

# Bill as Introduced

SB 34-FN - AS INTRODUCED

2011 SESSION

11-0928  
05/09

SENATE BILL

***34-FN***

AN ACT

relative to orders of notice in cases involving guardianship of minors.

SPONSORS:

Sen. Houde, Dist 5; Rep. Sorg, Graf 3

COMMITTEE:

Judiciary

---

ANALYSIS

This bill clarifies the court's responsibility for sending orders of notice in guardianship cases and provides for charging the mailing cost to the petitioner.

This bill is a request of the supreme court.

-----  
Explanation:

Matter added to current law appears in ***bold italics***.

Matter removed from current law appears [~~in brackets and struck through~~].

Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

*In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Eleven*

AN ACT relative to orders of notice in cases involving guardianship of minors.

*Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:*

1 1 New Paragraph; Guardianship of Minors; Notice. Amend RSA 463:6 by inserting after  
2 paragraph IV the following new paragraph:

3 V. The cost of orders of notice sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, shall be paid  
4 by the petitioner.

5 2 Guardianship; Ex Parte and Temporary Orders. Amend RSA 463:7, I(a)(2) to read as follows:

6 (2) Notwithstanding subparagraph (1), any ex parte order entered expires 30 days  
7 after the date of the order; however, any ex parte order may be extended pending notice on persons  
8 required to receive notice under RSA 463:6, I if the party or parties seeking guardianship or  
9 appointed guardian ex parte provide proof satisfactory to the court that they have undertaken a due  
10 and diligent effort to provide *the court with the names and addresses of those persons*  
11 *required to receive* notice *under RSA 463:6, I*. In the event of such an extension, the court may  
12 enter such limitations on the extension as it deems reasonable and appropriate. Any further  
13 extension shall be only upon like request and proof. In the case of any extension, the court shall  
14 enter an express finding that the petitioner or petitioners for guardianship, or the person or persons  
15 appointed ex parte, have made a due and diligent effort to [~~effect required service~~] *provide the*  
16 *court with the names and addresses of those persons required to receive notice under RSA*  
17 *463:6, I* and that the minor is still at risk.

18 3 Effective Date. This act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.

LBAO  
11-0928  
01/24/11

**SB 34-FN - FISCAL NOTE**

AN ACT                   relative to orders of notice in cases involving guardianship of minors.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

The Judicial Branch states this bill will increase state general fund revenues by \$14,729 in FY 2012 and in each fiscal year thereafter. There will be no fiscal impact on state expenditures or county and local revenues or expenditures.

**METHODOLOGY:**

The Judicial Branch states this bill clarifies the courts' responsibility to send orders of notice in cases involving guardianship of minors and adds that the corresponding postage costs be incurred by the petitioner, not the Branch, as under current practice. The Branch states jurisdiction over guardianship of minors is in the family division but falls to probate court in areas where there is not yet a family division established. The Branch states in calendar year 2010 there was a total of 1,339 guardianship of minors cases filed statewide (1,038 in family division and 301 in probate court). The Branch states the average postage cost per case is \$11.00. The Branch states under this bill, these costs would be recovered from the petitioner and will result in a \$14,729 (1,339 X \$11.00) increase to state general fund revenues in FY 2012 and in each fiscal year thereafter.

SB 34-FN - AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

02/23/11 0301s

2011 SESSION

11-0928

05/09

SENATE BILL **34-FN**

AN ACT relative to orders of notice in guardianship cases; relative to approvals of marriages for persons under 18 years of age by the judicial branch family division; and relative to the adjudicatory hearing date in child protection cases.

SPONSORS: Sen. Houde, Dist 5; Rep. Sorg, Graf 3

COMMITTEE: Judiciary

---

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This bill:

I. Clarifies the court's responsibility for sending orders of notice in guardianship cases and provides for charging the mailing cost to the petitioner.

II. Transfers jurisdiction for approvals of marriages for persons under 18 years of age to the judicial branch family division.

III. Establishes and clarifies the time period within which the court must hold the adjudicatory hearing in child protection cases.

