Bill as Introduced

HB 1552-FN - AS INTRODUCED

2010 SESSION

10-2651 05/09

HOUSE BILL

1552-FN

AN ACT

revising the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula.

SPONSORS:

Rep. Bickford, Straf 3

COMMITTEE:

Children and Family Law

ANALYSIS

This bill requires the department of health and human services to revise the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula.

Explanation:

Matter added to current law appears in bold italics.

Matter removed from current law appears [in-brackets and struckthrough.]

Matter which is either (a) all new or (b) repealed and reenacted appears in regular type.

HB 1552-FN – AS INTRODUCED

10-2651 05/09

STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

In the Year of Our Lord Two Thousand Ten

AN ACT

revising the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula.

Be it Enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Court convened:

1 Review of Child Support Guidelines; Income Shares Model. Amend RSA 458-C:6 to read as follows:

458-C:6 Review of Guidelines. Not less than once every 4 years, the department of health and human services shall review the guidelines provided under this chapter in order to determine whether application of such guidelines results in the determination of appropriate child support award amounts and, beginning April 1, 2011, shall ensure that the guidelines are based on the Melson formula for calculating child support. Upon completion of the review, the department of health and human services shall report its findings and recommendations to the president of the senate, the speaker of the house of representatives, and the governor. The review required under this section shall meet the requirements of 42 U.S.C. section 667 and may be conducted in conjunction with a legislative review of the child support guidelines.

- 2 Adoption of Melson Formula. The state of New Hampshire shall transition from an income shares model to the Melson formula for purposes of calculating child support. The Melson formula, developed by Delaware Family Court Judge Melson and more fully explained in <u>Dalton v. Clanton</u>, 559 A.2d 1197 (Del. 1989), shall be designed to insure that each parent's basic needs are met in addition to the children's. On or before November 1, 2010, the department of health and human services shall report to the speaker of the house of representatives, the senate president, and the governor any changes to RSA 458-C or other state laws and regulations required in order to use the Melson formula for the 2011 New Hampshire child support guidelines issued by the department of health and human services.
- 3 Effective Date. This act shall take effect 60 days after its passage.

HB 1552-FN - AS INTRODUCED - Page 2 -

LBAO 10-2651 11/30/09

HB 1552-FN - FISCAL NOTE

AN ACT

revising the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula.

FISCAL IMPACT:

The Legislative Budget Assistant has determined that this legislation has a total fiscal impact of less than \$10,000 in each of the fiscal years 2010 through 2014.

Speakers

SIGN UP SHEET

To Register Opinion If Not Speaking

Bill #	HB	1552	Date	JANUARY:	28, 20,	10
Committe	ee			/		·
		** Please Pri	int All Informa	ation **		
		and the same of th			(chec	k one)
Name		Address	Phone	Representing	Pro	Con
<u> </u>						
						<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>					
			······································			
						<u> </u>
	<u> </u>					<u> </u>
						
						
<u> </u>						
				<u> </u>		
	··-					
						+
			,			
··· ·· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			· · · · · ·			
ļ						1

Hearing Minutes

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 1552-FN

BILL TITLE:

revising the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula.

DATE:

January 28, 2010

LOB ROOM:

206

Time Public Hearing Called to Order:

10:07 a.m.

Time Adjourned:

11:05 a.m.

(please circle if present)

Committee Members: Reps. Gild, B. Richardson, Grassie, Einsburg, Matarazzo, D. Rollo,
Arsenault, Skinder, Ford, E. Gould, G. Hutchinson, Itse, Gargasz, Julie Brown, Moran Bickford,
Hogan, J. Johnson and DeSimone

Bill Sponsors:

Rep. Bickford, Straf 3

TESTIMONY

* Use asterisk if written testimony and/or amendments are submitted.

*Rep. David Bickford, sponsor

Rep. Debra DeSimone, 1) fairest perceived by parents, how evaluated? Info came from Internet, not sure about survey, 2) reviewed every two years, and just the CES index is reviewed.

Rep. Deanna Rollo, Delaware uses Melson 1979, no data for follow-up as to why other states use this. Q. Using New Hampshire data? Ans. No.

