# Bill as Introduced

#### SB 368 - AS INTRODUCED

#### 2010 SESSION

10-2753 05/09

SENATE BILL

368

AN ACT

relative to notice required under the retail selling statute.

SPONSORS:

Sen. Cilley, Dist 6; Sen. Reynolds, Dist 2; Sen. Lasky, Dist 13; Sen. Letourneau,

Dist 19; Rep. Nixon, Hills 17; Rep. DiFruscia, Rock 4

COMMITTEE:

Commerce, Labor and Consumer Protection

#### **ANALYSIS**

This bill requires the seller engaged in a home solicitation sale to provide written notice of the buyer's rights under the contract. The bill also permits the buyer to obtain a refund from the seller if the buyer cancels the transaction in accordance with the statute, notwithstanding the fact that the seller has performed services under the contract within that period.

Explanation:

Matter added to current law appears in bold italics.

Matter removed from current law appears [in brackets and struckthrough,]

Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

#### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

#### In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Ten

AN ACT

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

relative to notice required under the retail selling statute.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

- 1 Retail Selling; Home Solicitation Contract; Notice Required. Amend RSA 361-B:2, I(a) to read as follows:
- (a) A home solicitation sale shall be deemed to be in compliance with the requirements of RSA 361-B:2, I, if the seller guarantees in writing that (1) The buyer may at any time: (i) cancel the order, or (ii) refuse to accept the goods when delivered without incurring any obligation to pay for them, or (iii) return the goods to the seller and receive a full refund for any amount the buyer has paid, and (2) the buyer's right to cancel the order, refuse delivery or return the goods without obligation or charge at any time is clearly and unmistakably set forth on the face or reverse side of the sales ticket, receipt or contract.
- 2 New Subparagraph; Notice; Refund Following Cancellation. Amend RSA 361-B:2, I by inserting after subparagraph (b) the following new subparagraph:
- (b-1) If the buyer cancels the transaction in accordance with this chapter, and within the cancellation period the seller has performed services or has performed work that has physically altered the property of the buyer, the buyer shall be entitled to a refund of all funds transferred to the seller, and the seller shall not be entitled to deduct the value of such services or work from the refund. If the seller has performed work that has physically altered the property of the buyer, the buyer may elect to demand full restoration of the property to its original condition.
  - 3 Effective Date. This act shall take effect January 1, 2011.

#### SB 368 – AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

12May2010... 1517h

#### 2010 SESSION

10-2753 05/09

SENATE BILL

*368* 

AN ACT

relative to notice required under the retail selling statute.

SPONSORS:

Sen. Cilley, Dist 6; Sen. Reynolds, Dist 2; Sen. Lasky, Dist 13; Sen. Letourneau,

Dist 19; Rep. Nixon, Hills 17; Rep. DiFruscia, Rock 4

COMMITTEE:

Commerce, Labor and Consumer Protection

#### **ANALYSIS**

This bill requires the seller engaged in a home solicitation sale to provide written notice of the buyer's rights under the contract. The bill also permits the buyer to obtain a refund from the seller if the buyer cancels the transaction in accordance with the statute, notwithstanding the fact that the seller has performed services under the contract within that period.

Explanation:

Matter added to current law appears in bold italics.

Matter removed from current law appears [in brackets and struckthrough.]

Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

#### SB 368 - AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

12May2010... 1517h

10-2753 05/09

#### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

#### In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Ten

AN ACT

1

8

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

relative to notice required under the retail selling statute.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 Retail Selling; Home Solicitation Contract; Notice Required. Amend RSA 361-B:2, I(a) to read

- as follows:

  (a) A home solicitation sale shall be deemed to be in compliance with the requirements of RSA 361-B:2, I, if the seller guarantees in writing that (1) The buyer may at any time: (i) cancel the order, or (ii) refuse to accept the goods when delivered without incurring any obligation to pay for them, or (iii) return the goods to the seller and receive a full refund for any amount the buyer has paid, and (2) the buyer's right to cancel the order, refuse delivery or return the goods without
  - paid, and (2) the buyer's right to cancel the order, refuse delivery or return the goods without obligation or charge at any time is clearly and unmistakably set forth on the face or reverse side of
- 9 the sales ticket, receipt or contract.
  - 2 New Subparagraph; Notice; Refund Following Cancellation. Amend RSA 361-B:2, I by inserting after subparagraph (b) the following new subparagraph:
  - (b-1) If the buyer cancels the transaction in accordance with this chapter, and within the cancellation period the seller has performed services or has performed work that has physically altered the property of the buyer, the buyer shall be entitled to a refund of all funds transferred to the seller, and the seller shall not be entitled to deduct the value of such services or work from the refund. In the alternative, if the seller has performed work that has physically altered the property of the buyer and it can be reasonably restored to its original condition, the buyer may demand, in lieu of a refund, full restoration of the property to its original condition.
    - 3 Definition of Home Solicitation Sale; Amount. Amend RSA 361-B:1 to read as follows:
  - 361-B:1 Definition. "Home solicitation sale" means a sale of goods or services, priced at \$[25] 150 or more, in which a seller, a seller's representative, or a person acting for a seller engages in a solicitation and sale at any place other than a permanent place of business of the seller. A cash or credit sale so consummated shall be deemed to be a home solicitation sale.
    - 4 Effective Date. This act shall take effect January 1, 2011.

#### SB 368 - FINAL VERSION

12May2010... 1517h

#### 2010 SESSION

10-2753 05/09

SENATE BILL

368

AN ACT

relative to notice required under the retail selling statute.

SPONSORS:

Sen. Cilley, Dist 6; Sen. Reynolds, Dist 2; Sen. Lasky, Dist 13; Sen. Letourneau,

Dist 19; Rep. Nixon, Hills 17; Rep. DiFruscia, Rock 4

COMMITTEE:

Commerce, Labor and Consumer Protection

#### ANALYSIS

This bill requires the seller engaged in a home solicitation sale to provide written notice of the buyer's rights under the contract. The bill also permits the buyer to obtain a refund from the seller if the buyer cancels the transaction in accordance with the statute, notwithstanding the fact that the seller has performed services under the contract within that period.

Explanation:

Matter added to current law appears in bold italics.

Matter removed from current law appears [in-brackets-and-struckthrough.]

Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

the sales ticket, receipt or contract.

10-2753 05/09

#### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

#### In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Ten

AN ACT

1

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

relative to notice required under the retail selling statute.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 Retail Selling; Home Solicitation Contract; Notice Required. Amend RSA 361-B:2, I(a) to read

- as follows:

  (a) A home solicitation sale shall be deemed to be in compliance with the requirements of RSA 361-B:2, I, if the seller guarantees in writing that (1) The buyer may at any time: (i) cancel the order, or (ii) refuse to accept the goods when delivered without incurring any obligation to pay for them, or (iii) return the goods to the seller and receive a full refund for any amount the buyer has paid, and (2) the buyer's right to cancel the order, refuse delivery or return the goods without obligation or charge at any time is clearly and unmistakably set forth on the face or reverse side of
  - 2 New Subparagraph; Notice; Refund Following Cancellation. Amend RSA 361-B:2, I by inserting after subparagraph (b) the following new subparagraph:
  - (b-1) If the buyer cancels the transaction in accordance with this chapter, and within the cancellation period the seller has performed services or has performed work that has physically altered the property of the buyer, the buyer shall be entitled to a refund of all funds transferred to the seller, and the seller shall not be entitled to deduct the value of such services or work from the refund. In the alternative, if the seller has performed work that has physically altered the property of the buyer and it can be reasonably restored to its original condition, the buyer may demand, in lieu of a refund, full restoration of the property to its original condition.
    - 3 Definition of Home Solicitation Sale; Amount. Amend RSA 361-B:1 to read as follows:
  - 361-B:1 Definition. "Home solicitation sale" means a sale of goods or services, priced at \$[25] 150 or more, in which a seller, a seller's representative, or a person acting for a seller engages in a solicitation and sale at any place other than a permanent place of business of the seller. A cash or credit sale so consummated shall be deemed to be a home solicitation sale.
    - 4 Effective Date. This act shall take effect January 1, 2011.

