

Bill as Introduced

HB 1161 - AS INTRODUCED

2010 SESSION

10-2302

04/09

HOUSE BILL **1161**

AN ACT repealing the requirement to obtain a license to sell in order to sell pistols or
revolvers at retail.

SPONSORS: Rep. Eaton, Ches 2; Rep. Welch, Rock 8

COMMITTEE: Criminal Justice and Public Safety

ANALYSIS

This bill repeals the requirement that a person obtain a license to sell pistols or revolvers at
retail.

.....

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in ***bold italics***.
Matter removed from current law appears [~~in brackets and struck through.~~]
Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Ten

AN ACT repealing the requirement to obtain a license to sell in order to sell pistols or
 revolvers at retail.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

- 1 1 Repeal. The following are repealed:
2 I. RSA 159:8, relative to obtaining a license to sell pistols or revolvers at retail.
3 II. RSA 159:8-a, relative to sales of pistols or revolvers to nonresidents.
4 III. RSA 159:8-b, relative to penalties for selling a pistol or revolver to a nonresident.
5 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect January 1, 2011.

HB 1161 - AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

17Feb2010... 0190h

2010 SESSION

10-2302
04/09

HOUSE BILL ***1161***

AN ACT repealing the requirement to obtain a license to sell in order to sell pistols or
 revolvers at retail.

SPONSORS: Rep. Eaton, Ches 2; Rep. Welch, Rock 8

COMMITTEE: Criminal Justice and Public Safety

ANALYSIS

This bill repeals the requirement that a person obtain a license to sell pistols or revolvers at retail.

.....

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in ***bold italics***.
 Matter removed from current law appears [~~in brackets and struck through.~~]
 Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

HB 1161 – AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE

17Feb2010... 0190h

10-2302
04/09

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Ten

AN ACT repealing the requirement to obtain a license to sell in order to sell pistols or
 revolvers at retail.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

- 1 1 Repeal. The following are repealed:
- 2 I. RSA 159:8, relative to obtaining a license to sell pistols or revolvers at retail.
- 3 II. RSA 159:8-a, relative to sales of pistols or revolvers to nonresidents.
- 4 III. RSA 159:8-b, relative to penalties for selling a pistol or revolver to a nonresident.
- 5 IV. RSA 159:10, relative to sales without a license.
- 6 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect January 1, 2011.

HB 1161 - FINAL VERSION

17Feb2010... 0190h

2010 SESSION

10-2302
04/09

HOUSE BILL **1161**

AN ACT repealing the requirement to obtain a license to sell in order to sell pistols or
 revolvers at retail.

SPONSORS: Rep. Eaton, Ches 2; Rep. Welch, Rock 8

COMMITTEE: Criminal Justice and Public Safety

ANALYSIS

This bill repeals the requirement that a person obtain a license to sell pistols or revolvers at retail.

Explanation: Matter added to current law appears in ***bold italics***.
 Matter removed from current law appears [~~in brackets and struckthrough.~~]
 Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

HB 1161 – FINAL VERSION

17Feb2010... 0190h

10-2302
04/09

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Ten

AN ACT repealing the requirement to obtain a license to sell in order to sell pistols or
 revolvers at retail.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

- 1 1 Repeal. The following are repealed:
2 I. RSA 159:8, relative to obtaining a license to sell pistols or revolvers at retail.
3 II. RSA 159:8-a, relative to sales of pistols or revolvers to nonresidents.
4 III. RSA 159:8-b, relative to penalties for selling a pistol or revolver to a nonresident.
5 IV. RSA 159:10, relative to sales without a license.
6 2 Effective Date. This act shall take effect January 1, 2011.