Rep. Mary Stuart Gile, New Hampshire view, focus groups held across the state.

Rep. Anthony Matarazzo, Asked Rep. Bickford what preferred? Ans. Melson.

Rep. Debra DeSimone, Copies of versions of other states -not copied.

Rep. Mary Stuart Gile, Versions. Are states using constants of Melson or are versions different? Ans. Melson style.

Rep. Anthony Matarazzo, Assumption is obligor is higher income. Ans. Cultural - tends to be rule.

Attorney Anthony Frazier, Department of Health and Human Services, Division of Child Support Services.

Rep. Deanna Rollo, How would this impact New Hampshire children? Ans. Takes in details, this is a policy determination. Fair to family, DCSS and Attorney Frasier will be available.

Rep. Deanna Rollo, Difficult for department, it would add components.

Rep. Franklin Gould, Actual Melson formula? Ans. Original formula.

Lusar Ford, acting Clerk

Respectfully submitted,

Carolyn Gargasz, Clerk

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW

PUBLIC HEARING ON HB 1552-FN

BILL TITLE:

revising the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula.

DATE:

JANUARY 28, 2010

10:07

LOB ROOM:

206

Time Public Hearing Called to Order:

10:00 a.m

Time Adjourned:

(please circle if present)

Committee Members: Reps (Gile B. Richardson, Grassie, Ginsburgo Matarazzo, M. Rollo Arsenault, Skinder, Ford F. Gould G. Hutchinson, Itse, Gargasz, Julie Brown Moran, Bickford Hogan J. Johnson and DeSimon.

Bill Sponsors: Rep. Bickford, Straf 3

TESTIMONY

* Use asterisk if written testimony and/or amendments are submitted.

* Rep Bickford - Consistent

Rep Designan I faired perceived by pearents - how available?

info came of one internet - not some about survey

reviewed every & year - first the CES index so reviewed

Rep Rollo - Del wees Melson 1979 - no data for

fastising as to why other states use this

wring NH data? NO

Rep Lite - NH view - focus groups held airond

the state

Rep Mataragge - asked Rep Buckfood what preferred

Ann Melson.

Rep Disinone - Conin of version of other state - not copied

Rep nartagys - - Hat rate # - in income shows.

An - in UNA report model Rep Lile - newison - are there using constants of nelson or are version different? Rep madaggo - assumption so obligor so higher income are cultural - tends to be pale Ottry Fregier And taken in détails - thès is a policy descrimation Report of fair to family DCSS & atta Francis Will be available Rep natagge - Could you take a couple of cases

to run through this?

Rely ger - will kelp subarramettee

Rolly -Different for department would add components R. Hould - actual Release Joinula - oug Jornula

Sub-Committee Actions

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW SUBCOMMITTEE WORK SESSION ON HB 1552

BILL TITLE: revising the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula.

DATE: February 9, 2010

Subcommittee Members: Reps. Rollo, Brown, Moran, Arsenault, and Grassie

Comments and Recommendations:

Amendments:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Motions: OTP, OTP/A ITL, Retained (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep. Brown

Seconded by Rep. Grassie

Vote: 5-0

Motions: OTP, OTP/A, ITL, Retained (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep.

Seconded by Rep.

Vote:

Respectfully submitted,

Rep. Deanna Rollo Subcommittee Chairman/Clerk

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW

SUBCOMMITTEE WORK SESSION ON HB 1552

BILL TITLE: revising the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula.

DATE: February 9, 2010

Subcommittee Members: Reps. Rollo, Brown, Moran, Arsnault. Grassie

Comments and Recommendations:

Amendments:

Sponsor: Rep.

OLS Document#:

Sponsor: Rep.

OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep.

OLS Document #:

Motions:

OTP, OTP/A (ITL) Retained (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep. Brown

Seconded by Rep. Grassic.

Vote: 111

Motions:

OTP, OTP/A, ITL, Retained (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep.

Seconded by Rep.

