

32 (d) Provide the employee the option to discontinue receipt of wages by a payroll card or
 33 payroll card account at any time, without penalty to the employee *and to instead receive wages by*
 34 *direct deposit or another method offered by the employer, if any.*

35 5 Effective Date. This act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.

Amendments



Sen. Sanborn, Dist. 9
April 24, 2013
2013-1387s
06/09

Amendment to HB 357

1 Amend RSA 275:72 as inserted by section 1 of the bill by replacing it with the following:

2

3 275:72 Unlawful Discriminatory Practice. It shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for
4 any employer, labor organization, or employment agency to use or request information in the credit
5 history of a job applicant or employee in connection with or as a criterion for employment decisions
6 related to hiring, termination, promotion, demotion, discipline, compensation, or the terms,
7 conditions, or privileges of employment unless:

8 I. The employer is required by state or federal law to use individual credit history for
9 employment purposes;

10 II. The employee is responsible for cash transactions or transactions involving cash
11 equivalents; or

12 III. The employer has a bona fide purpose for requesting or using information in the credit
13 history report that is substantially related to the employee's current or potential job and the
14 employer complies with the notice and consent requirements of the Federal Fair Credit Reporting
15 Act, 15 U.S.C. section 1681 et seq.

16 275:73 Exemption. Nothing in this subdivision shall apply to any state or local government
17 agency requirement regarding the use of or request for the credit history of the following employees
18 or prospective employees:

19 I. A police officer, conservation officer of the fish and game department, or inspector of the
20 state liquor commission, who meet the requirements of RSA 100-A:1, VII(a).

21 II. Correctional line personnel employed in the department of corrections who meet the
22 requirements of RSA 100-A:1, VII(b).

23 III. Correctional line personnel employed in a county correctional facility who meet the
24 requirements of RSA 100-A:1, VII(c).

25 IV. A state corrections officer who meets the requirements of RSA 100-A:1, VII(d).

26 V. Full-time marine patrol officers employed in the department of safety who have all the
27 powers of a peace officer under RSA 270:12-a.

28 VI. A probation-parole officer in the division of field services of the department of
29 corrections pursuant to RSA 504-A who meets the requirements of RSA 100-A:1, VIII(f).

30 VII. Firefighters.

Sen. Bradley, Dist. 3

June 5, 2013

2013-1999s

06/10

Floor Amendment to HB 357

Amend the title of the bill by replacing it with the following:

AN ACT prohibiting an employer from using credit history in employment decisions and authorizing electronic payment of payroll.

Amend the bill by replacing all after section 1 with the following:

2 Payment of Wages; Weekly. Amend RSA 275:43, I(e) to read as follows:

(e) With checks on a financial institution convenient to the place of employment where suitable arrangements are made for the cashing of such checks by employees for the full amount of the wages due; ~~provided, however, that if an employer elects to pay employees as specified in subparagraphs (b), (c), or (d), the employer shall offer employees the option of being paid as specified in subparagraph (e), and further,~~ provided that all wages in the nature of health and welfare fund or pension fund contributions required pursuant to a health and welfare fund trust agreement, pension fund trust agreement, collective bargaining agreement, or other agreement adopted for the benefit of employees and agreed to by the employer shall be paid by every such employer within 30 days of the date of demand for such payment, the payment to be made to the administrator or other designated official of the applicable health and welfare or pension trust fund.

3 Payment of Wages; Weekly. RSA 275:43, II(b) is repealed and reenacted to read as follows:

(b) Provide its employees the option of being paid by direct deposit under subparagraph I(c). If, after the employer has offered an employee direct deposit and provided the employee with the written disclosures required by subparagraph (a), the employee does not designate an account at a financial institution for direct deposit, the employer may arrange to pay the employee using a payroll card.

4 Payment of Wages; Weekly. Amend RSA 275:43, II(c) and (d) to read as follows:

(c) Provide written notice of any change to any of the terms and conditions of the payroll card or payroll card account, including but not limited to an itemized list of all fees that may have changed; ~~and obtain written assent from the employee that the employee voluntarily consents to receive wages to a payroll card or payroll card account subject to the changes].~~ The employer shall be responsible for any increase in fees charged to the employee before the employer provides written notice of such changes to the employee.

(d) Provide the employee the option to discontinue receipt of wages by a payroll card or

payroll card account at any time, without penalty to the employee *and to instead receive wages by direct deposit or another method offered by the employer, if any.*

5 Effective Date. This act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.

2013-1999s

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This bill:

- I. Prohibits employers from using credit history in employment decisions.
- II. Deletes the requirement that an employer who pays wages by electronic fund transfer offer employees the option of being paid by check.
- III. Permits an employer to pay wages with a payroll card after offering employees the option of being paid by direct deposit.