Committee Minutes

**SENATE CALENDAR NOTICE
JUDICIARY**

- ✓ Senator Deborah Reynolds Chairman
- ✓ Senator Bette Lasky V Chairman
- ✓ Senator Matthew Houde
- ✓ Senator Sheila Roberge
- ✓ Senator Robert Letourneau

For Use by Senate Clerk's Office ONLY	
<input type="checkbox"/> Bill Status	
<input type="checkbox"/> Docket	
<input type="checkbox"/> Calendar	
Proof: <input type="checkbox"/> Calendar	<input type="checkbox"/> Bill Status

Date: March 16, 2010

HEARINGS

Tuesday

3/23/2010

JUDICIARY

SH 103

2:00 PM

(Name of Committee)

(Place)

(Time)

EXECUTIVE SESSION MAY FOLLOW

- 2:00 PM HB1665-FN
- ~~2:15 PM~~ HB1161
- 2:30 PM HB160
- 2:45 PM HB1219

- (New Title) relative to the penalty for carrying or selling certain weapons.
- repealing the requirement to obtain a license to sell in order to sell pistols or revolvers at retail.
- relative to physical force in defense of a person.
- repealing the wartime registration of aliens laws.

2:30

Sponsors:

HB1665-FN

Rep. Jennifer Coffey
Sen. Robert Letourneau

Rep. Daniel Eaton
Rep. Joel Winters

Rep. Shawn Jasper

Rep. David Welch

HB1161

Rep. Daniel Eaton

✓ Rep. David Welch

HB160

Rep. Leo Pepino
Sen. John Gallus

Rep. Robert Mead
Sen. Sheila Roberge

Rep. Barbara Shaw
Sen. Robert Letourneau

Rep. Alfred Baldasaro
Rep. Pamela Price

HB1219

Rep. Carol McGuire

Rep. David Bettencourt

Judiciary Committee

Hearing Report

TO: Members of the Senate

FROM: Susan Duncan, *Senior Legislative Aide*

RE: Hearing report on **HB 1161** – *AN ACT repealing the requirement to obtain a license to sell in order to sell pistols or revolvers at retail.*

HEARING DATE: March 23, 2010

MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE PRESENT: Senators Reynolds, Lasky, Roberge, Letourneau and Houde

MEMBERS OF THE COMMITTEE ABSENT: No one

Sponsor(s): Representative Eaton and Representative Welch

What the bill does: This bill repeals the requirement that a person obtain a license to sell pistols or revolvers at retail.

Who supports the bill: Representative Welch; Representative Coffey; Abram Foote; Sarah M. Brown; Howard Brown; Ralph Demicco; Steven Stefanic(?); J. R. Hoell; Representative Shurtleff; Nicole Hoffmann, 2nd Amendment Sisters; Attorney Penny Dean

Who opposes the bill: No one

Others testifying: Chief Richard Creighton, Enfield Police Department representing the NH Chiefs of Police

Summary of testimony received:

- Representative Welch introduced the legislation on behalf of the prime sponsor who was not present.
- He explained that a similar bill came before his committee once before and was filed by former Representative John Tholl who also is a police officer. He said that prior to this, he was unaware that this was even on the books. Currently, federal law requires licensure to sell firearms – this state law is duplicative.
- He said that there was no opposition to the legislation on the floor of the House and that it passed on a voice vote.
- Senator Lasky clarified that federal law does require licensure to sell pistols and revolvers. Representative Welch confirmed this.

- Senator Reynolds asked about the loss of local revenue and why there isn't a fiscal note. Representative Welch responded that he wasn't sure why there is no "fn" and wasn't sure what the charge is for these local licenses to sell.
- Senator Reynolds noted for the record that no one signed in opposition to the legislation.
- Attorney Dean testified and presented language for a proposed amendment. She said that additional language is needed because without the amendment, law abiding citizens could face prosecution and that there are a couple of additional things that need to be corrected. She said that Gun Owners of NH does support this.
- Senator Reynolds commented that if the Senate amended the bill, the House may not accept the amendments.
- Senator Letourneau asked if he had heard her correctly that some private folks have been prosecuted. Attorney Dean responded that there was an individual sale case in Brookline that ended up being "*nol prossed*" eventually. She said that the man had only owned two firearms in his entire life and that he was charged with trying to sell a gun without a license. She said that Judge Martha Crocker heard the case but she couldn't remember what court it was in.
- J. R. Hoell representing NH Firearms Coalition asked to clarify two issues: licensed gun dealers and "technical cleaning up of the language."
- Chief Creighton testified that the Police Chiefs have not taken an official position on this legislation. He said that the cost of \$50 or \$100 for these licenses is collected at the local level. He said he was sure that his Budget Committee would not look kindly on getting rid of this revenue.
- Senator Letourneau asked about the Brookline man in the earlier story. Chief Creighton responded that "there may well be more to that story."
- Senator Roberge asked what types of guns may be sold with these licenses. Chief Creighton responded that it would be non-automatic guns. He noted that federal law takes precedence.
- Senator Roberge asked if there is any downside to passing this law. Chief Creighton responded that at the federal level, Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms (ATF), they go through a much more restrictive process than we do locally.
- Senator Roberge asked if there is any benefit to the local police officers for knowing who has these licenses to sell. The Chief responded that the federal law requires the local chief of police to sign off on their form – so that either way, they know who is licensed. They have this information anyway, the State form is just a duplicate.
- Senator Letourneau asked if we require a license to sell other items. The Chief responded that if we do, it would be under local zoning regulations.