This bill is a request of the supreme court.

---

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in ***bold italics***.  
Matter removed from current law appears [~~in brackets and struck through~~].  
Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

## STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

*In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Eleven*

AN ACT relative to orders of notice in guardianship cases; relative to approvals of marriages for persons under 18 years of age by the judicial branch family division; and relative to the adjudicatory hearing date in child protection cases.

*Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:*

1 1 New Paragraph; Guardianship of Minors; Notice. Amend RSA 463:6 by inserting after  
2 paragraph IV the following new paragraph:

3 V. The cost of orders of notice sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, shall be paid  
4 by the petitioner.

5 2 Guardianship; Ex Parte and Temporary Orders. Amend RSA 463:7, I(a)(2) to read as follows:

6 (2) Notwithstanding subparagraph (1), any ex parte order entered expires 30 days  
7 after the date of the order; however, any ex parte order may be extended pending notice on persons  
8 required to receive notice under RSA 463:6, I if the party or parties seeking guardianship or  
9 appointed guardian ex parte provide proof satisfactory to the court that they have undertaken a due  
10 and diligent effort to provide *the court with the names and addresses of those persons*  
11 *required to receive* notice *under RSA 463:6, I*. In the event of such an extension, the court may  
12 enter such limitations on the extension as it deems reasonable and appropriate. Any further  
13 extension shall be only upon like request and proof. In the case of any extension, the court shall  
14 enter an express finding that the petitioner or petitioners for guardianship, or the person or persons  
15 appointed ex parte, have made a due and diligent effort to [~~effect required service~~] *provide the*  
16 *court with the names and addresses of those persons required to receive notice under RSA*  
17 *463:6, I* and that the minor is still at risk.

18 3 Petition to Marry by Party Under Age. Amend RSA 457:6 to read as follows:

19 457:6 Petition by Party Under Age. If special cause exists rendering desirable the marriage of a  
20 person resident in this state, or the marriage of a person who is a nonresident in this state who  
21 applies for permission to marry a resident in this state, either person being below the age of consent  
22 and above the ages specified in RSA 457:4, the parties desiring to contract such marriage, with the  
23 parent or guardian having the custody of such party below such age, if there be such parent or  
24 guardian, may apply in writing to [~~a justice of the superior court, or to the judge of probate of the~~  
25 ~~county~~] *the judicial branch family division having jurisdiction in the location* in which one  
26 of them resides, for permission to contract such marriage. No waiver shall be granted to persons  
27 below the age of consent if both parties are nonresidents.

28 4 Child Protection Act; Adjudicatory Hearing Date. RSA 169-C:15, III(d) is repealed and  
29 reenacted to read as follows:

**SB 34-FN - AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE**

**- Page 2 -**

1           (d) Set a date for an adjudicatory hearing. In all cases, the adjudicatory hearing shall be  
2 held and completed and written findings issued within 60 days from the date that the petition was  
3 filed with the court. If a child is in an out-of-home placement, the adjudicatory hearing shall be held  
4 and completed within 30 days from the date the petition was filed with the court, unless the court  
5 makes a written finding of extraordinary circumstances requiring the time limit to be extended.

6           5 Effective Date.

7           I. Section 3 of this act shall take effect January 1, 2012.

8           II. The remainder of this act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.

LBAO  
11-0928  
01/24/11

**SB 34-FN - FISCAL NOTE**

AN ACT           relative to orders of notice in guardianship cases; relative to approvals of marriages for persons under 18 years of age by the judicial branch family division; and relative to the adjudicatory hearing date in child protection cases.

**FISCAL IMPACT:**

The Judicial Branch states this bill will increase state general fund revenues by \$14,729 in FY 2012 and in each fiscal year thereafter. There will be no fiscal impact on state expenditures or county and local revenues or expenditures.

