## Bill as Introduced

### HB 371 - AS INTRODUCED

### 2013 SESSION

13-0753 05/03

HOUSE BILL

*371* 

AN ACT

repealing the statute recognizing cohabitation as a basis for legal marriage.

SPONSORS:

Rep. Bickford, Straf 3

COMMITTEE:

Judiciary

### ANALYSIS.

This bill repeals the statute recognizing cohabitation as a basis of legal marriage.

Explanation:

Matter added to current law appears in bold italics.

Matter removed from current law appears [in brackets and struckthrough.]

Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

### In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Thirteen

AN ACT

1

2

3

**4** 5

6

7

8

9

11

repealing the statute recognizing cohabitation as a basis for legal marriage.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 Death Benefit for Police Officer or Firefighter Killed in Line of Duty. Amend RSA 21-I:29-a,

I(a) to read as follows:

(a) "Family" means the surviving spouse of the police officer or firefighter who was wholly or partially dependent, in fact, upon the earnings of the police officer or firefighter; or, if there is no surviving spouse, the surviving dependent child or dependent children, of such police officer or firefighter or, if there is no surviving dependent child, [a surviving person qualifying as a common-law spouse,] the surviving adult child or adult children, or if there is no surviving adult child or adult children, the surviving

parent or parents of such police officer or firefighter. A surviving dependent child shall include a

- dependent step-child whose expenses of daily living were substantially paid for by the decedent at
- 12 2 Repeal. The following are repealed:

the time of the death.

- I. RSA 457:39, relative to cohabitation as a basis for legal marriage.
- II. RSA 457:40, relative to proof of marriage in civil actions.
- 15. III. RSA 457:41, relative to proof of marriage in criminal cases.
- 16 3 Effective Date. This act shall take effect January 1, 2014.

## Speakers

### SIGN UP SHEET

To Register Opinion If Not Speaking

Bill # HD Committee	31/ diciary	_ Date law 4	My 17, 2010		
	•	All Information			
Name	Address	Phone Representing		(check one)	
	peout 137 minde 55 Lanc				X
					$\vdash$
	<del></del>				-
		······			
					-
			,		
			,		<del> </del>
		·			<u> </u>
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				-
					-
			· · ·	1	

### Hearing Minutes

### HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

### **PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 371**

BILL TITLE:

repealing the statute recognizing cohabitation as a basis for legal

marriage.

DATE:

January 29, 2013

LOB ROOM:

208

Time Public Hearing Called to Order:

10:44 am

Time Adjourned:

11:11 am

(please circle if present)

<u>Committee Members</u>: Reps. Marjorie Smith, Wall, P. Sullivan, Horrigan, Watrous, Hackel, Woodbury, Berch, Phillips, Gale, Heffron, Rowe, Luther, Sylvia, Hopper, Peterson, Takesian, D. Thompson, Kappler and Hagan.

Bill Sponsor: Rep. Bickford, Straf 3

### **TESTIMONY**

\* Use asterisk if written testimony and/or amendments are submitted.

### Rep. David Bickford, prime sponsor - support

Introduced the bill to the committee. He feels cohabitation status should not be "rewarded" by being able to claim benefits, i.e. Social Security, survivor other accrued compensation that may currently be awarded to non-married "spouse: under common law (applicable to deceased only).

Rep. George Lambert - oppose

Attorney Sarah Mattson, New Hampshire Legal Association - oppose

Respectfully submitted,

Rep. Sylvia E.Gale, Clerk

### HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

### **PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 371**

BILL TITLE:

repealing the statute recognizing cohabitation as a basis for legal

marriage.

DATE:

{Type HEARING DATE here} 129 13

LOB ROOM:

208

Time Public Hearing Called to Order:

(Time) 10:44AN

Time Adjourned:

{Time} []! | ] AN

(please circle if present)

Committee Members: Reps. Marjorie Smith, Wall P. Sullivan, Horrigan, Watrous, Hackel, Woodbury, Rerch Phillips, Gale Meffron, Rowe, Luther, Sylvia, Hopper, Peterson, Takesian, D. Thompson, Kappler and Hagan

Bill Sponsor: Rep. Bickford, Straf 3

### **TESTIMONY**

\* Use asterisk if written testimony and/or amendments are submitted.

