Bill as Introduced

.....

HB 1435-FN - AS INTRODUCED

2010 SESSION

10-2455 10/01

HOUSE BILL	1435-FN
AN ACT	relative to the practitioner-patient relationship in the dispensing of prescriptions.
SPONSORS:	Rep. Case, Rock 1; Rep. Wendelboe, Belk 1; Rep. Bulis, Graf 1; Rep. Donovan, Sull 4; Rep. Mann, Rock 1; Sen. Carson, Dist 14
COMMITTEE:	Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs

ANALYSIS

This bill requires that prescriptions submitted using an electronic or on-line medical history form establish a valid practitioner-patient relationship. A violation is a misdemeanor.

This bill was requested by the pharmacy board.

Explanation:Matter added to current law appears in **bold italics.**Matter removed from current law appears [in brackets and struckthrough.]Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

HB 1435-FN – AS INTRODUCED

10-2455 10/01

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Ten

AN ACT

relative to the practitioner-patient relationship in the dispensing of prescriptions.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

New Paragraph; Pharmacists. Amend RSA 318:1 by inserting after paragraph XV the 1 1 2 following new paragraph: XV-a. "Practitioner-patient relationship" means a medical connection between a licensed 3 practitioner and a patient that includes an in-person exam, a history, a diagnosis, a treatment plan 4 appropriate for the licensee's scope of practice, and documentation of all prescription drugs including 5 name and dosage. A licensee may prescribe for a patient whom the licensee does not have a 6 practitioner-patient relationship under the following circumstances: for a patient of another licensee 7 for whom the prescriber is taking call; for a patient examined by another New Hampshire licensed 8 9 practitioner; or for medication on a short-term basis for a new patient prior to the patient's first appointment. The definition of a practitioner-patient relationship shall not apply to a practitioner 10 licensed in another state who is consulting to a New Hampshire licensed practitioner with whom the 11 12patient has a relationship. 2 Prescriptions; Fraud or Deceit. Amend RSA 318:52-a to read as follows: 13 318:52-a Fraud or Deceit. It is unlawful to obtain or attempt to obtain a drug or device sold by 14 prescription of a physician, dentist, veterinarian, or advanced practice registered nurse that bears a 15 statement that it is to be dispensed or sold only by or on the prescription of a physician, dentist, 16 veterinarian, or advanced practice registered nurse by: 17 [(a)] I. Fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or subterfuge; 18 [(b) by] II. The forgery or alteration of a prescription or of any written order; 19 [or (c) by] III. The concealment of a material fact; 20 [or (d)] IV. The use of a false name or the giving of a false address[-]; or 21 Submission of an electronic or on-line medical history form that fails to 22*V*. 23establish a valid practitioner-patient relationship. 3 Effective Date. This act shall take effect January 1, 2011. $\mathbf{24}$

HB 1435-FN – AS INTRODUCED - Page 2 -

LBAO 10-2455 11/19/09

HB 1435-FN - FISCAL NOTE

AN ACT relative to the practitioner-patient relationship in the dispensing of prescriptions.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The Judicial Branch, Judicial Council, Department of Justice, and the New Hampshire Association of Counties state this bill may increase state and county expenditures by an indeterminable amount in FY 2011 and each year thereafter. This bill will have no fiscal impact on local expenditures or state, county, and local revenue.

METHODOLOGY:

The Judicial Branch states this bill would make unlawful the submission of an electronic or online medical history form that fails to establish a valid practitioner-patient relationship in obtaining a drug or device by prescription. The offense would be an unspecified misdemeanor if committed by a natural person and a felony if committed by any other person. The Branch is unable to estimate how many charges will be brought, but does, however, have information on the average cost of processing a misdemeanor charge in the trial courts. Misdemeanor charges can be either class A or class B, and the Branch assumes that charges brought pursuant to this bill will be class B misdemeanors. The cost to the Branch to process an average class B misdemeanor charges is \$36.89 in FY 2010 and beyond, without consideration of any salary increases or decreases that may occur. These numbers also do not consider the cost of any appeals that may be taken following trial in any such case. With respect to felony charges the Branch has no information on which to estimate how many new felonies will be brought as a result of this bill, but the cost of an average routine felony case is \$335.98 in FY 2010 and beyond, without consideration of any salary increases or decreases that may occur. These numbers also do not consider the cost of any appeals that may be taken following trial. The exact fiscal impact cannot be determined at this time.