**METHODOLOGY:**

The Judicial Branch states this bill clarifies the courts' responsibility to send orders of notice in cases involving guardianship of minors and adds that the corresponding postage costs be incurred by the petitioner, not the Branch, as under current practice. The Branch states jurisdiction over guardianship of minors is in the family division but falls to probate court in areas where there is not yet a family division established. The Branch states in calendar year 2010 there was a total of 1,339 guardianship of minors cases filed statewide (1,038 in family division and 301 in probate court). The Branch states the average postage cost per case is \$11.00. The Branch states under this bill, these costs would be recovered from the petitioner and will result in a \$14,729 (1,339 X \$11.00) increase to state general fund revenues in FY 2012 and in each fiscal year thereafter.



CHAPTER 177  
SB 34-FN - FINAL VERSION

02/23/11 0301s

2011 SESSION

11-0928  
05/09

SENATE BILL ***34-FN***

AN ACT relative to orders of notice in guardianship cases; relative to approvals of marriages for persons under 18 years of age by the judicial branch family division; and relative to the adjudicatory hearing date in child protection cases.

SPONSORS: Sen. Houde, Dist 5; Rep. Sorg, Graf 3

COMMITTEE: Judiciary

---

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This bill:

I. Clarifies the court's responsibility for sending orders of notice in guardianship cases and provides for charging the mailing cost to the petitioner.

II. Transfers jurisdiction for approvals of marriages for persons under 18 years of age to the judicial branch family division.

III. Establishes and clarifies the time period within which the court must hold the adjudicatory hearing in child protection cases.

This bill is a request of the supreme court.

---

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in ***bold italics***.  
Matter removed from current law appears [~~in brackets and struck through~~].  
Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

CHAPTER 177  
SB 34-FN – FINAL VERSION

02/23/11 0301s

11-0928  
05/09

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

*In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Eleven*

AN ACT relative to orders of notice in guardianship cases; relative to approvals of marriages for persons under 18 years of age by the judicial branch family division; and relative to the adjudicatory hearing date in child protection cases.

*Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:*

1 177:1 New Paragraph; Guardianship of Minors; Notice. Amend RSA 463:6 by inserting after  
2 paragraph IV the following new paragraph:

3 V. The cost of orders of notice sent by certified mail, return receipt requested, shall be paid  
4 by the petitioner.

5 177:2 Guardianship; Ex Parte and Temporary Orders. Amend RSA 463:7, I(a)(2) to read as  
6 follows:

7 (2) Notwithstanding subparagraph (1), any ex parte order entered expires 30 days  
8 after the date of the order; however, any ex parte order may be extended pending notice on persons  
9 required to receive notice under RSA 463:6, I if the party or parties seeking guardianship or  
10 appointed guardian ex parte provide proof satisfactory to the court that they have undertaken a due  
11 and diligent effort to provide *the court with the names and addresses of those persons*  
12 *required to receive* notice under RSA 463:6, I. In the event of such an extension, the court may  
13 enter such limitations on the extension as it deems reasonable and appropriate. Any further  
14 extension shall be only upon like request and proof. In the case of any extension, the court shall  
15 enter an express finding that the petitioner or petitioners for guardianship, or the person or persons  
16 appointed ex parte, have made a due and diligent effort to ~~[effect required service]~~ *provide the*  
17 *court with the names and addresses of those persons required to receive notice under RSA*  
18 *463:6, I* and that the minor is still at risk.

19 177:3 Petition to Marry by Party Under Age. Amend RSA 457:6 to read as follows:

20 457:6 Petition by Party Under Age. If special cause exists rendering desirable the marriage of a  
21 person resident in this state, or the marriage of a person who is a nonresident in this state who  
22 applies for permission to marry a resident in this state, either person being below the age of consent  
23 and above the ages specified in RSA 457:4, the parties desiring to contract such marriage, with the  
24 parent or guardian having the custody of such party below such age, if there be such parent or  
25 guardian, may apply in writing to ~~[a justice of the superior court, or to the judge of probate of the~~  
26 ~~county]~~ *the judicial branch family division having jurisdiction in the location* in which one  
27 of them resides, for permission to contract such marriage. No waiver shall be granted to persons  
28 below the age of consent if both parties are nonresidents.