Rep. D. Bickford- Prime SponsorPresented bill to Conte.

Presented bill to Conte.

Coels Cohabitation status

Should not be "rewarded"

Should not be "rewarded"

by being able to claim

benefits, ie 55, Survivor

other accrued compansation

that a may currently

be awarded to mon-married

be awarded to mon-married

be awarded to mon-married

"spouse" under Common-law

"spouse" under Common-law

"spouse" under Common-law

Respectfully submitted,

Respectfully submitted,

Aty Sarah Mattson - MALA - opposes

Rep. Sylvia E.Gale, Clerk

### Testimony

HB 371 Judiciary Committee January 29, 2013 Rep. David A. Bickford

457:39 Cohabitation, etc. – Persons cohabiting and acknowledging each other as husband and wife, and generally reputed to be such, for the period of 3 years, and until the decease of one of them, shall thereafter be deemed to have been legally married.

In other words if someone misrepresents (lies about) their marital status to the public for 3 years before their "Significant Other" dies, then upon that persons death the surviving SO becomes legally married....to the dead SO. The surviving "Significant Other" becomes eligible to take a share of the estate regardless of what the intentions of the deceased were and seek Social Security survivor benefits without ever indulging in the risk or responsibility of marriage.

this is akin to claiming to be a Voteran to get vet benefits.

This is not about situations where there has been a mistake by a J. P. or minister. Those errors become legal marriages under RSA 457:36 Effect of Informality. If the couple wanted marriage benefits they should have married while they were both alive and taken responsibility for each other. This is an inappropriate tool for someone to take estates away from children or those designated in a will while the dead SO is left very limited ability to defend their pesition. Intentions

As you can see having a marriage that only applies to a husband and wife excludes same sex couples which brings us to the issue of why only opposite sex couples should have a corner on deceiving the public for someone's estate? Why shouldn't a same sex couple also have the same equal opportunity. / The Equal Protection Clause of the 14th Amendment of the US Constitution was ratified in The 1868. Cohabitation statute, that creates legal marriage after death dates back to 1842. That's 26 years prior to equal protection. This particular type of marriage for this purpose does not pass the compelling state interest test that would allow a state to restrict what classes of people may enjoy it.

At the very least this bill should be amended to include all possible cohabitations. In cluding same sex,

Why put the courts through the arduous task of deciding who pretended to be married enough to qualify. The law is ambiguous NHSC Bourassa 2008 helped narrow it a bit by requiring SO to "avowel" meaning declare they are married. Despite the truth.

It's a waste of the courts time and the states money to have to hear these cases because significant others see an opportunity to maximize their benefits. The S.O. could have just gotten married and saved a lot of trouble. Because of the uncertainty of the law, lawyers are also left trying to sort out if they have a case for their client or not and are weighed down with the thought they must do all possible for their client.

For the state to endorse and reward those who practice deceiving the public with benefits and yet those same benefits would be denied an honest person that does not falsify there marital status is somehow wrong.

Repeal is the best Solution.

### **Proof of Marriage**

Remains 457:38 Certified Copy of Record. – A copy of the record of a marriage, certified by a city or town clerk or by the registrar of vital records, shall be received in all courts and places as evidence of the fact of the marriage.

**Source.** RS 147:14. CS 156:11. GS 161:12. GL 180:12. PS 174:14. PL 286:35. RL 338:38. RSA 457:38. 1981, 160:5. 2003, 319:72, eff. July 1, 2003.

Repeal 457:39 Cohabitation, etc. – Persons cohabiting and acknowledging each other as husband and wife, and generally reputed to be such, for the period of 3 years, and until the decease of one of them, shall thereafter be deemed to have been legally married.