The Judicial Council states this bill may result in an indeterminable increase in general fund expenditures. The Council states if an individual is found to be indigent, the flat fee of \$275 per misdemeanor is charged by a public defender or contract attorney. If an assigned counsel attorney is used the fee is \$60 per hour with a cap of \$1,400 for a misdemeanor charge. The Council also states additional costs could be incurred if an appeal is filed. The public defender, contract attorney and assigned counsel rates for Supreme Court appeals is \$2,000 per case,

HB 1435-FN – AS INTRODUCED - Page 3 -

.

LBAO 10-2455 11/19/09

with many assigned counsel attorneys seeking permission to exceed the fee cap. Requests to exceed the fee cap are seldom granted. Finally, expenditures would increase if services other than counsel are requested and approved by the court during the defense of a case or during an appeal. The exact fiscal impact cannot be determined at this time.

The Department of Justice states criminal violations under this bill would be prosecuted by the county or local prosecutor. There would be some fiscal impact to the Department in instances when an appeal would be taken to the Supreme Court from a prosecution. Because it is difficult to estimate how many cases would be generated, or if any of these cased would be appealed to the Supreme Court, the Department is unable to determine a fiscal impact at this time.

The New Hampshire Association of Counties states to the extent an individual is convicted, and sentenced to incarceration, the counties may have increased expenditures. The Association is unable to determine the number of individuals who might be detained or incarcerated as a result of this bill. The average cost to incarcerate an individual in a county facility is \$35,342 a year.

The New Hampshire Board of Medicine states this bill would have no fiscal impact on the Board.

HB 1435-FN - AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

03Feb2010... 0259h

+

2010 SESSION

10-2455 10/01

.....

HOUSE BILL	1435-FN
AN ACT	relative to the practitioner-patient relationship in the dispensing of prescriptions.
SPONSORS:	Rep. Case, Rock 1; Rep. Wendelboe, Belk 1; Rep. Bulis, Graf 1; Rep. Donovan, Sull 4; Rep. Mann, Rock 1; Sen. Carson, Dist 14
COMMITTEE:	Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs

ANALYSIS

This bill requires that prescriptions submitted using an electronic or on-line medical history form establish a valid practitioner-patient relationship. A violation is a misdemeanor.

This bill was requested by the pharmacy board.

.....

Explanation:Matter added to current law appears in bold italics.Matter removed from current law appears [in brackets and struckthrough.]Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

HB 1435-FN – AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

03Feb2010... 0259h

10-2455 10/01

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Ten

AN ACT

CT relative to the practitioner-patient relationship in the dispensing of prescriptions.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 1 New Paragraph; Pharmacists and Pharmacies; Definition Added. Amend RSA 318:1 by 2 inserting after paragraph XV the following new paragraph:

XV-a. "Practitioner-patient relationship" means a medical connection between a licensed 3 practitioner and a patient that includes an in-person exam, a history, a diagnosis, a treatment plan 4 appropriate for the practitioner's scope of practice, and documentation of all prescription drugs 5 including name and dosage. A practitioner may prescribe for a patient whom the practitioner does 6 not have a practitioner-patient relationship under the following circumstances: for a patient of 7 another practitioner for whom the prescriber is taking call; for a patient examined by another New 8 Hampshire licensed practitioner; or for medication on a short-term basis for a new patient prior to 9 the patient's first appointment. The definition of a practitioner-patient relationship shall not apply 10 to a practitioner licensed in another state who is consulting to a New Hampshire licensed 11 12practitioner with whom the patient has a relationship.

13

2 Prescriptions; Fraud or Deceit. Amend RSA 318:52-a to read as follows:

14 318:52-a Fraud or Deceit. It is unlawful to obtain or attempt to obtain a drug or device sold by 15 prescription of a physician, dentist, veterinarian, or advanced practice registered nurse that bears a 16 statement that it is to be dispensed or sold only by or on the prescription of a physician, dentist, 17 veterinarian, or advanced practice registered nurse by:

18 [(a)] I. Fraud, deceit, misrepresentation or subterfuge;

19 [(b) by] II. The forgery or alteration of a prescription or of any written order;

20 [or (c) by] III. The concealment of a material fact;

21 [or (d)] IV. The use of a false name or the giving of a false address[-]; or

22 V. Submission of an electronic or on-line medical history form that fails to 23 establish a valid practitioner-patient relationship.

24 3 Effective Date. This act shall take effect January 1, 2011.