Vote:

Respectfully submitted,

Subcommittee Chairman/Clerk

Testimony

The Melson Formula

The Melson formula is based on the net income of both parents, from which a self-support reserve is subtracted. From that balance, an amount for the primary support needs of the children is subtracted. After providing for these basic needs, the Delaware Melson formula also allows a child to benefit from each parent's remaining income. This additional support amount is determined by multiplying the remaining income by a percentage determined by the number of children needing support. Finally, child care expenses are added as part of the primary support allowance.

The Melson Formula model is basically a six step process:

- 1. Provide for each parent's minimal self-support needs.
- 2. Provide for the children's primary support needs.
- 3. Determine work-related child care expenses and extraordinary medical expenses.
- 4. Determine the Standard of Living Allowance.
- 5. Add together the amounts determined in steps 2, 3, and 4.
- 6. Allocate the support between the parents according to each parent's percentage of total net income.

The Melson formula was developed by Judge Elwood F. Melson, Jr., of Delaware. It has been used statewide in Delaware since 1979 [See Dalton v. Clanton, 559 A.2d 1197 (Del. 1989).] and was revised in 1990.

The proponents of the Melson Formula model argue its internal logic makes it the fairest of the models. Even though the Melson Formula model seems to be the most complicated of the models, its proponents contend that its seeming complexity is superficial; once a practitioner has used the Melson Formula model, its subsequent application is simple. The proposed in the models are practitioner to the models are practitioner to the models.

The Melson Formula model is, indeed, the most internally consistent. It takes into consideration not only special custody arrangements and health care needs, it also takes into consideration each *parent's* needs. It is thus, on its face, the fairest as perceived by the parent. Where perceived fairness is the most important factor, then the Melson Formula model is the clear winner. Moreover, one expert has found that the Melson Formula model tends to produce less extreme differences in living standards where one parent has a very low income and the other parent has significantly higher income. This again contributes to the perceived fairness of the Melson Formula model. Moreover, because the Melson Formula model takes into consideration commonly occurring expenses, it is consistent and predictable. Its only fault is in its facial complexity. Versions of the Delaware Melson formula have been enacted in Delaware, Hawaii, Montana, and West Virginia

The Melson Formula

Each parent is entitled to keep a minimum amount of income for their basic needs.

Each child's basic needs are taken care of before the parents may retain any additional income.

If income is available after the primary needs of the parents and each child are met, the child(ren) is (are) entitled to share in any additional income of the parents.

Child Care Expenses

The Melson Formula allows for the fair allocation of all expenses for child care required for the parent(s) to work.

Shared Equal Placement

Shared equal placement of a child(ren) is determined by the number of annual overnights the child(ren) spends in each household. If the number of overnights is at least 175 per year, the parties are considered to have shared equal placement and each child(ren) will be counted at one-half in each household for purposes of the calculation.

Parenting Time Adjustment

If a child spends an average of more than 109, but less than 175 annual overnights in the household of the parent from whom support is sought, the calculation shall be adjusted to reflect the amount of extra time spent with the parent.

Adjustment for Support of Other Children

The child support calculation will consider the number of other children not of this relationship supported by each parent.

Adjustment for Support of Other Children

The child support calculation will consider the number of other children not of this relationship supported by each parent.

Since January of 2007, all allowances and percentages have been indexed to designated elements of the Consumer Expenditure Survey published annually by the U.S. Department of Labor. These values will be adjusted every two years based upon the most recent available expenditure information on single parent households for food, clothing, shelter and utilities which are widely considered the leading indicators of basic household need. The current values apply to both prospective and retroactive calculations and will be updated in January of 2011.