Fiscal Impact: No fiscal note is attached – but there would be some loss of local revenues (no one knew how much or how many of these licenses are sold annually).

Future Action: The Committee took the bill under advisement.

sfd

[file: HB 1161]

Date: March 25, 2010

Date: March 23, 2010
Time: 2:30 p.m.
Room: State House Room 103

RWB

The Senate Committee on Judiciary held a hearing on the following:

HB 1161 repealing the requirement to obtain a license to sell in
 order to sell pistols or revolvers at retail.

Members of Committee present: Senator Reynolds
 Senator Lasky
 Senator Houde
 Senator Roberge
 Senator Letourneau

The Chair, Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, opened the hearing on HB 1161 and invited co-sponsor, Representative David Welch, to introduce the legislation.

Representative Welch: For the record, I'm David A. Welch and I represent Rockingham District 8.

This bill repeals the requirement to obtain a license to sell in order to sell pistols and revolvers at retail. This is a bill that had come in once before and sponsored by a former Representative Tholl, who is the Chief of Police. It doesn't matter where; this is a requirement. It would appear that there are several, perhaps many, chiefs who don't know that this law is on the books. It seems to be a duplicative thing because the federal government is the one that grants the license to sell. So, Representative Eaton asked me to co-sponsor with him on this bill and I did. When we brought it in, it was amended to cover, to take out 159:10 as well and that is what is before you now.

It was on the consent calendar, but things in the consent calendar haven't stayed on the consent calendar very much this year. We did receive no opposition on the floor. It was passed by a voice vote, which appears to make sense.

I wish I could give you more statistics on it, but I really thought Representative Eaton would be here.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Absolutely. Understood. Thank you very much. Are you completed with your testimony? I think Senator Letourneau had a question for you.

Representative Welch: Well, essentially what I wanted to point out in the event it is not obvious in the bill, the 159:8 is a different paragraph on the license to sell. 159:8-a is sales to non-residents. If you are licensed under 159:8-b is a penalty section and 159:10 is sales without a license which was left out of the original bill, but we added that in. It doesn't make sense to have that if you are going to require the license.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Thank you. I think Senator Letourneau had a question.

Senator Robert J. Letourneau, D. 19: I think the problem is, what I have here in my folder, is the bill as introduced, not amended. So, I apologize. I don't need to ask a question.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Senator Lasky?

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: Yes. Thank you, Madam Chair. Good afternoon, Representative. Good to see you. You mentioned that there is a federal license needed to sell pistols and revolvers. Right?

Representative Welch: That's correct.

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: So, the statute, you deem unnecessary because of that?

Representative Welch: That's true and the length of the license, I don't know, it is three years for a federal license and I think it is three years for the local license as well. It is. They don't necessarily coincide.

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: So, it is just redundant, basically.

Representative Welch: It is just not necessary.

Senator Bette R. Lasky, D. 13: Thank you.

Representative Welch: You're welcome.

RLB

LHB

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: I had a question only because I am somewhat familiar with our local select board and chief so forth issuing the licenses. There is no fiscal note with regard to that issue or not? I mean, there's got to be at the municipal level.

Representative Welch: No, there is no fiscal note.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Representative, are you aware of what the typical license fee is? If I'm asking the wrong questions of the wrong person, I would be glad to hold questions on that.

Representative Welch: If it is not listed, then I suspect it is a local option, but I really don't know.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Okay. Thank you, Representative. Any other questions of Representative Welch? Thank you very much for your testimony.