Committee Minutes

AMENDED
SENATE CALENDAR NOTICE
COMMERCE

Printed: 04/08/2013 at 9:05 am

Senator Andy Sanborn Chairman
Senator Jeb Bradley V Chairman
Senator Sam Cataldo
Senator Andrew Hosmer
Senator David Pierce

For Use by Senate Clerk's Office ONLY	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Bill Status
<input type="checkbox"/>	Docket
<input type="checkbox"/>	Calendar
Proof: <input type="checkbox"/>	Calendar <input type="checkbox"/>
	Bill Status

Date: April 8, 2013

HEARINGS

Tuesday

4/9/2013

COMMERCE

SH 100

1:00 PM

(Name of Committee)

(Place)

(Time)

EXECUTIVE SESSION MAY FOLLOW

Comments: Please note the room change to SH 100.

1:00 PM	HB328-FN	relative to the sale of pets.
1:20 PM	HB357	prohibiting an employer from using credit history in employment decisions.
1:40 PM	HB361	relative to worksharing.
2:00 PM	HB414	relative to privacy in the workplace.
2:20 PM	HB501-FN	(New Title) instituting a state minimum hourly rate.
2:40 PM	HB664-FN	relative to the New Hampshire vaccine association.

Sponsors:

HB328-FN Rep. John O'Connor	Rep. Tara Sad		
HB357 Rep. Robert Cushing			
HB361 Rep. Andrew White			
HB414 Rep. Katherine Rogers	Sen. Donna Soucy	Rep. Peter Sullivan	
HB501-FN Rep. Timothy Horrigan	Rep. Charles Weed	Rep. Kenneth Ward	Rep. Peter Sullivan
Rep. Paul Berch	Rep. Larry Phillips		
HB664-FN Rep. Laurie Harding	Rep. Cindy Rosenwald	Rep. Donna Schlachman	Rep. John Hunt
Sen. Bob Odell	Sen. Peggy Gilmour	Sen. Jeanie Forrester	

Patrick Murphy 271-8631

Sen. Andy Sanborn

Chairman

SENATE COMMERCE COMMITTEE

Patrick Murphy, Legislative Aide

House Bill 357 prohibiting an employer from using credit history in employment decisions.

Hearing Date: April 9, 2013

Time Opened: 1:20 p.m.

Time Closed: 1:55 p.m.

Members of the Committee Present:

Senator Sanborn, Senator Bradley, Senator Cataldo, Senator Hosmer, Senator Pierce

Members of the Committee Absent: None

Bill Analysis: This bill prohibits employers from using credit history in employment decisions.

Sponsors: Rep. Cushing, Rock 21

Who supports the bill: Rep. Cushing, Rock 21; Rep. White, Graf 13; Kurt Ehrenberg, NH AFL-CIO; Dan Feltes, NH Legal Assistance

Who opposes the bill: Rep. Daniels, Hills 40; Dave Juvet, BIA; Chris Williams, Greater Nashua Chamber of Commerce

Summary of testimony presented in support:

Rep. Cushing, Rock 21

- This bill is about the right of privacy.
- Routine credit history checks are an unwarranted invasion of privacy and create an unnecessary barrier to employment. There is no credible evidence that an applicant's credit history is an accurate predictor of trustworthiness or future job performance.

Rep. White, Graf 13

- Evidence has shown that 1 in 5 credit reports are wrong. Someone can have a bad credit report because of a loss of job, a medical event, or a divorce, none of which reflect on someone's ability to perform at their job. A credit report doesn't show criminal history such as when someone's identity is stolen.
- A lot of work was done with stakeholders on this bill.

Kurt Ehrenberg, NH AFL-CIO

- Employment denial based on credit check information tends to disproportionately obstruct access to jobs for women and minorities. 19 other states have introduced similar legislation and 8 states have enacted this legislation.
- This bill will help NH families.

Summary of testimony presented in opposition:

Rep. Daniels, Hills 40

- An employer should be able to know who they are hiring and should be able to discuss this topic in an interview. Employers should have the maximum amount of latitude. Maybe this is a fine line, but this is not a privacy violation.
- In response to questions from the Committee, the Representative stated that no evidence was presented during the House debate, they just had conversations.

Fiscal Note: None

Future Action: Pending

Speakers

Testimony

Why You Should Support HB 357:

This legislation removes a significant barrier to employment by limiting an employer's ability to use credit history information as a criteria for employment decisions.

- 1. For the vast majority of jobs available in New Hampshire today, routine credit history checks amount to AN UNWARRANTED INVASION OF PRIVACY and create AN UNNECESSARY BARRIER TO EMPLOYMENT.**

Of the 6,100 private sector service providing jobs created in New Hampshire in 2012, 4,800 – or 78% - were in the fields of health care & social assistance, leisure & hospitality, retail trade, education, and non-managerial business functions. Only 16% of new private sector service jobs in 2012 were in the financial activities sector.