CHAPTER 177  
SB 34-FN - FINAL VERSION  
- Page 2 -

1       177:4 Child Protection Act; Adjudicatory Hearing Date. RSA 169-C:15, III(d) is repealed and  
2 reenacted to read as follows:

3               (d) Set a date for an adjudicatory hearing. In all cases, the adjudicatory hearing shall be  
4 held and completed and written findings issued within 60 days from the date that the petition was  
5 filed with the court. If a child is in an out-of-home placement, the adjudicatory hearing shall be held  
6 and completed within 30 days from the date the petition was filed with the court, unless the court  
7 makes a written finding of extraordinary circumstances requiring the time limit to be extended.

8       177:5 Effective Date.

9               I. Section 3 of this act shall take effect January 1, 2012.

10              II. The remainder of this act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.

11

12 Approved: June 14, 2011

13 Effective Date: I. Section 3 shall take effect January 1, 2012.

14                       II. Remainder shall take effect August 13, 2011.

# Amendments

Amendment to SB 34-FN

1 Amend the title of the bill by replacing it with the following:

2

3 AN ACT relative to orders of notice in guardianship cases; relative to approvals of  
4 marriages for persons under 18 years of age by the judicial branch family division;  
5 and relative to the adjudicatory hearing date in child protection cases.  
6

7 Amend the bill by replacing all after section 2 with the following:

8

9 3 Petition to Marry by Party Under Age. Amend RSA 457:6 to read as follows:

10 457:6 Petition by Party Under Age. If special cause exists rendering desirable the marriage of a  
11 person resident in this state, or the marriage of a person who is a nonresident in this state who  
12 applies for permission to marry a resident in this state, either person being below the age of consent  
13 and above the ages specified in RSA 457:4, the parties desiring to contract such marriage, with the  
14 parent or guardian having the custody of such party below such age, if there be such parent or  
15 guardian, may apply in writing to ~~[a justice of the superior court, or to the judge of probate of the~~  
16 ~~county]~~ **the judicial branch family division having jurisdiction in the location** in which one  
17 of them resides, for permission to contract such marriage. No waiver shall be granted to persons  
18 below the age of consent if both parties are nonresidents.

19 4 Child Protection Act; Adjudicatory Hearing Date. RSA 169-C:15, III(d) is repealed and  
20 reenacted to read as follows:

21 (d) Set a date for an adjudicatory hearing. In all cases, the adjudicatory hearing shall be  
22 held and completed and written findings issued within 60 days from the date that the petition was  
23 filed with the court. If a child is in an out-of-home placement, the adjudicatory hearing shall be held  
24 and completed within 30 days from the date the petition was filed with the court, unless the court  
25 makes a written finding of extraordinary circumstances requiring the time limit to be extended.

26 5 Effective Date.

27 I. Section 3 of this act shall take effect January 1, 2012.

28 II. The remainder of this act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.

2011-0253s

AMENDED ANALYSIS

This bill:

I. Clarifies the court's responsibility for sending orders of notice in guardianship cases and provides for charging the mailing cost to the petitioner.

II. Transfers jurisdiction for approvals of marriages for persons under 18 years of age to the judicial branch family division.

III. Establishes and clarifies the time period within which the court must hold the adjudicatory hearing in child protection cases.

This bill is a request of the supreme court.

# Committee Minutes

**AMENDED**  
**SENATE CALENDAR NOTICE**  
**JUDICIARY**

Printed: 02/01/2011 at 1:50 pm

Senator Matthew Houde Chairman  
Senator Sharon Carson V Chairman  
Senator Fenton Groen  
Senator Jim Luther

For Use by Senate Clerk's Office ONLY	
<input type="checkbox"/>	Bill Status
<input type="checkbox"/>	Docket
<input type="checkbox"/>	Calendar
Proof: <input type="checkbox"/>	Calendar <input type="checkbox"/>
	Bill Status