With the caveat that, just a statement for the record that no one as well on this bill has signed in in opposition to the bill, we do have Representative Jennifer Coffey, once again, who has signed in in support of the bill, does not wish to speak. Abram Foote has signed in in support, does not wish to speak. Sarah Brown is here, wasn't sure if she would like to speak. If you would like to speak.

Sarah Brown: No. That's okay.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Okay. Thank you very much. Same thing with Mr. Howard Brown. Ralph Demicco is here again. Did you want to testify?

Ralph Demicco: No.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: I apologize. It looks like Steven is here on behalf of GONH. Attorney Dean is here as well in support of the bill, but does not wish to speak. Did you want to speak at all?

Attorney Penny Dean: I do. I thought I put with amendment.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Okay. Sure. I will mark you off as speaking.

Attorney Dean: I apologize. Just to take you back to the House where this bill was originally presented in the House, it was presented to add 159:8,

RHB

which added that, had it passed the way it was originally presented in the House, it would, within three years, have shut down every gun store in the state. They amended it to add 159:14.

There are still a couple of other amendments necessary in my mind in order to save law-abiding citizens from that. I had a whole stack of them ready and I walked out without them. So, I'm happy to provide to you a couple of suggested amendments that I think will take it.

The issue I see happening is that just repeal as suggested, law-abiding citizens who can lawfully sell their privately owned handguns and revolvers in this state, and rifles to people may very well face prosecution. I always look at it from a legal point of view. How can citizens be prosecuted?

So, there's a couple of other amendments that really need to be made. In RSA 159:1, federally will need to be added prior to license and there is another couple of amendments. Like I said, I had them on my notes and I apologize. I walked out the door without them and I sent my secretary for them. They should be here.

So, the bill is good and Gun Owners of New Hampshire supports it, but the issue is I think there needs to be a couple more amendments made so that you don't see innocent citizens being prosecuted.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Thank you. Just so you are clear, we on the Committee can certainly entertain or review amendments, but we're not necessarily bound to accept them. The other piece of this that I think you need to consider is that, if the House has worked on this and passed this and we amend their bill, it may call into question whether or not the House is going to concur with that. I'm not saying one way or the other what we are going to do, but you need to be aware when you start proposing amendments that the House has not reviewed and that is that it can trigger a disagreement about those amendments and may kill the bill.

Attorney Dean: I understand that completely and without them, I think you're going to see some problems. I understand all of those procedural things completely.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Okay. Senator Letourneau?

Senator Robert J. Letourneau, D. 19: Thank you and thank you for taking the question. I just want to make sure I heard you correctly. Are you saying that we need to amend this so private people, citizens of the state, are not

prosecuted for selling firearms between each other? Is that what you are saying?

Attorney Dean: Absolutely what I'm saying. I know they can, but what I'm trying to give you an example of, there was a case of a gentleman in Brookline. I don't know if you recall this case. He was prosecuted for selling his own personally owned firearm to another person because he supposedly advertised it for sale. Now, ultimately what happens procedurally in that case, it was bound over to the county attorney's office, the complaint was rewritten, allegedly it was rewritten defectively. It wasn't my case; it was someone else's case. They decided to nol pros the case, but there have been many cases in this state of privately owned individuals prosecuted for selling their own personal firearms.

I had a case out in, I'm trying to think of the district court. It will come to me in a second. The gentleman could only own two firearms in his entire lifetime. Two. Lawfully own. One of them he bought from the chief of police in his town. That gentleman was talking with another gentleman. They had been at the flea market and they sat at a table at the flea market. He was talking with this guy he had known for twenty years. The guy was talking about guns. He said, well, I have guns. He said, you have guns? And, he said, Yeah, I've got two of them. God, I didn't know that you hunted or shot. Yeah, yeah, I've got these two guns and he named them. He said, God, I would like to buy that one from you. Do you want to sell it? He said, I don't know. I've had it for twenty years, bought it from the chief of police. So, they said, we will meet at the next flea market where we're both at and I will sell it to you if you want to buy it. Go to the next flea market, the purchaser isn't there. He has it in his pocket; he doesn't have it out for display. He has it in his truck. He says, Nah, he's not at this one. Goes to the next flea market. He says, Hey, Joe, I've got that gun here. Do you want to buy it? Yeah, that would be great. So, they are meeting at the truck. It was never on display at the flea market. Okay? This gentleman was charged with selling firearms without a license.