The Family Court of the State of Delaware **CHILD SUPPORT CALCULATION**

	se Nam tition #:										Iculation		 	
	1	Grossincome	wages	+	self emp.	+ unearned	+	nontax	T	Fat	her	Mc	other	
		Father		T			Ť	HOHILAX	+					
		Mother					_			.				
Щ	2	Taxes	Federal	+	FICA	+ State	+	other				1	Vide and	
AB	ŀ	Father					T) realign		WHO THE SHAPE			
AL A		Mother					十					(日本の海流の人)	A The American Control of	
₹	3	Deductions	Medical	+	Pension	+ Union	+	other		i.				
ME		Father				<u> </u>	Т				TAGES - VIII INCOME PROPERTY			
NET INCOME AVAILABLE		Mother					十							
Z H	4	Self Support All	owance*			<u> </u>			4		70	\$	970	
NE	5	Net Income after		ort (L	ine 1 – Lin	es 2. 3 & 4)			5			 		
	6	Number of Child					part		<u> </u>				心流	
	7	Adjustment for				•		•	7		%		%	Total
	8	Net Available fo						1	8 –	100000		en respectable		
	9	Share of Total N							9		%		%	100%
	10	Number of Child		•		•		10				A Transaction	4794 -	
RΥ	11	Primary Suppor	t Allowance	(Tab	ole B)			11	,				25: 0.356103	Manufal
PRIMARY	12	A - Child Care		-	•	ent to work		12/						·····
PR		B - Private Sch	· .		• •			12E	-					
	13	Primary Need (I	-			·		13	200 LEVE		and the		7/1: [1214:00	
	14	Primary Suppor				•		14						
	15	Net Available fo			***************************************			1:	5					
⋖	16	Standard of Livi	ng Adjustme	ent P	ercentage (Table B)		16	3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	11 5 5 5	%		%	
SOLA	17	A - SOLA Oblig	ation (Line	15 x l	Line 16)	•		17/				-ix minusky		
()		B - SOLA per c	hild (Line 17	A To	otal + Line 1	0 Total)		17E	3	建筑				
	18	Gross Monthly (Obligation (L	ine 1	14 + Line 17	7A)		18	3					
	19	Primary/SOLA r	etained(Line	e 10 x	x per child S	SOLA + Line	11)	19						
Ø	20	Child Care/Tuition	on paid by e	ach _I	parent (Line	24 + Line	12B) 20) <u> </u>					
듬	21	Parenting Time	Adjustment	- Tat	ole C % x o	ther party's L	ine	21A			%		%	
CREDITS		19 but not more	than Line 1	8 (un	iless 21A =	50%)		21E						
O	22	A - Maximum %	of Net Inco	me a	fter Self Su	pport (Table	D)	22A			%		%	
		B - Maximum A	vailable for	this h	nousehold (Line 22A x Li	ne 5) 22E	3					
23	Net N	Monthly Obliga				ines 19, 20 8	211	3 23						

Table	A*		Table	e B*		Table	С	ē	Ta	ible D		
children	%	children	primary	SOLA	minimum	overnights	%	# other	# Chi	ldren w	other p	parent
0	100	1	430	16	86	Up to 109	0	children	1	2	3	4+
1	82	2	720	24	144	110 132	10	0	100	100	100	100
2	73	3	990	30	198	133 – 150	20	1	50	60	70	75
3	66	4	1240	35	248	151 – 164	30	2	40	50	60	65
4	61	5	1470	38	294	165 – 174	40	3	30	40	50	55
EA	-4	EA	+230	+3	+46	175+	50	4+	25	35	45	50

but not more than Line 22B)

^{*}For use January 1, 2007 through December 31, 2008.

The Family Court of the State of Delaware

INSTRUCTIONS FOR CHILD SUPPORT CALCULATIONS (2007/2008)

The Family Court of the State of Delaware has established the Delaware Child Support Formula under Family Court Civil Rule 52(c). This formula outlines the procedure for determining a child support obligation in the State of Delaware. The formula is based on the following principles pursuant to 13 Delaware Code §514:

- Each parent is entitled to keep a minimum amount of income for their basic needs.
- Each child's basic needs are taken care of before the parents may retain any additional income.
- If income is available after the primary needs of the parents and each child are, the child(ren) is (are) entitled to share in any additional income of the parents.

As of January of 2007, all allowances and percentages have been indexed to designated elements of the Consumer Expenditure Survey published annually by the U.S. Department of Labor. These values will be adjusted every two years based upon the most recent available expenditure information on single parent households for food, clothing, shelter and utilities which are widely considered the leading indicators of basic household need. Both prospective and retroactive calculations shall be governed by this instruction.

The Delaware Child Support Formula serves as a rebuttable presumption for establishing child support obligations in the State of Delaware. The Court will use the Formula unless it finds that the results would not be in the best interest of the child(ren) or would be inequitable to the parties involved. <u>Dalton v. Clanton</u>, Del. Supr., 55 A.2d 1197 (1989).