**Date: February 1, 2011**

**HEARINGS**

**Thursday**

**2/10/2011**

**JUDICIARY**

**LOB 101**

**1:00 PM**

(Name of Committee)

(Place)

(Time)

**EXECUTIVE SESSION MAY FOLLOW**

**Comments:** THE PURPOSE OF THIS CALENDAR NOTICE IS TO ADD SB 108 AT 2:00 P.M.

- |         |         |   |
|---------|---------|---|
| 1:00 PM | SB34-FN | relative to orders of notice in cases involving guardianship of minors. |
| 1:15 PM | SB30    | relative to including a parent's residence in the parenting plan.       |
| 1:30 PM | SB12-FN | relative to screening panels for medical injury claims.                 |
| 1:45 PM | SB17    | relative to evidence of admissions in medical injury actions.           |
| 2:00 PM | SB108   | relative to emergency obstetrical care.                                 |

**Sponsors:**

**SB34-FN**

Sen. Matthew Houde

Rep. Gregory Sorg

**SB30**

Sen. David Boutin

Rep. Frank Kotowski

Rep. Joe Duarte

Rep. Todd Smith

Sen. Tom De Blois

Sen. Lou D'Allesandro

**SB12-FN**

Sen. Jeb Bradley

Sen. Matthew Houde

**SB17**

Sen. Jeb Bradley

**SB108**

Sen. John Gallus

Sen. Jeb Bradley

Sen. Molly Kelly

Sen. Tom De Blois

Rep. Laurie Pettengill

Rep. Gene Chandler

Susan Duncan 271-8631

Sen. Matthew Houde

Chairman



# Judiciary Committee

## Hearing Report

**TO:** Members of the Senate

**FROM:** Susan Duncan, Senior Legislative Aide

**RE:** Hearing report on SB 34-FN – relative to orders of notice in cases involving guardianship of minors.

**HEARING DATE:** February 10, 2011

**MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE PRESENT:** Senators Houde, Carson, Luther and Groen

**MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ABSENT:** No one

**Sponsor(s):** Senator Houde; Representative Sorg

**What the bill does:** This bill clarifies the court's responsibility for sending orders of notice in guardianship cases and provides for charging the mailing cost to the petitioner. This bill is a request of the Supreme Court.

**Who supports the bill:** Senator Houde; Attorney Gina Apicelli representing the Judicial Branch

**Who opposes the bill:** No one

### Summary of testimony received:

- Senator Carson opened the hearing at 1:00 and called upon the bill's prime sponsor.
- Senator Houde introduced the legislation which he had filed at the request of the Supreme Court.
- He explained that right now there is an inconsistency between statutes RSA 463:6 and RSA 463:7, I(a)(2) regarding orders of notice in guardianships over minor cases. He explained that Judge Kelly had requested amending RSA 463:6 to clearly state the court's responsibility for sending out the orders of notice and to provide that the court will charge the petitioner for the mailing costs. The bill also amends RSA 463:7 to remove the inconsistent language.
- Senator Houde presented Amendment #0301s for the Committee's consideration. He explained that this amendment would accomplish two things if adopted.