Source. RS 149:11. CS 158:19. GS 161:16. GL 180:16. PS 174:15. PL 286:36. RL 338:39.

**Repeal 457:40 In Civil Actions.** – In all civil actions, except actions for criminal conversation, evidence of acknowledgment, cohabitation, and reputation is competent proof of marriage.

Source. GS 161:17. GL 180:17. PS 174:16. PL 286:37. RL 338:40.

Repeal 457:41 In Criminal Cases. – In actions for criminal conversation, and in indictments for adultery, bigamy, and the like, there must be proof of a marriage in fact.

Source. GS 161:18. GL 180:18. PS 174:17. PL 286:38. RL 338:41.

## Voting Sheets

### HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

### **EXECUTIVE SESSION on HB 371**

BILL TITLE:

repealing the statute recognizing cohabitation as a basis for legal marriage.

DATE:

February 5, 2012

LOB ROOM:

208

### Amendments:

Sponsor: Rep.

OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep.

OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep.

OLS Document #:

Motions:

OTP, OTP/A, ITL, Retained (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep. Takesian

Seconded by Rep. Thompson

oto 1

18-0 (Please attach record of roll call vote.)

Motions:

OTP, OTP/A, ITL, Retained (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep.

Seconded by Rep.

Vote:

(Please attach record of roll call vote.)

### CONSENT CALENDAR VOTE: 18-0 YES

(Vote to place on Consent Calendar must be unanimous.)

**Statement of Intent:** 

Refer to Committee Report

Respectfully submitted,

Rep. Sylvia E. Gale, Clerk

### HOUSE COMMITTEE ON JUDICIARY

### **EXECUTIVE SESSION on HB 371**

BILL TITLE: repealing the statute recognizing cohabitation as a basis for legal marriage.

DATE:  $\{Type DATE\}$  2-5-13

LOB ROOM: 208

Amendments:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Motions: OTP, OTP/A, TTD Retained (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep. Takesian

Seconded by Rep. Thompson

Vote: (Please attach record of roll call vote.)

Motions: OTP, OTP/A, ITL, Retained (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep.

Seconded by Rep.

Vote: (Please attach record of roll call vote.)

CONSENT CALENDAR VOTE: {Type VOTE} 15 mo objection

(Vote to place on Consent Calendar must be unanimous.)

Statement of Intent: Refer to Committee Report

Respectfully submitted,

Rep. Sylvia E. Gale, Clerk

### RAL STORY

Thompson, David H

**TOTAL VOTE:** 

### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE OFFICE OF THE HOUSE CLERK

1/10/2013 11:55:21 AM Roll Call Committee Registers Report

### 2013 SESSION

**JUDICIARY** Bill #: \_ HB 371 Title: <u>repealin</u> PH Date: \_\_ / 1 29 1 /3 Exec Session Date: \_\_ タィ ろ Motion: Amendment #: **YEAS MEMBER NAYS** Smith, Marjorie K, Chairman Wall, Janet G, V Chairman Hackel, Paul L. Carey Watrous, Rick H Sullivan, Peter M Horrigan, Timothy O Berch, Paul S Gale, Sylvia E, Clerk Heffron, Frank H Phillips, Larry R Woodbury, David Rowe, Robert H, 10 Hagan, Joseph M Peterson, Lenette M, Hopper, Gary S Kappler, Lawrence M Luther, Robert A Sylvia, Michael J Takesian, Charlene F

# Committee Report

### CONSENT CALENDAR

**February 6, 2013** 

### HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

### REPORT OF COMMITTEE

The Committee on <u>JUDICIARY</u> to which was referred HB 371,

AN ACT repealing the statute recognizing cohabitation as a basis for legal marriage. Having considered the same, report the same with the following Resolution: RESOLVED, That it is INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE.