HB 1435-FN – AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE - Page 2 -

;

LBAO 10-2455 11/19/09

HB 1435-FN - FISCAL NOTE

AN ACT relative to the practitioner-patient relationship in the dispensing of prescriptions.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The Judicial Branch, Judicial Council, Department of Justice, and the New Hampshire Association of Counties state this bill may increase state and county expenditures by an indeterminable amount in FY 2011 and each year thereafter. This bill will have no fiscal impact on local expenditures or state, county, and local revenue.

METHODOLOGY:

The Judicial Branch states this bill would make unlawful the submission of an electronic or online medical history form that fails to establish a valid practitioner-patient relationship in obtaining a drug or device by prescription. The offense would be an unspecified misdemeanor if committed by a natural person and a felony if committed by any other person. The Branch is unable to estimate how many charges will be brought, but does, however, have information on the average cost of processing a misdemeanor charge in the trial courts. Misdemeanor charges can be either class A or class B, and the Branch assumes that charges brought pursuant to this bill will be class B misdemeanors. The cost to the Branch to process an average class B misdemeanor charges is \$36.89 in FY 2010 and beyond, without consideration of any salary increases or decreases that may occur. These numbers also do not consider the cost of any appeals that may be taken following trial in any such case. With respect to felony charges the Branch has no information on which to estimate how many new felonies will be brought as a result of this bill, but the cost of an average routine felony case is \$335.98 in FY 2010 and beyond, without consideration of any salary increases or decreases that may occur. These numbers also do not consider the cost of any appeals that may be taken following trial. The exact fiscal impact cannot be determined at this time.

The Judicial Council states this bill may result in an indeterminable increase in general fund expenditures. The Council states if an individual is found to be indigent, the flat fee of \$275 per misdemeanor is charged by a public defender or contract attorney. If an assigned counsel attorney is used the fee is \$60 per hour with a cap of \$1,400 for a misdemeanor charge. The Council also states additional costs could be incurred if an appeal is filed. The public defender, contract attorney and assigned counsel rates for Supreme Court appeals is \$2,000 per case,

HB 1435-FN – AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE - Page 3 -

with many assigned counsel attorneys seeking permission to exceed the fee cap. Requests to exceed the fee cap are seldom granted. Finally, expenditures would increase if services other than counsel are requested and approved by the court during the defense of a case or during an appeal. The exact fiscal impact cannot be determined at this time.

The Department of Justice states criminal violations under this bill would be prosecuted by the county or local prosecutor. There would be some fiscal impact to the Department in instances when an appeal would be taken to the Supreme Court from a prosecution. Because it is difficult to estimate how many cases would be generated, or if any of these cased would be appealed to the Supreme Court, the Department is unable to determine a fiscal impact at this time.

The New Hampshire Association of Counties states to the extent an individual is convicted, and sentenced to incarceration, the counties may have increased expenditures. The Association is unable to determine the number of individuals who might be detained or incarcerated as a result of this bill. The average cost to incarcerate an individual in a county facility is \$35,342 a year.

The New Hampshire Board of Medicine states this bill would have no fiscal impact on the Board.

Committee Minutes

AMENDED SENATE CALENDAR NOTICE JUDICIARY

Senator Deborah Reynolds Chairman
Senator Bette Lasky V Chairman
Senator Matthew Houde
Senator Sheila Roberge
Senator Robert Letourneau

For Use by Senate Clerk's Office ONLY				
Bill Status				
Docket				
Calendar				
Proof: Calendar Bill Status				

Date: April 1, 2010

HEARINGS

			Tuesday		4/	6/2010	
	JUDICIAI	RY				SH 103	2:00 PM
	(Name of	Committee	e)			(Place)	(Time)
			EX	ECUTIVE SES	SSION MAY	FOLLOW	
	Commen	ts: THE	PURPOSE O	F THIS AMENDE	D NOTICE IS T	O ADD HB 14	35, HB 1544 AND HB 1334
	2:00 PM	HB523-F	FN requ	iring DNA testing of	all persons convid	ted of a felony.	
	2:30 PM	HB1653-	-FN decr	iminalizing possessio	n of one quarter o	f an ounce or le	ss of marijuana.
	3:00 PM	HB1655-	-FN relat	tive to persons with r	nental illness and	the corrections	system.
	3:30 PM	HB1667-	-FN relat	tive to possession of c	ontrolled substan	ces obtained by	valid prescription.
/	4:00 PM	HB1435-	-FN relat	tive to the practitions	r-patient relation	ship in the disp	ensing of prescriptions.
1.32	4:15 PM	HB1544-	-FN relat	tive to penalties for f	prestry violations.		
2	4:30 PM	HB1334-	-FN relat	tive to penalties for u	npaid fines conce:	rning hazardous	s materials accidents.
	Sponsors HB523-F Rep. David HB1653- Rep. Steven HB1655-	' N I Welch FN n Lindsey	Rep.	Stephen Shurtleff			
	Rep. Cindy Rep. Gene HB1667- Rep. Joel V HB1435-	FN Vinters	Rep.	. Lucy Weber	Sen. Bette	Lasky	Rep. Peter Batula
l	Rep. Frank	Case		Fran Wendelboe	Sen. Share	on Carson	Rep. Lyle Bulis
	•	as Donovan	Rep	Maureen Mann			
	HB1544-FN Rep. Robert Theberge HB1334-FN Rep. John DeJoie		Rep	. Suzanne Smith	Sen. Bob	Odell	