# Committee Minutes

Printed: 01/21/2010 at 10:59 am

#### SENATE CALENDAR NOTICE COMMERCE, LABOR AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

Senator Margaret Hassan Chairman Senator Betsi DeVries V Chairman Senator Deborah Reynolds Senator Jacalyn Cilley Kenator Peter Bragdon Senator Sheila Roberge

**Tuesday** 

For Use by Senate Clerk's Office ONLY
Bill Status
Docket
Calendar
Proof: Calendar Bill Status

Date: January 21, 2010

#### **HEARINGS**

1/26/2010

COMME	RCE, LABOR AN	ND CONSUMER PROTECTION	N LOB 102	8:30 AM	
(Name of Committee)			(Place)	(Time)	
		EXECUTIVE SESS	ION MAY FOLLOW		
8:30 AM	SB336	relative to payroll deductions	3.		
8:35 AM	SB339	excluding certain governmer mortgage loan originators.	tal and nonprofit entities from	certain licensing requirements a	
8:40 AM	SB345-FN	relative to automatic renewa			
8:45 AM	SB368	relative to notice required under the retail selling statute.			
Sponsor	<u>s:</u>				
SB336 Sen. Peter	Bragdon	Rep. Gary Daniels			
SB339 Sen. Betsi		Sen. Jacalyn Cilley			
SB345-F Sen. Mattl		Rep. David Pierce			
SB368 Sen. Jacal Rep. Davi		Sen. Deborah Reynolds Rep. Anthony DiFruscia	Sen. Bette Lasky	Sen. Robert Letourneau	

stad: 9:42 Am ad: 9:47 Am Recessed



Date:

January 26, 2010

Time:

9:42 a.m.

Room:

LOB 102

The Senate Committee on Commerce, Labor and Consumer Protection held a hearing on the following:

SB 368

Relative to notice required under the retail selling statute.

Members of Committee present:

Senator Hassan Senator DeVries Senator Reynolds Senator Cilley Senator Bragdon

The Chair, Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, opened the hearing on SB 368 and invited the prime sponsor, Senator Jacalyn Cilley, to introduce the legislation.

Senator Jacalyn L. Cilley, D. 6: Thank you, Madam Chair. And, for the record, I am, my name is Jackie Cilley and I have the honor of serving Senate District 6, including the marvelous communities of Rochester, Somersworth, Barrington, Madbury and Nottingham.

This bill was brought forward on, at the request of the Attorney General's Office, and it's my understanding that it has been turned over from the attorney who asked me to bring forward this bill to another attorney who apparently is not in the room. So, I am going do my best, but I think we need to hear from them specifically what it is they'd like to accomplish because you'll notice that one of the things they've changed under, for RSA 361-B, II, under paragraph (a) is that the seller has to guarantee in writing the requirements, and then they have added the new subparagraph for this cancellation. If the buyer cancels the transaction in accordance with this chapter. Within cancellation period, the seller has performed the services that has physically altered the property.

It is my understanding and this is the way it was explained to me, and I hope I capture this accurately because the wording of this seems a bit confusing. This really arose, and many of you probably have faced this situation, I know



we did in Barrington, where there are folks that go around and do driveways, for example. And, they, often without full approval or agreement with the homeowner, install things or make changes and then demand payment for that, even when the homeowner has objected to it. And so, this was actually to prevent predatory types of practices of folks that go door to door and sell. So, that's my understanding of it.

I was hoping that somebody from the AG's Office could explain it more fully than I could because it did raise questions for me. I called, I got great answers. I was comfortable with the bill, but and I should have captured it all in writing at the time. They are usually great about being here to explain why they wanted something, and it was a perfectly good explanation at the time.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: And, I am sure that there has just been a miscommunication. So, I note that Senator Reynolds, Senator Lasky and Senator Letourneau have all signed in, in support, but don't want to speak. I am wondering whether we should just continue the hearing so that...

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: We ought to recess.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: Recess it.

Senator Jacalyn L. Cilley, D. 6: That would be a good idea.

<u>Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23:</u> So, that we could reach out to the AG's Office and they can come in.

<u>Senator Jacalyn L. Cilley, D. 6</u>: And, I appreciate your accommodation in that regard, Madam Chair.

Senator Peter E. Bragdon, D. 11: I just want to make, if I can? Thank you, Madam Chair.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: Sure. Yes. Yes.

Senator Peter E. Bragdon, D. 11: When I read this yesterday or last night, it says this bill requires the seller engaged in a home solicitation sale. I assumed it meant a, someone selling their house.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: Yes.

Senator Jacalyn L. Cilley, D. 6: No.

AM

Senator Peter E. Bragdon, D. 11: So, as you discuss this, I want to make sure I am correct, this has nothing to do with people selling their house. This is for when people come to your house to sell you stuff, and the driveway paver is the best example I can think of.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Or vinyl siding.