Now, you say to me that is ridiculous. This is one gun. He is a New Hampshire resident. They are both New Hampshire residents. Neither of them are prohibited people. Okay? He still had to go through, Martha Crocker is the judge and I can't pull up the district court. He still had to go through the system. So, I think, when you are pulling out the licenses here which require FFL licensures, when you read the statute as a whole, all of RSA 159:6, okay.

The courts always say that the General Court is presumed to say what it means and mean what it says. Here, to read all of these things in harmony

JRB

with each other, I think you are going to have a couple of issues if this passes with all the new sections. I really do.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: We're just getting a little bit behind here. Did you have a follow-up question? Thank you very much, Attorney Dean, for your testimony.

Attorney dean: Thank you.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Is there anyone else here who would like to testify relative to HB 1161? Okay. Why don't you come forward, sir?

J. R. Hoell: J.R. Hoell, New Hampshire Firearms Coalition again. Briefly, I'm submitting an e-mail from Penny to our organization outlining the things she just discussed. Since we are eliminating licensing provisions in the New Hampshire statutes, we should clarify, because technically there are two issues that need to be resolved, one of which is in 159:1 which speaks to licensed gun dealer owners in the last line. Who should notify that that is a federally licensed gun dealer?

Then, in section 159:14, again this clarifies the language about individuals selling to individuals. I submitted the language there and I propose that be amended, the current bill going forward. NHFC stands behind this amendment. I believe GONH stands behind this. I would further assume that the other gun groups in the state would probably find this in agreement, but I can't speak for them. This is just some technical cleaning up of the language. Any questions?

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Any questions of the Committee? Thank you very much for your testimony today, J.R. I know that we had representatives from the chiefs here and I know that Senator Roberge had asked if law enforcement had any position on this bill. I don't mean to put you on the spot, but is there any chief here? Sir, do you want to come forward? Welcome, Chief. Glad to have you here. If you could just state your name for the record and if you want to give this testimony a little bit more thought or submit something in writing. I know you weren't prepared to testify today.

Chief Richard Cray, Jr.: That's correct. We haven't taken a position on this.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Would you state your name please?

Chief Cray: Richard Cray.

2413

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Thank you. And, so go right ahead. The chiefs have not taken a position on the bill?

Chief Cray: No. The one thing that I think that you discussed is the fee that is charged, and I want to say we don't have a lot of these; I want to say it is either \$50 or \$100 fee. Again, we don't make a lot of money on those things. So, I think that, although my budget committee probably won't appreciate this if we let it go by the wayside. So, that's not really the issue.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Any questions of the chief at all? Senator Letourneau, did you have a question?

Senator Robert J. Letourneau, D. 19: Just one, Chief. You don't have to comment if you don't want to, but I just want to follow up on the dialogue that I had with Ms. Dean here about the ability for a citizen to sell another citizen, within the state of New Hampshire, any firearm.

Chief Cray: As long as they are not a prohibited person.

Senator Robert J. Letourneau, D. 19: Exactly.

Chief Cray: I believe that that can happen, a one-on-one sale. What is a one-on-one sale? I think, unfortunately, there may be more to it than what she described. I'm hoping, where there is some confusion, that it wasn't a one-on-one type of sale. But, I think, if I want to sell any one of you in here a gun, as long as I know you to be a good person, then I can do that. That's my understanding. I may be incorrect. I know some of you have a little bit more legal experience, or somebody from the Attorney General's Office. But, that's how I interpret it. In our community, we haven't seen any issues with that.

Senator Robert J. Letourneau, D. 19: Thank you.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Thank you. Senator Roberge?

Senator Sheila Roberge, D. 9: This may be a strange question because I don't understand guns at all. But, we do obtain a license to sell what kind of guns in New Hampshire now?

Chief Cray: Just non-automatic guns, but it could be all of them. I think, again, I haven't reviewed the entire statute, so I don't know which build on each other. But, my understanding is, if somebody becomes, in order for them to become a federally licensed firearm dealer, they have to also apply, fill out an application and then we or the board of selectmen issue a permit.