Gail Brown 271-3076

<u>Sen. Deborah Reynolds</u> Chairman

Judiciary Committee Hearing Report

TO: Members of the Senate

FROM: Susan Duncan, Senior Legislative Aide

RE: Hearing report on **HB 1435-FN** – AN ACT relative to the practitioner-patient relationship in the dispensing of prescriptions

HEARING DATE: April 6, 2010

MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE PRESENT: Senators Reynolds, Lasky, Roberge, Letourneau and Houde

MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ABSENT: No one

Sponsor(s): Representative Case; Rep. Bulis; Rep. Donovan, Rep. Mann, Rep. Wendelboe and Senator Carson

What the bill does: This bill requires that prescriptions submitted using an electronic or on-line medical history form establish a valid practitionerpatient relationship. A violation would be a misdemeanor. The bill was requested by the Parmacy Board.

Who supports the bill: Representative Case; Representative Rosenwald; Janet Monahan, NH Medical Society; Jay Queenan on behalf of the Board of Pharmacy; Elizabeth Sargent representing the NH Pharmacists Association and the NH Society of Health System Pharmacists

Who opposes the bill: No one

Summary of testimony received:

- Representative Case introduced the legislation and explained that he filed it at the request of the Board of Pharmacy.
- He explained that this came as a result of a recent performance audit. The legislation would stop the practice of those on line "pharmacies" that have the individual fill out a survey – and then this is taken to a physician and the medicine arrives in the mail.
- Mr. Queenan testified in support and explained that there are certain non-controlled drugs that do have abuse potential. Some of these come after ingestion because of what happens when the drug goes to the liver, for example.

- If the Board of Pharmacy receives a complaint, they can then go on line and see if the "pharmacy" is licensed.
- Senator Roberge asked about ordering medications on line for pets. Mr. Queenan was not sure about this.
- He said that this legislation makes sure that there is a true physician-patient relationship that the patient has actually seen the physician in person and services were provided (such as blood pressure monitored, etc.).
- Senator Lasky noted that the individual could lie in their response and noted her problems with some of the things available over the internet. Mr. Queenan responded that the legislation would give the Board an opportunity to go after those who abuse the practice.
- Senator Houde asked if there would be any implications with the practice of telemedicine. Mr. Queenan responded that he would defer that to the Medical Society as to what that might entail. He noted, however, that right now, there are not restrictions on non-controlled drugs.
- Senator Letourneau asked why are we trying to control "noncontrolled" drugs – and isn't this a contradiction? Mr Queenan spoke of certain non-controlled drugs that can have various reactions. For example, Psoma, when it passes through the liver, it becomes a Schedule IV drug.
- Senator Roberge asked if these are items that can be picked up off the shelf at the drugstore. Mr. Queenan responded that they cannot – they are by prescription only and the Board is just looking to control these few drugs that fall into this "gray" area.
- Senator Lasky asked what recourse the Board would have. Mr. Queenan responded that they could take action at the Board level or they could file a civil action in court.
- Senator Lasky asked if they can do this even if they are outside of New Hampshire. Mr. Queenan responded "yes," that they have control over any drug that comes into New Hampshire and the provider must be licensed through them.

Fiscal Impact: See fiscal note.

Future Action: The Committee took the bill under advisement.

Date:April 6, 2010Time:4:35 p.m.Room:State House Room 103

The Senate Committee on Judiciary held a hearing on the following:

HB 1435-FN relative to the practitioner-patient relationship in the dispensing of prescriptions.

Members of Committee present:

Senator Reynolds Senator Lasky Senator Houde Senator Roberge Senator Letourneau

The Chair, Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, opened the hearing on HB 1435-FN and invited the prime sponsor, Representative Frank Case, to introduce the legislation.