Senator Jacalyn L. Cilley, D. 6: Thank you. Thank you, Senator Bragdon. I actually, when I was given the language and I sat down and read it, I had that same reaction. And so, called and went through the whole thing, and as I said, if I were as diligent as I can be at times, I would have had all of those notes with me this morning, but I assumed we'd have somebody who is a real expert in this explain. But, that's, but, that's my understanding that these are people who go door to door selling things that for which there have been problems in the past.

Senator Peter E. Bragdon, D. 11: Yup. Thank you.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: And, I would just add, my guess is that somewhere in this statutory scheme, there is a definition of home solicitation contract.

Senator Peter E. Bragdon, D. 11: Probably.

Senator Jacalyn L. Cilley, D. 6: Yes. Yes, there is.

Senator Peter E. Bragdon, D. 11: I wasn't that thorough.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: Because I had the exact same reaction, which is... My imagination was running wild, but...

Senator Jacalyn L. Cilley, D. 6: I do want to apologize to the Committee.

Senator Peter E. Bragdon, D. 11: Is that covered under here?

Senator Jacalyn L. Cilley, D. 6: I do want to apologize to the Committee. As the prime sponsor of this bill, it was my responsibility to ensure that the folks that should have been here were here and...

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: It has happened to all of us, and not to worry. So, with that, was there anybody else in the room who wanted to speak to Senate Bill 368? So, we're going to go ahead and recess the hearing on 368. And, I do get to gavel a recess, I've been told.

Hearing recessed at 9:47 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Panielle C. Barker Senate Secretary

1/27/10

Printed: 01/28/2010 at 3:39 pm

### SENATE CALENDAR NOTICE COMMERCE, LABOR AND CONSUMER PROTECTION

Senator Margaret Hassan Chairman Senator Betsi DeVries V Chairman Senator Deborah Reynolds Senator Jacalyn Cilley Senator Peter Bragdon Senator Sheila Roberge

For Use by Senate Clerk's Office ONLY
Bill Status
Docket
Calendar
Proof: Calendar Bill Status

Date: January 28, 2010

#### **HEARINGS**

		Tuesday	2/2/2010	
COMME	RCE, LABOR	AND CONSUMER PROTECTION	LOB 102	8:30 AM
(Name of	Committee)	18.00	(Place)	(Time)
		EXECUTIVE SESSION	ON MAY FOLLOW	
Commen	ts: SB 368 v	was previously recessed on 1/26/10	<b>.</b>	
8:30 AM	SB368	relative to notice required unde	er the retail selling statute.	•
8:45 AM	SB332	relative to recording requireme	nts for mortgage and forecl	osure notices.
9:00 AM	SB340	relative to using credit rating fo	or purposes of automobile a	nd homeowner insurance.
9:15 AM	SB401	relative to the safety of retirem	ent accounts.	
9:30 AM	SB429	relative to disclosure of finance	charges.	
Sponsors	<u>s:</u>			
SB368 Sen. Jacaly	m Cilley	Sen. Deborah Reynolds	Sen. Bette Lasky	Sen. Robert Letourneau
Rep. David	•	Rep. Anthony DiFruscia		
SB332 Sen. Peter	Bragdon			
SB340 Sen. Jacaly	n Cilley			
SB401 Sen. Peter	Bragdon	Rep. Gary Daniels		
SB429 Sen. Micha	el Downing	Rep. David Kidder	Rep. Rip Holden	

start: 8:38min/8:5/Am end: 8:39mn/8:58Am

#### Commerce, Labor & Consumer Protection Committee Hearing Report

To:

Members of the Senate

From:

Greg Silverman, Legislative Aide

Re: Hearing report on SB368 - relative to notice required under the retail selling

statute.

Hearing date: February 2, 2010

Members of the Committee Present: Senator Hassan, District 23; Senator DeVries, District 18; Senator Reynolds, District 2; Senator Roberge, District 9; Senator Bragdon, District 11; Senator Cilley, District 6.

Members of the Committee Absent: None.

**Sponsors:** Sen. Cilley, Dist 6; Sen. Reynolds, Dist 2; Sen. Lasky, Dist 13; Sen. Letourneau, Dist 19; Rep. Nixon, Hills 17; Rep. DiFruscia, Rock 4

What the bill does: This bill requires the seller engaged in a home solicitation sale to provide written notice of the buyer's rights under the contract. The bill also permits the buyer to obtain a refund from the seller if the buyer cancels the transaction in accordance with the statute, notwithstanding the fact that the seller has performed services under the contract within that period.