An automated version of the Child Support calculation is available online at http://courts.state.de.us/family.

INCOME ATTRIBUTION

The Court will use a parent's actual income if the parent is fully employed in a manner commensurate with their training and experience. A Rule 16A Financial Report Form is required to be submitted with supporting documentation at the mediation and before trial. Adequate supporting documentation usually is the parent's most recent tax returns, W-2 Forms, and three most recent pay stubs. Parents who are unemployed or underemployed either voluntarily or due to their own misconduct, and parents who fail to provide adequate documentation or to appear for the hearing or mediation may be "attributed" income. Parents who qualify for unemployment compensation will be presumed to have lost their employment by no fault of their own. Qualification for Social Security disability-type benefits is proof that a person has a disability which may impede their ability to work and pay support. The Court will examine earnings history, employment qualifications and the current job market. Wage surveys for individual occupations compiled by government agencies can be used to estimate earning capacity (and are available in the Self Help Centers of each Family Court). Absent adequate information, a parent may be attributed at least as much income as the other party and every parent is presumed to have an earning capacity of no less than \$1,300 per month. Parents who suffer a loss of income due to their own misconduct may have their support obligation calculated based upon actual current earnings where over a reasonable period of time they have earnestly sought to achieve maximum income capacity.

NET AVAILABLE INCOME

Net available income is determined by taking gross income and subtracting taxes, other important payroll deductions and a self support allowance. All amounts must be monthly. To convert from weekly, multiply by 52 and then divide by 12. For biweekly, multiply by 26 and then divide by 12. All amounts are rounded to the nearest whole number.

Line 1—Monthly Gross Income—Generally, all income must be included in the child support calculation. The Court can exclude second job income upon consideration of its history, purpose, amount and effect on visitation. Expense reimbursements or in-kind payments received in the course of employment, self-employment, or operation of a business should be counted as income if they are significant and reduce personal living expenses. However, a cost of living stipend paid as compensation due to transfer to a high cost location will not be included as income as long as it is clearly identified on pay documents. Monthly Gross Income is the combination of the following:

Wages: This includes salaries, wages, commissions, bonuses and any other income (other than self-employment income) that is subject to Federal Retirement and/or Medicare taxes. For child support purposes, it also includes all income and benefits identified by an employer as "pre-tax".

Self: All income earned as an independent contractor and subject to federal self-employment tax.

Unearned: All other taxable income including but not limited to dividends, severance pay, pensions, interest, trust income, annuities, capital gains, workers' compensation, unemployment compensation, disability insurance benefits, prizes, and alimony or maintenance received.

Nontax: All other income not subject to income taxation. This includes most Social Security Disability (SSD) or retirement benefits and some private pension/disability benefits. Benefits paid to a child on account of a parent's disability are included in that parent's income but offset the Net Monthly Obligation dollar for dollar. Benefits paid to a child due to the child's own disability are included as income to the household in which it is received.

- Line 2—Taxes: Utilizing IRS and Delaware Department of Revenue income tax withholding tables or the Family Court tax estimation worksheets, record each parent's income tax liability based upon a single tax status with one (1) exemption. Delaware State income tax shall be utilized for all persons regardless of State of residence. The Family Court worksheet also estimates Self Employment Tax liability. Enter the amount for each parent for each of the separate tax categories. Add these taxes across the line and enter the results.
- Line 3-Allowable Deductions (add the following across the line and enter the result in the appropriate column):

Medical Insurance—Monthly medical insurance premiums (including COBRA payments) paid by either parent regardless of persons covered by the policy.

Pension—Enter any mandatory retirement contributions. If that amount is less than 3% of gross income, voluntary contributions to a 401(k) or similar IRS approved retirement plan of up to 3% (including mandatory) of gross income may also be deducted.

Union Dues-Enter the average monthly union dues.

Other—Enter the monthly amount of any court ordered alimony payments to the other parent, or other allowable business expenses (such as supplies required by the employer to be purchased). Alimony paid must also be subtracted from taxable income when calculating Federal and State income tax liability (but not "FICA").