- The first section clarifies that the Family Division alone could approve underage marriages. Current statutes have both the Superior Courts and the Probate Courts having concurrent jurisdiction over underage marriages. He commented that it makes more sense to have the Family Division have this jurisdiction as it is consistent with their philosophy of having one place for all family matters.
- The second part of the amendment involves scheduling of adjudicatory hearings in abuse and neglect cases. He noted that whenever an abuse and neglect petition is filed with the court, a hearing must be held to determine whether the allegations in the petition are true. Back in 2007, at the request of the court system, the Legislature passed legislation requiring that all adjudicatory hearings had to be completed within 30 days from the filing of the Petition. This is very well intended because in many cases, children have been placed outside their homes and would reduce the amount of time to adjudicate cases in order to move toward permanency for the children. In cases where the charges against the parents are adjudicated "not true," then children can be returned to their parents without delay.
- Senator Houde explained that there are many cases where the children are not placed outside the home. In these cases, the parents generally agree to undertake a safety plan so that the State can be assured that the children are protected while remaining in their home.
- Senator Houde explained that most dockets in the court are scheduled out for weeks if not months in advance. He explained that requiring these hearings to be held within 30 days can be very disruptive as it often results in other hearings being rescheduled or delayed and that this is not only inconvenient for all of the users of the court, including individuals who may have scheduled time off from work weeks in advance, but these disruptions have been made more acute recently due to compressed court schedules and judicial vacancies.
- Senator Houde agreed that when children are placed outside of their homes, then the inconvenience of the timeframe is justified and the adjudicatory hearing must be given priority. However, when the children are still in the care of their parents, then the disruption is unnecessary.
- The second part of the amendment amends the law enacted in 2007 to provide that all adjudicatory hearings be held and completed within 60 days of the filing of the Petition. However, in cases where children are placed outside the homes, hearings would continue to be scheduled and held within 30 days.

- Senator Houde noted that there currently is a national standard which was set by the National Council of Juvenile and Family Court Judges which requires that such hearings be completed within 60 days. If this amendment is enacted, then our courts would not only hold the hearings within this timeframe, but issue findings within it as well.
- Senator Houde encouraged members of the Committee to please favorably consider the amendment which would combine three related Family Court revisions together in SB 34-FN.
- Attorney Gina Apicelli appeared on behalf of the Judicial Branch and Family Division in support.
- She spoke first about the original language of the legislation and spoke to the need to deal with the statutory inconsistency.
- She explained that this language makes the process the same that we currently have for Guardianship of Minors whereby the petitioners pay for the service.
- Attorney Apicelli noted that the language makes it clearer and consistent and would codify their current practice almost across the board.
- Senator Houde asked about the attached fiscal note to the legislation and noted for the record that it will result in a savings of \$15,000 for the Judicial Branch. Ms. Apicelli agreed that this is correct as currently they are paying for service in these cases. She also noted that anyone who does not have the financial means to pay can file a petition with the court to waive the fee charges – and that this is a common practice.
- Senator Groen asked about the changes for the person who may be applying and would this be less convenient. Ms. Apicelli responded that right now there are 25 Family Division locations throughout the State and that within the next year, there will be 29 locations – so that they will be fully across the State.
- Senator Carson closed the hearing at 1:12 p.m.

**Funding:** The Fiscal Note indicates that the Judicial Branch will save \$15,000 annually with the enactment of this legislation.

**Action:** Senator Carson moved Ought to Pass with Amendment. Senator Luther seconded the motion. The Committee voted 4 to 0 in support of the motion. Senator Houde will report the bill out of Committee.

sfd

[file: 34-FN report]  
Date: February 14, 2011

# Speakers

# SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

Date: February 10, 2011 Time: 1:00 p.m.. Public Hearing on

SB 34-FN -- relative to orders of notice in cases involving guardianship of minors..

Please check box(es) that apply:

Please check if

SPEAKING FAVOR OPPOSED

NAME (Please print)

REPRESENTING

Gina Apicelli

Judicial Branch

# Committee Report

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE  
SENATE  
REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE

Date: February 14, 2011

THE COMMITTEE ON Judiciary

to which was referred Senate Bill 34-FN

AN ACT                      relative to orders of notice in cases involving guardianship  
of minors.

Having considered the same, the committee recommends that the Bill:

**OUGHT TO PASS WITH AMENDMENT**

BY A VOTE OF:    4 - 0

AMENDMENT # 0301s

Senator Matthew Houde  
For the Committee

Susan Duncan 271-8631

## New Hampshire General Court - Bill Status System

**Docket of SB34**

Docket Abbreviations

**Bill Title:** (New Title) relative to orders of notice in guardianship cases; relative to approvals of marriages for persons under 18 years of age by the judicial branch family division; and relative to the adjudicatory hearing date in child protection cases.