Who supports this bill: Senator Cilley, Senator Reynolds, Senator Lasky, Richard

Head, Attorney General Office Who opposes this bill: None.

#### Summary of testimony received:

Richard Head, Attorney Generals Office.

- Supports SB368.
- This bill is a reaction to paving scams, which constitute a home solicitation contract.
  - o A paver approaches a homeowner, says they have extra asphalt and offer them a deal on paving the driveway. Many times this solicitation can be seen as threatening and usually the finished product is of poor quality.
- The committee asked if this bills intent is to discourage the practice or give the attorney generals office the power to prosecute. Mr. Head said it was the latter.

Fiscal Note: Not applicable.

Action: Senator Cilley moved the bill Ought to Pass. Senator DeVries seconded the motion. Senator Cilley will take the bill out.

Date:

February 2, 2010

Time:

8:38 a.m.

Room:

LOB 102

The Senate Committee on Commerce, Labor and Consumer Protection held a hearing on the following:

SB 368

relative to notice required under the retail selling statute.

Members of Committee present:

Senator Hassan Senator DeVries Senator Reynolds Senator Cilley Senator Bragdon Senator Roberge

The Chair, Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, reopened the previously continued hearing on SB 368.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: What was a recessed hearing, or I guess I should say I am continuing the recessed hearing on Senate Bill 368. We are waiting... It was scheduled for 8:30. We are waiting to see if someone from the Attorney General's Office is on his or her way. We will keep the recessed hearing open, and if they don't come before 8:45, which is about five minutes from now, we'll go ahead and continue, recess the hearing again and go on to our next hearing.

And, I know that now that Senator Bragdon is back and I think we have five minutes before the next hearing. Senator DeVries, do we have the, an amendment yet?

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: It is supposed to be on its way over from across in OLS. But, maybe, Mary. Here it is.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: There it is.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Timing is everything, Claire.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: So, if I could have a motion... Danielle Barker: Senator Hassan? Senator Hassan?

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: Executive Session, so moved.

Ms. Barker: Can you just recess this bill right here?

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: Okay.

Ms. Barker: You have to recess it, again.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: To go into executive session?

Ms. Barker: To go into executive session because we opened it.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: Yeah, I guess you're right. Okay. So, I am recessing the hearing on Senate Bill 368.

Hearing recessed at 8:51 a.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Danielle C. Barker Senate Secretary

2/8/10



Date:

February 2, 2010

Time:

8:39 a.m.

Room:

LOB 102

The Senate Committee on Commerce, Labor and Consumer Protection held a hearing on the following:

SB 368

relative to notice required under the retail selling statute.

Members of Committee present:

Senator Hassan Senator DeVries Senator Reynolds Senator Cilley Senator Bragdon Senator Roberge

The Chair, Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, reopened the previously continued hearing on SB 368.

<u>Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23:</u> Attorney Head, if you've had a minute to catch your breath...

Attorney Richard Head: I have.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: We'd love to hear from you. I think, Senator, just so everybody knows where we are, Senator Cilley introduced Senate Bill 368 last week.

Attorney Head: And, was looking desperately for me.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: And, was hoping that, that you could enlighten us a little bit more. So, if you could do that, that would be great.

Attorney Head: And, again, I apologize. We normally don't miss these. We do have a system for trying to catch them, and for whatever reason, there was confusion on this bill.

For the record, my name is Richard Head with the Attorney General's Office. We are here in support of Senate Bill 368, and had asked Senator Cilley to



introduce it. This bill comes out of, originally, in terms of why we were presenting it, in terms of paving scams that hit New Hampshire, hit all the states every year. Paving scams are in, fall within the definition of home solicitation contracts. The paving scam operates in a way that a large man will hop out of a large truck that has pavement in it. The scam usually goes along the lines of, "I have extra pavement in the back of the truck from a job I just finished. It's going to go to waste. I see your driveway is a little bumpy. Can I help you?"

Some of the stories include a mother coming out of her house seeing that large burly man playing with her child in the backyard and she was terrified and just decided to do whatever he would do, whatever he wanted to get rid of him. There is another case, which has made the paper, which is currently in litigation, about someone who approached an elderly woman and managed to convince her to go into her car and drive to the ATM to extract cash in order to pay for the paving job. The paving jobs are typically of terrible quality, typically involve very little more than just piling up pavement and pushing it around.