JRB

Senator Sheila Roberge, D. 9: And, they can sell what kind of guns other than these kinds?

Chief Cray: That depends upon what the feds come up with. We really didn't take a position on this because we felt that federal law takes precedence and may have many more restrictions than what we do on ours. This is one part of the bill. I think there were two other pieces to this legislation.

Senator Sheila Roberge, D. 9: Okay. So, we are removing the necessity of having to apply for a license.

Chief Cray: To your local community.

Senator Sheila Roberge, D. 9: Okay. Do you think that there is any downside to this as far as not licensing some of these firearms?

Chief Cray: They go through a much more stringent requirement with the ATF, Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms, to become a licensed dealer. There are many more restrictions and many more forms and everything else that they have to do to become a licensed dealer. With us, they fill out an application; it is a relatively simple in my community and most small towns. I think it is very simple for what they do. However, it is one more step that they would have to go through.

Senator Sheila Roberge, D. 9: Do you find any benefit from knowing who has, someone has a permit for this firearm and where they are in your town?

Chief Cray: We have to sign off. The federal law requires us to sign off. So, we see it through their application.

Senator Sheila Roberge, D. 9: Do you find a benefit of knowing where some of these guns are in your town at all?

Chief Cray: We already know that in a sense with signing off on the federal forms. They have to file that application and we have to sign off on it.

Senator Sheila Roberge, D. 9: But, no longer would you have the forms for these particular?

Chief Cray: No. See, we're dealing with federal law and state law. So, the federal law we sign off on as well. So, we have that information. This is just a duplicate. Again, the only benefit that I see is the \$50 or \$100. Some of the larger communities may appreciate that revenue, but my town is very small.

Senator Sheila Roberge, D. 9: Thank you.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Senator Letourneau?

Senator Robert J. Letourneau, D. 19: Just one question. We have to equate this to something. Do we have to have a license to sell anything in our towns, from the town's perspective? If I want to sell televisions or I want to sell, I have to get a business permit.

Chief Cray: That would be under whatever your zoning requirements were.

Senator Robert J. Letourneau, D. 19: Exactly. Thank you.


Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: Any further questions? Seeing none, thank you very much, Chief, for taking those questions.

Chief Cray: You're welcome.

Senator Deborah R. Reynolds, D. 2: I want to note for the record that Representative Steve Shurtleff has signed in in support, does not wish to speak. Nicole Hoffman on behalf of the Second Amendments Sisters of New Hampshire has signed in in support, does not wish to speak. Is there anyone else who is here to testify relative to HB 1161? Seeing none, I am going to close the hearing on HB 1161.

Hearing concluded at 2:50 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,



L. Gail Brown

Senate Secretarial Supervisor

7/236/10

Speakers

Voting Sheets

Senate Judiciary Committee

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Bill # HB 1161

Hearing date: 3/23/10

Executive session date: 4/20/10

Motion of: OTP

VOTE: 5-0

Made by Reynolds
Senator: Lasky
 Houde
 Letourneau
 Roberge

Seconded Reynolds
by Senator: Lasky
 Houde
 Letourneau
 Roberge

Reported Reynolds
by Senator: Lasky
 Houde
 Letourneau
 Roberge

Motion of: _____

VOTE: _____

Made by Reynolds
Senator: Lasky
 Houde
 Letourneau
 Roberge

Seconded Reynolds
by Senator: Lasky
 Houde
 Letourneau
 Roberge

Reported Reynolds
by Senator: Lasky
 Houde
 Letourneau
 Roberge

<u>Committee Member</u>	<u>Present</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>Reported out by</u>
Senator Reynolds, Chairman	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senator Lasky, Vice-Chair	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senator Houde	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senator Letourneau	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Senator Roberge	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

*Amendments: _____

Notes: _____

Committee Report

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE
SENATE
REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE

Date: April 21, 2010

THE COMMITTEE ON Judiciary

to which was referred House Bill 1161

AN ACT repealing the requirement to obtain a license to sell in
order to sell pistols or revolvers at retail.