<u>Representative Case</u>: Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. My name is Frank Case. I represent Rockingham District 1. I sponsored HB 1435 at the request of the New Hampshire Board of Pharmacy. These changes were asked for as a result of a performance audit of the Board of Pharmacy.

HB 1435 makes two changes to 318:52. The first one is the definition of practitioner-patient relationship and the other is to add V to 318:52-a, making an electronic or on-line medical history form that fails to establish a valid practitioner-patient relationship.

These two additions were made necessary because two bills were passed in 2006 – one on e-prescribing and the other was on practitioner-patient relationship. These two bills were both passed to try to reduce the importation of prescription drugs without valid prescriptions. This can be accomplished through the newspapers where they have ads for Viagra and various other drugs or you can go on line and put in prescription and put, after no prescription and you will get on line and be able to order them. I have tried it with oxycontin and it works. So, this would stop that because what they will do is they come up with a medical survey for you to fill out and then they will take that to a physician wherever they are, get some type of

prescription and they send it out. So, that's why we put this bill in about the practitioner-patient relationship. In other words, have a relationship with the person. That's what this is about.

There is a man from the Board of Pharmacy and he might be able to explain it even better. But, the penalties in the bill are only able to be used against the pharmacist that dispenses or the pharmacy that owns the drug. It has nothing to do with public.

Please see Attachment #1 - Representative Case's handwritten notes.

<u>Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2</u>: Thank you, Representative. Does that conclude your testimony? I think Senator Lasky has a question.

<u>Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13</u>: I'm sorry. I'm familiar with this, but how do you enforce something like this?

<u>Representative Case</u>: Well, over the internet you have a pharmacist now and I will let the Board of Pharmacy explain. They are monitoring these things.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Thank you very much.

<u>Representative Case</u>: It would be in connection with the other states where the pharmacies are at. We would do the same thing with those states. He will go into it more for you.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Thank you very much.

Representative Case: You're welcome.

<u>Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2</u>: I just want to note Janet Monahan on behalf of New Hampshire Medical Society has signed in in favor of the bill, does not wish to speak. Representative Cindy Rosenwald has signed in in support of the bill, does not wish to speak. The last person we have to testify in support of the bill is Jay Queenan from the Board of Pharmacy. Why don't you come forward, sir? Sorry to keep you waiting here.

Jay Queenan: No apology needed. Thank you very much, Senator. My name is Jay Queenan. I am the Executive Secretary Director of the New Hampshire Board of Pharmacy.

The Board of Pharmacy had requested this bill and the reason being that, as Representative Case had mentioned, you can go on line and if you are requesting certain non-controlled drugs, even if they are non-controlled, they

SKIP

either 1) can be abused in certain doses or in certain combinations or once they enter into the body and they go through a metabolic change in the liver, they do become controlled substances. So, they do have abuse potential and they do come into this state and they can come into this state by simply filling out a questionnaire, submitting that. That then gets prescribed by a doctor. That prescription may or may not come into New Hampshire and it gets filled and the drug gets sent to a person.

The way we find out about those things are through customer complaints. We will hear about medications being sent in. A person, a parent may find out that their child has been ordering things on line, maybe for a car accident or whatever. Sometimes, as we go in and inspect anywhere where prescription drugs are to be dispensed, we may find out that these things are being ordered erroneously. We can then go and see if they are licensed. If they are doing this and they are not following the letter of the law, we can then take action against their license, whether it be suspension, reprimand or whatever.

I would be happy to entertain any questions.

<u>Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2</u>: Thank you very much, Mr. Queenan. I have a few quick questions. Are you yourself a pharmacist?

Mr. Queenan: I am.

<u>Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2</u>: Okay. And, a follow-up question to that is, have you talked to any of the industry groups like the Association of the Independent Pharmacies in the state? Do they support this, do you know? They are not signed in at all one way or the other. I'm just curious.

Mr. Queenan: I honestly don't know whether they are opposed or in favor.

<u>Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2</u>: Okay. Great. Thank you very much. Any other questions? Senator Roberge?

<u>Senator Sheila Roberge</u>, <u>D</u>. <u>9</u>: Maybe this is off the mark, but how about the fact that you order a prescription drug from a veterinarian from a catalog?