The, so, paragraph one is simply to require that the contract be in writing. Paragraph two sort of, and I don't know if I can say I give these folks credit, but I give them something for saying, "Well, people receive value for what they received". And, what we want to be able to do is say, in essence, if you fall within the home solicitation contract, you physically alter someone's property, you are not entitled to claim a benefit to that if, within the cancellation period, the homeowner decides to cancel.

The purpose of the home solicitation contract provision is to discourage undue pressure being put on a homeowner, which is they may be physically intimidated, they may otherwise be intimidated, to give the opportunity to cancel that contract. And, you can't cancel a contract if the work is done within the three day period. So, the provision is fairly simple. It is simply a provision not to allow the person who is running the scam to somehow try to take advantage of the fact that they did the work within the three-day period.

And, I'd be happy to answer any questions.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: Thank you. Are there any questions? Senator Bragdon?

Senator Peter E. Bragdon, D. 11: Thank you. And, thank you for explaining what this does. I am just curious, do you think this will discourage people from doing this or is the intent more to give you power to



go after those who do it to serve as an example to those who may consider it in the future?

Attorney Head: The latter.

Senator Peter E. Bragdon, D. 11: Thank you.

<u>Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23:</u> Any further questions? Senator Cilley?

Senator Jacalyn L. Cilley, D. 6: I thought folks might like to hear the, your response to the question I think I asked when you and I first sat down with this bill. And, that was, you know, what happens because the buyer has the three day window and what happens when they say, "I know I am not going to cancel, you know, I really want this done today". And, somebody lays pavement or provides service?

Attorney Head: I guess the issue is, is there is no prohibition in entering into a home solicitation contract. It's simply allowing that three-day waiting period. If you have a reputable paver who is going and is presenting to somebody at their house an opportunity to have a paving job done, a reputable paver is going to say, "Sure, I can wait three days". There is no need that it be done that afternoon. And, I've never seen a reputable paver drive up to someone's driveway and say, "I have extra pavement and I am happy to do your job for something less". And, if they do and it all works out great, there is not going to be a cancellation at the end of the day. Then, the statutory provision is not even triggered.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: Senator DeVries?

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Thank you very much, Madam Chair. And, to continue on that thought, the individual that provides, within the first three days that service could be at risk of forfeiting if the homeowner decides that they would like to rescind compliance. So, it really is going to be doing work at risk if done...

Attorney Head: And, this only applies to that home solicitation situation.

Senator Betsi DeVries, D. 18: Correct.

Attorney Head: It is not when somebody is doing, you know, contracting pavement work and they received a call in their office. This is simply that situation where the contractor shows up at your house, you know, not previously scheduled, and says, "I can do your job in between jobs. I'll do it



for you cheap today". It's if they are working out of a business location and the consumer contacts that person in a business location, the statute doesn't even apply. So, yes, it does shift the burden. It doesn't actually shift the burden, I think, it simply articulates what I think was the original intent of the statute. And, I think the original intent of the statute contemplated vacuum cleaners and things like that, where you can actually physically return it, and it's expanded. And, we have interpreted it in a way to try to use it in a way that does go after folks who are running scams. And, this just gives us a little bit more authority, and a little bit more control over that case.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: Thank you. Senator Bragdon?

Senator Peter E. Bragdon, D. 11: And, if I could follow up on that because so you are saying that you interpret this to mean if a homeowner calls a contractor and expresses interest in some service, and the contractor then comes to the person's home, then that is not a home solicitation sale?

Attorney Head: We would not interpret it to be that way.

Senator Peter E. Bragdon, D. 11: But, the statute says a home solicitation sale means a sale of goods and services in which a seller engages in a solicitation and sale at any place. So, solicitation...

Attorney Head: Exactly.

Senator Peter E. Bragdon, D. 11: Got it. Thank you.

<u>Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23:</u> Is the issue. Yup. Okay. Any further questions? Seeing none, thank you very much for your testimony.

Attorney Head: Thank you. And, I, again, apologize.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: And, I am sorry we interrupted your schedule today.

Attorney Head: No, my fault. Thank you.

Senator Margaret Wood Hassan, D. 23: Thank you for being here. Was there anybody else who wanted to speak on Senate Bill 368? Seeing no one else, I am going to close the hearing on Senate Bill 368.