Having considered the same, the committee recommends that the Bill:

OUGHT TO PASS

BY A VOTE OF: 5-0

AMENDMENT # s

Senator Robert J. Letourneau
For the Committee

L. Gail Brown 271-3076

New Hampshire General Court - Bill Status System

Docket of HB1161

Docket Abbreviations

Bill Title: repealing the requirement to obtain a license to sell in order to sell pistols or revolvers at retail.*Official Docket of HB1161:*

Date	Body	Description
12/10/2009	H	Introduced 1/6/2010 and Referred to Criminal Justice and Public Safety; HJ 6 , PG.231
01/12/2010	H	Public Hearing: 1/19/2010 10:00 AM LOB 204
01/26/2010	H	Executive Session: 2/2/2010 10:00 AM LOB 204 (Continued 2/2/2010 1:30 PM LOB 204 if Necessary)
02/02/2010	H	Committee Report: Ought to Pass with Amendment #0190h for Feb 17 CC (vote 17-0); HC 14 , PG.581
02/02/2010	H	Proposed Committee Amendment #0190h; HC 14 , PG.621
02/17/2010	H	Amendment #0190h Adopted, VV; HJ 18 , PG.933
02/17/2010	H	Ought to Pass with Amendment #0190h: MA VV; HJ 18 , PG.933
03/03/2010	S	Introduced and Referred to Judiciary
03/16/2010	S	Hearing: March 23, 2010, Room 103, State House, 2:15 p.m.; SC12
04/21/2010	S	Committee Report: Ought to Pass 4/28/10; SC17
04/28/2010	S	Ought to Pass, MA, VV; OT3rdg; SJ 16 , Pg.348
04/28/2010	S	Passed by Third Reading Resolution; SJ 16 , Pg.353
05/12/2010	H	Enrolled; HJ 41 , PG.2097
05/12/2010	S	Enrolled; SJ 18 , Pg.504
07/27/2010	H	Vetoed by the Governor 07/26/2010

NH House

NH Senate

Contact Us

New Hampshire General Court Information Systems
 107 North Main Street - State House Room 31, Concord NH 03301

Other Referrals

COMMITTEE REPORT FILE INVENTORY

HB 1161 ORIGINAL REFERRAL

_____ RE-REFERRAL

1. THIS INVENTORY IS TO BE SIGNED AND DATED BY THE COMMITTEE SECRETARY AND PLACED INSIDE THE FOLDER AS THE FIRST ITEM IN THE COMMITTEE FILE.
2. PLACE ALL DOCUMENTS IN THE FOLDER FOLLOWING THE INVENTORY IN THE ORDER LISTED.
3. THE DOCUMENTS WHICH HAVE AN "X" BESIDE THEM ARE CONFIRMED AS BEING IN THE FOLDER.
4. THE COMPLETED FILE IS THEN DELIVERED TO THE CALENDAR CLERK.

DOCKET (Submit only the latest docket found in Bill Status)

COMMITTEE REPORT

CALENDAR NOTICE on which you have taken attendance

HEARING REPORT (written summary of hearing testimony)

HEARING TRANSCRIPT (verbatim transcript of hearing)

List attachments (testimony and submissions which are part of the transcript) by number [1 thru 4 or 1, 2, 3, 4] here: _____

SIGN-UP SHEET

ALL AMENDMENTS (passed or not) CONSIDERED BY COMMITTEE:

_____ - AMENDMENT # _____ _____ - AMENDMENT # _____
_____ - AMENDMENT # _____ _____ - AMENDMENT # _____

ALL AVAILABLE VERSIONS OF THE BILL:

AS INTRODUCED AS AMENDED BY THE HOUSE
 FINAL VERSION _____ AS AMENDED BY THE SENATE

_____ PREPARED TESTIMONY AND OTHER SUBMISSIONS (Which are not part of the transcript)

List by letter [a thru g or a, b, c, d] here: _____

EXECUTIVE SESSION REPORT

_____ OTHER (Anything else deemed important but not listed above, such as amended fiscal notes):

IF YOU HAVE A RE-REFERRED BILL, YOU ARE GOING TO MAKE UP A DUPLICATE FILE FOLDER

DATE DELIVERED TO SENATE CLERK

9/3/10

John Brown

COMMITTEE SECRETARY