- Line 4--Self Support Allowance: The self-support allowance is the minimum amount of income necessary for a parent to remain productive in a workplace. Each parent is given a self support allowance of \$970.
- Line 5-Net Income after Self Support: Subtract taxes (Line 2), Deductions (Line 3) and Self Support (Line 4) from Gross income (Line 1).
- Line 6—Number of Children Not of this Union Supported by each Parent: Enter the number of children of other relationships to whom a duty of support is owed either in or out of each parent's household. Children outside a parent's household should only be counted if there is a court order for support or proof of a pattern of support.
- Line 7--Adjustment for Support of Other Children: Enter the appropriate percentage as determined by the number of children on Line 6 and the table below (but not less than 50%):

Number of Children	Percentage
0	100%
1	82%
2	73%
3	66%
4	61%
Each Additional	minus 4%

- Line 8-Net Available for Primary Support: Multiply each parent's Net Income after Self Support (Line 5) by the percentage on Line 7. Enter the result for each parent. Add the figures for Father and Mother to get the total available income. Enter the result in the total column.
- Line 9-Share of Total Net Available: Divide the Net Available for Primary Support for each parent (Line 8) by the Total Net Available for Primary Support (Line 8 Total). Enter the result for each parent.

Medical Expenses—The child support recipient is responsible for the first \$350 of unreimbursed medical costs actually expended each calendar year. Additional amounts are allocated according to the Share of Total Net Available (Line 9). The \$350 threshold does not apply to shared or split placement cases. Parents should attempt to manage medical reimbursement issues privately. A petition for reimbursement should be filed no later than December 31 in the second year after the expenditure. For example, 2007 expenses must be pursued by the end of 2009.

PRIMARY SUPPORT

The Court has established minimum levels of income needed to support one or more children. This primary support allowance is added to any work related child care expenses, private school expenses (under some circumstances), and any other expenses for any ongoing special needs of a child.

- Line 10--Number of Children of this Union in each Household: Enter the number of children of this union who reside in each parent's household. If children reside equally in both households, include them in the home of the parent who is seeking support and an adjustment will be made at Line 21. Add the number in the Father's and Mother's column and enter the result in the Total column. Do not include children from other relationships.
- Line 11—Primary Support Allowance: Enter the Primary Support Allowance that matches the number of children in Line 10 for each household (see table below). Add the columns and enter the result in the Total column.

Number of Children	Primary Support Allowance
1	\$430
2	720
3	990
4	1240
each additional	+230

- Line 12A—Child Care Expenses necessary for parent to work: Enter the actual Monthly Child Care Expenses for the children of this support action required for the parent to work or seek work. No hypothetical or attributed childcare costs are permitted. Documentation should be attached to the Form 16(a) financial report.
- Line 12B—Other Primary Expenses: Enter any other necessary monthly expenses incurred because of any special needs of the child(ren). Private or parochial school expenses will only be included if the parents have adequate financial resources and upon consideration by the Court of all of the equities especially:
 - a. Previous agreement to pay for child(ren)s' attendance in private; or
 - b. The child has special needs that cannot be accommodated in a public school setting; or
 - c. Immediate family history indicates that the child likely would have attended private or parochial school...
- Line 13--Total Primary Need: Add the totals from Lines 11, 12A and 12B. Enter the result in the total column.
- Line 14—Primary Support Obligation: Multiply the Share of Total Net Available to each parent (Line 9) by the Total Primary Need (Line 13 Total). Enter the result for each parent.

STANDARD OF LIVING ADJUSTMENT (SOLA)

If there is income available after the parents have met their own and their child(ren)'s primary support needs, the SOLA adjustment is made. SOLA is designed to give the child(ren) a share in each parent's economic well being similar to what the child(ren) would have received if the parents lived as a single family unit.

- Line 15-Net Available for SOLA: Subtract the Primary Support Obligation (Line 14) from the Net Income Available for Primary Support (Line 8) for each parent. Enter the result for each parent (but not less than "0").
- Line 16—SOLA Percentage: Enter the SOLA percentage that corresponds to the Number of Children Due Support in this Support Action (Line 10 Total) but not more than 50 percent.