Rep. Charlene F Takesian

FOR THE COMMITTEE

Original: House Clerk

Cc: Committee Bill File

### COMMITTEE REPORT

Committee:	JUDICIARY		
Bill Number:	HB 371		
Title:	repealing the statute recognizing cohabitation as a basis for legal marriage.		
Date:	February 6, 2013		
Consent Calendar:	YES		
Recommendation:	INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE		

### STATEMENT OF INTENT

This bill redefines a death benefit for a police officer or firefighter killed in the line of duty. In addition, this bill would repeal RSA 457:39, relative to cohabitation as a basis for legal marriage. The Committee concluded that this would have an unintended impact on people's rights, property rights, children and heirs of those who rely on RSA 457:39 for those rights. This bill would have totally revised the rights of those couples who cohabitate as their basis for legal marriage.

Vote 18-0.

Rep. Charlene F Takesian FOR THE COMMITTEE

Original: House Clerk

Cc: Committee Bill File

### CONSENT CALENDAR

### **JUDICIARY**

HB 371, repealing the statute recognizing cohabitation as a basis for legal marriage. INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE.

Rep. Charlene F Takesian for JUDICIARY. This bill redefines a death benefit for a police officer or firefighter killed in the line of duty. In addition, this bill would repeal RSA 457:39, relative to cohabitation as a basis for legal marriage. The Committee concluded that this would have an unintended impact on people's rights, property rights, children and heirs of those who rely on RSA 457:39 for those rights. This bill would have totally revised the rights of those couples who cohabitate as their basis for legal marriage. Vote 18-0.

Original: House Clerk

Cc: Committee Bill File

	COMMITTEE REPORT takesien
<u>-</u>	COMMITTEE: Judiclary
	BILL NUMBER: H3 371
	TITLE: repealing the Statute recognizing
	Cohabitation as abasis for legal marri
	DATE: $2-5-13$ CONSENT CALENDAR: YES NO
	OUGHT TO PASS
	OUGHT TO PASS W/ AMENDMENT  Amendment No.
	INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE
	INTERIM STUDY (Available only 2 <sup>nd</sup> year of biennium)
	STATEMENT OF INTENT:
	THIS BILL APPEARED TO REDEFINES A DEATH BENEFIT FOR
	APOLICE OFFICER OR FIREFIGHTER KILLEDIN THE LINE OF DUTY.
•	HOWEVERTHE COMMITTEE FELT THAT THE GREATER IMPACT OF
ado	THIS BILL WASTAL REPEAL OF RSA 457:39 RELATIVE TO CONABITATION
	ASABASIS FOR LEGAL MARRINGE BOYD WOULD HAVE AN UNINTENDED WOR
	IMPACT ON PEOPLE'S RIGHTS, PROPERTY RIGHTS, CHILDREN
	AND HERS OF THOSE WHO RELY ON RSA 457: 39 FOR THOSE RIGHTS.
	THIS BILL WOULD HAVE TOTALLY REVISED THE RIGHTS OF THOSE
	COUPLES WHO CONABITATE AS THEIR BASIS FOR LEGAL MARRIAGE.
	- AK
,	COMMITTEE VOTE: (S - C)
	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,
	Copy to Committee Bill File     Use Another Report for Minority Report  Rep. Karlem Jakesm
•	For the Committee

tallesien

### CONSENT CALENDAR

### MAJORITY REPORT

### **JUDICIARY**

HB 371, repealing the statute recognizing cohabitation as a basis for legal marriage.

### RECOMMENDATION: INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE VOTE: 18-0

This bill redefines a death benefit for a police officer or firefighter killed in the line of duty. In addition, this bill would repeal RSA 457:39, relative to cohabitation as a basis for legal marriage. The Committee concluded that this would have an unintended impact on people's rights, property rights, children and heirs of those who rely on RSA 457:39 for those rights. This bill would have totally revised the rights of those couples who cohabitate as their basis for legal marriage.

Rep. Charlene F. Takesian

OK MKS