<u>Mr. Queenan</u>: I'm not sure that this bill addresses that. What this bill is going to do is make sure that the patient is seen. I'm not talking about veterinarian medicine. I'm talking about that, if you do not have a true patient/practitioner relationship where a practitioner will come in and examine you, will take your blood pressure, will actually be in your presence. What they will try to do to avoid that is simply fill out a form or maybe some kind of web cam, but they don't actually go in and take your blood pressure, you're not in the room, you're not present. Without that, these purported examinations then serve as the basis for treatment and bringing medications that can be abused and are abused into the state.

Senator, to answer your question in a word, I'm not sure where this would fall in veterinary medicine.

Senator Sheila Roberge, D. 9: Thank you.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Senator Lasky?

<u>Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13</u>: Thank you. Thank you, Madam Chair. Frankly, you could lie. You could lie? That's the whole issue with the internet. You can tell them anything and you're right, it probably will cut down and is better than nothing. But, you know there is going to be ways around it.

<u>Mr. Queenan</u>: Well, once again, at least it gives us some discipline opportunities where we can seek.

<u>Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13</u>: If someone is consistently doing that, obviously, I understand.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Thank you. Senator Houde?

<u>Senator Matthew Houde, D. 5</u>: Thank you, Madam Chair. Thank you for taking the question. What are the implications for tele-medicine considering this by definition?

<u>Mr. Queenan</u>: I would probably defer that question to the Medical Society as to what that definition would entail. But, I don't know if there could be some work around with this. By that, I mean that perhaps there is a physician in some state where they might have a nurse practitioner or a nurse who examines the patient and then reports back to the doctor. But, the idea is that right now there are no restrictions on non-controlled.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Follow up? Senator Letourneau?

<u>Senator Robert J. Letourneau, D. 19</u>: I just read, it says non-controlled because it is non-controlled. That means it is non-controlled. So, why are we trying to control them?

Mr. Queenan: That's an excellent question. There are three drugs that have a high abuse potential. One is a drug called fioricet. It contains acetominiphin, barbiturate and Tylenol and a little bit of caffeine. Fioricet is not controlled. The exact same formula, remove the Tylenol and place aspirin is controlled. It contains a barbiturate. For the life of me, for the thirty-two years I have been pharmacy, I cannot understand why barbiturates can be ordered and not be controlled. So, therefore, if it has a barbiturate, which has abuse potential, you can order those as a non-controlled. There is a drug on the market called soma. As soon as it passes through the liver, it becomes meprobamate. Anybody that studies even the fundamentals of pharmacology understands how that happens. Soma is not controlled because it is not a controlled medicine. As soon as it passes through the liver, it becomes meprobamate. Meprobamate is a schedule four drug. There is another drug on the market called tramadol, which, in combination with some antihistamines has a euphoric controlled type of effect. The federal government chose not to control it.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Follow up?

<u>Senator Robert J. Letourneau, D. 19</u>: Yeah, because you are using all these technical terms and I'm trying to figure. I consider myself fairly educated. If I saw these things on line, I wouldn't know what the heck they were. So, are these things being sold as some other type of thing?

Mr. Queenan: Those who abuse drugs, this is their language.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Senator Letourneau, are you done?

Senator Robert J. Letourneau, D. 19: I guess so.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Are you sure?

Senator Robert J. Letourneau, D. 19: I am.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Okay. Senator Roberge?

<u>Senator Sheila Roberge, D. 9</u>: Can't pick up these drugs on the shelf of the drug store. Right? In no combination can you pick them up.

<u>Mr. Queenan</u>: No. They are prescription drugs. Controlled simply means that you are controlled in the quantity that you can order, controlled at how long a prescription is good for and controlled in how many refills. They control them because they have abuse potential. But, prescription drugs like thyroid medicine, some antihistamines, they require prescriptions because

they are not safe for non-medicine use, but they do not have an abuse potential. But, there are some, just a few, not a lot, but a few in this gray area and that's what we're looking at.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Senator Lasky?

<u>Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13</u>: And, this may be a little, just quickly. If it is going to be a long answer, we'll just have to... But, what if you order a drug on line? Wait a minute. And you get the wrong one. And, mistakes have been made. Is there any recourse?

<u>Mr. Queenan</u>: You would have recourse in two arenas. Okay. One of them would be you could, depending on what the damages, and I'm not a lawyer, have some civil action. You report it to the Board of Pharmacy and they have a process where they do an investigation. Sometimes they get the Attorney General involved and you can take against either the license of the facility or the license of the individual.

<u>Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13</u>: Even if it is outside of New Hampshire, you can?

<u>Mr. Queenan</u>: Yes, and I will tell you why, Senator. Any legitimate drug that comes into the Sstate of New Hampshire must be in some way, shape or form licensed through us.