Hearing closed at 8:58 a.m.

C Respectfully submitted,

Danielle C. Barke Senate Secretary

2/9/10

## Speakers

#### Senate Commerce, Labor and Consumer Protection Committee: Sign-In Sheet

Date: January 26, 2009

Time: 8:45 a.m. Public Hearing on SB 368

SB 368

relative to notice required under the retail selling statute.

Name	Representing					
Sen Reyndo	s tad a	Support 🕰 —	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
Sen. Lasky	Dist. 12	Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No.
Sen. Letourneau	DISt. 19	Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No □
Sin Cillin	Dist 10	Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	N <sub>0</sub>
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No □
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No □
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No □
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No □
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	% □
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No □
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No

#### Senate Commerce, Labor and Consumer Protection Committee: Sign-In Sheet

Date: February 2, 2010 Time: 8:30 a.m. Public Hearing on SB 368

SB 368 relative to notice required under the retail selling statute.

Representing Name Support Oppose YesNo Senter Ciller Dist 6 Sen. Reynolds Dist J Sen. Lasky Dist. 13 Speaking? 図 Support Oppose YesSpeaking? No No Support Oppose YesSpeaking? Yes Support Oppose Speaking? No Support Yes Oppose Speaking? No Yes Support Oppose Speaking? Yes No Support Oppose Speaking? Support Yes No Oppose Speaking? Yes No Support Oppose Speaking? Support Yes No Oppose Speaking? No Support Yes Oppose Speaking? No Support Oppose Yes Speaking? No Support Yes Oppose Speaking? Support YesNo Oppose Speaking? No Yes Support Oppose Speaking? No Yes Support Oppose Speaking? YesNo Support Oppose Speaking? Yes No Support Oppose Speaking? Support Oppose YesNo Speaking? 

#### Senate Commerce, Labor and Consumer Protection Committee: Sign-In Sheet

Date: February 2, 2010	Time: 8:30 a.m. Pub	olic Hearing on	SB 368			
SB 368 re	elative to notice required u	inder the retail	selling stat	tute.		
Name	Representing			<del>,</del>		
Richard Heard	Det at Tustice	Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No □
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No □
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No □
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No □
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No □
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No □
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No
		Support	Oppose	Speaking?	Yes	No

## Voting Sheets

### Senate Commerce, Labor & Consumer Protection Committee

#### EXECUTIVE SESSION

	.1	ا	_ 1 1			Bill # SB	368	
Hearing do	ite: <u>1/2U</u>	e 10 4	2/2/10	-				
Executive	session date	: <u>Z</u>	- 2   2   10 e/10	_				
Motion of:	OTP					VOTE: Le	-0_	
Made by Senator:	Hassan DeVries Reynolds Cilley Bragdon Roberge		Seconded by Senator:	Hassan DeVries Reynolds Cilley Bragdon Roberge		Reported by Senator:	Hassan DeVries Reynolds Cilley Bragdon Roberge	
Motion of:				_		VOTE:		
Made by Senator:	Hassan DeVries Reynolds Cilley Bragdon Roberge		Seconded by Senator:	Hassan DeVries Reynolds Cilley Bragdon Roberge		Reported by Senator:	Hassan DeVries Reynolds Cilley Bragdon Roberge	
Committee	. Member		<u>Present</u>	<u>Yes</u>		<u>No</u>	Reported	out by
Senator H	assan, Chairn	nan	W W					
Senator De	eVries, Vice-	Chair	U	<u> </u>				
Senator Re	zynolds		<u> </u>					<del></del>
Senator Ci	•	<u></u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	-			
Senator Bi	<del></del> -		<u> </u>					
Senator Ro	oberge		U					
*Amendme	:nts:							
Notes:								

# Committee Report

#### STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

#### **SENATE**

#### REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE

Date: February 2, 2010

THE COMMITTEE ON Commerce, Labor and Consumer Protection to which was referred Senate Bill 368

AN ACT

relative to notice required under the retail selling statute.

Having considered the same, the committee recommends that the Bill:

**OUGHT TO PASS** 

BY A VOTE OF: 6-0

AMENDMENT# s

Senator Jacalyn L. Cilley For the Committee

Danielle Barker 271-3093

#### **New Hampshire General Court - Bill Status System**

#### **Docket of SB368**

**Docket Abbreviations** 

Bill Title: relative to notice required under the retail selling statute.