Source: NH Economic, Labor, and Market Information Bureau, Current Employment Statistics, New Hampshire Non-Farm Employment, 2012 Preliminary Benchmark – Seasonally Adjusted, February 28, 2013:
<http://www.nhes.nh.gov/elmi/statistics/ces-data.htm#bench>

- 2. 6 out of 10 American employers now look at a job applicant's credit history report when hiring for some or all positions – up from 2 in 10 in the mid-1990s – even though there is NO CREDIBLE EVIDENCE that an applicant's credit history is an accurate predictor of trustworthiness or future job performance.**

Credit history reports are not designed as an employment screening tool. A spokesman for Trans-Union, one of the major credit reporting companies, admitted that “we don't have any research to show any statistical correlation between what's in somebody's credit report and their job performance or their likelihood to commit fraud.”

Source: *New York Times* investigative report, [As a Hiring Filter, Credit Checks Draw Questions](http://www.nytimes.com/2010/04/10/business/10credit.html), by Andrew Martin, April 9, 2010:
<http://www.nytimes.com/2010/04/10/business/10credit.html>

- 3. Qualified job seekers are DENIED EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES because of credit history checks.**

A 2012 survey of low- and middle-income households found that 1 in 10 unemployed workers had been informed that they would not be hired for a job because of information in their credit history report. Among unemployed workers with blemished credit history, 1 in 7 had been advised they were not hired because of their credit.

Source: Demos Report: *Discredited: How employment credit checks keep qualified workers out of a job*
<http://www.demos.org/discredited-how-employment-credit-checks-keep-qualified-workers-out-job>

4. Employment denial based on credit check information tends to DISPROPORTIONATELY OBSTRUCT ACCESS TO JOBS FOR WOMEN AND MINORITIES.

Guidelines from U.S. Equal Employment Opportunities Commission classify pre-employment inquiries on credit rating or economic status as a “prohibited practice” unless an “employer can show that such information is essential to the particular job in question.”

Debt or poor credit ratings accrued in divorce and from medical expenses are more likely to affect women, lower-income families, and the long-term unemployed and cannot legitimately be used in employment decisions. Furthermore, information in credit history reports may not be accurate. Disputed credit reports can take extensive time to resolve, leaving an applicant without a potential job for something he or she cannot control and is not responsible for.

Source: U.S. EEOC: http://www.eeoc.gov/laws/practices/inquiries_credit.cfm

5. There is NO CONFLICT with the worker privacy protections set forth in HB 135 and employer actions legally required by the federal & state Fair Credit Reporting Act.

HB 357 sponsors work diligently with stakeholders to craft language that permits exceptions for the banking and securities industries, the state and political subdivisions, and other employers with a bona fide purpose while providing credit privacy protections for the majority of New Hampshire workers. HB357 permits employers to require credit history information as a condition of employment when:

1. Credit history information is required by state or federal law, OR
2. An employer can show that credit history information is essential to the particular job in question, as defined in HB357 Section III, a-d.

Under the allowable circumstances OR anytime an employer requires credit history check in violation of the proposed law, *the employer's legal obligations when requesting credit history information for employment decisions are unchanged*, as established under state and federal Fair Credit Reporting Act laws.

6. Similar legislation has been passed and TESTED IN OTHER STATES.

To date, 19 states have introduced legislation to restrict the use of credit information in employment decisions and 8 have enacted laws:

- | | |
|----------------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Washington – 2007 | 5. California - 2011 |
| 2. Hawaii – 2009 | 6. Connecticut - 2011 |
| 3. Illinois – 2010 | 7. Maryland - 2011 |
| 4. Oregon – 2010 | 8. Vermont – 2012 |

Source: National Conference of State Legislatures
<http://www.ncsl.org/issues-research/banking/use-of-credit-info-in-employ-2012-legis.aspx>

Committee Report

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE

Date: May 8, 2013

THE COMMITTEE ON Commerce

to which was referred House Bill 357

AN ACT prohibiting an employer from using credit history in
 employment decisions.

Having considered the same, the committee recommends that the Bill:

BE RE-REFERRED TO COMMITTEE

BY A VOTE OF: 3-2

Senator Jeb E. Bradley
For the Committee

Patrick Murphy 271-8631

Docket of HB357

Bill Title: prohibiting an employer from using credit history in employment decisions.