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: Thank you.

<u>Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2</u>: Any other questions? Thank you very much for your testimony.

Mr. Queenan: Thank you.

<u>Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2</u>: I don't see anyone else. I don't have anyone else signed in relative to HB 1435, so I am going to close the hearing.

Hearing concluded at 4:50 p.m.

Respectfully submitted, June Q

L. Gail Brown Senate Secretarial Supervisor 8/23/10 1 Attachment

attachment #1 GOOD AULFRENDON MADAM CHAIR + MENIGODOF THE JUDICIAN CAUTHER My NHME IS FARME CASE, I REPLEST ROCKNIGHT DIST. #1 I SPONSORED HTS-1435, AT THE REQUEST OF THE N.K BOARD OF PHARMACY. THESE CHANDES NEEDUE TO THE RESULTS OF A PERFORMING AUI IT OF THE BOARD. HB-1435-MANES TWO CANGES TO CURRENT LAW! (1) DEFINE THE" PROCEST TIONEL- PATTENT RELATIONSHIP AND TO ADD:" SUBALISAN OF AN ELACTRALE OULINE MEDICAL HISTORY FORM THAT FAILS TO ESTHBUSH A UAKID PRETITIONER PATIENT RECATIONE HIP. THE THE THIS IN NEEDED TO ATTEMP TO REDUCE OR HALT THE INFORSATION OF PRESCRIPTIN DRUGS WITH CAFF OUT A VALUE RESCRIPTION, VIA THE INTERNET. ON LINE SLISES ARE AVMALABETO 'TYPE IN COMPUTER." CHYCODINE-NO. PRESCRIPICA, THEN FULIN A "MEDICA SURVERY" PACI THE PRICE, AND THE PULLS WILL ARRIVE IN THE MARC!! THIS INCUDERS OTHER DRUPS. JUSTLOOK IN NEWSPORTA ADUS. AND CALL OR CMAN. THE PENALTUS ARE ONLY FOR THE DUSPENSING PHARMACUST OR GHAMMACH, NOT PUBLE MEMBERS

Speakers

SENATE JUDICIARY COMMITTEE

Date: 4/6/10

· ·

Time: 4:00 p.m. Public Hearing on HB 1435-FN

HB 1435 – relative to the practitioner-patient relationship in the dispensing of prescriptions.

Please check box(es) that apply:

SPE	EAKING	FAVOR OF	POSED	NAME	(Please print)	REPRESENTING
		Ĭ	- Junet M	onchan	NH Medical	2 Society
		X	- Rep.	Cirdy R	operwald	Hills 22
X	X		Rep.	FRANK G	GASE	Rock #1
r.	V	Y	D JAY (PUEENA		Sund on Pharmacey
		\square	Elizab	eth Sarp	ent, NHPh	armacists Association
		t l	DEITab	eth Sar	<u>pent, NH So</u>	ciety of Health.
						System Pharmaeist
			·			
				<u> </u>		
•						

Voting Sheets

Senate Judiciary Committee EXECUTIVE SESSION

		//				Bill # K	+B 142	5-FN
Hearing dat	te:	<u>4/6/10</u>	2	-				
Executive s	te:		1/13/10	_				
Motion of:	OTP					VOTE: <u>(</u>	-0	
<u>Made by</u> <u>Senator:</u>	Reynolds Lasky Houde Letourneau Roberge		<u>Seconded</u> by <u>Senator:</u>	Reynolds Lasky Houde Letourneau Roberge		<u>Reported</u> <u>by Senato</u> r:	Reynolds Lasky Houde Letourneau Roberge	
Motion of: _						VOTE:		
<u>Made by</u> <u>Senator:</u>	Reynolds Lasky Houde Letourneau Roberge		<u>Seconded</u> by Senator:	Reynolds Lasky Houde Letourneau Roberge		<u>Reported</u> by Senator:	Reynolds Lasky Houde Letourneau Roberge	
<u>Committee</u> Senator Rev	<u>Member</u> ynold <u>s, Chair</u> i	man	Present	<u>Yes</u>		<u>No</u>	<u>Reported a</u>	out by
	sky, Vice-Cha		4					_
Senator Houde								
Senator Letourneau						<u> </u>		
Senator Roberge								
*Amendments:								
Notes:								
						•		

Committee Report

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

SENATE

REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE

Date: April 13, 2010

THE COMMITTEE ON Judiciary

to which was referred House Bill 1435-FN

AN ACT relative to the practitioner-patient relationship in the dispensing of prescriptions.