#### Official Docket of \$B368:

Date	Body	Description
01/06/2010	S	Introduced 1/6/2010 and Referred to Commerce, Labor and Consumer Protection; <b>SJ 1</b> , Pg.7
01/21/2010	S	Hearing; January 26, 2010, Room 102, LOB, 8:45 a.m.; SC4
01/26/2010	S	Hearing: === RECESSED === January 26, 2010, Room 102, LOB, 8:45 a.m.
01/28/2010	S	Hearing: === RECONVENE === February 2, 2010, Room 102, LOB, 8:30 a.m.; SC5
02/03/2010	S	Committee Report: Ought to Pass 2/10/10; SC6
02/10/2010	S	Ought to Pass, MA, VV; OT3rdg; SJ 6, Pg.71
02/10/2010	S	Passed by Third Reading Resolution; <b>SJ 6</b> , Pg.77
02/17/2010	Н	Introduced and Referred to Commerce and Consumer Affairs; <b>HJ 18</b> , PG.1017
03/09/2010	Н	Public Hearing: 3/23/2010 11:00 AM LOB 302
03/24/2010	н	Executive Session: 4/13/2010 10:00 AM LOB 302 ==RECESSED==
04/13/2010	Н	Subcommittee Work Session: 4/14/2010 2:00 PM LOB 302
04/13/2010	Н	Subcommittee Work Session: 4/22/2010 9:40 AM LOB 302
04/13/2010	Н	Continued Executive Session: 4/22/2010 10:00 AM LOB 302
05/04/2010	Н	Committee Report: Ought to Pass with Amendment #1517h for May 12 (Vote 15-1; CC); <b>HJ 37</b> , PG.1734
05/04/2010	Н	Proposed Committee Amendment #1517h; HJ 37, PG.1763
05/12/2010	н	Amendment #1517h Adopted, VV; HJ 41, PG.1952
05/12/2010	Н	Ought to Pass with Amendment #1517h: MA VV; HJ 41, PG.1952
05/19/2010	S	Sen. Hassan Concurs with House Amendment 1517h, MA, VV
06/02/2010	Н	Enrolled
06/02/2010	S	Enrolled

NH House	NH Senate	Contact Us			
New Hampshire General Court Information Systems					
107	North Main Street - State House Room 31,	Concord NH 03301			

### Other Referrals

#### **COMMITTEE REPORT FILE INVENTORY**

SB368 ORIGINAL REFERRAL RE-REFERRAL

<ol> <li>THIS INVENTORY IS TO BE SIGNED AND DATED BY THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY AND PLACED INSIDE THE FOLDER AS THE FIRST ITEM IN THE COMMITTEE FILE.</li> <li>PLACE ALL DOCUMENTS IN THE FOLDER FOLLOWING THE INVENTORY IN THE ORDER LISTED.</li> <li>THE DOCUMENTS WHICH HAVE AN "X" BESIDE THEM ARE CONFIRMED AS BEING IN THE FOLDER.</li> <li>THE COMPLETED FILE IS THEN DELIVERED TO THE CALENDAR CLERK.</li> </ol>
✓ DOCKET (Submit only the latest docket found in Bill Status)
✓ COMMITTEE REPORT
✓ CALENDAR NOTICE on which you have taken attendance
<b>★</b> HEARING REPORT (written summary of hearing testimony)
✓ HEARING TRANSCRIPT (verbatim transcript of hearing)  List attachments (testimony and submissions which are part of the transcript) by number [1 thru 4 or 1, 2, 3, 4] here:
✓ SIGN-UP SHEET
ALL AMENDMENTS (passed or not) CONSIDERED BY COMMITTEE:  - AMENDMENT #
ALL AVAILABLE VERSIONS OF THE BILL:  AS INTRODUCED AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE
PREPARED TESTIMONY AND OTHER SUBMISSIONS (Which are <u>not</u> part of the transcript) List by letter [ <u>a thru g</u> or <u>a</u> , b, c, d] here:
<u>√</u> EXECUTIVE SESSION REPORT
OTHER (Anything else deemed important but not listed above, such as amended fiscal notes):
IF YOU HAVE A RE-REFERRED BILL, YOU ARE GOING TO MAKE UP A DUPLICATE FILE FOLDER
DATE DELIVERED TO SENATE CLERK 77/10 COMMITTEE SECRETARY