*Official Docket of SB34:*

<b>Date</b>	<b>Body</b>	<b>Description</b>
1/19/2011	S	Introduced and Referred to Judiciary, <b>SJ 3</b> , Pg.32
1/31/2011	S	Hearing: 2/10/2011, Room 101, LOB, 1:00 p.m.; <b>SC10</b>
2/15/2011	S	Committee Report: Ought to Pass with Amendment <b>#2011-0301s</b> , NT, 2/23/11; <b>SC12</b>
2/23/2011	S	Committee Amendment 0301s, NT, AA, VV; <b>SJ 7</b> , Pg.75
2/23/2011	S	Ought to Pass with Amendment 0301s, NT, MA, VV; Refer to Finance Rule 4-3; <b>SJ 7</b> , Pg.75
2/25/2011	S	Committee Report: Ought to Pass, 3/9/11; <b>SC14</b>
3/9/2011	S	Ought to Pass, MA, VV; OT3rdg; <b>SJ 8</b> , Pg.89
3/9/2011	S	Passed by Third Reading Resolution; <b>SJ 8</b> , Pg.99
3/16/2011	H	Introduced and Referred to Judiciary; <b>HJ 28</b> , Pg.882
3/29/2011	H	Public Hearing: 4/5/2011 11:00 AM LOB 208
5/10/2011	H	Executive Session: 5/17/2011 11:00 AM LOB 208
5/18/2011	H	Committee Report: Ought to Pass for May 25 (Vote 16-0; CC); <b>HC 41</b> , PG.1391
5/25/2011	H	Ought to Pass: MA VV; <b>HJ 46</b> , PG.1580
6/8/2011	H	Enrolled
6/8/2011	S	Enrolled
6/14/2011	S	Signed by the Governor on 06/14/11; Chapter 0177 I. Section 3 Effective 01/01/12 II. Remainder Effective 08/13/11

NH House

NH Senate



# Other Referrals

SB 34-FN -- (NEW TITLE) RELATIVE TO ORDERS OF NOTICE IN GUARDIANSHIP CASES;  
RELATIVE TO APPROVALS OF MARRIAGES FOR PERSONS UNDER 18 YEARS OF AGE BY  
THE JUDICIAL BRANCH FAMILY DIVISION; AND RELATIVE TO THE ADJUDICATORY  
HEARING DATE IN CHILD PROTECTION CASES.

## COMMITTEE REPORT FILE INVENTORY

ORIGINAL REFERRAL                       RE-REFERRAL

1. THIS INVENTORY IS TO BE SIGNED AND DATED BY THE COMMITTEE AIDE AND PLACED INSIDE THE FOLDER AS THE FIRST ITEM IN THE COMMITTEE FILE.
2. PLACE ALL DOCUMENTS IN THE FOLDER FOLLOWING THE INVENTORY IN THE ORDER LISTED.
3. THE DOCUMENTS WHICH HAVE AN "X" BESIDE THEM ARE CONFIRMED AS BEING IN THE FOLDER.
4. THE COMPLETED FILE IS THEN DELIVERED TO THE CALENDAR CLERK.

DOCKET (Submit only the latest docket found in Bill Status)

COMMITTEE REPORT

CALENDAR NOTICE

HEARING REPORT

PREPARED TESTIMONY AND OTHER SUBMISSIONS HANDED IN AT THE PUBLIC HEARING

SIGN-UP SHEET(S) (1)

ALL AMENDMENTS (passed or not) CONSIDERED BY COMMITTEE:

- AMENDMENT # 0253s                       - AMENDMENT # \_\_\_\_\_  
 - AMENDMENT # \_\_\_\_\_                       - AMENDMENT # \_\_\_\_\_

ALL AVAILABLE VERSIONS OF THE BILL:

AS INTRODUCED                       AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE  
 FINAL VERSION                       AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

OTHER (Anything else deemed important but not listed above, such as amended fiscal notes):

DATE DELIVERED TO SENATE CLERK

7/21/11

BY:

Susan J. DeLoach  
COMMITTEE AIDE