Having considered the same, the committee recommends that the Bill:

OUGHT TO PASS

BY A VOTE OF: 5-0

AMENDMENT # s

Senator Sheila Roberge For the Committee

L. Gail Brown 271-3076

New Hampshire General Court - Bill Status System

Docket of HB1435

Docket Abbreviations

Bill Title: relative to the practitioner-patient relationship in the dispensing of prescriptions.

Official Docket of HB1435:

Date	Body	Description
12/10/2009	Н	Introduced 1/6/2010 and Referred to Health, Human Services and Elderly Affairs; HJ 6 , PG.240
01/06/2010	H.	Public Hearing: 1/20/2010 1:00 PM LOB 205
01/06/2010	Н	Executive Session: 1/26/2010 1:00 PM LOB 205
01/26/2010	Н	Committee Report: Ought to Pass with Amendment #0259h for Feb 3 RC (vote 16-2); HC 11 , PG.469
01/26/2010	н	Proposed Committee Amendment #0259h; HC 11, PG.489
02/03/2010	н	Amendment #0259h Adopted, VV; HJ 15, PG.701
02/03/2010	H	Ought to Pass with Amendment #0259h: MA VV; HJ 15, PG.701
02/03/2010	н	Referred to Criminal Justice and Public Safety; HJ 15, PG.701
02/23/2010	н	Public Hearing: 3/4/2010 10:30 AM LOB 204
02/23/2010	Н	Executive Session: 3/4/2010 1:00 PM LOB 204
03/05/2010	н	Committee Report: Ought to Pass for Mar 24 (Vote 15-2; CC); HC 22, PG.1219
03/24/2010	н	Ought to Pass: MA VV; HJ 30 , PG.1476
03/24/2010	S	Introduced and Referred to Judiciary; SJ 11, Pg.263
04/01/2010	S	Hearing: April 6, 2010, Room 103, State House, 4:00 p.m.; SC14
04/14/2010	S	Committee Report: Ought to Pass 4/21/10; SC16
04/21/2010	S	Ought to Pass, MA, VV; OT3rdg; SJ 15 , Pg.319
04/21/2010	S	Passed by Third Reading Resolution; SJ 15, Pg.325
05/05/2010	S	Enrolled; SJ 17 , Pg.411
05/05/2010	н	Enrolled; HJ 38, PG.1914
05/24/2010	Н	Signed by the Governor 05/19/2010; Effective 01/01/2011; Chapter 0074

NH House	NH Senate			Contact Us		
	New Hampshire					
	107 North Main Street - State House Room 31, Concord NH 03301					
	· · · ·					

Other Referrals

COMMITTEE REPORT FILE INVENTORY

<u>HB/435</u> ORIGINAL REFERRAL _____ RE-REFERRAL

¢ . *

•

 THIS INVENTORY IS TO BE SIGNED AND DATED BY INSIDE THE FOLDER AS THE FIRST ITEM IN THE PLACE ALL DOCUMENTS IN THE FOLDER FOLLOW THE DOCUMENTS WHICH HAVE AN "X" BESIDE THE THE COMPLETED FILE IS THEN DELIVERED TO THE 	IE COMMITTEE FILE. /ING THE INVENTORY <u>IN THE ORDER LISTED</u> . HEM ARE CONFIRMED AS BEING IN THE FOLDER.
 DOCKET (Submit only the latest do	ocket found in Bill Status)
COMMITTEE REPORT	
CALENDAR NOTICE on which you	ı have taken attendance
HEARING REPORT (written summ	ary of hearing testimony)
HEARING TRANSCRIPT (verbatin List attachments (testimony and sub transcript) by number [<u>1 thru</u>	missions which are part of the
SIGN-UP SHEET	
	t) CONSIDERED BY COMMITTEE: AMENDMENT # AMENDMENT #
ALL AVAILABLE VERSIONS OF AS INTRODUCED FINAL VERSION	THE BILL: AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE
PREPARED TESTIMONY AND OT part of the transcript) List by letter [<u>a thru g</u> or <u>a, b, c, d</u>]	THER SUBMISSIONS (Which are <u>not</u>
EXECUTIVE SESSION REPORT	
OTHER (Anything else deemed impage) amended fiscal notes):	ortant but not listed above, such as
IF YOU HAVE A RE-REFERRED BILL, YOU ARE GOING	TO MAKE UP A DUPLICATE FILE FOLDER
DATE DELIVERED TO SENATE CLERK 9/30/10	2 Hail Brown Committee Secretary