Number of Children	SOLA Percentage
1	16%
2	24%
3	30%
4	35%
each additional	+3%

- Line 17a-SOLA Obligation: Multiply the Net Available for SOLA (Line 15) by the SOLA Percentage (Line 16). Enter the result for each parent, and then combine the parents' individual SOLA obligations for the total.
- Line 17b—SOLA per child: Take total SOLA obligation (Line 17A total) and Divide by the Total Number of Children of this Union in each Household (Line 10 Total). Enter the result in the third column as the "per child" SOLA.
- Line 18--Gross Monthly Obligation: Add the Primary Support Obligation (Line 14) for each parent to the SOLA Obligation (Line 17A). Enter the result for each parent.

CREDITS AND THE NET MONTHLY OBLIGATION

The Net Monthly Obligation is the Primary Support obligation plus the SOLA obligation minus applicable credits and subject to certain limitations. In most cases, the "custodial" parent merely keeps their own obligation and the obligated parent pays the amount on Line 18. However if the parent from whom support is sought has the children more than 109 overnights per year, has limited income, or incurs daycare or tuition expenses, further adjustments may be necessary.

Line 19—Retained Primary and SOLA: Multiply the number of children in each household (Line 10) by the "per child" SOLA on Line 17. Then add that to the Primary Support Allowance claimed by each party on Line 11.

Line 20-Child Care / tuition paid by each parent: Enter the total of Lines 12A and 12B for each parent.

Line 21--Parenting Time Adjustment: When a child spends more than 109 overnights per year in the home of the parent from whom support is sought, that parent may retain a percentage of the primary support allowance and the parents' combined SOLA. To determine the credit, enter on Line 21A the percentage from the following table corresponding to the number of annual overnights. Then multiply the percentage by Line 19 of THE OTHER PARENT and enter the result on Line 21B. If there are multiple children in different ranges, the percentages should be averaged. In all cases where the percentage is less than 50% the overnights must be evidenced by a Court order or written agreement, and Line 21B shall not exceed their own SOLA obligation (Line 17a).

Annual Overnights	Percentage
Up to 109	0%
110 to 132	10%
133 to 150	20%
151 to 164	30%
165 to 174	40%
175 +	50%

Note: The Family Court Standard Visitation Guidelines constitute 90 average annual overnights.

Line 22—Self Support Protection: Enter on Line 22A the percentage indicated in the table below based upon the number of children of this union in the other parent's home (Line 10 other parent) and the number of other children each parent has to support (Line 6 and 10). Multiply the percentage by each parent's Net Income after Self Support (Line 5) and enter the result on Line 22B.

Any other	Chi	ldren in othe	r parent's ho	me
children	1	2	3	4+
0	100	100	100	100
1	50	60	70	75
2	40	50	60	65
3	30	40	50	55
4+	25	35	45	50

Line 23-Net Monthly Obligation: For each parent, subtract Primary/SOLA retained (Line 19), Childcare/tuition retained (Line 20) and the Parenting Time adjustment (Line 21B) from Gross Monthly Obligation (Line 18). Enter the result but not more than the amount on Line 22B.

Minimum Orders: The Court generally will not impose an obligation of less than 20% of the applicable primary support allowance. This restriction does not apply to shared placement (175 or more overnights in each household), or where the obligated parent is disabled. The obligation of a disabled person with limited resources will be determined upon consideration of the totality of the circumstances.

Modification: Petitions for modification filed within two and one-half years of the calculation of a current support obligation must allege "with particularity" a substantial change of circumstances not caused by the Petitioner's voluntary or wrongful conduct. Additionally, no modification will be ordered unless the new calculation produces a change of more than 10%. Beyond 2½ years, neither the "particularity" nor the "10%" requirements applies.

Termination: A child support obligation originally established under Delaware law terminates upon a transfer of custody or the emancipation of all of the children who are the subject of the obligation. A transfer of custody (to the obligated parent) must be evidenced by a Court Order or written agreement. Emancipation occurs when a child is 18 <u>and</u> is no longer attending high school but never later than the child's 19th birthday.

Voting Sheets

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW

EXECUTIVE SESSION on HB 1552

BILL TITLE: revising the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula.