Official Docket of HB357:

Date	Body	Description
1/3/2013	H	Introduced 1/3/2013 and Referred to Labor, Industrial and Rehabilitative Services; HJ 12 , PG.192
1/23/2013	H	Public Hearing: 2/12/2013 11:00 AM LOB 307
2/20/2013	H	Full Committee Work Session: 2/28/2013 10:45 AM LOB 307
2/25/2013	H	Executive Session: 3/5/2013 1:00 PM LOB 307
3/5/2013	H	Committee Report: Without Recommendation for Mar 20, Regular Calendar Part II; HC 23 PG.594
3/20/2013	H	Ought to Pass (Rep A.White); HJ 27 , PG.879
3/20/2013	H	Lay on Table (Rep.Elliott): MF DIV 130-219; HJ 27 , PG.879
3/20/2013	H	Floor Amendment #2013-0932h (Rep A.White): AA VV; HJ 27 , PG.879-880
3/20/2013	H	Ought to Pass with Amendment #0932h: MA RC 213-144; HJ 27 , PG.879-882
3/21/2013	S	Introduced and Referred to Commerce
4/4/2013	S	Hearing: 4/9/13, Room 101, LOB, 1:20 p.m.; SC15
4/8/2013	S	Hearing: === ROOM CHANGE === 4/9/13, Room 100, SH, 1:20 p.m.
5/10/2013	S	Committee Report: Rereferred to Committee, 5/23/13; SC21
5/23/2013	S	Without Objection, HB 357 is Special Ordered to the Next Session Day, 2/3 Necessary, MA;
5/23/2013	S	Committee Report: Rereferred to Committee, 5/30/13; SC22
5/30/2013	S	Without Objection, HB 357 is Special Ordered to the Next Session Day, 2/3 Necessary, MA;
5/30/2013	S	Committee Report: Rereferred to Committee, 6/6/13; SC23
6/6/2013	S	Without Objection, HB 357 is Special Ordered to the end of the Regular Calendar, 2/3 Necessary, MA;
6/6/2013	S	Rereferred to Committee, MF, VV;
6/6/2013	S	Sen. Bradley moved Ought to Pass
6/6/2013	S	Sen. Bradley Floor Amendment #2013-1999s , NT, RC 13Y-11N, AA
6/6/2013	S	Sen. Soucy Moved to Divide the Question: Section 1 and Sections 2, 3 & 4
6/6/2013	S	The Chair Ruled the Question Divisible: Section 1 and Sections 2, 3 & 4

6/6/2013	S	<u>Ought to Pass with Amendment</u> 1999s, NT, Sections 1 and 5, <u>RC</u> 20Y-4N, MA; OT3rdg
6/6/2013	S	Ought to Pass with Amendment 1999s, NT, Sections 2, 3, 4 and 5, <u>RC</u> 17Y-7N, MA; OT3rdg;
6/11/2013	H	House Non-Concurs with Senate AM #1999s(NT) and Requests C of C (Rep Shurtleff): MA VV [Recess of 6/5/13]; <u>HJ49</u> , PG.1649-1650
6/11/2013	H	Speaker Appoints: Reps A. White, Weed, Ley, and Cahill [Recess of 6/5/13]; <u>HJ49</u> , PG.1649-1650
6/12/2013	S	Sen. Sanborn Moved Accede to House Request for Committee of Conference, MA, VV
6/12/2013	S	President Appoints: Senators Bradley, Sanborn, Hosmer
6/13/2013	H	Committee of Conference Meeting: 6/18/2013 9:45 AM LOB 307
6/20/2013	S	Conference Committee Report, Not Signed Off

Other Referrals

COMMITTEE REPORT FILE INVENTORY

HR 357 ORIGINAL REFERRAL _____ RE-REFERRAL

1. THIS INVENTORY IS TO BE SIGNED AND DATED BY THE COMMITTEE AIDE AND PLACED INSIDE THE FOLDER AS THE FIRST ITEM IN THE COMMITTEE FILE.
2. PLACE ALL DOCUMENTS IN THE FOLDER FOLLOWING THE INVENTORY IN THE ORDER LISTED.
3. THE DOCUMENTS WHICH HAVE AN "X" BESIDE THEM ARE CONFIRMED AS BEING IN THE FOLDER.
4. THE COMPLETED FILE IS THEN DELIVERED TO THE CALENDAR CLERK.

- DOCKET (Submit only the latest docket found in Bill Status)
- COMMITTEE REPORT
- CALENDAR NOTICE
- HEARING REPORT
- HANDOUTS FROM THE PUBLIC HEARING
- PREPARED TESTIMONY AND OTHER SUBMISSIONS
- SIGN-UP SHEET(S)

ALL AMENDMENTS (passed or not) CONSIDERED BY COMMITTEE:

- AMENDMENT # 1387s _____ - AMENDMENT # _____
_____ - AMENDMENT # _____ _____ - AMENDMENT # _____

ALL AVAILABLE VERSIONS OF THE BILL:

AS INTRODUCED AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE
_____ FINAL VERSION AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

- OTHER (Anything else deemed important but not listed above, such as amended fiscal notes): Senate Floor Amendment 1999s

DATE DELIVERED TO SENATE CLERK 7-19-13

PJM
BY COMMITTEE AIDE