DATE: February 9, 2010

LOB ROOM: 206

Amendments:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Motions: OTP, OTP/A_ITI__Interim Study (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep. Rollo

Seconded by Rep. Ford

Vote: 12-0 (Please attach record of roll call vote.)

Motions: OTP, OTP/A, ITL, Interim Study (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep.

Seconded by Rep.

Vote: (Please attach record of roll call vote.)

CONSENT CALENDAR VOTE: 12-0

(Vote to place on Consent Calendar must be unanimous.)

Statement of Intent: Refer to Committee Report

Respectfully submitted,

Rep. Carolyn M. Gargasz, Clerk

HOUSE COMMITTEE ON CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW

EXECUTIVE SESSION on HB 1552

BILL TITLE:

revising the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula.

DATE:

February A

LOB ROOM:

206

Amendments:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Sponsor: Rep. OLS Document #:

Motions: OTP, OTP/A, ITL, Interim Study (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep. Callo

Seconded by Rep. Ford

Vote: (Please attach record of roll call vote.)

Motions: OTP, OTP/A, ITL, Interim Study (Please circle one.)

Moved by Rep.

Seconded by Rep.

Vote: (Please attach record of roll call vote.)

CONSENT CALENDAR VOTE: 12 - 0

(Vote to place on Consent Calendar must be unanimous.)

Statement of Intent: Refer to Committee Report

Respectfully submitted,

Rep. Carolyn M. Gargasz, Clerk

CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW

Bill#: <u>HB 1552</u> Title: Reusin PH Date: 1,28,10 on The No	The child suppo	Aguideline based
PH Date: 1 / 28/ 10 on The Vil	Exec Session Da	ate: 02 / 04 / /0
Motion:	Amendment #:_	•
MEMBER '	YEAS	NAYS
Gile, Mary Stuart, Chairman	\checkmark	
Richardson, Barbara H, V Chairman	V	
Grassie, Anne C	<u> </u>	
Ginsburg, Ruth	absert	
Matarazzo, Anthony P	absent	
Rollo, Deanna S	V	
Arsenault, Beth R	absent	
Skinder, Carla M	absel	
Ford, Susan M	V	
Gould, Franklin F	<u> </u>	
Hutchinson, Gina A	absent	
Itse, Daniel C	cheet	
Gargasz, Carolyn M, Clerk	V	
Brown, Julie M	V	
Moran, Edward P	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
Bickford, David A	absert	<u> </u>
Hogan, Edith A	<u> </u>	
Johnson, Jane B	absent	
DeSimone, Debra L	V	
TOTAL VOTE: Printed: 12/18/2009	(({

Committee Report

CONSENT CALENDAR

February 11, 2010

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

REPORT OF COMMITTEE

The Committee on <u>CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW</u> to which was referred HB 1552-FN,

AN ACT revising the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula. Having considered the same, report the same with the following Resolution: RESOLVED, That it is INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE.

Rep. Deanna S. Rollo

FOR THE COMMITTEE

Original: House Clerk

Cc: Committee Bill File

COMMITTEE REPORT

Committee:	CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW
Bill Number:	HB 1552-FN
Title:	revising the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula.
Date:	February 11, 2010
Consent Calendar:	YES
Recommendation:	INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE

STATEMENT OF INTENT

This bill requires the department of health and human services to revise the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula. A portion of this formula has been adapted in four states. The committee agreed that the issue is complex and a comparative analysis should be undertaken to determine what is best for New Hampshire Families.

Vote 12-0.

Rep. Deanna S. Rollo FOR THE COMMITTEE

Original: House Clerk

Cc: Committee Bill File

CONSENT CALENDAR

CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW

HB 1552-FN, revising the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula. INEXPEDIENT TO LEGISLATE.

Rep. Deanna S. Rollo for CHILDREN AND FAMILY LAW. This bill requires the department of health and human services to revise the child support guidelines based on the Melson formula. A portion of this formula has been adapted in four states. The committee agreed that the issue is complex and a comparative analysis should be undertaken to determine what is best for New Hampshire Families. Vote 12-0.

Original: House Clerk

Cc: Committee Bill File